

## QUIZ

Which function has a big O runtime of  $O(n + m)$ ?

```
function fourthFunc(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
    for (let j = 0; j < array.length; j++) {  
      console.log(array[i] + array[j]);  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
function secondFunc(array1, array2) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array1.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array1[i]);  
    for (let j = 0; j < array2.length; j++) {  
      console.log(array2[j]);  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
function firstFunc(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array[i]);  
  }  
}
```

```
function thirdFunc(array1, array2) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array1.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array1[i]);  
  }  
  for (let i = 0; i < array2.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array2[i]);  
  }  
}
```



Yes! We are iterating through each array separately, so the function's big O runtime is the sum of each loop's big O runtime.

It's faster to remove the first element added to a stack than it is to remove the first element added to a queue.

True

False



Yes! Removing the first element added to a queue has a constant big O runtime, while removing the first element added to a stack has a linear big O runtime.

What is the big O runtime of this code?

```
function findMax(list) {  
  let current = list.head;  
  let max = current.data;  
  while (current.getNextNode() !== null) {  
    current = current.getNextNode();  
    if (current.data > max) {  
      max = current.data;  
    }  
  }  
  return max;  
}
```

Linear:  $O(n)$



Yes! This function visits each element in the list.

What is the big O runtime of the following code?

```
function makeSum(num1, num2) {  
  return num1 + num2;  
}
```

Logarithmic:  $O(\log n)$

Linear:  $O(n)$

Constant:  $O(1)$



Yes! This is a mathematical operation, so the steps the function performs will not increase with larger inputs.

Which function has the **least efficient** big O runtime?

```
function funcOne(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array[i]);  
    if (array[i] % 2 === 0) {  
      console.log('This is an even number');  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
function funcTwo(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
    for (let j = 0; j < array.length; j++) {  
      console.log(array[i], array[j]);  
    }  
  }  
}
```



Yes! This has a quadratic runtime of  $O(n^2)$  due to the nested `for` loops.

Assuming there are no hashing collisions, it's faster to retrieve an element from a hash map than it is to retrieve an element from a linked list.

True



Yes! Retrieving an element from a hash map has a constant big O runtime while removing an element from a linked list has a linear big O runtime.

False

What is the big O runtime of the following code?

```
function printEvenPairs(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i <= array.length; i++) {  
    for (let j = 0; j <= array.length; j++) {  
      if ((array[i] + array[j]) % 2 === 0) {  
        console.log(array[i], array[j]);  
      }  
    }  
  }  
}
```

Constant:  $O(1)$

Logarithmic:  $O(\log n)$

Quadratic:  $O(n^2)$



Yes! This code will loop through each element in the list, for each element in the list.

Which function has the most efficient big O runtime?

```
function funcOne(array) {  
  for (let i = 0; i < array.length; i++) {  
    console.log(array[i]);  
    if (array[i] % 2 === 0) {  
      console.log('This is an even number');  
    }  
  }  
}
```

```
function funcThree(array) {  
  console.log(array);  
  for (let i = 0; i < 100000; i++) {  
    console.log(i);  
  }  
}
```



Correct! `funcThree()` has a big O runtime of  $O(1)$ . It is constant because the number of steps in the function will not increase based on the input.