## Dissipation in a shock

We can calculate the change in entropy across the shock.
 For a perfect gas the specific entropy (entropy per unit mass) is given by

$$s = C_v \ln(P/\rho^{\gamma})$$

Thus the change in entropy across the shock

$$ds = s_2 - s_1 = C_v[\ln(P_2/P_1) - \gamma \ln(\rho_2/\rho_1)]$$
  
=  $C_v(\ln R - \gamma \ln r)$ 

• In the weak shock limit we can write this as

$$ds = C_v(\ln[1 + (R - 1)] - \gamma \ln[1 + (r - 1)]$$

$$\approx C_v \left( (R - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(R - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(R - 1)^3 - \gamma(r - 1) + \frac{1}{2}\gamma(r - 1)^2 - \frac{1}{3}\gamma(r - 1)^3 \right)$$

 $\bullet$  For weak shocks we can expand (R-1) in terms of (r-1) using

$$R = \frac{(\gamma + 1)r - (\gamma - 1)}{(\gamma + 1) - (\gamma - 1)r}$$

$$R - 1 = \frac{2\gamma(r - 1)}{(r + 1) - \gamma(r - 1)} = \frac{2\gamma(r - 1)}{2 + (1 - \gamma)(r - 1)}$$

Expanding this in a Taylor series for r-1 gives

$$R - 1 \approx \gamma(r - 1) \left( 1 - \frac{1 - \gamma}{2} (r - 1) + \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2}{4} (r - 1)^2 \right)$$

$$ullet$$
 Substituting this in expression for  $ds$  we get

 $(R-1)^2 \approx \gamma^2 (r-1)^2 \left[1 - (1-\gamma)(r-1)\right]$ 

 $ds\approx C_v\gamma\frac{\gamma^2-1}{12}(r-1)^3$  which is positive if r>1. Thus for dissipation in shock we must have r>1.

ullet We need to show that ds>0 for r>1. For this we can take the derivative

$$\begin{split} \frac{d(ds)}{dr} &= C_v \left( \frac{1}{R} \frac{dR}{dr} - \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) \\ &= C_v \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{(\gamma + 1)r - (\gamma - 1)} + \frac{\gamma - 1}{(\gamma + 1) - r(\gamma - 1)} - \frac{\gamma}{r} \right) \end{split}$$

 $=C_v\frac{\gamma(\gamma-1)[(\gamma+1)r^2-2(\gamma-1)r+\gamma+1]}{r[(\gamma+1)r-(\gamma-1)][(\gamma+1)-r(\gamma-1)]}$  which is positive for  $1 < r < r_{\max}$ . This follows from the fact that the numerator is always positive, as the roots of quadratic are complex. This shows that ds>0 for all admissible values of r>1.

For

$$\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma + 1} < r < 1$$

ds < 0 (because ds = 0 at r = 1) and hence these are not physical.

• For strong shocks  $(M_{n1} \gg 1)$  we have

$$r = \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}, \qquad R = \frac{2\gamma M_{n1}^2}{\gamma + 1}$$

and

$$ds = C_v(2\ln M_{n1} + \ln(2\gamma) - \ln(\gamma + 1) - \gamma \ln(\gamma + 1) + \gamma \ln(\gamma - 1)) = C_v(2\ln M_{n1} - 2.087)$$

Thus for  $M_{n1} > 2.85$ , ds > 0

ullet For r>1, the Mach No.  $M_{n1}>1$  or  $v_{x1}>c_1$ . On the other side of shock

$$M_{n2}^2 = \frac{v_{x2}^2}{c_2^2} = \frac{1}{rR}M_{n1}^2$$

Using the relations

$$r = \frac{(\gamma + 1)M_{n1}^2}{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_{n1}^2}, \quad R = \frac{2\gamma M_{n1}^2 - (\gamma - 1)}{\gamma + 1}$$

we get

$$rR = \frac{M_{n1}^2 \left(2\gamma M_{n1}^2 - (\gamma - 1)\right)}{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_{n1}^2}$$

which gives

$$M_{n2}^2 - 1 = \frac{M_{n1}^2}{rR} - 1 = -\frac{(\gamma + 1)(M_{n1}^2 - 1)}{2\gamma M_{n1}^2 - (\gamma - 1)}$$

Thus  $M_{n2} < 1$  or the flow is subsonic on the other side of the shock. Hence shock region is the transition region from supersonic to subsonic flow.

