

Quiz 2 Solutions

1) Let l be the fixed length of the row of a relation R with N rows, and B be the block size. Let l be smaller than B , and let unspanned placement of rows in blocks be used. There is no header or footer in the block. Then, Select one or more:

- a. Number of blocks needed to store R is exactly $\lceil N/\text{floor}[B/l] \rceil$
- b. Number of rows per block is exactly $\text{floor}[B/l]$
- c. Number of rows per block is exactly $\lceil B/l \rceil$
- d. None of the others
- e. Number of blocks needed to store R is exactly $\lceil N/\lceil B/l \rceil \rceil$

CORRECT ANSWERS – A,B

2) Consider $R(K, A1, A2, \dots, An)$ with a composite clustered index on $(A1, A2)$, R has N rows, and $A1$ has p distinct values and $A2$ has q distinct values for a populated relation R . Select one or more:

- a. None of the others
- b. R is ordered on K
- c. R is ordered in $(A1, A2)$ (first $A1$ and then $A2$)
- d. Composite Clustered index on $(A1, A2)$ has $(p+q)$ rows
- e. A row of clustered index is $(A1\text{-value}, A2\text{-value}, \text{BlockPointer})$

CORRECT ANSWERS – C,E

EXPLANATION

- B is wrong because as it is a clustered index on $(A1, A2)$ it has to be ordered on $(A1, A2)$ and it cannot be ordered on K .

- C is correct because it is a clustered index on $(A1, A2)$ so it must be ordered on $(A1, A2)$

- D is wrong because, $A1$ has p distinct values and $A2$ has q distinct values, so total number of possible combinations of $(A1, A2)$ is $p \times q$.

- E is correct by definition

3) Consider $R(K, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n)$ with N rows, and a secondary index on K . Select one or more:

- a. None of the others
- b. A row of secondary index on K is $(K\text{-value}, \text{BlockPointer})$
- c. There are N rows for the secondary index on K
- d. R is ordered on K
- e. R is ordered on any attribute other than K

CORRECT ANSWER – A

EXPLANATION

- B is wrong because it should be recordPointer not blockpointer
- If K was mentioned as Key then C would have been correct, as there would have been N distinct values and thus N rows. But it is not mentioned.
- D is wrong because, It is a secondary index on K so it must not be ordered on K
- E is wrong because, It is not necessary that R has to be ordered. It may not be ordered on any attribute.

4) Consider a relation $R(K, A)$ with N rows stored in nR blocks and attribute A takes only two values (x, y) , there are $(N-1)$ rows with value $A=x$, and 1 row with value $A=y$. To retrieve all rows for the query $\text{Select } * \text{ From } R \text{ where } A=y$. To get this result - Select one or more:

- a. If R is hashed on A , it will take exactly one block access.
- b. If R has clustered index on A it will take exactly two block accesses
- c. If R is ordered on K it can take $\lceil \log_2(nR) \rceil / 2$ block accesses
- d. None of the others
- e. If R is unordered it can take $\lceil nR/2 \rceil$ block accesses

CORRECT ANSWERS – A,B,E

EXPLANATION

- A is correct by definition

- For clustered index it will take:

$BA = \log_2(\text{number of distinct keys}) + \text{No. of occurrences}$

$BA = \log_2(2) + 1$

$BA = 1 + 1 = 2$

- Option C is Wrong If R is ordered on K then binary search cannot be done for A (So logarithm cannot come into the picture)

- Option E is correct as R is unordered, the best case would be 1 block access, worst case would be nR block accesses. On average $\text{floor}(nR/2)$ block accesses would be required.

5) If consider a relation with >10 rows, the block size B is doubled, then Note - (approximately half is number in range $[(\text{number}/2) - 3]$, to $[(\text{number}/2) + 3]$), not necessarily exactly half - but very close to it. Select one or more:

- a. The number of blocks for secondary index on Key will remain the same
- b. None of the others
- c. The number of blocks for primary index will remain the same.
- d. The number of leaf nodes of B-tree will approximately halve
- e. The number of blocks for clustered index on non-key attribute A will approximately halve

CORRECT ANSWERS – D,E

EXPLANATION

- Option A is wrong because as block size increases, more keys could be held in a block, so basically number of blocks for SI will half.
- Option C is wrong using the same explanation for Option A.
- Option D is correct because as block size doubles, fanout also doubles, so minimum <key,ptr> pairs in each block will double. So finally the overall number of blocks required in the B-Tree will also half. Therefore no. of Leaf Nodes will be halved.
- Option E is true because block size increases, number of rows per block increases, double the number of keys could be stored in one block. Therefore number of blocks will half.