

## Exercise 2: Algorithmic treatment of one-dimensional plasticity

Prof. Björn Kiefer, Ph.D.

**Exercises Plasticity** 

Term: WS 2019/2020

## Problem 2.2

Applying the Euler-implicit time integration scheme, to the constitutive equations of a onedimensional plasticity model with linear hardening leads to the following discrete formulation:

elastic law:  $\sigma_{n+1} = \mathbf{E} \, \varepsilon_{n+1}^{\mathrm{e}}$ 

strain decomposition:  $\varepsilon_{n+1} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{e} + \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p}$ 

yield function:  $\Phi_{n+1}(\xi_{n+1}, R_{n+1}) = |\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1} - X_{n+1}}_{\xi_{n+1}}| - \sigma_0 - R_{n+1}$ 

increase in yield stress:  $R_{n+1} = h \alpha_{n+1}$ 

back-stress:  $X_{n+1} = H \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p}$ 

evolution of internal variables:  $\Delta \varepsilon^{p} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p} - \varepsilon_{n}^{p} = \underbrace{\Delta t \dot{\lambda}_{n+1}}_{\Delta \lambda} \operatorname{sign} (\sigma_{n+1} - X_{n+1})$ 

 $\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n = \Delta \lambda$ 

discrete KKT conditions:  $\Phi_{n+1} \leq 0$ ,  $\Delta \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta \lambda \Phi_{n+1} = 0$ 

initial conditions:  $\alpha_0 = 0$   $\varepsilon_0^{\rm p} = 0$ 

A well established method to solve the discretized evolution equations together with the discrete KKT conditions is the (elastic) predictor - (plastic) corrector scheme. This two-step algorithm emerges from the operator-split of the elastic-plastic constitutive equations. It consists of:

- the elastic predictor, which assumes the plastic process to be frozen in the time interval  $\Delta t$ , i.e.  $\Delta \lambda = 0$ , and leads to the trial elastic state, that possibly violates the yield condition
- the *plastic corrector*, which ensures that the final stress state is located on the yield surface, if the *trial elastic state* violates the yield condition
- (a) Derive an expression for the stress  $\sigma_{n+1}^{\text{trial}}$  at the *trial elastic state*.
- (b) Evaluate the yield condition at the trial elastic state.
- (c) Show that,  $sign(\xi_{n+1}) = sign(\xi_{n+1}^{trial})$  holds. Hint: Use the fact that a = |a| sign(a).
- (d) Similar to the continuous formulation, the linear hardening in the discrete formulation allows for an explicit evaluation of the incremental Lagrange multiplier  $\Delta \lambda$ . Derive the corresponding expression based on  $\Phi_{n+1}^{\text{trial}}$  and the material parameters.
- (e) Code the discrete formulation of the one-dimensional plasticity model by completing the function stress\_computation\_one\_dim\_plasticity\_linear\_hardening.m in the Matlab-code provided. Test your implementation and compare your results with the exact solution.
- (f) Run the model with mixed hardening (H = 500 MPa and h = 500 MPa) and a strain amplitude of  $\hat{\varepsilon} = 3\frac{\sigma_0}{E}$  employing 15 increments. Explain your results.
- (g) Discuss the influence of the isotropic and kinematic hardening on the evolution of the elastic domain.

Prof. Björn Kiefer, Ph.D. Exercises Plasticity Term: WS 2019/2020

## Problem 3.1

The constitutive equations of a one-dimensional, phenomenological plasticity model with nonlinear isotropic and linear kinematic hardening are given in the following discrete form, resulting from the application of an Euler-implicit time integration scheme:

elastic law:  $\sigma_{n+1} = \operatorname{E} \varepsilon_{n+1}^{\operatorname{e}}$ 

strain decomposition:  $\varepsilon_{n+1} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{e} + \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p}$ 

yield function:  $\Phi_{n+1}(\xi_{n+1}, R_{n+1}) = |\underbrace{\sigma_{n+1} - X_{n+1}}_{\xi_{n+1}}| - \sigma_0 - R_{n+1}$ 

increase in yield stress:  $R_{n+1} = h \alpha_{n+1} + \Delta Y \left[ 1 - \exp \left( -\eta \alpha_{n+1} \right) \right]$ 

back-stress:  $X_{n+1} = H \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p}$ 

evolution of internal variables:  $\Delta \varepsilon^{p} = \varepsilon_{n+1}^{p} - \varepsilon_{n}^{p} = \underbrace{\Delta t \dot{\lambda}_{n+1}}_{\Delta \lambda} \operatorname{sign} (\sigma_{n+1} - X_{n+1})$ 

 $\Delta \alpha = \alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n = \Delta \lambda$ 

discrete KKT conditions:  $\Phi_{n+1} \leq 0$ ,  $\Delta \lambda \geq 0$ ,  $\Delta \lambda \Phi_{n+1} = 0$ 

initial conditions:  $\alpha_0 = 0$   $\varepsilon_0^p = 0$ 

A well established method to solve the discretized evolution equations together with the discrete KKT conditions is the (elastic) predictor - (plastic) corrector scheme. This two-step algorithm emerges from the operator-split of the elastic-plastic constitutive equations. It consists of:

- the elastic predictor, which assumes the plastic process to be frozen in the time interval  $\Delta t$ , i.e.  $\Delta \lambda = 0$ , and leads to the trial elastic state, that possibly violates the yield condition
- the *plastic corrector*, which ensures that the final stress state is located on the yield surface, if the *trial elastic state* violates the yield condition
- (a) Derive an expression for the stress  $\sigma_{n+1}^{\text{trial}}$  at the *trial elastic state*.
- (b) Evaluate the yield condition at the trial elastic state.
- (c) Show that,  $sign(\xi_{n+1}) = sign(\xi_{n+1}^{trial})$  holds. Hint: Use the fact that a = |a| sign(a).
- (d) Enforcing the discrete consistency condition,  $\Phi_{n+1} = 0$ , in the *plastic corrector* step allows for the determination of the incremental Lagrange multiplier  $\Delta \lambda$ . Express  $\Phi_{n+1}$  in terms of  $(\xi_{n+1}^{\text{trial}}, \alpha_n, \Delta \lambda)$  and derive the corresponding linearization to enable the solution of this nonlinear equation by means of a Newton-Raphson scheme.
- (e) Code the discrete formulation of the one-dimensional plasticity model by completing the function stress\_computation\_one\_dim\_plasticity\_nonlinear\_hardening.m in the Matlab-code provided. Test your implementation and compare your results with the exact solution.