ullet Coming to the increase in entropy, which should be due to dissipation in the shock, which now should have a finite thickness,  $\delta$ . Let us assume that viscosity is the only dissipative agent, which gives the dissipation

$$\Phi = 2\mu e_{ij}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\mu(e_{jj})^2$$

where

$$e_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

• In our case only non-zero derivative is w.r.t. x and ignoring the tangential velocity which is continuous across the shock, the only derivative that contributes is  $\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x}$  which

gives

$$\Phi = \frac{4}{3}\mu e_{11}^2 = \frac{4}{3}\mu \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x}\right)^2$$

• Here  $\Phi=dE/dt$  is the rate of energy dissipation. Thus  $\Phi dx=(dx/dt)dE=vdE$  and the energy dissipated is given by

$$\rho T ds = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mu}{v_x} \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} \left(\frac{\partial v_x}{\partial x}\right)^2 dx \approx \frac{4}{3} \frac{\mu}{v_x} \delta \frac{(v_{x1} - v_{x2})^2}{\delta^2}$$
$$\approx \frac{4\nu}{3\delta} \rho v_{x1} \frac{(r-1)^2}{r^2}$$

- This can be used to estimate the shock thickness for a given viscosity. To get the variation inside shock we need to solve the eq of motion with viscous term. For weak shock  $ds \propto (r-1)^3$  and hence  $\mu/\delta \propto (r-1)$ .
- For strong shocks  $\rho TC_v = P/(\gamma-1)$  also varies across the shock and this expression would need to be integrated. An approximate value may be obtained by using  $P_2$  to get

$$\rho T ds = \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} (2 \ln M_{n1} - 2.087)$$
$$= \frac{2}{\gamma^2 - 1} \rho_1 v_{x1}^2 (2 \ln M_{n1} - 2.087)$$

which can be used to estimate the thickness of shock.

Neglecting constants of order unity we get

$$\rho v_{x1}^2 \approx \frac{\nu \rho v_{x1}}{\delta}, \quad \delta \approx \frac{\nu}{v_{x1}}$$

which is same as what we obtained earlier using a completely different argument.

 Similar result would be obtained for dissipation due to thermal conductivity, which gives dissipation

$$\delta K \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}\right)^2 \approx \frac{K}{\delta} (T_2 - T_1)^2 = \frac{K}{\delta} T_1^2 \left(\frac{R}{r} - 1\right)^2$$

 In these cases the dissipation is basically due to collisions between atoms as both viscosity and thermal conductivity are due to collisions between atoms in a gas. If the shock thickness is less than the mean free path, then collisions would not happen and it is called a collisionless shock, where the dissipation is due to plasma processes like dissipation of waves.

## **MHD Shocks**

• In the presence of magnetic field the equations are

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} = -\nabla \left( P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$$
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{B}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v})$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Now the total energy and the flux includes the magnetic energy

$$U = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 + \frac{P}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B^2}{8\pi}$$

$$\mathbf{F_u} = \frac{1}{2}\rho v^2 \mathbf{v} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P \mathbf{v} + \frac{B^2}{4\pi} \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{4\pi} (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B}$$

• Combining these eq with continuity eq etc we can get the conservation eqs.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) = 0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (B_i v_j - B_j v_i) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} + \left( P + \frac{B^2}{8\pi} \right) I - \frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{u}} = 0$$

ullet Considering the shock perpendicular to x-axis and magnetic field and velocity in only x and z component with

variation only along x we get the eq

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho v_x)}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial B_x}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial B_z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (v_x B_z - v_z B_x) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho v_x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \rho v_x v_x + P + \frac{B_z^2 - B_x^2}{8\pi} \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho v_z}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \rho v_x v_z - \frac{1}{4\pi} B_x B_z \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 v_x + \frac{\gamma P v_x}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B_z^2}{4\pi} v_x - \frac{B_x Bz}{4\pi} v_z \right) = 0$$

 Considering the jump across the shock we get the jump conditions  $\rho_1 v_{x1} = \rho_2 v_{x2}$ 

