


deepesh
23 May 2025

Assessment

Influence Quotient: 61/100

Overall Evaluation Summary



Qualitative Analysis - Positive

- Clear structure with a strong introduction, detailed body, and concise closing
- Objective of securing a quantitative finance role was stated early and reinforced throughout
- Content was highly relevant but could be streamlined by reducing lengthy academic scores and extended work history
- Delivery was factual and credible but lacked vivid anecdotes or examples to boost engagement

Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement

- Confident delivery with a steady tone and consistent volume

- Speaking speed around 127 wpm is appropriate, though occasional quickening made parts feel rushed
- Average volume at –26.9 dB is somewhat low; increasing microphone gain could improve clarity
- Maintained a neutral tone; adding more vocal inflection could enhance listener interest

Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Individual Parameters	5 Point scale:	Feedback: Excellent(5), Good(4) Satisfactory(3), Needs Improvement(2), Poor(1)
1.	Level of Confidence through the presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Posture • Smile • Eye Contact • Energy levels through the presentation 	4	Posture: 3 Smile: 5 Eye Contact: 3 Energy levels through the presentation: N/A
2.	Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, volume?	4	You maintained a strong, steady tone and volume, though your pace occasionally quickened, and you varied speed enough to keep the delivery engaging.
3.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, personality traits?	5	Rating: 4/5
4.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	5	Rating: 4/5
5.	How are you different from others?	3	Rating: 3/5
6.	What value do you bring to the role?	3	Rating: 3/5
7.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body and Conclusion?	5	The speech followed a clear structure with an introduction of who you are and what you seek, a body detailing your academic background, skills, and experience, and a concise closing that reinforced your fit and enthusiasm.
8.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the student's speech demonstrate a good depth? Did they cite the sources of research properly?	4	Your core objective of securing a quantitative finance role was clearly stated and reinforced multiple times, making the purpose of the presentation easy to grasp.
9.	How creatively did the student present the video?	3	While the presentation was generally focused, the inclusion of lengthy academic percentages and extended work history added more detail than necessary and could have been streamlined.



10.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you give them the job/opportunity?	5	The content was moderately engaging—you shared personal achievements and research experiences, but the delivery remained largely factual without stories or vivid anecdotes to fully captivate.
-----	---	---	---