
C++ ABI for the Arm Architecture

Release 2019Q4

Arm Ltd

Jan 31, 2020

CONTENTS

1	Preamble	2
1.1	Abstract	2
1.2	Keywords	2
1.3	How to find the latest release of this specification or report a defect in it	2
1.4	Licence	2
1.5	Non-Confidential Proprietary Notice	2
1.6	Contents	3
2	About this document	5
2.1	Change control	5
2.2	References	6
2.3	Terms and abbreviations	7
2.4	Your licence to use this specification	7
2.5	Acknowledgements	8
3	Overview	9
3.1	The Generic C++ ABI	9
3.2	The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture	10
3.3	The exception handling components example implementation	10
4	The C++ ABI supplement	11
4.1	Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI	11
4.2	Differences in detail	14

Document number: IHI 0041G current through ABI release 2019Q4

Date of Issue: 30th January 2020

PREAMBLE

1.1 Abstract

This document describes the C++ Application Binary Interface for the Arm architecture.

1.2 Keywords

C++ ABI, generic C++ ABI, exception handling ABI

1.3 How to find the latest release of this specification or report a defect in it

Please check the Arm Developer site (<https://developer.arm.com/products/software-development-tools/specifications>) for a later release if your copy is more than one year old.

Please report defects in this specification to *arm dot eabi at arm dot com*.

1.4 Licence

THE TERMS OF YOUR ROYALTY FREE LIMITED LICENCE TO USE THIS ABI SPECIFICATION ARE GIVEN IN *Your licence to use this specification* (page 7) (Arm contract reference LEC-ELA-00081 V2.0). PLEASE READ THEM CAREFULLY.

BY DOWNLOADING OR OTHERWISE USING THIS SPECIFICATION, YOU AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ALL OF ITS TERMS. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE TO THIS, DO NOT DOWNLOAD OR USE THIS SPECIFICATION. THIS ABI SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED “AS IS” WITH NO WARRANTIES (SEE *Your licence to use this specification* (page 7) FOR DETAILS).

1.5 Non-Confidential Proprietary Notice

This document is protected by copyright and other related rights and the practice or implementation of the information contained in this document may be protected by one or more patents or pending patent applications. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form by any means without the express prior written permission of Arm. No license, express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise to any intellectual property rights is granted by this document unless specifically stated.

Your access to the information in this document is conditional upon your acceptance that you will not use or permit others to use the information for the purposes of determining whether implementations infringe any third party patents.

THIS DOCUMENT IS PROVIDED “AS IS”. ARM PROVIDES NO REPRESENTATIONS AND NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, SATISFACTORY QUALITY, NON-INFRINGEMENT OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE WITH RESPECT TO THE DOCUMENT. For the avoidance of doubt, Arm makes no representation with respect to, and has undertaken no analysis to identify or understand the scope and content of, patents, copyrights, trade secrets, or other rights.

This document may include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors.

TO THE EXTENT NOT PROHIBITED BY LAW, IN NO EVENT WILL ARM BE LIABLE FOR ANY DAMAGES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, PUNITIVE, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, HOWEVER CAUSED AND REGARDLESS OF THE THEORY OF LIABILITY, ARISING OUT OF ANY USE OF THIS DOCUMENT, EVEN IF ARM HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

This document consists solely of commercial items. You shall be responsible for ensuring that any use, duplication or disclosure of this document complies fully with any relevant export laws and regulations to assure that this document or any portion thereof is not exported, directly or indirectly, in violation of such export laws. Use of the word “partner” in reference to Arm’s customers is not intended to create or refer to any partnership relationship with any other company. Arm may make changes to this document at any time and without notice.

If any of the provisions contained in these terms conflict with any of the provisions of any click through or signed written agreement covering this document with Arm, then the click through or signed written agreement prevails over and supersedes the conflicting provisions of these terms. This document may be translated into other languages for convenience, and you agree that if there is any conflict between the English version of this document and any translation, the terms of the English version of the Agreement shall prevail.

The Arm corporate logo and words marked with ® or ™ are registered trademarks or trademarks of Arm Limited (or its subsidiaries) in the US and/or elsewhere. All rights reserved. Other brands and names mentioned in this document may be the trademarks of their respective owners. Please follow Arm’s trademark usage guidelines at <http://www.arm.com/company/policies/trademarks>.

Copyright © 2003, 2020 Arm Limited (or its affiliates). All rights reserved.

Arm Limited. Company 02557590 registered in England. 110 Fulbourn Road, Cambridge, England CB1 9NJ. LES-PRE-20349

1.6 Contents

Contents

- C++ ABI for the Arm® Architecture (page ??)
 - *Preamble* (page 2)
 - * *Abstract* (page 2)
 - * *Keywords* (page 2)
 - * *How to find the latest release of this specification or report a defect in it* (page 2)
 - * *Licence* (page 2)
 - * *Non-Confidential Proprietary Notice* (page 2)
 - * *Contents* (page 3)
 - *About this document* (page 5)
 - * *Change control* (page 5)

- * *References* (page 6)
- * *Terms and abbreviations* (page 7)
- * *Your licence to use this specification* (page 7)
- * *Acknowledgements* (page 8)
- *Overview* (page 9)
 - * *The Generic C++ ABI* (page 9)
 - * *The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture* (page 10)
 - * *The exception handling components example implementation* (page 10)
- *The C++ ABI supplement* (page 11)
 - * *Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI* (page 11)
 - * *Differences in detail* (page 14)

ABOUT THIS DOCUMENT

2.1 Change control

2.1.1 Current status and anticipated changes

The following support level definitions are used by the Arm ABI specifications:

Release Arm considers this specification to have enough implementations, which have received sufficient testing, to verify that it is correct. The details of these criteria are dependent on the scale and complexity of the change over previous versions: small, simple changes might only require one implementation, but more complex changes require multiple independent implementations, which have been rigorously tested for cross-compatibility. Arm anticipates that future changes to this specification will be limited to typographical corrections, clarifications and compatible extensions.

Beta Arm considers this specification to be complete, but existing implementations do not meet the requirements for confidence in its release quality. Arm may need to make incompatible changes if issues emerge from its implementation.

Alpha The content of this specification is a draft, and Arm considers the likelihood of future incompatible changes to be significant.

All content in this document is at the **Release** quality level.

2.1.2 Change history

Issue	Date	By	Change
1.0	30 th October 2003	LS	First public release.
2.0	24 th March 2005	LS	Second public release.
2.01	4 th July 2005	LS	Fixed defect in <i>Static object construction and destruction</i> (page 21) - <code>.init_array</code> sections must be writable, but compiled as if read-only.
2.02	5 th January 2006	LS	In <i>Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols</i> (page 24), forbid the export of entities declared in unnamed namespaces.
2.03	3 rd May 2006	LS	In <i>Code example for <code>__aeabi_atexit</code></i> (page 20), <i>Static object destruction</i> (page 22), and <code>__aeabi_atexit</code> , clarified the use of <code>__aeabi_atexit()</code> .

