

Financial Advisor

December 2014

U.S. Taxes for Canadians with U.S. assets

U.S. Gift, Estate and Generation Skipping Transfer Tax can affect Canadians who don't even live in the United States. This article examines how these taxes may affect Canadians, and some strategies for dealing with them.

Introduction

Few countries seem more alike than Canada and the United States, and few countries have shared the same friendly relations that Canada and the United States have enjoyed for so long. As a result, many citizens and companies from both countries have crossed the border to live, work, invest and do business. One result of this relatively easy access to the other country is that many Canadians may find themselves unexpectedly subject to the U.S. tax system.

For example, Canadians may be subject to U.S. taxes on transfers of U.S. property, such as gift taxes while they're alive, estate taxes on their deaths, or Generation Skipping Transfer Tax (GSTT) if they transfer property to grandchildren or great grandchildren. This bulletin deals with some of the transfer tax issues that Canadians may face if they own U.S. assets.

U.S. gift tax

The Internal Revenue Code (IRC) taxes transfers of property where the donor doesn't expect "to receive something of at least equal value in return."¹ The tax applies to pure gifts, where the donor receives nothing in return, and to partial gifts, where the donor receives something of less value than what they gave.

Gift and estate tax applies to three classes of people:

1. U.S. citizens, regardless of their residency
2. U.S. residents, regardless of their citizenship
3. Anyone who owns "U.S. situs property", regardless of their residency or citizenship

¹ IRS Publication 559, "Survivors, Executors and Administrators," January 31, 2014, at <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p559.pdf>.

The definition of U.S. situs property differs for gift and estate tax purposes. For gift tax purposes it includes real estate located in the U.S., like vacation homes, and tangible assets located in the U.S., like a car licensed and garaged in the U.S. (IRC §2511(a)).

U.S. situs property for gift tax purposes doesn't include intangible assets like U.S. stocks, bonds, mutual funds, or bank, brokerage and trust accounts, even if the custodians for those assets and accounts are located in the U.S. (IRC §2501(a)(2)).

There are exemptions to the gift tax rules. There is no gift tax on gifts the donor makes to:

- their U.S. citizen spouse (IRC §2523(a))
- their non-citizen spouse up to US\$147,000 annually (IRC §2523(i))²
- anyone else up to \$14,000 per recipient annually (IRC §2503(b))³

Gifts that exceed the \$147,000 and \$14,000 limits are called taxable gifts; those within the limits aren't taxable. The gift tax exemptions apply only to gifts of a "present interest", meaning that the recipient must be able to use the gift immediately on receiving it (IRC §2503(b)).

Gift and estate tax rates are the same, starting at 18% and rising to a 40% top rate. The top rate applies to gifts made during life and at death of more than \$1 million (IRC §2001(c)). The calculation of gift tax is cumulative, and forces individuals to include prior year gifts in the tax calculation for current gifts. Including prior year gifts in the tax calculation forces the taxation of current and future gifts to occur at higher rates. Essentially, prior gifts permanently occupy the lower rungs of the tax ladder, leaving only the higher rungs for current and future gifts. If the value of all the gifts an individual has made over their lifetime exceeds \$1 million, future gifts and transfers at death will be taxed at the 40% rate.

A tax credit, called the unified credit, lets American citizens and residents eliminate or reduce gift and estate tax. The credit is indexed to the rate of inflation. For 2015 the credit eliminates up to \$2,113,800 in tax, allowing a U.S. citizen or resident to pass up to \$5.43 million in wealth tax-free during life or at death. If they use the unified credit to shelter gifts made in earlier years, that amount of the credit isn't available in later years. And if they use the unified credit to eliminate gift tax, the credit isn't available to reduce or eliminate estate tax. If, for example, someone dying in 2015 had made taxable lifetime gifts exceeding \$5.43 million, their taxable estate would be subject to a 40% tax with no unified credit available to reduce any of that tax.

As we will discuss below, the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty (the Treaty) gives Canadian citizen/residents access to the unified credit for estate tax purposes in the same proportion that their U.S. situs assets bears to their world-wide assets.

Capital gains and gifts

U.S. gift tax rules can cause problems when a Canadian makes a gift of U.S. situs property with unrealized capital gains. Generally under U.S. gift tax law, if a donor gives property with unrealized capital gains the donor doesn't have to treat the gift as a disposition, and doesn't have to include the capital gain in income. Instead, the recipient acquires the property with the same adjusted cost base in the asset (so with the same latent capital gains tax liability) as the donor (IRC §1015). When the recipient sells the asset they'll pay capital gains tax on growth that occurred while they and the donor owned the gifted asset. Canada, on the other hand, generally deems a gift to be a transfer that forces the donor to realize the capital gain on the asset when the gift is made. Because different people are paying capital gains tax at different times, there's a potential for double taxation on that part of the capital gain accumulated while the donor owned the asset.

