Exercise 3: Three-dimensional von Mises plasticity at small strains (linear hardening)

Prof. Björn Kiefer, Ph.D.

Exercises Plasticity

Term: WS 2019/2020

Problem 4.1

Three-dimensional material models often require the application of basic results from tensor algebra and tensor analysis, e.g. tensor products, tensor decomposition and determination of the derivative of tensor functions (scalar- or tensor-valued). In the following, some statements, relevant for the formulation of elastic-plastic material models are given.

- (a) The fourth order identity tensor $\mathbb{I} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\mathbf{e}_i\otimes\mathbf{e}_j\otimes\mathbf{e}_k\otimes\mathbf{e}_l$ can be decomposed into the symmetriser $\mathbb{I}^S = \frac{1}{2}\left[\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\right]\mathbf{e}_i\otimes\mathbf{e}_j\otimes\mathbf{e}_k\otimes\mathbf{e}_l$ and the antisymmetriser $\mathbb{I}^A = \frac{1}{2}\left[\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}\right]\mathbf{e}_i\otimes\mathbf{e}_j\otimes\mathbf{e}_k\otimes\mathbf{e}_l$ in an additive form, i.e. $\mathbb{I} = \mathbb{I}^S + \mathbb{I}^A$. Express the split of the second order tensor $\mathbf{A} = \mathrm{sym}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathrm{skw}(\mathbf{A})$ into its symmetric and skew-symmetric part by means of \mathbb{I}^S and \mathbb{I}^A and determine $\frac{\partial \mathrm{sym}(\mathbf{A})}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathrm{skw}(\mathbf{A})}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$.
- (b) Furthermore, the fourth order identity tensor $\mathbb{I} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl}\,\mathbf{e}_i\otimes\mathbf{e}_j\otimes\mathbf{e}_k\otimes\mathbf{e}_l$ can be decomposed into the tensor $\mathbb{J} = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I}$ and the deviatoriser $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{I} \mathbb{J}$, where \mathbf{I} is the second order identity tensor.

 Use these tensors to express the decomposition of the second order tensor $\mathbf{A} = \operatorname{dev}(\mathbf{A}) + \mathbf{A}^\circ$ into its deviatoric and spherical part and determine $\frac{\partial \operatorname{dev}(\mathbf{A})}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$ and $\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^\circ}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$.
- (c) Scalar isotropic tensor functions $f(\mathbf{A})$ can conveniently be expressed in terms of the three invariants

$$I_{1}(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A})$$

$$I_{2}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) \right]^{2} - \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^{2}) \right]$$

$$I_{3}(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{6} \left[\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) \right]^{3} - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}) \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^{2}) + \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^{3}) .$$

Yield functions can more easily expressed in terms of the modified set of invariants, $I_1(\mathbf{A}), J_2(\mathbf{A}) = -I_2(\text{dev}(\mathbf{A}))$ and $J_3(\mathbf{A}) = I_3(\text{dev}(\mathbf{A}))$, because it facilitates the inclusion of pressure dependence into the yield surface.

Evaluate $J_2(\mathbf{A})$ and $J_3(\mathbf{A})$ and determine $\frac{\partial I_1(\mathbf{A})}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$ and $\frac{\partial J_2(\mathbf{A})}{\partial \mathbf{A}}$. Use the result that the derivative of the scalar, isotropic tensor function $f(\mathbf{A}) = \operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^k)$ is $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{A}} = k \left[\mathbf{A}^{k-1}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ with $\mathbf{A}^0 = \mathbf{I}$.