Continued on next page

Table 2.1 – continued from previous page

Issue	Date	By	Change
2.04 / A	25 th October 2007	LS	In <i>Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI</i> (page 11), specified the name mangling (GC++ABI §5.1.5) for the 16-bit FP type added to [AAPCS] in ABI r2.06. Updated the base standard for C++ to ISO/IEC 14882:2003. Added an Arm-specific rule for the ELF binding of guard variable symbols (<i>ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols</i> (page 26)). Document renumbered (formerly GENC-003540 v2.04).
B	10 th October 2008	LS	In <i>Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI</i> (page 11), removed the Arm-specified mangling for 16-bit FP types added in r2.06 now that the [GCPPABI] ² defines it to be Dh; noted the mangling of std::va_list resulting from its definition in [AAPCS].
C	5 th October 2009	LS	In <i>Library helper functions</i> (page 15), corrected typos in/ wording of the justification for defining __aeabi_vec_delete3 but not __aeabi_vec_delete2; in the definition of __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor, corrected the order of size and count parameters to __aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor(). In <i>Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols</i> (page 24), corrected broken class export syntax; corrected comments about entities declared in unnamed namespaces and those derived from them.
D r2.09	30 th November 2012	AC	In <i>Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI</i> (page 11), clarified handling of empty classes.
E r2.10	24 th November 2015	CR	In <i>Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI</i> (page 11), again clarified handling of empty classes.
2018Q4	21 st December 2018	OS	Minor typographical fixes, updated links.
2019Q4	30 th January 2020	TS	Add name mangling rules for half-precision Brain floating point format: <i>Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI</i> (page 11).

2.2 References

This document refers to, or is referred to by, the following documents.

Ref	URL or other reference	Title
AAPCS		Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture
BSABI		ABI for the Arm Architecture (Base Standard)
<i>CPPABI</i> (page 1)	<i>This document</i>	C++ ABI for the Arm Architecture
EHABI		Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture
EHEGI		Exception handling components, example implementations
GCP-PABI ³	http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html	Itanium C++ ABI (\$Revision: 1.71 \$) (Although called <i>Itanium C++ ABI</i> , it is very generic).
GELF ⁴	http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/ ...	Generic ELF, 17th December 2003 draft.
ISO C++	ISO/IEC 14882:2003 (14882:1988 with <i>Technical Corrigendum</i>)	International Standard ISO/IEC 14882:2003 – Programming languages C++

² <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

2.3 Terms and abbreviations

The *ABI for the Arm Architecture* uses the following terms and abbreviations.

AAPCS Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture.

ABI Application Binary Interface:

1. The specifications to which an executable must conform in order to execute in a specific execution environment. For example, the *Linux ABI for the Arm Architecture*.
2. A particular aspect of the specifications to which independently produced relocatable files must conform in order to be statically linkable and executable. For example, the *C++ ABI for the Arm Architecture* (page 1), the Run-time ABI for the Arm Architecture, the C Library ABI for the Arm Architecture.

AEABI (Embedded) ABI for the Arm architecture (this ABI...)

Arm-based ... based on the Arm architecture ...

core registers The general purpose registers visible in the Arm architecture’s programmer’s model, typically r0-r12, SP, LR, PC, and CPSR.

EABI An ABI suited to the needs of embedded, and deeply embedded (sometimes called free standing), applications.

Q-o-I Quality of Implementation – a quality, behavior, functionality, or mechanism not required by this standard, but which might be provided by systems conforming to it. Q-o-I is often used to describe the tool-chain-specific means by which a standard requirement is met.

VFP The Arm architecture’s Floating Point architecture and instruction set. In this ABI, this abbreviation includes all floating point variants regardless of whether or not vector (V) mode is supported.

2.4 Your licence to use this specification

IMPORTANT: THIS IS A LEGAL AGREEMENT (“LICENCE”) BETWEEN YOU (AN INDIVIDUAL OR SINGLE ENTITY WHO IS RECEIVING THIS DOCUMENT DIRECTLY FROM ARM LIMITED) (“LICENSEE”) AND ARM LIMITED (“ARM”) FOR THE SPECIFICATION DEFINED IMMEDIATELY BELOW. BY DOWNLOADING OR OTHERWISE USING IT, YOU AGREE TO BE BOUND BY ALL OF THE TERMS OF THIS LICENCE. IF YOU DO NOT AGREE TO THIS, DO NOT DOWNLOAD OR USE THIS SPECIFICATION.

“Specification” means, and is limited to, the version of the specification for the Applications Binary Interface for the Arm Architecture comprised in this document. Notwithstanding the foregoing, “Specification” shall not include (i) the implementation of other published specifications referenced in this Specification; (ii) any enabling technologies that may be necessary to make or use any product or portion thereof that complies with this Specification, but are not themselves expressly set forth in this Specification (e.g. compiler front ends, code generators, back ends, libraries or other compiler, assembler or linker technologies; validation or debug software or hardware; applications, operating system or driver software; RISC architecture; processor microarchitecture); (iii) maskworks and physical layouts of integrated circuit designs; or (iv) RTL or other high level representations of integrated circuit designs.

Use, copying or disclosure by the US Government is subject to the restrictions set out in subparagraph (c)(1)(ii) of the Rights in Technical Data and Computer Software clause at DFARS 252.227-7013 or subparagraphs (c)(1) and (2) of the Commercial Computer Software – Restricted Rights at 48 C.F.R. 52.227-19, as applicable.

This Specification is owned by Arm or its licensors and is protected by copyright laws and international copyright treaties as well as other intellectual property laws and treaties. The Specification is licensed not sold.

³ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

⁴ <http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/>

1. Subject to the provisions of Clauses 2 and 3, Arm hereby grants to LICENSEE, under any intellectual property that is (i) owned or freely licensable by Arm without payment to unaffiliated third parties and (ii) either embodied in the Specification or Necessary to copy or implement an applications binary interface compliant with this Specification, a perpetual, non-exclusive, non-transferable, fully paid, worldwide limited licence (without the right to sublicense) to use and copy this Specification solely for the purpose of developing, having developed, manufacturing, having manufactured, offering to sell, selling, supplying or otherwise distributing products which comply with the Specification.
2. THIS SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED “AS IS” WITH NO WARRANTIES EXPRESS, IMPLIED OR STATUTORY, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY OF SATISFACTORY QUALITY, MERCHANTABILITY, NONINFRINGEMENT OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THE SPECIFICATION MAY INCLUDE ERRORS. Arm RESERVES THE RIGHT TO INCORPORATE MODIFICATIONS TO THE SPECIFICATION IN LATER REVISIONS OF IT, AND TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS OR CHANGES IN THE SPECIFICATION OR THE PRODUCTS OR TECHNOLOGIES DESCRIBED THEREIN AT ANY TIME.
3. This Licence shall immediately terminate and shall be unavailable to LICENSEE if LICENSEE or any party affiliated to LICENSEE asserts any patents against Arm, Arm affiliates, third parties who have a valid licence from Arm for the Specification, or any customers or distributors of any of them based upon a claim that a LICENSEE (or LICENSEE affiliate) patent is Necessary to implement the Specification. In this Licence; (i) “affiliate” means any entity controlling, controlled by or under common control with a party (in fact or in law, via voting securities, management control or otherwise) and “affiliated” shall be construed accordingly; (ii) “assert” means to allege infringement in legal or administrative proceedings, or proceedings before any other competent trade, arbitral or international authority; (iii) “Necessary” means with respect to any claims of any patent, those claims which, without the appropriate permission of the patent owner, will be infringed when implementing the Specification because no alternative, commercially reasonable, non-infringing way of implementing the Specification is known; and (iv) English law and the jurisdiction of the English courts shall apply to all aspects of this Licence, its interpretation and enforcement. The total liability of Arm and any of its suppliers and licensors under or in relation to this Licence shall be limited to the greater of the amount actually paid by LICENSEE for the Specification or US\$10.00. The limitations, exclusions and disclaimers in this Licence shall apply to the maximum extent allowed by applicable law.