To address this problem, Article XIII-7 of the Treaty lets the donor elect to be treated as if they had sold and repurchased the asset just before giving it. This election accelerates realization of the capital gain for U.S. tax purposes. It results in the donor having to pay U.S. and Canadian capital gains tax on the gains accumulated to the time the gift was made. But a Canadian taxpayer may use foreign tax credits to eliminate or reduce any double taxation that may result. When the recipient disposes of the asset, they pay capital gains tax only on the gains accumulated while they owned the asset.

² 2015 limit, indexed to inflation. All amounts are expressed in U.S. dollars unless noted otherwise.

³ 2015 limit, indexed to inflation.

However, a double tax issue remains, which the Treaty does not address. A donor could pay U.S. gift tax and Canadian capital gains tax without any foreign tax credit available to reduce the double taxation. The Treaty addresses this double tax issue in the sections that deal with property transfers at death, but not during life.

U.S. estate tax

The U.S. levies an estate tax, calculated on the fair market value of all property the deceased passed at death, with deductions for items like debts, funeral costs, final medical expenses and charitable donations. The tax rate is the same as discussed above on gifts. U.S. citizens and residents may use the unified credit to reduce or eliminate their exposure to this tax. The size of the credit is the same, and any part of the credit used to reduce or eliminate gift tax will be unavailable to reduce or eliminate estate tax.

Those who are not U.S. citizens or residents are subject to U.S. estate tax only on the value of their U.S. situs assets (IRC §2103). An important difference between gift and estate tax is that the definition of U.S. situs assets includes intangible assets, like the following:

- Shares in U.S. corporations (IRC §2104). This rule catches shares in U.S. corporations that the deceased owned outright or in a brokerage account, and shares owned in the deceased's RRSP. But it doesn't apply to mutual funds the deceased owned, even if the mutual fund owned shares in U.S. corporations, regardless of whether the mutual fund is an RRSP, a RRIF or is non-registered.⁴
- U.S. pension plans like 401(k) plans and Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs).
- Transfers of U.S. situs property made within 3 years of death (IRC §2104(b)).
- Debt obligations issued by a person, institution or government (federal, state or municipal) of the United States (IRC §2104(c)).

Some intangible assets are exempt from inclusion in the non-resident's estate, including:

- Life insurance death benefits paid on the death of a non-resident/non-citizen (IRC §2105(a)).
- Bank deposits and money earning interest held by life insurance companies, where the interest earned isn't effectively connected with a trade or business carried on in the United States (IRC §2105(b)).

An important feature of the estate tax for Canadians is that U.S. situs assets owned jointly with right of survivorship are included in the estate at full value when the deceased joint owner isn't a U.S. citizen.

A non-resident/non-citizen is entitled to a \$13,000 estate tax credit, which exempts their estates from estate tax on up to \$60,000 in U.S. situs assets (IRC §2102(b)), and exempts their executor from having to file a U.S. estate tax return.⁵ However, there may be reasons for filing a return anyway, like locking in date-of-death fair market values for estate assets. Executors should speak with their tax and legal advisors about whether they should file a return when the deceased's U.S. situs assets are below the \$60,000 threshold.

If the value of a Canadian citizen/resident's U.S. situs assets exceeds \$60,000, their executor will need to file an estate tax return. But the estate may not have to pay estate tax, depending on the value that the deceased's worldwide assets bears to their U.S. situs assets, on who receives those assets, and on the provisions of the Treaty. Under the Treaty, Canadians with an exposure to U.S. estate tax may be able to partly benefit from the unified credit available to U.S. citizens. The credit is based on the value that the deceased's U.S. situs assets bears to the value of their worldwide estate. For example, if 50% of the value of a Canadian's assets were U.S. situs assets, only 50% of the credit would be available (Treaty, XXIX B-2).

⁴ IRS Chief Counsel Memorandum 201003013, dated January 22, 2010, at <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-wd/1003013.pdf>. This guidance may also apply to registered retirement income funds (RRIFs) though RRIFs weren't discussed.

⁵ See Instructions to IRS Form 706-NA, United States Estate (and Generation-Skipping Transfer) Tax Return – Estate of nonresident not a citizen of the United States, "Who Must File".

