

In-Switch Traffic Distribution Approximation

Sean Bergen

Arizona State University

2024

Overview

- 1 Motivation
- 2 Background Information
 - Streaming Algorithms and Sketches
 - A (Brief) Introduction to Stochastics
- 3 Model
- 4 Sketch
- 5 Algorithm
- 6 Experiment Design
- 7 Results
 - Theory
 - Experimental
- 8 Future Work
- 9 References
- 10 Appendix

Motivation

- Categorize traffic rates relative to each other

Motivation

- Categorize traffic rates relative to each other
- Keep operators of algorithm simple to allow for implementation in P4

4 / 20

Streaming Algorithms

Streaming Algorithms

- Formalized by N. Alon et al[1]

Streaming Algorithms

- Formalized by N. Alon et al[1]
- Algorithm operates “on-line” on a stream of data

Streaming Algorithms

- Formalized by N. Alon et al[1]
- Algorithm operates “on-line” on a stream of data
- Stream usually only examined once

- What if we want to examine stream history as part of the algorithm?

- What if we want to examine stream history as part of the algorithm?
- Probabilistic data structures, highly compressed[2]

Sketches

- What if we want to examine stream history as part of the algorithm?
- Probabilistic data structures, highly compressed[2]
- Often used alongside streaming algorithms

Sketches

- What if we want to examine stream history as part of the algorithm?
- Probabilistic data structures, highly compressed[2]
- Often used alongside streaming algorithms
- Count-Min Sketch[3]

Random Variables and Stochastic Processes

Random Variables and Stochastic Processes

- Random variable X produces events from universe U

Random Variables and Stochastic Processes

- Random variable X produces events from universe U
- Stochastic Process \rightarrow Observing X over time

Random Variables and Stochastic Processes

- Random variable X produces events from universe U
- Stochastic Process \rightarrow Observing X over time
- A fair coin vs a sequence of coin flips

Model

Model

- Discrete time, r.v. X , switch S

Model

- Discrete time, r.v. X , switch S
- Every timestep X chooses some packet σ across all possible ones it could choose Ω from some distribution

Model

- Discrete time, r.v. X , switch S
- Every timestep X chooses some packet σ across all possible ones it could choose Ω from some distribution
- X forms an input stream of observed packets that S reads from

Sketch

Sketch

- Packets having closer group numbers means closer rates

Sketch

- Packets having closer group numbers means closer rates
- Lower group number means higher rate, inspiration from power laws and Zipf's Law

Sketch

- Packets having closer group numbers means closer rates
- Lower group number means higher rate, inspiration from power laws and Zipf's Law

Packet Type	Buffer	Group
σ_0	0	19
σ_1	0	20
σ_2	1	13
σ_3	2	19
σ_4	1	19
σ_5	0	16

Streaming Algorithm

Algorithm 1 \mathcal{A}

```

1:  $n \leftarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
2:  $m \leftarrow \mathbb{N}, m < n$ 
3: Sketch  $s$  is empty initially
4: while Stream not empty do
5:   for  $i = 0; i < n; i++$  do
6:     Read next packet  $p, \sigma_p \leftarrow \text{"type"}(p)$ 
7:     if  $\sigma_p \notin s$  then
8:       Add  $\langle \sigma_p, 1, m \rangle$  to  $s$ 
9:     else
10:       $s[\sigma_p]$  buffer + 1,  $s[\sigma_p]$  group =  $\max(1, \text{group} - 1)$ 
11:    end if
12:    for All other  $\sigma \neq \sigma_p \in s$  do
13:       $s[\sigma]$  buffer - 1
14:      if  $s[\sigma]$  buffer < 0 then
15:         $s[\sigma]$  buffer = 1,  $s[\sigma]$  group =  $\min(m, \text{group} + 1)$ 
16:      end if
17:    end for
18:  end for
19:  Send/Save/Process  $s$ , then clear for next window
20: end while