Arm Contract reference LEC-ELA-00081 V2.0 AB/LS (9 March 2005)

2.5 Acknowledgements

This specification has been developed with the active support of the following organizations. In alphabetical order: Arm, CodeSourcery, Intel, Metrowerks, Montavista, Nexus Electronics, PalmSource, Symbian, Texas Instruments, and Wind River.

OVERVIEW

The C++ ABI for the Arm architecture (CPPABI) comprises four sub-components.

- The generic C++ ABI, summarized in *The Generic C++ ABI* (page 9), is the referenced base standard for this component.
- The C++ ABI supplement in *Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI* (page 11) details Arm-specific additions to and deviations from the generic standard.
- The separately documented *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI], summarized in *The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture* (page 10), describes the language-independent and C++-specific aspects of exception handling.
- The example implementations of the exception handling components [EHEGI], summarized in *The exception handling components example implementation* (page 10), include:
 - A language independent unwinder.
 - A C++ semantics module.
 - Arm-specific C++ unwinding personality routines.

The generic C++ ABI is implicitly an SVr4-based standard, and takes an SVr4 position on symbol visibility and vague linkage. The C++ ABI supplement in *The C++ ABI supplement* (page 11) details extensions for DLL-based environments.

3.1 The Generic C++ ABI

The generic C++ ABI [GCPPABI⁵] (originally developed for SVr4 on Itanium) specifies:

- The layout of C++ non-POD class types in terms of the layout of POD types (specified for *this* ABI by the *Procedure Call Standard for the Arm Architecture* [AAPCS]).
- How class types requiring copy construction are passed as parameters and results.
- The content of run-time type information (RTTI).
- Necessary APIs for object construction and destruction.
- How names with linkage are mangled (name mangling).

The generic C++ ABI refers to a separate Itanium-specific specification of exception handling. When the generic C++ ABI is used as a component of *this* ABI, corresponding reference must be made to the *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI] and *The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture* (page 10).

⁵ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

3.2 The Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture

In common with the Itanium exception handling ABI, the *Exception handling ABI for the Arm architecture* [EHABI] specifies table-based unwinding that separates language-independent unwinding from language specific aspects. The specification describes:

- The *base class* format and meaning of the tables understood by the language-independent exception handling system, and their representation in relocatable files. The language-independent exception handler only uses fields from the base class.
- A *derived table class* used by Arm tools that efficiently encodes stack-unwinding instructions and compactly represents the data needed for handling C++ exceptions.
- The interface between the language independent exception handling system and the *personality routines* specific to a particular implementation for a particular language. Personality routines interpret the language-specific, derived class tables. Conceptually (though not literally, for reasons of implementation convenience and run-time efficiency), personality routines are member functions of the derived class.
- The interfaces between the (C++) language exception handling semantics module and:
 - The language-independent exception handling system.
 - The personality routines.
 - The (C++) application code (effectively the interface underlying *throw*).

The EHABI contains a significant amount of commentary to aid and support independent implementation of:

- Personality routines.
- The language-specific exception handling semantics module.
- Language-independent exception handling.

This commentary does not provide, and is not intended to provide, complete specifications of independent implementations, but it does give a rationale for the interfaces to, and among, these components.

3.3 The exception handling components example implementation

The exception handling components example implementation (EHEGI) comprises the following files.

- **cppsemantics.cpp** is a module that implements the semantics of C++ exception handling. It uses the language-independent unwinder (unwinder.c), and is used by the Arm-specific personality routines (unwind_pr.[ch]).
- **cxxabi.h** describes the generic C++ ABI (*The Generic C++ ABI* (page 9)).
- **Licence.txt** describes your licence to use the exception handling example implementation.
- **unwind_env.h** is a header that describes the build and execution environments of the exception handling components. This header must be edited if the exception handling components are to be built with non-Arm compilers. This header `#includes cxxabi.h`.
- **unwind_pr.c** implements the three Arm-specific personality routines described in the *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture*.
- **unwinder.c** is an implementation of the language-independent unwinder.
- **unwinder.h** describes the interface to the language-independent unwinder, as described in the *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture*.

THE C++ ABI SUPPLEMENT

4.1 Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI

This section summarizes the differences between the *C++ ABI for the Arm architecture* and the generic C++ ABI. Section numbers in captions refer to the generic C++ ABI specification. Larger differences are detailed in subsections of *Differences in detail* (page 14).

GC++ABI §1.2⁶ Limits

The offset of a non-virtual base sub-object in the full object containing it must fit into a 24-bit signed integer (because of RTTI implementation). This implies a practical limit of 2^{23} bytes on the size of a class sub-object.

GC++ABI §2.2⁷ POD Data Types

The GC++ABI defines the way in which empty class types are laid out. For the purposes of parameter passing in [AAPCS], a parameter whose type is an empty class shall be treated as if its type were an aggregate with a single member of type unsigned byte.

Note: Of course, the single member has undefined content.

GC++ABI §2.3⁸ Member Pointers

The pointer to member function representation differs from that used by Itanium. See *Representation of pointer to member function* (page 14).

GC++ABI §2.7⁹ Array operator new cookies

Array cookies, when present, are always 8 bytes long and contain both element size and element count (in that order). See *Array construction and destruction* (page 14).

GC++ABI §2.8¹⁰ Initialization guard variables

Static initialization guard variables are 4 bytes long not 8, and there is a different protocol for using them which allows a guard variable to implement a semaphore when used as the target of Arm SWP or LDREX and STREX instructions. See *Guard variables and the one-time construction API* (page 21).

GC++ABI §2.9.1¹¹ Run-Time Type Information (RTTI), General

The target platform ABI specifies whether address equality is required for type_info objects that describe the same type. (The ABI-defined symbol names for type_info objects and their names match the pattern `_ZT{I,S}*).` A C++ system that supports a platform must follow the platform's specification. The GC++ABI gives the correct specification for SVr4-based platforms such as Linux.

⁶ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#limits>

⁷ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#pod>

⁸ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#member-pointers>

⁹ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#array-cookies>

¹⁰ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#guards>

¹¹ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#rtti>

A C++ system must provide implementations of `std::type_info::operator==`, `std::type_info::operator!=`, and `(const std::type_info&)::before` appropriate to the target platform.

These `std::type_info` functions should not be inline by default, as doing so makes the relocatable file platform-specific. A C++ system must provide an option or default (Q-o-I) to force them out of line.

GC++ ABI §3.1.5¹² Constructor return values

This ABI requires C1 and C2 constructors to return *this* (instead of being void functions) so that a C3 constructor can tail call the C1 constructor and the C1 constructor can tail call C2.

Similarly, we require D2 and D1 to return *this* so that D0 need not save and restore *this* and D1 can tail call D2 (if there are no virtual bases). D0 is still a void function.

We do not require thunks to virtual destructors to return *this*. Such a thunk would have to adjust the destructor's result, preventing it from tail calling the destructor, and nullifying any possible saving.