Example:

To better understand the impact of the U.S. estate tax on a Canadian citizen/resident, let's look at the following example of a Canadian leaving an estate in 2015 worth \$7,000,000.⁶

U.S.-situs assets	Fair market value on date of death
Shares of U.S. corporations	\$1,340,000
Condominium in Florida	\$600,000
Boat in Florida	\$60,000
Total	\$2,000,000
Non-U.S. world-wide assets	Fair market value on date of death
House	\$960,000
Household furnishings	\$25,000
Vehicles	\$65,000
Rental properties	\$700,000
Non-registered Canadian equity mutual fund	\$450,000
Non-registered Canadian stock and bond portfolio	\$700,000
Cash and cash equivalents	\$100,000
Life insurance policy death benefit	\$1,000,000
Present value of defined benefit survivor's pension	\$1,000,000
Total	\$5,000,000
Total asset value (U.S. situs and world-wide)	\$7,000,000

The Treaty requires a Canadian to calculate their world-wide estate according to U.S. estate tax rules (Treaty, XXIX B-2). This produces results that may surprise many Canadians. For example, life insurance death benefits on policies the individual personally owns on their own life are included as estate assets, even if the death benefit isn't payable to the estate. And the present value of the income to a survivor from a deceased's pension or annuity is also included as an estate asset. An estate's value for U.S. estate tax purposes is generally the value of what other people receive from the deceased, not necessarily what the deceased owned just before death. The estate's value may be more than the deceased's net worth immediately before death.

U.S. estate tax on U.S. situs assets	Tax
Tentative estate tax on first \$1,000,000	\$345,800
Tentative estate tax on remaining \$1,000,000 at 40%	\$400,000
Total tentative estate tax	\$745,800
Prorated unified credit ($\$2,113,800 \times (\$2,000,000 / \$7,000,000)$)	\$603,943
U.S. estate tax payable	\$141,857

If any part of the estate is left to the deceased's spouse (also a Canadian citizen and resident), an additional marital estate tax credit is available under the Treaty (Treaty, XXIX B-3). The credit is the lesser of the prorated unified credit (\$603,943 in this case) and the amount of estate tax assessed (\$141,857). In this case the credit eliminates estate tax on the death of the first spouse. When the second spouse dies, there may still be an estate tax issue, depending on whether that spouse still owns any U.S. situs assets.

The tax result is different for a U.S. citizen or resident:

U.S. estate tax on world-wide assets	Tax
Tentative estate tax on first \$1,000,000	\$345,800
Tentative estate tax on remaining \$6,000,000 at 40%	\$2,400,000
Total tentative estate tax	\$2,745,800
Unified credit	\$2,113,800
US estate tax payable	\$632,000

⁶ The example is simplified, and is an approximation. It assumes that no taxable gifts have been made during the deceased's lifetime. An executor would need to consult with a tax professional when completing an estate tax return.

In the example of a deceased U.S. citizen, if the estate were left entirely to their U.S. citizen spouse, the unlimited marital deduction would apply, eliminating estate tax at the first spouse's death (IRC §§2056 and 2106(a)(3)). On the second spouse's death, that spouse's executor could use both spouses' unified credits to eliminate estate tax at that time. But the unified credit on the first spouse's death can't be adjusted for inflation (IRC §2010(c)(4)).

Relief for small estates

If a Canadian citizen/resident's worldwide estate is worth less than \$1.2 million, U.S. estate tax applies only to U.S. situs real property and to personal property that's part of a business in the United States (Treaty, XXIXB-8 and XIII). The tax won't apply to non-business personal property or to intangible property.

Capital gains and estate tax

Just as a Canadian citizen/resident could have a capital gains tax problem with gift tax, they could have a capital gains tax problem with estate tax. Generally, under U.S. estate tax rules the adjusted cost base in capital assets owned at death is increased to fair market value (IRC §1014). This rule deals with the potential for double taxation under the U.S. income and estate tax systems: capital gains are forgiven at death for income tax purposes, but the entire value of the asset may be subject to estate tax. Under Canadian law there's a deemed disposition of all assets at death, with half of any capital gains included in the deceased's final tax return. A U.S. situs asset could therefore be subject to both American estate tax and Canadian capital gains tax.