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} - \rho_2$$

$$B_{x1} = B_{x2}$$

$$v_{x1}B_{z1} - v_{z1}B_{x1} = v_{x2}B_{z2} - v_{z2}B_{x2}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 + \frac{B_{z1}^2 - B_{x1}^2}{2} = \rho_2 v_{x2}^2 + P_1$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 + \frac{B_{z1}^2 - B_{x1}^2}{8\pi} = \rho_2 v_{x2}^2 + P_2 + \frac{B_{z2}^2 - B_{x2}^2}{8\pi}$$

$$v_{z1} - rac{B_{z1}B_{x1}}{4\pi} = 
ho_2 v_{x2} v_{z2} - rac{B_{z2}}{4\pi}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} v_{z1} - \frac{B_{z1} B_{x1}}{4\pi} = \rho_2 v_{x2} v_{z2} - \frac{B_{z2} B_{x2}}{4\pi}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho_1 (v_{x1}^2 + v_{z1}^2) v_{x1} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} P_1 v_{x1} + \frac{B_{z1}^2 v_{x1} - B_{z1} B_{x1} v_{z1}}{4\pi}$$

$$z_{1} - \frac{B_{z1}B_{x1}}{4\pi} = \rho_{2}v_{x2}v_{z2} - \frac{B_{z2}B_{x2}}{4\pi}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\rho_1(v_{x1} + v_{z1})v_{x1} + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}F_1v_{x1} + \frac{1}{4\pi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\rho_2(v_{x2}^2 + v_{z2}^2)v_{x2} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}P_2v_{x2} + \frac{B_{z2}^2v_{x2} - B_{z2}B_{x2}v_{z2}}{4\pi}$$

ullet These eqs are difficult to solve, so we consider a special case where  $B_z=0$  which gives

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} = \rho_2 v_{x2}$$

$$B_{x1} = B_{x2}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} v_{z1} = \rho_2 v_{x2} v_{z2}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 = \rho_2 v_{x2}^2 + P_2$$

$$v_{x1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}P_1\right) = v_{x2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_2 v_{x2}^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}P_2\right)$$

which are the Rankine–Hugoniot jump conditions for non-magnetic case.

• Thus we consider the case where  $B_x = 0$  to get

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} = \rho_2 v_{x2}$$

$$v_{x1}B_{z1} = v_{x2}B_{z2}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1}v_{z1} = \rho_2 v_{x2}v_{z2}$$

$$\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 + \frac{B_{z1}^2}{8\pi} = \rho_2 v_{x2}^2 + P_2 + \frac{B_{z2}^2}{8\pi}$$

From the first three eqs we get

$$\rho_1 v_{x1} = \rho_2 v_{x2}$$

$$v_{x1} B_{z1} = v_{x2} B_{z2}$$

 $v_{x1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_1v_{x1}^2 + \frac{\gamma P_1}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B_{z1}^2}{4\pi}\right) = v_{x2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_2v_{x2}^2 + \frac{\gamma P_2}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B_{z2}^2}{4\pi}\right)$ 

 $v_{z1} = v_{z2}, r = \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \frac{B_{z2}}{B_{z1}} = \frac{v_{x1}}{v_{x2}}, R = \frac{P_2}{P_1}$ 

Substituting these in the last two eqs we get

• Substituting these in the last two eqs we get 
$$\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 + \frac{B_{z1}^2}{8\pi} = \frac{1}{r} \rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + P_1 R + r^2 \frac{B_{z1}^2}{8\pi}$$

 $r\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + \frac{\gamma P_1}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{B_{z1}^2}{4\pi}\right) = \frac{1}{2r}\rho_1 v_{x1}^2 + \frac{\gamma R P_1}{\gamma - 1} + r^2 \frac{B_{z1}^2}{4\pi}$ 

Dividing by  $P_1$  and noting that  $\gamma M_1^2 = \rho_1 v_{x1}^2/P_1$  and  $\beta_1 = B_{z_1}^2 / (8\pi P_1)$  we get

$$R = 1 + \gamma M_1^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \beta_1 (1 - r^2)$$

$$R = r + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_1^2 \left( r - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \frac{2(\gamma - 1)}{\gamma} \beta_1 (r - r^2)$$

 $R = 1 + \gamma M_1^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) + \beta_1 (1 - r^2)$ 

• Equating the two values of R gives a quadratic in r after removing a factor of (r-1)  $f(r)=2(2-\gamma)\beta_1r^2+\gamma[2\beta_1+2+(\gamma-1)M_1^2]r-\gamma(\gamma+1)M_1^2=0$ 

This has one positive and one negative root for 
$$r$$
. Only the positive root is admissible. Further  $f(0) < 0$  and  $f((\gamma + 1)/(\gamma - 1)) > 0$ . Thus the root is in  $(0, r_{\text{max}})$ .