Consequently, only non-virtual calls of D1 and D2 destructors can be relied on to return *this*.

GC++ ABI §3.3.2¹³ One-time construction API

The type of parameters to `__cxa_guard_acquire`, `__cxa_guard_release` and `__cxa_guard_abort` is 'int*' (not '`__int64_t*`'), and use of fields in the guard variable differs. See *Guard variables and the one-time construction API* (page 21).

GC++ ABI §3.3.4¹⁴ Controlling Object Construction Order

`#pragma priority` is not supported. See *Top-level static object construction* (page 21) for details of how global object construction is coordinated.

GC++ ABI §3.3.5.3¹⁵ Runtime API

This ABI defines `__aeabi_atexit` (*Code example for `__aeabi_atexit`* (page 20) and `__aeabi_atexit`), for use in place of `__cxa_atexit`.

It is forbidden for user code to call `__cxa_atexit` or `__aeabi_atexit` directly, or for any call to `__aeabi_atexit` (other than ones from the implementations of the `atexit` library functions) to be executed more than once (*Static object destruction* (page 22)).

GC++ ABI §3.4¹⁶ Demangler API

The demangler is not provided as a library.

GC++ ABI §5.1.5¹⁷ Builtin Types

The `__bf16` is mangled as `u6__bf16`.

GC++ ABI §5.2.2¹⁸ Static Data (new in ABI r2.06)

If a static datum and its guard variable are emitted in the same COMDAT group, the ELF binding [GELF¹⁹] for both symbols must be `STB_GLOBAL`, not `STB_WEAK` as specified in [GCPPABI²⁰]. *ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols* (page 26) justifies this requirement.

GC++ ABI §5.2.3²¹ Virtual Tables and the key function

A compiler selects the key function for a class T when it has read the entire translation unit containing the definition of T. The key function is the textually first, non-inline, non-pure, virtual, member function of T.

¹² <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#return-value-ctor>

¹³ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#once-ctor>

¹⁴ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#ctor-order>

¹⁵ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#dso-dtor-runtime-api>

¹⁶ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#demangler>

¹⁷ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#mangling-builtin>

¹⁸ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#vague-static>

¹⁹ <http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/>

²⁰ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

²¹ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#vague-vtable>

An inline member is not a key function even if it is the first declared inline at the completion of the class definition.

(In contrast, the GC++ABI §5.2.3²² defines the key function to be the textually first, non-inline, non-pure, virtual function identified at completion of the class definition).

In the following example, the key function is T::f.

```
struct T {
    inline virtual void a();      // inline
    virtual void b();            // might be defined inline later...
    virtual void c() { }         // implicitly inline
    virtual void d() = 0;         // pure
    void e();                    // not virtual...
    virtual void f(), g();
};
inline void T::b() { }           // but b is defined to be inline
// End of translation unit... The key function is 'T::f'; GC++ABI chooses T::b;
```

GC++ABI §5.3²³ Unwind Table Location

See ehabi32-section4 of *Exception Handling ABI for the Arm Architecture* [EHABI].

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – a library nothrow new function must not examine its 2nd argument)

Library versions of the following functions *must not* examine their second argument.

```
::operator new(std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&)
::operator new[](std::size_t, const std::nothrow_t&)
```

(The second argument conveys no useful information other than through its presence or absence, which is manifest in the mangling of the name of the function. This ABI therefore allows code generators to use a potentially invalid second argument – for example, whatever value happens to be in R1 – at a point of call).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – library placement new functions must be inline)

We require the library placement allocation functions (§18.4.1.3 of ISO C++) to be inline with these definitions:

```
inline void *operator new(std::size_t, void* __ptr) throw() { return __ptr; }
inline void *operator new[](std::size_t, void* __ptr) throw() { return __ptr; }
```

We do not require the library placement deallocation functions to be inline:

```
void operator delete(void*, void*) throw();
void operator delete[](void*, void*) throw();
```

(They can only be called via exceptions thrown by failing constructors or directly by user code).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI, but would be §2.2²⁴ POD data types)

Pointers to extern “C++” functions and pointers to extern “C” functions are interchangeable if the function types are otherwise identical.

In order to be used by the library helper functions described below, implementations of constructor and destructor functions (complete, sub-object, and allocating) must have a type compatible with:

```
extern "C" void* (*)(void* /* , other argument types if any */);
```

Deleting destructors must have a type compatible with:

²² <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#vague-vtable>

²³ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#unwind>

²⁴ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#pod>


```
extern "C" void (*)(void*);
```

(No section in the generic C++ ABI, but would be §3.3.4²⁵ Controlling Object Construction Order)

Global object construction and destruction are managed in a simplified way under this ABI (see *Static object construction and destruction* (page 21)).

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – DLL symbol visibility and linkage issues)

Inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage (page 22) discusses inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage issues.

(No section in the generic C++ ABI – Namespace and mangling for the `va_list` type) (new in r2.07)

The type `__va_list` is in namespace `std`. The type name of `va_list` therefore mangles to `St9__va_list`.

4.2 Differences in detail

4.2.1 Representation of pointer to member function

The generic C++ ABI [GCPPABI²⁶] specifies that a pointer to member function is a pair of words $\langle ptr, adj \rangle$. The least significant bit of ptr discriminates between (0) the address of a non-virtual member function and (1) the offset in the class's virtual table of the address of a virtual function.

This encoding cannot work for the Arm-Thumb instruction set where code addresses use all 32 bits of ptr .

This ABI specifies that adj contains twice the *this* adjustment, plus 1 if the member function is virtual. The least significant bit of adj then makes exactly the same discrimination as the least significant bit of ptr does for Itanium.

A pointer to member function is NULL when $ptr = 0$ and the least significant bit of adj is zero.

4.2.2 Array construction and destruction

Array cookies

An array cookie is used for heap-allocated arrays of objects with class type where the class has a destructor or the class's *usual (array) deallocation function* [ISO C++ §3.7.3.2] has two arguments, i.e. `T::operator delete(void*, std::size_t)`. Nonetheless, an array cookie is not used if `::operator new[](std::size_t, void*)` is used for the allocation as the user is then responsible for the deallocation and the associated bookkeeping.

When a cookie is needed this ABI always specifies the same cookie type:

```
struct array_cookie {
    std::size_t element_size; // element_size != 0
    std::size_t element_count;
};
```

This is different than the generic C++ ABI which uses a variable sized cookie depending on the alignment of element type of the array being allocated.

Note: Although it's not a particularly useful property, this cookie is usable as a generic C++ cookie when the generic C++ cookie size is 8 bytes.

²⁵ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#ctor-order>

²⁶ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

Both the element size and element count are recorded in the cookie. For example, in the following the element size would be `sizeof(S) = 8` and the element count would be `3 * 5 = 15`.

```
struct S { int a[2]; };
typedef SA S[3];
S* s = new SA[5];
```

Note: The element size can never legally be zero. Finding a zero element size at delete [] time indicates heap corruption.

Array cookie alignment

The array cookie is allocated at an 8-byte aligned address immediately preceding the user's array. Since the cookie size is 8 bytes the user's array is also 8-byte aligned.