- (d) Use the results from (c) and the chain rule to show that for the von-Mises yield function, $\Phi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \beta) = \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\| \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} [\sigma_{y_0} + \beta]$, with $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \text{dev}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) \boldsymbol{\beta}$, $\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\| = \sqrt{\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathrm{T}} : \boldsymbol{\xi}}$ and $\mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{B})$, the following statements hold
 - $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|}$
 - $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}}$
 - $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}}$
- (e) Show that $\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\sigma}} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \text{dev}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})} : \text{dev}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}) \text{ holds.}$

Prof. Björn Kiefer, Ph.D. **Exercises Plasticity** Term: WS 2019/2020

Problem 4.2

The constitutive equations of a three-dimensional, phenomenological plasticity model with linear isotropic and kinematic hardening are given in the following:

elastic law:
$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \kappa \operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{e}) \mathbf{I} + 2\mu \operatorname{dev}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{e})$$

strain decomposition:
$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\rm e} + \varepsilon_{\rm p}$$

yield function:
$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \beta) = \|\underbrace{\operatorname{dev}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}) - \boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\| - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \left[\sigma_{y_0} + \beta\right]$$

increase in yield stress:
$$\beta = h \alpha$$

back-stress:
$$\beta = H \alpha = H \varepsilon_{p}$$

evolution of internal variables:
$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{\mathrm{p}} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}\|} = \dot{\lambda} \mathbf{n}$$
 with $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{p}} (t=0) = \mathbf{0}$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}_{p} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \boldsymbol{\xi}} = \dot{\lambda} \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}}{\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|} = \dot{\lambda} \mathbf{n} \quad \text{with} \quad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{p} (t = 0) = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\dot{\alpha} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \dot{\lambda} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha (t = 0) = 0$$

KKT conditions:
$$\Phi \leq 0, \quad \dot{\lambda} \geq 0, \quad \dot{\lambda}\Phi = 0$$

Due to the linear format of the constitutive relations, analytical solutions of the material model can be obtained for a prescribed strain history.

- (a) Determine the Lagrange multiplier $\dot{\lambda}$ from the consistency condition $\dot{\Phi} = 0$ as a function of the applied strain rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon}$, normal **n** and material parameters. Take into account the isochoric nature of the plastic flow.
- (b) Considering a uniaxial stress state, i.e. $\sigma = \sigma_{11} \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$, the strain rate is prescribed as $\dot{\varepsilon} = \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + q \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + q \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_3 \otimes \mathbf{e}_3$, where the parameter q has to be determined from the uniaxial stress condition. Evaluate $\operatorname{dev}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$, $\|\operatorname{dev}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})\|$, $\operatorname{dev}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})$ and $\operatorname{tr}(\dot{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}})$ for the given stress state and explain why the back-stress takes the form $\beta = \frac{2}{3}\beta_{11}\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 - \frac{1}{3}\beta_{11}\mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 - \frac{1}{3}\beta_{11}\mathbf{e}_3 \otimes \mathbf{e}_3$. Give an expression for the normal **n** using the previous results.
- (c) Specialize your results from (a) to the uniaxial stress state and find an expression of the Lagrange multiplier in terms of the parameter q, the prescribed strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}_{11}$ and the material parameters.
- (d) Determine the parameter q from the uniaxial stress condition using the rate form of the elastic law for the case of elastic (q^{el}) and elastic-plastic (q^{ep}) loading. The corresponding values of q are employed to obtain the strain from $\varepsilon = \int \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 + q \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_2 \otimes \mathbf{e}_2 + q \dot{\varepsilon}_{11} \mathbf{e}_3 \otimes \mathbf{e}_3 d\tau$.
- (e) Compute the stress and the internal variables for the strain history specified below.

The strain history is given by the piecewise linear, triangle wave function, defined as

$$\varepsilon_{11}(t) = \begin{cases} n\hat{\varepsilon}_{11} \frac{t}{T} & 0 \le t \le T \\ n\hat{\varepsilon}_{11} \left[2 - \frac{t}{T}\right] & T < t \le 3T \end{cases}, \qquad \stackrel{\varepsilon_{11}}{\underset{-\hat{\varepsilon}_{11}}{\uparrow}}$$

where $\hat{\varepsilon}_{11} = \frac{\sigma_{y_0}}{2\mu[1-q^{el}]}$ and only the time interval $0 \le t \le 3T$ is of interest and n > 1.