

teacheR
Teach Yourself or Others R

Adam Rawles

Contents

1	teacheR (1st Edition)	5
1.1	Overview	5
1.2	About Me	6
1.3	Why teacheR?	7
2	Introduction	9
2.1	What is R?	9
2.2	Should I use R?	10
2.3	Using R	10
2.4	RStudio	11
2.5	Packages	12
3	For Students	15
3.1	Operators	15
3.2	Variable assignment	18
3.3	Data types	22
3.4	Data structures	36
3.5	Subsetting	42
3.6	Functions	47
4	For Teachers	53
4.1	Functions	53
4.2	Environments	63
4.3	Objects and Classes	69

4.4	Expressions	79
4.5	If / Else	82
4.6	Iteration	83
5	Summary	91
5.1	Answers	91
5.2	Next Steps	98

Chapter 1

teacheR (1st Edition)

1.1 Overview

This book is a collection of training materials for an introduction to the R statistical computing programming language. Broken down into chapters, I’ve aimed to cover most of the basics.

The book is largely split into two sections. One section (“For Students”) that’s aimed at those that are entirely new to R. I explain the basics in a way that doesn’t require a background in data analysis or computing and you should have a decent understanding of the fundamentals of R if you manage to make it through.

In the second section (“For Teachers”), we look at some of the topics in greater detail, looking at the theory and specifics that underpin what we’ve learnt in the previous section. For those interested in teaching R to others, this section provides an introduction to the underlying workings of R that can be extremely helpful when questions from your students begin to arise. For example, we look at functions in both sections, however we cover the basics of what a function is and how to use one in the “For Students” section, and how to create functions in the “For Teachers” section.

I’m constantly looking to improve this book so feedback is very welcome. Anything from typos to content suggestions, feel free to raise a GitHub issue¹ if you feel something should be changed.

¹<https://github.com/arawles/teacher/issues>

1.1.1 Acknowledgements

This book was made possible with the help of those who raised issues and proposed pull requests. With thanks to: Swapnil Sengupta (@Swapnil-2001²), @ARawles64³

1.2 About Me

I began using R in my second year of university, during an internship looking at publication bias correction methods. I was under the tutorship of a member of staff who helped me immensely, but I must confess that I have never taken an official course in R, online or in person. I like to think, however, that this is not always a bad thing. Learning from the bottom up and struggling along the way is a fantastic way to acquire knowledge and instills a very important lesson:

You're not going to know everything there is to know about R. Ever. But that's okay.

I'm now 4 years into my R career and I use R every day. With that in mind, I don't think there has ever been a day when I haven't referred to a tutorial, or Stack Overflow, or even just Googled the name of a function that I've used 1000 times before. There is a great repository of knowledge for R and it's one of the things I love most about the R community. So please never feel as though you're an impostor in a world of R gurus. In reality, everyone else is just as lost as you. But if you keep ticking along and never feel that learning something new in R isn't worth your time, you'll end up doing some great things.

And in a roundabout way, that is part of the reason I decided to develop these materials. I don't pretend to be the ultimate R programmer, because I still know what it's like to learn something from the start. And everyone has to start somewhere. So I hope that I can help impart some of the lessons that I've learnt over the 4 years to anyone who's looking to learn R in a way that won't leave you feeling lost.

The only final note I have before we start learning how to use R is another bit of advice:

Don't believe everything you read

Whilst this is probably a good thing to keep in mind for any type of training, I feel it's particularly relevant with R for two reasons. Firstly, when it comes to programming languages, lots of people have opinions. Some are true, most are not. Most things you read are a mix between fact and opinion, so take everything with a pinch of salt. For example, the developers of the `ggplot2` package are fervently against arbitrary second axes and so support for them in

²<https://github.com/Swapnil-2001>

³<https://github.com/ARawles64>

`ggplot2` is limited. I also share this view, but that doesn't mean that I'm right - read, learn, but question and make your own mind up.

Secondly, R and particularly all of its packages are prone to change. For this reason, people may make statements relative to one version of R that aren't necessarily true in the future. Things have changed over the years, and so answers from a 10 year-old Stack Overflow question may not still be true when you come across them. A microcosmic version of this are some recent changes in the `tidyr` package. Historically, converting data from/to long and short formats was done using the `spread()` and `gather()` functions. However, in newer releases, these functions are deprecated in favour of `pivot_wider()` and `pivot_longer()`, which provide the same functionality but also some extra bits. The practical implication of this suggestion is don't always read one tutorial on a subject before you dive in.

1.3 Why teacher?

Most books are written as though you are a student. They teach you things so that you can pass a test or do whatever it was you need to do to move onto the next level or finish your project. This is very useful when you have a very specific goal in mind. For instance, people often get into R because they have a particular project they want to work on or because they think it could be useful for their work. Reading a book tailored to that type of project or work can therefore be very useful.

However, learning R this way, or learning anything for that matter, can present with some problems later down the line. Primarily, you've just learnt to do something very specific. There will certainly be tips and skills that will be transferable to future projects, but even then, once you've got a hammer, everything can start looking very nail-like.

