

AQMEII-4 Activity 3 Participant Call – April 26,2022

Attendees: Jesse Bash, Chris Holmes, Olivia Clifton, Johannes Fleming, Christian Hogrefe, Holly Nowell, Paul Makar, Jon Pleim, Limei Ran, Sun Shihan

- Work has been underway to examine the model descriptions and the data to identify potential needs for harmonization and to identify gaps for the paper
- New driving data set files are being prepared
- **Please don't make any changes to the driving met data for the base runs**
- Harmonization considerations
 - Harmonize LULC definitions for sites
 - Soil field capacity and wilting point will be specified/harmonized
 - Root zone and surface soil moisture and temperature depths will be specified (only the ones to use will be in the new files)
 - Tmax, Tmin, Topt for plant
 - OC: Maybe we shouldn't harmonize them since they aren't field measured - more of a model parameter
 - Agreement from CH and PM
 - Photosynthetic parameters
 - Photosynthesis parameters not easily measured in field – so model parameter – rather than environmental input – so let people do what they want here.
 - Vegetation fraction
 - Only used by M3DRY and STAGE currently; based on grid model construct
 - M3DRY - Default is specified by land use type; Ramat Hanadiv is based on site specific info and is set to 0.7; others could be set to 1.0
 - STAGE also uses Fveg
 - STAGE will now use values that M3DRY is using
 - Soil wetness (different than soil moisture) - only used by M3DRY and STAGE
 - STAGE - soil is wet if soil moisture > field capacity
 - M3DRY - soil is wet if leaf is wet
 - Snow presence
 - snow is present if snow depth > some model dependent value currently
 - Harmonize the cutoff depth to indicate snow cover
 - M3DRY is using 1 mm (uncertain)
 - Move away from using albedo as a marker for snow cover
 - If snow is missing, assume there is none for now
 - Weekly data is available from national snow and ice
 - Harvard - missing data but there is probably snow; models are already less than obs in winter
 - Provide surface roughness with new data – based on Meyers et al (1998)
 - Set radiation less than 0 to zero

- Fill CO2 data
 - Are all times LST? Yes
- Data changes
 - All sites
 - Add surface roughness
 - Fill CO2
 - Set radiation less than 0 to 0
 - Borden Forest
 - Error in averaging window discovered and corrected
 - Some miscellaneous date time issues corrected
 - Reduced variables output (e.g. fewer temperatures) to avoid confusion
 - Leaf wetness
 - Use 18 m wetness for leaf and surface
 - Air Temperature - provide 3 - use these
 - Tcanopy = air temperature = 19.6 m ht
 - Tsonic = use for MO length
 - Tsfc = 1.7 m
 - Soil
 - 2 cm , 50 cm for moisture
 - 5, 50 for temperature
 - Provide one value - use site B value if valid and fill with site A if not
 - Bugacpuszta
 - Relative humidity now fraction not % to match other sites
 - Harvard Forest
 - Fill leaf wetness using CMAQ formula rather than RH cutoff; slightly different cutoff
 - Hyytiala
 - Reduce soil data - use A and B1 horizons
 - There isn't a lot of information - lots of NaNs
 - Could replicate 30 min for 00 hour as well. Could also interpolate.
 - Soil T column from base file has values - not sure what depth this is for -check with Pasi Kolari? - it doesn't match any in the soil T file
 - Soil M in base file seems to be from the A horizon
 - SoilT file is for 2006-2012; base is 2002 -2012
 - Fill leaf wetness from surface wetness sensor wet/dry split is at 920
 - Ispra
 - Reduced the number of soil variables
 - Soil temp – 10 and 30 cm
 - Soil moisture 10 and 30 cm
 - Ramat Hanadiv
 - Fill leaf wetness using CMAQ formula rather than RH cutoff; slightly different cutoff

- Soil – use 60 cm for root zone soil temperature
- Paper
 - Olivia working on harmonizing and completing the model descriptions
 - Will also be asking for units and values of parameters used where those are lacking
 - Need to ask for floor values