deepesh
# 23 May 2025

Assessment

Influence Quotient: 54/100

Overall Evaluation Summary

Qualitative Analysis - Positive

•The speaker opened confidently and structured the presentation with a clear introduction, detailed body, and concise conclusion

•Content was engaging and aligned with expected guidelines, highlighting relevant academic achievements and technical skills

•The candidate demonstrated a strong research mindset and inquisitiveness, supported by conference presentations and awards

•Overall delivery was persuasive in showcasing technical strengths and enthusiasm, though more concrete examples or external data points could strengthen the argument

Qualitative Analysis - Areas of Improvement

- Average speaking volume was -26.87 dB, indicating a moderate playback level

- Volume standard deviation of 4.8 dB shows relatively stable vocal dynamics

- Speaking speed of 127.53 words per minute falls within a clear and understandable range

- Predicted tone was neutral, contributing to a composed and professional delivery

Detailed Evaluation Metrics

No.	Individual Parameters	5 Point scale:	Feedback: Excellent(5), Good(4) Satisfactory(3), Needs Improvement(2), Poor(1)
1.	Level of Confidence through the presentation • Posture • Smile • Eye Contact • Energy levels through the presentation	5	Posture: 3 Smile: 5 Eye Contact: 3 Energy levels through the presentation: N/A
2.	Did the speaker vary their tone, speed, volume?	4	Yes, the content was engaging and aligned well with the expected guidelines.
3.	Who are you and what are your skills, expertise, personality traits?	4	Excellent
4.	Why are you the best person to fit this role?	4	Good
5.	How are you different from others?	4	Good
6.	What value do you bring to the role?	4	Good
7.	Did the speech have a structure of Opening, Body and Conclusion?	4	The speech featured a clear opening, a detailed body, and a concise conclusion.
8.	How was the quality of research for the topic? Did the student's speech demonstrate a good depth? Did they cite the sources of research properly?	3	The speaker maintained an appropriate tone and volume, though the pace varied at times and a few segments were delivered rather quickly.
9.	How creatively did the student present the video?	3	The candidate highlighted relevant academic achievements, software skills, and research awards, demonstrating a solid understanding of the topic. However, there were no external citations or industry benchmarks referenced. The depth was moderate, relying mostly on personal experience rather than concrete data points.
10.	How convinced were you with the overall speech on the topic? Was it persuasive? Will you give them the job/opportunity?	3	I found the presentation fairly persuasive in showcasing the candidate's technical strengths and enthusiasm. It is convincing enough to invite them for a follow-up discussion, although I would seek more specific examples before making a final decision.