The Treaty provides some relief. The executor may claim a foreign tax credit. The credit is for any U.S. federal or state estate tax imposed on the disposition of an asset up to the amount of tax payable on its disposition under Canadian law (Treaty, XXIX B-6). Paragraph 6(a)(i) provides a credit for U.S. estate tax on U.S. situs real estate and on personal property used in a business in the U.S. Paragraph 6(a)(ii) provides relief from double taxation arising from all other U.S. situs property when the size of the estate exceeds \$1.2 million (calculated according to U.S. law). These provisions dovetail with the provisions in paragraph 8 granting tax relief for small estates.

Some provinces allow a foreign tax credit similar to the federal government's, which could further reduce the estate's Canadian income tax liability, and further reduce the potential for double taxation.

As discussed above, this provision applies to estate tax only. The Treaty doesn't let a donor reduce the amount of gift tax imposed on a gift by the amount of tax payable on its disposition under Canadian law.

State inheritance and death taxes

Many but not all states impose a death tax. Some, like Virginia, impose no death tax of any kind. Others, like Connecticut, impose an estate tax that parallels the federal tax system. Before 2005, for those states that based their death tax on the federal model, federal estate tax law allowed a credit for state death taxes. Many states harmonized their estate tax regimes with the federal regime to use the entire credit. Effectively, state estate taxes were "paid for" with the credit.

After 2005 the federal credit was eliminated in favour of a deduction, which drastically reduced the revenue that states would receive. To preserve their revenue streams many states "decoupled" their death tax regimes from the federal estate tax law. A full discussion of state death tax laws is beyond the scope of this bulletin, but a deceased Canadian's estate may also be subject to a separate state death tax, depending on which states their assets are located.

Seven states impose an inheritance tax. An inheritance tax is the inverse of an estate tax. An estate tax imposes a tax on the estate of a deceased based on the value of what the deceased transferred to others. An inheritance tax imposes a tax on a person who receives assets at someone else's death. If a beneficiary lives in one of the seven states that impose an inheritance tax, the beneficiary could be subject to that tax.⁷ Since the tax applies to the beneficiary, a Canadian citizen/resident's estate plans could be affected by this tax even if the Canadian citizen/resident had no U.S. situs assets at death. One beneficial aspect of the inheritance tax is that a life insurance policy death benefit isn't subject to inheritance tax in any of the seven states that impose the tax.

⁷ Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Maryland, Nebraska, New Jersey and Pennsylvania.

Generation skipping transfer tax (GSTT)

After the United States introduced its estate and gift tax regimes wealthy American families realized that they could reduce the tax owing on transfers within their families. They did this by passing property directly to grandchildren or great grandchildren at death. The family could eliminate an opportunity for the federal government to tax their wealth by skipping a generation.

The planning strategy wouldn't be to disinherit a generation. The children not receiving the family fortune would receive enough to live well. Alternatively, the family fortune could be transferred to a trust with the children entitled to the trust income. But the grandchildren and great grandchildren would receive the bulk of the family fortune. They would be expected to conserve it, grow it if possible, and pass it on to their grandchildren and great grandchildren.

Unfortunately, Congress discovered the benefits of this strategy, too, and the IRC now imposes an additional tax on transfers of property that skip a generation, either through gifts made during life or at death.

The GSTT is imposed at a flat 40% rate, with a \$5.43 million exemption (2015 limit, indexed to inflation). The tax and exemption apply in addition to any gift or estate tax to an individual who's at least two generations younger than the transferor.

The GSTT is imposed in addition to any other transfer taxes, making transfers to individuals two generations or more removed very costly. However, it's also a tax that will apply only to the very wealthy. The \$5.43 million exemption is allowed separately from the unified credit, and, like the unified credit, is doubled for U.S. citizen spouses.

Summary

U.S. gift tax, estate tax and GSTT may have a major impact on Canadian citizen/residents. Without proper planning, a Canadian could face larger tax liabilities than expected. Clients should review their personal situations in light of the above discussion to assess whether they might be subject to these taxes.

Probate fees in the U.S. and state estate tax rules should also be considered as part of the analysis. Note that the Treaty doesn't reduce state inheritance taxes, though it does allow a credit against Canadian federal (not provincial) taxes for them. Having a U.S. will and powers of attorney should also be considered.

Various tax-planning strategies are available to reduce U.S. estate taxes. Life insurance can be a cost-effective way to minimize the impact of U.S. estate tax and should be considered when building a client's wealth and tax planning strategy. The key to achieving tax savings and making sure to benefit from efficient estate planning strategies is to make sure that all the pieces fit together from a financial, insurance, tax and estate planning point of view. An essential element is to make sure that the Canadian tax implications of any U.S. tax or estate tax strategy have been thoroughly analyzed. Seeking advice from a cross-border tax and estate tax expert should be the starting point for clients who want to benefit from tax efficient planning strategies.