 $f((\gamma+1)/(\gamma-1))>0. \ \ \text{Thus the root is in } (0,r_{\max}).$  • For weak shocks  $r\approx 1$  we can write

$$R-1 = (r-1)\left(\frac{\gamma}{r}M_1^2 - \beta_1(r+1)\right) \approx (r-1)(\gamma M_1^2 - 2\beta_1)$$

Considering the entropy change across the shock

$$ds \approx C_v[(R-1) - \gamma(r-1)]$$

For ds > 0 we need

$$\gamma M_1^2 - 2\beta_1 > \gamma,$$
  $M_1^2 > 1 + \frac{2\beta_1}{\gamma} = 1 + \frac{V_{A1}^2}{c_1^2}$ 

This gives

$$v_{x1}^2 > c_1^2 + V_{A1}^2$$

• In the strong shock limit f(r) = 0 gives

$$r pprox rac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}, \qquad R pprox 1 + rac{2\gamma M_1^2}{\gamma + 1}$$

which is the same as non-magnetic case.

## **Blast Waves**

- If there is a sudden release of energy in a small volume, e.g., nuclear explosion or supernova explosions, the surrounding fluid heats up and expands. This expansion is supersonic and drives a shock wave through surrounding medium which is known as blast wave. The shock compresses the surrounding medium and propagates outwards.
- If an explosion releases kinetic energy E, which may be a small fraction of total energy released. If the mass of ejecta is  $m_e$  then

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m_e v^2, \qquad v = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{m_e}}$$

- Because of high velocity the bubble will expand rapidly, sweeping up more surrounding fluid. This is referred to as the free expansion phase as the velocity remains approximately constant.
- $\bullet$  If the density of surrounding fluid is  $\rho_s$  and the radius of the bubble is R then the total mass involved is

$$m(t) = m_e + \frac{4\pi}{3} \rho_s R^3$$
 Because of increase in mass the velocity would reduce,

but it would still remain supersonic for some time.

 After sufficient time the swept up mass would dominate the total mass and the velocity is now given by

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{3E}{2\pi\rho_s}} R^{-3/2}$$

The velocity decreases with increasing radius and this phase is known as the Sedov–Taylor phase.

ullet The transition between the free expansion phase and Sedov–Taylor phase happens gradually. An estimate for this can be obtained when ejecta mass equals the swept up mass. The radius,  $R_d$  at which this happens is known as the deceleration radius

$$\frac{4\pi}{3}\rho_s R_d^3 = m_e, \qquad R_d = \left(\frac{3m_e}{4\pi\rho_s}\right)^{1/3}$$

 After the Sedov-Taylor phase the bubble begins to cool and energy dissipation is significant and KE can not be considered as constant.  Although we have said KE is conserved, it is the total energy which includes internal energy that is conserved

$$E = \frac{1}{2}m\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 + \frac{4\pi}{3}R^3\rho C_v T$$

Assuming that the resulting shock is strong we can write the second term as

$$\frac{m}{\rho} \frac{P_2}{\gamma - 1} = m \frac{2}{\gamma^2 - 1} v_1^2$$

Combining the two terms we get

$$E = m \left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\gamma^2 - 1}\right) = C_{\gamma} m \left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2$$

where constant

$$C_{\gamma} = \frac{\gamma^2 + 3}{2(\gamma^2 - 1)} \approx 1.625$$

• Thus we can write

$$E = C_{\gamma} m(t) \left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 = C_{\gamma} \frac{4\pi}{3} R^3 \rho_s \left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 = \text{const}$$

which gives

$$R^{3/2}\frac{dR}{dt} = \left(\frac{3E}{4\pi C_{\gamma}\rho_s}\right)^{1/2}$$

which is similar to eq we obtained earlier.

 $\bullet$  We can try a power law solution  $R \propto t^{\alpha}$  which gives

$$v = \frac{dR}{dt} = \alpha \frac{R}{t} \propto t^{\alpha - 1}$$

Substituting this in the above eq we get

$$\frac{R^{5/2}}{t} = \text{const}, \qquad R \propto t^{2/5}$$

Thus  $\alpha=2/5$  and

$$R(t) = \bar{C} \left(\frac{E}{\rho_s}\right)^{1/5} t^{2/5}$$

where

$$\bar{C} = \left(\frac{75}{16\pi C_{\odot}}\right)^{1/5} \approx 0.98$$

• With this solution  $v \propto t^{-3/5}$  and pressure  $P_2 \propto v^2 \propto t^{-6/5}$ . The Sedov–Taylor phase applies for  $R \gg R_d$  until the radiative losses become important.