```

$\triangleright n$ is window size
 $\triangleright m$ is max group value
 \triangleright Type could be srcIP, dstIP, ...
 $\triangleright \sigma_p$ acts as a key to s

Experiment Design

Experiment Design

- Generated a stream of 8,000 random packets, 6 different σ , $n = 40, m = 20$

Experiment Design

- Generated a stream of 8,000 random packets, 6 different σ , $n = 40, m = 20$
- Probability distribution over σ was $[0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.05, 0.15, 0.1]$

Experiment Design

- Generated a stream of 8,000 random packets, 6 different σ , $n = 40, m = 20$
- Probability distribution over σ was $[0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.05, 0.15, 0.1]$
- Saved sketch for each of the 200 windows

Interesting Theoretical Results

Theorem

The maximum number of different σ that can get to group 1 for a given n, m is $\lfloor \log \left(\frac{n}{m} \right) \rfloor + 1, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$

Interesting Theoretical Results

Theorem

The maximum number of different σ that can get to group 1 for a given n, m is $\lfloor \log \left(\frac{n}{m} \right) \rfloor + 1, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$

Theorem

A (loose) lower bound for the number of different σ before at least 1 σ is guaranteed to be at group m is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$

Interesting Theoretical Results

Theorem

The maximum number of different σ that can get to group 1 for a given n, m is $\lfloor \log \left(\frac{n}{m} \right) \rfloor + 1, n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$

Theorem

A (loose) lower bound for the number of different σ before at least 1 σ is guaranteed to be at group m is $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$

Remark

For $m = 2$, there is a tight bound where if the number of different σ is $\geq \lfloor \log \left(\frac{n}{m} \right) \rfloor + 2$ then there will always be at least σ in group m

More Theory Results

Using Markov Chain Properties

Consider $p(x) = 0.4$ for a packet in the previous example:

Using Markov Chain Properties

Consider $p(x) = 0.4$ for a packet in the previous example:

\emptyset	(3,0)	(3,1)	(2,0)	(2,1)	(2,2)	(1,0)	(1,1)	(1,2)	(1,3)	(1,4)	(1,5)
0.6	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0.6	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0.6	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0	0	0.4	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0	0.4	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0.4	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0.4	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0.4	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.6	0	0.4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Probability distribution of the Markov Chain after 5 moves

[illegible]

Probability distribution of the Markov Chain after 5 moves

\emptyset	(3, 0)	(3, 1)	(2, 0)	(2, 1)	(2, 2)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(1, 4)	(1, 5)
0.07776	0.10368	0.22464	0.06912	0.13824	0.06912	0	0.1152	0.06912	0.10752	0.01536	0.01024
0	0	0.23328	0	0.24192	0	0	0.288	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.18144	0	0.10368	0	0.12096	0.1728	0	0.31104	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.23328	0	0.1728	0	0	0.35712	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.07776	0	0.20736	0	0.05184	0.1728	0	0.38016	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.07776	0	0.2592	0	0	0.31104	0	0.24192	0	0.11008
0	0	0.1296	0	0.27648	0	0	0.35712	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.07776	0	0.10368	0	0.05184	0.27648	0	0.38016	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.07776	0	0.15552	0	0	0.41472	0	0.24192	0	0.11008
0	0	0	0.07776	0	0	0.20736	0	0.36288	0	0.1152	0.2368
0	0	0	0	0.07776	0	0	0.20736	0	0.1728	0	0.54208
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

After 5 moves, it is class 3 with probability 0.32832, class 2 with probability 0.27648, class 1 with 0.31744, and was never observed with probability 0.07776