For more information

The following publication is available for download from the Canada Revenue Agency's website: "Canadian Residents Going Down South" (P151(E) Rev. 08), at <http://www.cra-arc.gc.ca/E/pub/tg/p151/p151-08e.pdf>.

The IRS also publishes a guide available for download, IRS Publication 519 "U.S. Tax Guide for Aliens", (last revised April 19, 2009) at <http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p519.pdf>.

Any examples presented in this article are for illustration purposes only. No one should act upon these examples or information without a thorough examination of the tax and legal situation with their own professional advisors after the facts of the specific case are considered.

This article is intended to provide general information only. Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada doesn't provide legal, accounting or taxation advice to advisors or clients. Before a client acts on any of the information contained in this article, or before you recommend any course of action, make sure that the client seeks advice from a qualified professional, including a thorough examination of their specific legal, accounting and tax situation. Any examples or illustrations used in this article have been included only to help clarify the information presented in this article, and shouldn't be relied on by you or a client in any transaction.

Any tax statements contained in this article aren't intended or written to be used, and can't be used, for the purpose of avoiding U.S. federal, state, or local tax penalties.

Author: Stuart L. Dollar, M.A., LL.B., CFP, CLU, ChFC, Director Tax and Insurance Planning

First published: July 2010

Last revised: December 2014

Life's brighter under the sun

© Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada, 2014.
Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada is a member of
the Sun Life Financial group of companies.



Financial Advisor

July 2015

Unique estate risks of "U.S. person" clients

Identify U.S. citizens, residents or domiciliaries to limit U.S. taxes

U.S. transfer tax laws can apply to people who never thought they were Americans. This bulletin helps you identify who may have a liability. You may want to read it together with our other bulletins, "U.S. Taxes for Canadians with U.S. Assets".

U.S. tax net reaches far and wide

Anyone who is a "U.S. person" must file a U.S. income tax return, regardless of where they live. Also, the executor of a deceased U.S. person must file a U.S. estate tax return within nine months of death. There are three categories of "U.S. persons": U.S. citizens, U.S. residents, and U.S. domiciliaries. Depending on what category a client fits into, they or their estates may have a greater or lesser obligation to pay estate (and possibly generation skipping transfer) taxes (GSTT).

Estate tax is generally assessed on the gross worldwide estate when a "U.S. person" dies. GSTT is a separate tax on gifts made during life or at death to a "skip" generation person (generally someone more than one generation removed from the person making the gift, like a grandchild or great grandchild).

Different classes of persons subject to U.S. taxes

U.S. citizens

If you are a U.S. citizen, you must file a U.S. tax return, and you may owe taxes to the United States regardless of where you live. Your tax liability includes income, estate, gift and GSTT. You are considered a U.S. citizen if:

- You were born in the U.S. and have not relinquished your U.S. citizenship, even if you no longer live in the United States.
- You were born outside the U.S., but your parents were U.S. citizens, and satisfied the residency requirements necessary for passing U.S. citizenship to you.
- You were born outside the U.S., but later became a U.S. citizen, usually by immigrating to the U.S. and becoming a naturalized U.S. citizen.
- You have dual citizenship (for example, you were born in the U.S. to Canadian parents), even if you no longer live in the United States.

The laws governing derivative citizenship (i.e. U.S. citizenship obtained from being born outside the United States through parents or grandparents who were U.S. citizens) are complicated and have changed many times. It is possible to be a U.S.

citizen yet not know it. That may be good news for clients who want to live and work in the United States, but bad news from a tax perspective.

Clients who have just discovered that they are U.S. citizens may owe back taxes, penalties and interest to the IRS for income, gift tax and GSTT, and for failure to file asset reporting forms.¹ However, the IRS has a voluntary disclosure program that lets taxpayers become compliant with the U.S. tax system without being prosecuted for tax evasion. But the client must approach the IRS first. If the IRS discovers the problem on its own, it may take a harder line.² Clients who discover that they are U.S. citizens should speak with a qualified tax advisor to discuss their options and obligations.