Library helper functions

The generic C++ ABI contains some helper functions for array construction and destruction:

```
__cxa_vec_new      __cxa_vec_new2
__cxa_vec_new3     __cxa_vec_ctor
__cxa_vec_dtor     __cxa_vec_cleanup
__cxa_vec_delete   __cxa_vec_delete2
__cxa_vec_delete3  __cxa_vec_ctor
```

Compilers are not required to use these helper functions but runtime libraries must supply them and they must work with the always 8-byte cookies. These functions take pointers to constructors or destructors. Since constructors and destructors conforming to this ABI return *this* ([Summary of differences from and additions to the generic C++ ABI](#) (page 11), ¶§3.1.5 *Constructor return values*, above) the return types of these parameters are `void*` instead of `void`.

The generic C++ ABI gives `__cxa_vec_ctor` and `__cxa_vec_ctor` a `void` return type. This ABI specifies `void*` instead. The value returned is the same as the first parameter – a pointer to the array being constructed. We do not change the return type for `__cxa_vec_dtor` because we provide `__aeabi_vec_dtor` which has the additional advantage of not taking a `padding_size` parameter.

In addition, we define the following new helpers which can be called more efficiently.

```
__aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_new_nocookie
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie_nodtor
__aeabi_vec_new_cookie
__aeabi_vec_dtor
__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie
__aeabi_vec_delete
__aeabi_vec_delete3
__aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor
__aeabi_atexit
```

Again, compilers are not required to use these functions but runtime libraries must supply them.

`__aeabi_vec_dtor` effectively makes `__cxa_vec_dtor` obsolete.

Compilers are encouraged to use the `__aeabi_vec_dtor` instead of `__cxa_vec_dtor` and `__aeabi_vec_delete` instead of `__cxa_vec_delete`. Run-time environments are encouraged to expect this, perhaps implementing `__cxa_vec_delete` in terms of `__aeabi_vec_delete` instead of the other way around.

We define `__aeabi_vec_delete3` but not a corresponding `__aeabi_vec_delete2`. Using that would be less efficient than using `__aeabi_vec_dtor` and calling the `T1::operator delete[]` directly. See note 3 on page 18, below.

`__cxa_vec_ctor` still has uses not covered by `__aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor` and `__aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor`.

Additional helpers for array construction (i.e. `new T[n]`, `__aeabi_vec_new_*`) may be added in future releases of this ABI.

Definitions of the `__aeabi_*` functions are given below in terms of example implementations. It is not required to implement them this way.

```
#include <cstddef> // for ::std::size_t
#include <cxxabi.h> // for __cxa_*

namespace __aeabi_v1 {
    using ::std::size_t;

    // Note: Only the __aeabi_* names are exported.
    // array_cookie, cookie_size, cookie_of, etc. are presented for exposition only.
    // They are not expected to be available to users, but implementers may find them useful.

    struct array_cookie {
        size_t element_size; // element_size != 0
        size_t element_count;
    };
    // The struct array_cookie fields and the arguments element_size and element_count
    // are ordered for convenient use of LDRD/STRD on architecture 5TE and above.

    const size_t cookie_size = sizeof(array_cookie);

    // cookie_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the cookie.

    inline array_cookie& cookie_of(void* user_array)
    {
        return reinterpret_cast<array_cookie*>(user_array)[-1];
    }
    // element_size_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the
    // element_size field of the cookie.

    inline size_t& element_size_of(void* user_array)
    {
        return cookie_of(user_array).element_size;
    }
    // element_count_of() takes a pointer to the user array and returns a reference to the
    // element_count field of the cookie.

    inline size_t& element_count_of(void* user_array)
    {
        return cookie_of(user_array).element_count;
    }
    // user_array_of() takes a pointer to the cookie and returns a pointer to the user array.

    inline void* user_array_of(array_cookie* cookie_address)
    {
        return cookie_address + 1;
    }
    extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor(
        void* user_array,
        void* (*constructor)(void*),
        size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
    { // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

// Note: AEABI mandates that __cxa_vec_ctor return its first argument
return __cxa_vec_ctor(user_array, element_count, element_size, constructor, NULL);
}
// __aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor is like __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor but sets
// cookie fields and returns user_array. The parameters are arranged to make STRD
// usable. Does nothing and returns NULL if cookie is NULL.

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_ctor_cookie_nodtor(
    array_cookie* cookie,
    void* (*constructor)(void*),
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    if (cookie == NULL){ return NULL; }
    else
    {
        cookie->element_size = element_size; cookie->element_count = element_count;
        return __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor(
            user_array_of(cookie), constructor, element_size, element_count);
    }
}

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_ctor_nocookie_nodtor(
    void* user_array_dest,
    void* user_array_src,
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
    void* (*copy_constructor)(void*, void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    // Note: AEABI mandates that __cxa_vec_ctor return its first argument
    return __cxa_vec_ctor(user_array_dest, user_array_src,
        element_count, element_size, copy_constructor, NULL);
}

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie_nodtor(size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    array_cookie* cookie =
        reinterpret_cast<array_cookie*>
            (::operator new[](element_count * element_size + cookie_size));
    cookie->element_size = element_size; cookie->element_count = element_count;
    return user_array_of(cookie);
}

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_nocookie(
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
    void* (*constructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, 0, constructor, NULL);
}

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie_nodtor(
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
    void* (*constructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, cookie_size, constructor, NULL);
}

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_new_cookie(
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count,
    void* (*constructor)(void*),
    void* (*destructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
    return __cxa_vec_new(element_count, element_size, cookie_size, constructor, destructor);
}
// __aeabi_vec_dtor is like __cxa_vec_dtor but has its parameters reordered and returns
// a pointer to the cookie (assuming user_array has one).
// Unlike __cxa_vec_dtor, destructor must not be NULL.
// user_array must not be NULL.

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_dtor(
    void* user_array,
    void* (*destructor)(void*),
    size_t element_size, size_t element_count)
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  __cxa_vec_dtor(user_array, element_count, element_size, destructor);
  return &cookie_of(user_array);
}
// __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie is only used on arrays that have cookies.
// __aeabi_vec_dtor is like __cxa_vec_dtor but returns a pointer to the cookie.
// That is, it takes a pointer to the user array, calls the given destructor on
// each element (from highest index down to zero) and returns a pointer to the cookie.
// Does nothing and returns NULL if cookie is NULL.
// Unlike __cxa_vec_dtor, destructor must not be NULL.
// Exceptions are handled as in __cxa_vec_dtor.
// __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie must not change the element count in the cookie.
// (But it may corrupt the element size if desired.)

extern "C" void* __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // like:
  //   __cxa_vec_dtor(user_array, element_count_of(user_array),
  //                 element_size_of(user_array), destructor);
  return user_array == NULL ? NULL :
         __aeabi_vec_dtor(user_array, destructor,
                        element_size_of(user_array), element_count_of(user_array));
}

extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete(void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // like: __cxa_vec_delete(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
  //                        cookie_size, destructor);
  try {
    ::operator delete[](__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(user_array, destructor));
  } catch (...) {
    if (user_array != NULL) {
      ::operator delete[](&cookie_of(user_array));
    }
    throw;
  }
}

extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete3(
    void* user_array, void* (*destructor)(void*), void (*dealloc)(void*, size_t))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // like: __cxa_vec_delete3(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
  //                        cookie_size, destructor, dealloc);
  if (user_array != NULL) {
    size_t size =
      element_size_of(user_array) * element_count_of(user_array) + cookie_size;
    void* array_cookie;
    try {
      array_cookie = __aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(user_array, destructor);
    } catch (...) {
      try {
        (*dealloc)(&cookie_of(user_array), size);
      } catch (...) {
        std::terminate();
      }
      throw;
    }
    (*dealloc)(array_cookie, size);
  }
}

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

    }
}
extern "C" void __aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor(
    void* user_array, void (*dealloc)(void*, size_t))
{ // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  // like: __cxa_vec_delete3(user_array, element_size_of(user_array),
  //                        cookie_size, 0, dealloc);
  if (user_array != NULL) {
      size_t size =
          element_size_of(user_array) * element_count_of(user_array) + cookie_size;
      (*dealloc)(&cookie_of(user_array), size);
  }
}
extern "C" int __aeabi_atexit(void* object, void (*destroyer)(void*), void* dso_handle)
{ // atexit(f) should call __aeabi_atexit (NULL, f, NULL)
  // The meaning of this function is given by the following model implementation...
  return __cxa_atexit(destroyer, object, dso_handle); // 0 ==> OK; non-0 ==> failed
}
} // namespace __aeabi_v1