Probability distribution of the Markov Chain after 5 moves

\emptyset	(3, 0)	(3, 1)	(2, 0)	(2, 1)	(2, 2)	(1, 0)	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(1, 4)	(1, 5)
0.07776	0.10368	0.22464	0.06912	0.13824	0.06912	0	0.1152	0.06912	0.10752	0.01536	0.01024
0	0	0.23328	0	0.24192	0	0	0.288	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.18144	0	0.10368	0	0.12096	0.1728	0	0.31104	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.23328	0	0.1728	0	0	0.35712	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.07776	0	0.20736	0	0.05184	0.1728	0	0.38016	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.07776	0	0.2592	0	0	0.31104	0	0.24192	0	0.11008
0	0	0.1296	0	0.27648	0	0	0.35712	0	0.2112	0	0.0256
0	0.07776	0	0.10368	0	0.05184	0.27648	0	0.38016	0	0.08448	0.0256
0	0	0.07776	0	0.15552	0	0	0.41472	0	0.24192	0	0.11008
0	0	0	0.07776	0	0	0.20736	0	0.36288	0	0.1152	0.2368
0	0	0	0	0.07776	0	0	0.20736	0	0.1728	0	0.54208
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

After 5 moves, it is class 3 with probability 0.32832, class 2 with probability 0.27648, class 1 with 0.31744, and was never observed with probability 0.07776

We can compute the expected value of the class $\mathbb{E}(x) = 1.85536$

Experimental Results



Future Work and Open Questions

- Tighter bound on interval before group m packets are guaranteed
- P4 implementation of sketch that chooses σ as srcIP (in progress)
- Adding time dynamics with minimal increase to computational complexity
- Determining sweet spot for m, n in relation to each other

References



Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy.

The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments.

In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '96*, page 20–29, New York, NY, USA, 1996. Association for Computing Machinery.



Jelani Nelson.

Notes on sketching and streaming, 2016.



Graham Cormode.

Count-min sketch., 2009.

Proof for Upper Bound on Group 1 Packets

To prove this, we work backwards from the last σ to reach group 1 back to the first one

Proof for Upper Bound on Group 1 Packets

To prove this, we work backwards from the last σ to reach group 1 back to the first one

- σ_1 needs $m - 1$ packets to get to group 1

Proof for Upper Bound on Group 1 Packets

To prove this, we work backwards from the last σ to reach group 1 back to the first one

- σ_1 needs $m - 1$ packets to get to group 1
- σ_2 can also only need $m - 1$ packets and end with a buffer of 0

Proof for Upper Bound on Group 1 Packets

To prove this, we work backwards from the last σ to reach group 1 back to the first one

- σ_1 needs $m - 1$ packets to get to group 1
- σ_2 can also only need $m - 1$ packets and end with a buffer of 0
- σ_3 now needs $2(m - 1)$ packets to end with a buffer of 0 ...

Proof for Upper Bound on Group 1 Packets

To prove this, we work backwards from the last σ to reach group 1 back to the first one

- σ_1 needs $m - 1$ packets to get to group 1
- σ_2 can also only need $m - 1$ packets and end with a buffer of 0
- σ_3 now needs $2(m - 1)$ packets to end with a buffer of 0 ...

This can be generalized to the following equation:

$$\sum_{i=0} 2^i \cdot (m - 1) \leq n - (m - 1)$$

$$= \lfloor \log \left(\frac{n}{m - 1} \right) \rfloor + 1$$

Proof for Lower Bound on Group m Packets

In order for no packets to be at group m , every σ must appear more than once.

Proof for Lower Bound on Group m Packets

In order for no packets to be at group m , every σ must appear more than once.

By Pigeonhole principle, if there are $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ different σ within a window of length n , then at least one of them cannot have appeared more than once, so at least one must be in group m

Proof for Lower Bound on Group m Packets

In order for no packets to be at group m , every σ must appear more than once.

By Pigeonhole principle, if there are $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$ different σ within a window of length n , then at least one of them cannot have appeared more than once, so at least one must be in group m

Can we do better? Yes, this is an extremely loose bound, my intuition is that the lower bound before m is guaranteed is also logarithmic because of the buffers of each σ that we add in a window