However acquired, U.S. citizenship can be relinquished. But if one of the client's reasons is to escape U.S. tax liability, the United States can continue to impose taxes on the client for up to 10 years after the client gave up their citizenship (IRC §877). Further, escaping taxes will be presumed as a reason for relinquishing citizenship if the individual's average annual net income tax for the five tax years preceding loss of U.S. citizenship exceeds \$160,000 U.S. (2015 amount adjusted for inflation annually), or if their net worth as of such date equals or exceeds \$2,000,000 U.S.³ The U.S. State Department says that "persons who wish to renounce U.S. citizenship should also be aware that the fact that a person has renounced U.S. citizenship may have no effect whatsoever on his or her U.S. tax ... obligations."⁴

U.S. citizens and long term green card holders also face an exit tax. They are deemed to have disposed of all their capital assets for fair market value on the day before they expatriate. They must recognize and pay tax on any unrealized capital gains on those assets in excess of \$690,000 (2015 amount, adjusted for inflation). Long term green card holders get a partial exception: only the capital gains accrued from the date the green card holder became a resident of the United States are counted.

There is an exception for U.S. citizens who acquired dual citizenship through birth, have lived in and paid taxes to the other country, and have had no substantial connection with the United States.

Permanent residents

Permanent residents of the U.S. are also considered "U.S. persons". A U.S. permanent resident is someone who has an alien registration card (also known as a green card). To the IRS, holding a green card proves intent to have U.S. domicile, even if you in fact live outside the U.S. Further, many people residing and working in the United States on a visa may also be subject to U.S. tax laws.

U.S. domiciliaries

"Domicile" is a legal concept that reflects a person's intention to remain in or return to a particular jurisdiction regardless of their current location or nationality. People are considered "U.S. persons" for the purpose of transfer taxes if, when they die, they are domiciled in the United States.

Someone who is not a U.S. citizen acquires U.S. domicile by living in the United States even for a brief period of time with the intent to remain indefinitely (Treas. Reg. §20.0 – 1(b)(1)).

Some of the factors that determine domicile are:

- A person's length of stay in the U.S. and the frequency of travel away from the U.S.
- The value, nature and permanency of the individual's housing abroad and in the U.S. Some of the factors affecting that question include whether you own or rent your house, whether your house is a seasonal versus permanent property, and whether it is located in a resort or elsewhere.
- The location of any expensive personal possessions, especially if they are sentimental in nature.
- The location of the individual's business interests.

¹ For example, FinCEN Form 114 (supersedes Form TD F 90-22.1): Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts, Form 926: Return by a U.S. Transferor of Property to a Foreign Corporation, Form 3520: Annual Return to Report Transactions with Foreign Trusts and Receipt of Certain Foreign Gifts, Form 3520-A: Annual Information Return of Foreign Trust, Form 5471: Information Return of US Persons with Respect to Certain Foreign Corporations, Form 8621: Information Return by a Shareholder of a Passive Foreign Investment Company or Qualified Electing Fund, Form 8858: Information Return of U.S. Persons with Respect to Foreign Disregarded Entities, Form 8865: Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to Certain Foreign Partnerships, and Form 8938: Statement of Specified Foreign Financial Assets. This is not necessarily a complete list.

² See generally Steve Trow and Charles Bruce, "U.S. Citizens Who Don't Know It," Legal Times, vol. XXX, no. 13, March 26, 2007.

³ See Instructions to Form 8854 Expatriation Information Statement, at www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/i8854.pdf.

⁴ See the discussion under "E. TAX & MILITARY OBLIGATIONS /NO ESCAPE FROM PROSECUTION" at http://travel.state.gov/law/citizenship/citizenship_776.html

- The location of close family and friends.
- The location of organizations where the individual maintains memberships, like churches, clubs and civic organizations.
- Declarations the individual has made in official documents, including those made in tax returns, wills, trusts, visa and passport applications, driver and voter registrations, and in other official documents.
- The reasons for having left the U.S.⁵

Interestingly, immigration status does not affect the question of domicile. A person may be illegally present in the United States yet still intend to remain indefinitely. A person could also be present in the United States legally on a temporary visa, but may still intend to remain indefinitely, as indicated by applying for permanent residence status before their visa expires. Even if that person never applies for permanent residence status, they could still be treated as having been domiciled in the U.S.

What about the tax treaty? Although Canada has a tax treaty with the United States the treaty does not define the term "domicile".

Non-residents

For transfer tax purposes, the important difference between a non-resident and a citizen, permanent resident or domiciliary is that the estate of a non-resident faces potential U.S. estate tax liability only on their "U.S. situs" assets,⁶ whereas the estate of a citizen, permanent resident or domiciliary faces estate taxation on all of their property, anywhere in the world.