```

Code examples for the delete expression

Section 5.3.5 of the ISO C++ standard discusses the delete expression.

The code needed to implement delete [] p is tabulated in *Implementation of delete [] p* (page 20), below. It depends on:

- The static element type of p (referred to as T below),
- Which operator delete [] is being used for this de-allocation: either ::operator delete(void*) or T1::operator delete(void*), where T1 is T or a base class of T.
- Whether a cookie is needed for arrays of T (see *Array cookies* (page 14)).
- *Has dtor*, which means T is a class type with a non-trivial destructor [ISO C++ §12.4]. In cases where there is no cookie there must be no dtor.

Table 4.1: Implementation of delete [] p

operator delete []	Needs cookie	Has dtor	Implementation of delete [] p / ::delete [] p	Note
::operator delete[](void*)	N	-	::operator delete[](p)	
::operator delete[](void*)	Y	N	::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p))	2
		Y	__aeabi_vec_delete(p, &T::~~T{D1})	
T1::operator delete[] (void*)	Y	N	T1::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p))	
		Y	T1::operator delete[] (__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(p, &T::~~T{D1}))	
T1::operator delete[] (void*, std::size_t)	Y	N	__aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor(p, &T1::operator delete[])	3
		Y	__aeabi_vec_delete4(p, &T::~~T{D1}, &T1::operator delete[])	4

Note:

- Other operator delete[]s, such as operator delete[](void*, const std::nothrow&) or operator delete[](void*, void*), can be called explicitly by a user, but can only be called implicitly when a new array expression throws an exception during allocation or construction.
- This is an unusual case that can only be reached by using ::delete[], for example:

```
struct T { static void operator delete(void*, std::size_t); } *p;
::delete[] p;
```

- __aeabi_vec_delete3_nodtor(p, &T1::operator delete[]) could also be done this way:

```
T1::operator delete[](&cookie_of(p), sizeof(T)*element_count_of(p))
```

- __aeabi_vec_delete3(p, &T::~~T{D1}, &T1::operator delete[]) could also be done this way:

```
T1::operator delete[]
(__aeabi_vec_dtor_cookie(p, &T::~~T{D1}), sizeof(T)*element_count_of(p))
```

Code example for __aeabi_atexit

Because constructors conforming to this ABI return *this*, construction of a top-level static object and the registration of its destructor can be done as:

```
__aeabi_atexit(T::T{C1}(&t), &T::~~T{D1}, &__dso_handle);
```

This saves an instruction compared with calling `__cxa_atexit` directly, and allows a smart linker to calculate how much space to allocate statically to registering top-level object destructions (*Static object destruction* (page 22)).

4.2.3 Guard variables and the one-time construction API

Guard variables

To support the potential use of initialization guard variables as semaphores that are the target of Arm SWP and LDREX/STREX synchronizing instructions we define a static initialization guard variable to be a 4-byte aligned, 4-byte word with the following inline access protocol.

```
#define INITIALIZED 1
// inline guard test. . .
if ((obj_guard & INITIALIZED) != INITIALIZED) {
    // TST obj_guard, #1; BNE already_initialized
    if (__cxa_guard_acquire(&obj_guard)) {
        . . .
    }
}
```

Usually, a guard variable should be allocated in the same data section as the object whose construction it guards.

One-time construction API

```
extern "C" int __cxa_guard_acquire(int *guard_object);
```

If the guarded object has not yet been initialized, this function returns 1. Otherwise it returns 0.

If it returns 1, a semaphore might have been claimed and associated with *guard_object*, and either `__cxa_guard_release` or `__cxa_guard_abort` must be called with the same argument to release the semaphore.

```
extern "C" void __cxa_guard_release(int *guard_object);
```

This function is called on completing the initialization of the guarded object. It sets the least significant bit of *guard_object* (allowing subsequent inline checks to succeed) and releases any semaphore associated with it.

```
extern "C" void __cxa_guard_abort(int *guard_object);
```

This function is called if any part of the initialization of the guarded object terminates by throwing an exception. It releases any semaphore associated with *guard_object*.

4.2.4 Static object construction and destruction

Top-level static object construction

The compiler is responsible for sequencing the construction of top-level static objects defined in a translation unit in accordance with the requirements of the C++ standard. The run-time environment (helper-function library) sequences the initialization of one translation unit after another. The global *constructor vector* provides the interface between these agents as follows.

- Each translation unit provides a fragment of the constructor vector in an ELF section called `.init_array` of type `SHT_INIT_ARRAY` (=0xE) and section flags `SHF_ALLOC + SHF_WRITE`.
- Each element of the vector contains the address of a function of type `extern "C" void (* const)(void)` that, when called, performs part or all of the global object construction for the translation unit. Producers must treat `.init_array` sections as if they were read-only.

The appropriate entry for an element referring to, say, `__sti_file` that constructs the global static objects in `filecpp`, is 0 relocated by `R_ARM_TARGET1(__sti_file)`. Usually, `R_ARM_TARGET1` is interpreted by a static linker as `R_ARM_ABS32` (for details, see the [Note] below).

- Run-time support code iterates through the global constructor vector in increasing address order calling each identified initialization function in order. This ABI does not specify a way to control the order in which translation units are initialized.

Note: In some execution environments, constructor vector entries contain self-relative references, which cost an additional ADD in the library code that traverses the vector, but save dynamic relocations, giving a smaller executable size and faster start-up when an executable must be dynamically linked and relocated. In these environments, a static linker interprets `R_ARM_TARGET1` as `R_ARM_REL32` rather than as `R_ARM_ABS32`. In some execution environments, constructor vector entries will be allocated to a read-only execution segment.

Static object destruction

The sequencing of static object destruction in C++ requires destructions to be registered dynamically in the order of object construction (*Code example for `__aeabi_atexit`* (page 20)), correctly interleaved with any calls to the `atexit` library function(s).

This ABI requires static object destruction to be registered by calling `__aeabi_atexit` (*Code example for `__aeabi_atexit`* (page 20) and [`__aeabi_atexit`]).

