

Artificial Intelligence

T-622-ARTI

2016-1

Reykjavik university

PROGRAMMING ASSIGNMENT

1 - SEARCH

Report



Egill Anton Hlöðversson

Jón Gísli Björgvinsson

Answers to questions 3 and 4

3) Estimate the size of the state space assuming the environment has width W, length L and D dirty spots.

$$W * L * 4 * 2^D$$

The agent can face four different directions for each cell in the grid, and for each possible state each dirty spot can be either clean or dirty.

4) Assess the following blind search algorithms wrt. their completeness, optimality, space and time complexity in the given environment: Depth-First Search, Breadth-First Search, Uniform-Cost Search. If one of the algorithms is not complete, how could you fix it? Note: Do this step before you implement the algorithms, so you know what to expect when you run the algorithms. Otherwise you might be very surprised.

DFS: Not great. It is incomplete without a check for duplicate visited states, and not optimal. Its time complexity of $O(b^m)$ is massively worse than BFS in the worst case, but its space complexity of $O(bm)$ is significantly better than that of BFS.

BFS: Some good, some bad. It's complete, but not optimal. Its time complexity of $O(b^d)$ is better than DFS, and its space complexity of $O(b^d)$ is significantly worse than DFS.

Uniform Search: Both complete and optimal. Its time and space complexity are $O(b^{(1+C/\epsilon)})$.

Where b is the branching factor, m is the maximal depth of a leaf node, d is the depth of the shallowest goal node, C is the cost of the optimal solution, and ϵ is the minimum cost of an action.

Short description of your heuristics and why you think it is admissible

In our solution we based our heuristics on given formula:

$((\text{number of dirt} - 1) * \text{Min distance between dirt})$
+ Manhattan distance from Home to furthest dirt
+ Manhattan distance from agent to furthest dirt

Where by multiplying the number of dirt -1 times minimum distance between dirt, we can ensure that it will form a minimal spanning tree, where we picked the furthest node is the root of that tree and we add the distances from the starting position to the root and as well the agent's position to the root. This way we can predict a feasible heuristic which is admissible but not consistent where we switch to a Manhattan distance back to starting point when we finished cleaning all dirt.

The results of the experiments and the conclusions you draw from those results (tasks 5 and 7)

DFS results:

world 1:

Maximum frontier size: 110
Expansion count: 383
Elapsed time: 0.005
Solution cost: 109

world 2:

Maximum frontier size: 88
Expansion count: 323
Elapsed time: 0.006
Solution cost: 87

world 3:

Maximum frontier size: 1350
Expansion count: 6210
Elapsed time: 0.039
Solution cost: 1349

world 4:
Maximum frontier size: 2894
Expansion count: 16736
Elapsed time: 0.078
Solution cost: 2893

world 5:
Maximum frontier size: 2318
Expansion count: 16418
Elapsed time: 0.083
Solution cost: 2317

world 6:
Maximum frontier size: 1
Expansion count: 2073473
Elapsed time: 3.583
Solution cost: 0

world 7:
Maximum frontier size: 1276
Expansion count: 5982
Elapsed time: 0.034
Solution cost: 1275

world 8:
Maximum frontier size: 1309
Expansion count: 6089
Elapsed time: 0.045
Solution cost: 1308

world 9:
Maximum frontier size: 1334
Expansion count: 6157
Elapsed time: 0.035
Solution cost: 1333

world 10:
Maximum frontier size: 1347
Expansion count: 6174
Elapsed time: 0.034
Solution cost: 1346

BFS results:

world 1:
Maximum frontier size: 42
Expansion count: 3884
Elapsed time: 0.023
Solution cost: 41

world 2:

Maximum frontier size: 46
Expansion count: 3571
Elapsed time: 0.022
Solution cost: 45

world 3:

Maximum frontier size: 286
Expansion count: 5569476
Elapsed time: 21.298
Solution cost: 285

world 4:

Maximum frontier size: 202
Expansion count: 4168270
Elapsed time: 12.77
Solution cost: 201

world 5:

Maximum frontier size: 170
Expansion count: 5565363
Elapsed time: 14.96
Solution cost: 169

world 6:

Maximum frontier size: 1
Expansion count: 2073473
Elapsed time: 4.008
Solution cost: 0

world 7:

Maximum frontier size: 222
Expansion count: 349022
Elapsed time: 0.515
Solution cost: 221

world 8:

Maximum frontier size: 259
Expansion count: 697566
Elapsed time: 0.9
Solution cost: 258

world 9:

Maximum frontier size: 270
Expansion count: 1394394
Elapsed time: 1.971
Solution cost: 269

world 10:

Maximum frontier size: 271
Expansion count: 2786756
Elapsed time: 6.587
Solution cost: 270

UCS results:

world 1:

Maximum frontier size: 74
Expansion count: 453
Elapsed time: 0.006
Solution cost: 73

world 2:

Maximum frontier size: 71
Expansion count: 418
Elapsed time: 0.006
Solution cost: 70

world 3:

Maximum frontier size: 1585
Expansion count: 22794
Elapsed time: 0.076
Solution cost: 1584

world 4:

Maximum frontier size: 1923
Expansion count: 23864
Elapsed time: 0.088
Solution cost: 1922

world 5:

Maximum frontier size: 1200
Expansion count: 20935
Elapsed time: 0.074
Solution cost: 1199

world 6:

Maximum frontier size: 1
Expansion count: 2073473
Elapsed time: 3.629
Solution cost: 0

world 7:

Maximum frontier size: 1165
Expansion count: 16464
Elapsed time: 0.072
Solution cost: 1164

world 8:
Maximum frontier size: 1202
Expansion count: 16603
Elapsed time: 0.071
Solution cost: 1201

world 9:
Maximum frontier size: 1473
Expansion count: 21581
Elapsed time: 0.078
Solution cost: 1472

world 10:
Maximum frontier size: 1580
Expansion count: 22738
Elapsed time: 0.085
Solution cost: 1579

A* results:

world 1:
Maximum frontier size: 42
Expansion count: 2316
Elapsed time: 0.022
Solution cost: 41

world 2:
Maximum frontier size: 46
Expansion count: 2243
Elapsed time: 0.02
Solution cost: 45

world 3:
Maximum frontier size: 286
Expansion count: 4719619
Elapsed time: 14.084
Solution cost: 285

world 4:
Maximum frontier size: 202
Expansion count: 1883454
Elapsed time: 4.368
Solution cost: 201

world 5:
Maximum frontier size: 170
Expansion count: 3310135
Elapsed time: 11.066
Solution cost: 169

world 6:
Maximum frontier size: 1
Expansion count: 2073473
Elapsed time: 6.252
Solution cost: 0

world 7:
Maximum frontier size: 222
Expansion count: 237804
Elapsed time: 0.446
Solution cost: 221

world 8:
Maximum frontier size: 259
Expansion count: 540578
Elapsed time: 0.893
Solution cost: 258

world 9:
Maximum frontier size: 270
Expansion count: 1129794
Elapsed time: 2.101
Solution cost: 269

world 10:
Maximum frontier size: 271
Expansion count: 2256226
Elapsed time: 4.926
Solution cost: 270

Conclusion: A* is leaps and bounds better than any of the other search algorithms. It searches the biggest state space in the shortest time, and returns an optimal solution. Given that none of the algorithms find a solution to world 6 we can infer that no solution exists. Going by the measured maximum frontier size, BFS appears to actually be among the more spatially efficient algorithms.