Special note

The U.S. rules for determining citizenship, residency and domicile are complex and different from those in Canada. If you are unsure of a client's potential status, it is best to seek qualified U.S. advice.

Example of estate and generation skipping transfer taxes

We discussed the rules aimed at taxing Canadian non-resident owners of U.S. property in our bulletin, "U.S. Taxes for Canadians with U.S. Assets". The following is a brief synopsis of how the estates of those who are subject to the U.S. transfer tax system will be treated.

Canadian citizen/residents owning no U.S. assets

Robert, an affluent Canadian citizen living in Canada, owns a \$6 million life insurance policy naming Anne, his granddaughter, as beneficiary. Robert also owns \$2 million in other property situated in Canada. When Robert dies, Anne will receive \$6 million tax-free as proceeds from the insurance. There may be tax consequences associated with the deemed disposition of Robert's other property. If part or all of that property had unrealized capital gains, they would be realized on Robert's death and there could be tax consequences. If not, or if an exemption applied (such as the principal residence exemption), there may not be any tax owing.

U.S. citizens, permanent residents and domiciliaries

If Robert were a U.S. citizen, permanent resident or domiciliary (a U.S. person), the value of the life insurance proceeds would be added to the value of his worldwide estate and would be subject to U.S. estate tax. Further, since Anne is a "skip" generation beneficiary, Robert's estate could also be subject to U.S. generation skipping tax.

In this case, Robert's worldwide estate is valued at over US\$8 million according to U.S. rules, which include among other things the entire value of jointly held property and gifts made within three years of death.

⁵ Jack Bernstein, Aird and Berlis, LLP, "Domicile for U.S. Estate Tax Purposes", from [Tax Profile](#), Vol. 7, No. 9, Sept. 2003.

⁶ U.S. assets include real estate and personal property like personal possessions, furniture and cars located in the United States on a permanent basis. U.S. assets also include stocks and bonds of U.S. companies whether registered (i.e. in an RRSP or RRIF) or non-registered. U.S. business assets, stock options on shares of U.S. companies, and the death benefits of U.S. pension plans are also U.S. assets. However, U.S. assets do not include works of art that the deceased owned and which were on permanent public exhibition in the United States when he or she died, U.S. debt securities where the interest would be exempt from U.S. withholding tax, U.S. bank accounts, and shares in a non-U.S. corporation (even if the corporation owned U.S. assets). Life insurance policy death benefits on the life of a non-resident non-U.S. citizen are not U.S. assets, even if the policy was issued by a U.S. life insurance company. However, the death benefit *is* included in the value of the deceased's worldwide estate to determine the amount of the applicable credit that the deceased's estate may use to reduce potential estate tax liability (see discussion below regarding Canadian citizens who own property in the United States). Canadian mutual and segregated funds are not U.S. assets even if the funds themselves own U.S. assets.

An estate tax return is due nine months after Robert's death. Not counting deductions for funeral, medical, executor and administration expenses, and other deductions to which his estate is entitled, the calculation goes like this (2015 rates):⁷

Estate tax on first \$1 million	\$345,800
Estate tax on balance of \$7 million (at 40%)	\$2,800,000
Total tentative tax	\$3,145,800
Less applicable credit	\$2,113,800
Estate tax	\$1,032,000

In addition, Robert's estate would have to file a GSTT return because Anne is more than one generation removed from Robert. However, it is unlikely that Robert's estate would pay any GSTT because the exemption equivalent, \$5.43 million, is the same as the estate tax exemption equivalent, and is applied in addition to the estate tax exemption. Robert's estate would therefore have to exceed \$10.86 million before it became liable to pay GSTT.

There are steps that Robert could take to protect the value of his estate. For example, if he had created an irrevocable life insurance trust (ILIT) to apply for and own the insurance policy on his life, the entire death benefit would have been excluded from his taxable estate for estate tax and GSTT purposes. Such a step would have eliminated estate tax altogether. The severity of the U.S. estate and GSTT systems, and the steps that can be taken to eliminate it, mean that U.S. citizens, permanent residents and domiciliaries need specialized tax advice regarding any potential U.S. transfer tax liability.

For Canadian citizens who own property in the United States (i.e. non-residents)

If Robert were a Canadian citizen not domiciled in the United States, and not a green card holder, his estate would pay estate tax and GSTT only on the value of his U.S. situs assets. We'll assume for this example that Robert's \$2 million in other property is all U.S. situs property, instead of Canadian property as in the first example. The life insurance policy death benefit is excluded from Robert's taxable estate since he is not a U.S. person.