Implementations of the generic C++ ABI helper function `__cxa_atexit` usually allocate elements of the list of static objects to be destroyed dynamically, but some execution environments require static allocation. To support allocating this list statically, compilers must ensure that:

- Static object destructions are registered using `__aeabi_atexit`, *not* `__cxa_atexit`.
- Each call to `__aeabi_atexit` registers the destruction of the data objects constructed by the calling code. (Thus each static call will be executed at most once, and table-driven registration of several destructions by a single static call to `__aeabi_atexit` is forbidden).

The maximum number of destructions that can be registered by a relocatable file is then the number of sites calling `__aeabi_atexit`. A smart linker can count the number of sites and allocate space for the list accordingly.

The maximum number of calls to `__aeabi_atexit` on behalf of the `atexit` library functions is bounded by the implementation definition. The C++ standard requires at least 32 calls to be supported.

It is Q-o-I whether a linker and matching run-time library can allocate the list statically. So is the behaviour if the library calls `__aeabi_atexit` (e.g. on behalf of `atexit`) more times than a static allocation supports.

4.2.5 Inter-DLL symbol visibility and linkage

Strictly, only subsection *Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols* (page 24) of this section contributes to this ABI. Subsections *Background* (page 22), *Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import* (page 23), *Symbol visibility for C++ entities* (page 24), *Vague linkage* (page 24), and *One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage* (page 25) give background, terminology, and rationale, but do not form part of this standard.

Background

An SVr4 (Unix or Linux) dynamic shared object (DSO) is best thought of as a library, rather than a module with a controlled interface. By default, every global symbol defined in a DSO is visible to its clients. When a program is linked dynamically with a DSO, the linkage semantics are the same as when

it is linked statically with the corresponding static library. (We ignore here DSOs loaded dynamically by `dlopen()`, for which there is no static counterpart). In this environment the C++ ABI need not be aware of the existence of DSOs, and, indeed, the generic C++ ABI hardly mentions them.

In contrast, a dynamic link library (DLL) is much more a module with a controlled interface. Historically, the visibility of symbols between DLLs has been controlled explicitly using `import` and `export` directives to the static linker or source code annotations such as the `__declspec(dllexport)` and `__declspec(dllimport)` familiar to Microsoft Windows developers. By default, global symbols defined in a DLL are invisible outside of it.

In C, there is a one to one correspondence between source entities with external linkage and global symbols. There are no implicit global symbols other than compiler helper functions. It is, therefore, tractable to control visibility explicitly (using a variety of Q-o-I mechanisms).

In C++ there are several implicit entities associated with classes (v-tables, RTTI, etc) that have corresponding C++ ABI-specified global symbols, but there is no simple, universally accepted model of controlling their visibility between DLLs. This ABI specifies a simple binary interface that promotes inter-operation between independently compiled relocatable files while remaining faithful to the DLL-based expectation of explicit visibility control.

A further complication is that, at the time of writing, not all DLL-based execution environments encompassed by the *ABI for the Arm Architecture* are capable of resolving vague linkage (*Vague linkage* (page 24)) dynamically. This means that they cannot always provide a single address for entities required to have a single definition (*One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage* (page 25)).

Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import

At the binary interface, the scope of an ELF global symbol is restricted by giving it a non default visibility attribute. Specifically, `STV_HIDDEN` restricts the visibility of a symbol to the executable file that defines it.

In effect, `STV_DEFAULT` implements DLL export and `STV_HIDDEN` implements DLL no export.

The source annotation denoting export is Q-o-I, but we expect `__declspec([no]dllexport)` to be widely used.

Exporting a function that can be inlined should force the creation and export of an out-of-line copy of it. (See also *Importing* (page 24)). . . , below).

When compiling for an SVr4-based environment, symbols with global binding should have default visibility by default (unless source annotation or tool options dictate otherwise). The C++ ABI does not change this.

When compiling for a DLL-based environment, we start from the position that symbols with global binding should have `STV_HIDDEN` visibility by default (unless source annotation or tool options dictate otherwise). This C++ ABI modifies this starting point as described in *Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols* (page 24).

In some DLL models, addressing an imported datum requires an additional level of indirection compared with addressing a locally defined one. In these models, DLL import makes a compile-time distinction between a reference to a datum exported by some other DLL and one defined by this DLL.

Aside

Under the SVr4 DSO model, all global symbols are addressed indirectly, whether imported or not, so no source annotation is needed. This supports pre-emption of any DSO definition at dynamic link time and allows vague linkage to be implemented dynamically.

The source annotation denoting import is Q-o-I, but we expect `__declspec([no]dllimport)` to be widely used.

It is Q-o-I whether importing a definition also exports it, whether exporting a reference also imports it, and how these annotations interact with compiler steering options. Nevertheless, in ELF, restricting the visibility of an undefined symbol restricts the visibility of a definition that matches it at static link time.

Importing a function that can be inlined should suppress the creation of an out-of-line copy of it, the imported reference being used instead. (See also [\[Exporting \(page 23\)\]](#)..., above).

Symbol visibility for C++ entities

Many C++ entities with linkage map one to one via C++ ABI-defined name mangling [\[GCPPABI²⁷\]](#) to corresponding ELF symbols with global binding. Examples include many data objects and class member functions. In principle, the export of these entities can be controlled explicitly by source annotation, just as in C.

Some C++ ABI-defined global symbols are associated uniquely with an entity of the above sort. Examples include static data local to a function that might be inlined and the initialization guard variables associated with it. In these cases, symbol visibility must follow that of the export controlled C++ entity (here, the function itself).

Remaining C++ ABI-defined global symbols relate to class impedimenta – virtual tables and RTTI. Under the generic C++ ABI they are the global symbols `_ZT{V,T,I,S}type`, where *type* is a mangled class name.

Vague linkage

Some C++ entities (including class impedimenta, out of line copies of inline functions, and the static data and string literals belonging to them) can have *vague linkage*.

Entities with vague linkage are defined in many relocatable files linked to form an executable file. Duplication is avoided using COMDAT groups [\[GCPPABI²⁸\]](#), so there is at most one definition in a DLL, DSO, or executable file.

To ensure a single definition program wide requires pre-emption of all but one definition at dynamic link time. In turn this requires that references to a DLL-local definition can be relocated at dynamic link time.

Class impedimenta and some other class entities have vague linkage unless the class has a *key function*. The translation unit containing the definition of the key function provides a unique point of definition for the impedimenta. Otherwise, definitions must be emitted wherever they are used.

Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols

For terminology, please refer to [Symbol visibility, DLL export, and DLL import \(page 23\)](#), [Symbol visibility for C++ entities \(page 24\)](#), and [Vague linkage \(page 24\)](#).

Among C++ entities with linkage, only classes are exported by default (in the absence of Q-o-I source annotations and compiler options). No ELF symbol directly represents a class.

If a C++ ABI-defined global (CAG) symbol *Y* names an entity associated with a C++ function or data object *X*:

- *Y* must be exported if, and only if, *X* is exported.
- *Y* must be addressed as imported if *X* is addressed as imported.

If a CAG symbol *Y* names one of the impedimenta associated with an exported class *X*:

- If *X* has a *key function* *K*:
- *Y* is exported from the DLL containing the translation unit that defines *K*.
- *Y* is addressed as imported in every other DLL containing a translation unit that refers to *X* and uses *Y*.

²⁷ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

²⁸ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

- Otherwise, if X has no *key function*:
- Y is both exported from, and addressed as imported in, each DLL that refers to X and uses Y¹.