Under the Canada-U.S. Tax Treaty (the Treaty) Robert's estate could claim the same applicable credit that the estate of a U.S. person could claim, but limited to the proportion that his U.S. situs assets bear to his total worldwide estate. In determining that proportion, the Treaty requires Robert's executor to calculate the value of Robert's total worldwide estate according to U.S. domestic law. That means that Robert's worldwide estate would have to include the value of his U.S. situs property plus the value of his life insurance policy death benefit.

In this example, Robert's total worldwide estate equals \$8 million (his \$2 million in U.S. situs assets plus the \$6 million life insurance policy death benefit), while his U.S. situs assets equal \$2 million. Robert is therefore entitled to only one quarter of the applicable credit that a U.S. person would be entitled to (\$2 million / \$8 million).

Robert's estate tax calculation would therefore be as follows (2015 rates):

Estate tax on first \$1 million of U.S. assets	\$345,800
Estate tax on balance of \$1 million (at 40%)	\$400,000
Total tentative tax	\$745,800
Proportionate applicable credit (¼ of \$2,113,800)	\$528,450
Estate tax due	\$217,350

GSTT is also levied on U.S. situs assets owned by non-U.S. persons at death. Because Robert's proportionate GSTT exemption (¼ of \$5.43 million, or \$1,357,000) plus the estate tax exclusion (also ¼ of \$5.43 million, or \$1,357,000), exceeds the value of his U.S. situs assets, \$2 million, his estate would pay no GSTT.

Even though the death benefit from Robert's life insurance policy was not subject to estate tax, it was included as an asset to determine how much of the applicable credit his estate could use to shelter his U.S. situs assets from estate tax. If he had had an ILIT apply for and own the policy, the policy would have been excluded from that calculation, and he could have used the entire applicable credit to shelter his U.S. situs assets from estate tax. The result would have been zero estate tax.

⁷ See Internal Revenue Code (IRC) §2001(c) for estate tax rates and §2010(c) for applicable credit amounts.

U.S. citizens living in Canada

The reporting and information sharing provisions of the Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA) went into effect on July 1, 2014. Under an intergovernmental agreement that Canada has with the United States, U.S. citizens living in Canada will have to identify themselves to their Canadian financial institutions when they own or purchase certain wealth or insurance products. A full discussion of FATCA and the intergovernmental agreement is beyond the scope of this article. But no action is required when the U.S. person owns only registered products (like RRSPs and RRIFs), term life insurance policies, or cash value life insurance policies with cash values of \$50,000 or less (in U.S. dollars). The U.S. citizen's financial institution(s) will report that information to the Canada Revenue Agency (CRA) which will share it with the IRS under the provisions of Canada's tax treaty with the United States. The IRS hopes that FATCA and the intergovernmental agreement will encourage more American citizens living abroad to become compliant with the U.S. tax system since it will be more difficult for them to avoid IRS scrutiny.

How you can help

- Have a process to identify clients who might be considered "U.S. persons".
- Access qualified U.S. tax and legal advice to evaluate the situation. The cost of professional advice may be modest compared to the potential tax liability.
- Suggest to your "U.S. person" clients that they meet with an experienced cross border financial planner to discuss any U.S. tax liabilities and reporting obligations they may have.
- When a U.S. person needs life insurance on their own life, or is contemplating ownership of a policy on another person's life, consider alternatives to having the "U.S. person" own or control the policy in order to avoid potential estate tax consequences and foreign asset reporting obligations.

Every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and currency of the information provided. However, any examples presented in this article are for illustration purposes only. No one should act upon these examples or information without a thorough examination of the tax and legal situation with their own professional advisors after the facts of the specific case are considered.

This article is intended to provide general information only. Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada does not provide legal, accounting or taxation advice to advisors or clients. Before a client acts on any of the information contained in this article, or before you recommend any course of action, make sure that the client seeks advice from a qualified professional, including a thorough examination of their specific legal, accounting and tax situation. Any examples or illustrations used in this article have been included only to help clarify the information presented in this article, and should not be relied on by you or a client in any transaction.

Any tax statements contained in this article are not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding U.S. federal, state, or local tax penalties.

Author: Stuart L. Dollar, M.A., LL.B., CFP, CLU, ChFC, Director Tax, Wealth and Insurance Planning Group

First published September 2009

Last revised July 2015

Life's brighter under the sun

© Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada, 2015.

Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada is a member of the Sun Life Financial group of companies.