Strictly, as far as this ABI is concerned, the control of export is Q-o-I. However, to foster inter-operation between compilers we require that:

- A class should be exported unless explicitly tagged otherwise (e.g. by class `__declspec(nodllexport)` X...).
- A member of an implicitly exported class should be exported only if explicitly tagged as such (e.g. by `__declspec(dllexport)` C::f(...) {...}).
- If a class is *explicitly* exported (e.g. by `__declspec(dllexport)` class X...) and no class member is explicitly exported then all class members should be exported.
- These rules apply to each class individually. Explicitly exporting a class X does not implicitly export any base class of X, or any class derived from X.

Some names, despite formally having external linkage, are not usable outside the translation unit in which they are declared. Names to which this applies

- Are declared in unnamed namespaces.
- Have external C++ linkage but *not* extern "C" linkage.

Whether such names have local or global binding is Q-o-I but they must not have dynamic linkage.

One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage

The last rule given in the first half of *Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols* (page 24) (“[Otherwise], ...”) ensures that a DLL-based system capable of resolving vague linkage dynamically can give unique (within the program) addresses to the impedimenta associated with a class that has no key function.

As observed in *Vague linkage* (page 24), other C++ entities suffer from vague linkage, but, ultimately, these are all functions, or associated with functions. If a system cannot resolve vague linkage dynamically, a few simple rules that can be backed by compiler warnings will serve to alert programmers to most potential problems with multiple definitions.

For example, a compiler might warn of the following in relation to a function with vague linkage.

- Taking its address (it will yield different results in different DLLs).
- Using function local static data (they will be different data in different DLLs).
- Taking the address of a string literal, or passing a string literal to other than a library function (the literal will have a different address in different DLLs, and this might matter if the address rather than the value is stored).

In short, it is feasible and reasonable for a system to avoid these problems through its programming standards.

However, usage of the class impedimenta cannot be regulated through programming conventions, so we need different rules for them. Specifically, we must drop the requirement that one definition should mean one address. This appears to have no consequence for virtual tables (symbols matching `_ZT{V,T}type`), as nothing seems to depend on the address of a virtual table being unique, but it matters for RTTI (symbols matching `_ZTI{I,S}type`).

This runs contrary to §2.9.1²⁹ of [GCPPABI³⁰] which states:

¹ See *One definition rule considerations in the absence of dynamic vague linkage* (page 25) for a discussion of this rule and possible optimizations of it.

²⁹ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#rtti>

³⁰ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

- It is intended that two `type_info` pointers point to equivalent type descriptions if and only if the pointers are equal. An implementation must satisfy this constraint, e.g. by using symbol preemption, COMDAT sections, or other mechanisms.

Fortunately, we can ignore this requirement without violating the C++ standard provided that:

- `type_info::operator==` and `type_info::operator!=` compare the strings returned by `type_info::name()`, not just the pointers to the RTTI objects and their names.
- No reliance is placed on the address returned by `type_info::name()`.
(That is, `t1.name() != t2.name()` does not imply that `t1 != t2`).

The first condition effectively requires that these operators (and `type_info::before()`) must be called out of line, and that the execution environment must provide appropriate implementations of them. A relocatable file built this way is oblivious to whether or not RTTI objects have unique addresses.

Finally we need to revisit the last rule of *Inter-DLL visibility rules for C++ ABI-defined symbols* (page 24) (“[Otherwise], ...”). It states:

- If X is exported but has no key function, Y is both exported from, and addressed as imported in, each DLL that refers to X and uses Y.

For any Y for which the execution environment waives the one address rule, these requirements are pointless. The exported Y will never be used, and because the system cannot resolve vague linkage dynamically, there is no need to address the entity named by Y as imported.

Import potentially affects code generation, so this involves a compile time decision. However, we can note that a system that imports indirectly – using an extra indirection to access imported data – can in principle resolve vague linkage dynamically, while one that does not in general cannot. (But note that SVr4 applications do precisely this by using copy relocations and a local copy of the data that pre-empts any DSO copy). So, in practice, code generation is unlikely to be changed unless a system capable of resolving vague linkage dynamically chooses not to do so for class impedimenta, or unless code is generated differently for applications and DLLs.

Export does not affect code generation directly – merely the visibility of symbols and, hence, the efficiency of export tables. So it is desirable to drop the export requirement in environments that waive the one address rule. Doing this at compile time restricts the portability of the relocatable file. However it is easy to do the restriction at static link time, as follows.

- For any global symbol Y whose name matches `_ZT{V,T,S,I}type`, if Y is defined in a section belonging to a COMDAT group, reduce Y’s visibility to `STV_HIDDEN`.

(If Y names an entity whose linkage is not vague, it will not be defined in a COMDAT group).

Whether implemented at compile time or link time, support by tool chains is Q-o-I.

4.2.6 ELF binding of static data guard variable symbols

The generic C++ standard [GCPPABI³¹] states at the end of §5.2.2³²:

Some objects with static storage duration have associated guard variables used to ensure that they are initialized only once (see 3.3.2). If the object is emitted using a COMDAT group, the guard variable must be too. It is suggested that it be emitted in the same COMDAT group as the associated data object, but it may be emitted in its own COMDAT group, identified by its name. In either case, it must be weak.

In effect the generic standard permits a producer to generate one of two alternative structures. Either:

```
COMDAT Group (Variable Name) {
    Defines Variable Name      // ELF binding STB_GLOBAL, mangled name
    Defines Guard Variable Name // ELF binding STB_WEAK, mangled name ...
}
```

³¹ <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html>

³² <http://itanium-cxx-abi.github.io/cxx-abi/abi.html#vague-static>

Or:

```
COMDAT Group (Variable Name) {
    Defines Variable Name          // ELF binding STB_GLOBAL, mangled name
}
+
COMDAT Group (Guard Variable Name) {
    Defines Guard Variable Name    // ELF binding STB_WEAK, mangled name
}
```

A link step involving multiple groups of the first kind causes no difficulties. A linker must retain only one copy of the group and there will be one definition of *Variable Name* and one weak definition of *Guard Variable Name*.

A link step involving pairs of groups of the second kind also causes no difficulties. A linker must retain one copy of each group so there will be one definition of *Variable Name* and one weak definition of *Guard Variable Name*.

A link step involving a group of the first kind and a pair of groups of the second kind generates two sub-cases.

- If the linker discards the group that defines two symbols there is no problem.
- If the linker retains the group that defines both *Variable Name* and *Guard Variable Name* it must nonetheless retain the group called *Guard Variable Name*. There are now two definitions of *Guard Variable Name* with ELF binding *STB_WEAK*.

In this second case there is no problem provided the linker picks one of the definitions.

Unfortunately, [GELF³³] does not specify how linkers must process multiple weak definitions when there is no non-weak definition to override them. If a linker faults duplicate weak definitions there will be a functional failure.

This ABI requires the ELF binding of *Guard Variable Name* in the first structure to be *STB_GLOBAL*.

The rules codified in [GELF³⁴] then make all three linking scenarios well defined and it becomes possible to link the output of compilers such as *armcc* that choose the first structure with the output of those such as *gcc* that choose the second without relying on linker behavior that the generic ELF standard leaves unspecified.

³³ <http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/>

³⁴ <http://www.sco.com/developers/gabi/>