And so I often try and take a different approach when learning and teaching R. **Imagine that you're learning R not as a means to an end, but because you're going to teach it to someone else.** This forces a more balanced and universal understanding, and can really help with your knowledge retention. When I began teaching R, my knowledge of R and how it works grew much faster than it ever had. And it was because of the teaching; people will ask insightful questions, ask you what happens when you do this or that and why does that happen, and those questions will force you to better understand R and how it works. It's perfectly okay to say that you don't know the answer when teaching, but when someone asks you why "TRUE" is apparently the same as TRUE but "True" isn't the same as TRUE, I defy anyone who's not curious enough to look it up afterwards.

So when you read this book, don't think of yourself as a lowly student who's learning R to pass their A Levels. Instead, think of yourself as a teacher in

training.

Chapter 2

Introduction

This chapter gives a brief intro into what R is and whether you should use it. For many of you, you may already be aware of the vast majority of this information, but for those who are brand new to R or even to data analysis and programming, this is a good place to start.

2.1 What is R?

R is a public licence programming language. More specifically, it's a statistical programming language meaning that it's often used for statistical analysis rather than software development. R is also a functional programming, rather than an object-oriented programming language like Python. This means that operation in R are primarily performed by functions (input, do something, output), but more about that later.

Strictly speaking, R is not just a functional programming language. In reality, a language is never purely one type and R is no exception. There are object-oriented systems in R (three main ones), meaning that object-oriented programming is possible and relatively straightforward in R.

So basically, R is a functional programming language with some object-oriented systems. If that means very little to you, don't worry. For the vast majority of users, this is a purely academic definition.

One important attribute about R however that may affect you, is that R is an interpreted language. This essentially means that when you send someone some R code, they need R installed to be able to run it. This means that making full programs is difficult. In the `opeRate`¹ book that follows this one, we'll look at the `shiny` package, which can be used to quickly make web apps based on R

¹<https://operate.arawles.co.uk>

code. These apps are no different in the sense that they also need R installed to be able to run, but because they are web-based, they are significantly easier to share.

For the most part then, if you want to share R code with colleagues, they'll need to have R installed as well.

2.2 Should I use R?

People will forever argue about which is better, R or Python or Java or C or writing down mathematical equations on a piece of paper and handing it to a monkey to solve. I imagine you're reading this because you heard that R was good for data analysis, and it absolutely is. And so is Python. They're just... different. Personally, I prefer to use R but I understand that other people don't.

Importantly though, never feel as though you've missed a trick by picking a particular language. Programming is not just a practice, it's a way of thinking, and experience is almost always transferable across languages.

For reference however, here are a few of the things that you can use R for:

- data analysis
- statistics and machine learning
- reporting and writing technical documents
- web apps
- text analysis

If you're interested in any of these, then you're in the right place.

2.3 Using R

R is very simple. There is a console where you type commands and get responses. Like the classic command-line interfaces you see when the stereotypical nerd has to hack into the FBI database, you type commands, one at a time into the console, R processes it, and then produces a response if appropriate. For example, if you type `2 + 2` into the R console and hit enter, you'll get 4.

Writing commands out one at a time can be quite time-consuming if you want to make changes however. So we use scripts to store multiple lines of code that can then be run altogether. When you execute a script, each line gets passed one by one to the console and executed. For example, I might make a script with this code:

```
variable1 <- 2 + 2  
variable1/10
```

```
## [1] 0.4
```

So when I run the script, it will run the first line, then the second without me having to type anything else in.

2.4 RStudio

RStudio is separate from R. R is a programming language and RStudio is an integrated development environment or *IDE*. This means that RStudio doesn't actually run any code, it just passes it to R for you, meaning that you'll need R to really use RStudio.

RStudio is a massive part of how you interact with R however. For example, with the exception of a few days when I was waiting for RStudio to be installed, I can't ever remember using R without RStudio.

In the previous section, we talked very briefly of the R console and scripts. RStudio helps with this workflow. It makes it easier to create scripts, providing extra tools to help write code quicker, and then acts as a window to R when you want to execute the script.

2.4.1 What is an IDE?

At its simplest definition, an IDE helps you get work done in your programming language of choice. It can help you save blocks of code, organise projects, save plots and everything in between. R comes with a basic user interface when you install it, but RStudio provides lot more functionality to help you interact with the R console.

2.4.2 Using RStudio

RStudio is an IDE that is under active developement and so I won't go through a tutorial here for fear that it will soon become outdated. The RStudio website² has lots of tutorials and support to help you get to grips with RStudio, but we'll look at a couple of concepts now to get you started.

²<http://rstudio.com>

2.4.2.1 Console

One of the panes in RStudio will be the R console. This is where your commands are actually executed. When you see a `>` at the console, that means it's waiting for commands. If you don't see one, it usually means that R is processing something so give it a second. If you see a `+` at the console it means that your previous line wasn't a complete command and so R is waiting for you to finish it off. The best thing when you see that is to press "Esc" and check your command to make it's syntactically valid.

2.4.2.2 Scripts

Typing directly into the console is okay for quick interactive use, but soon you'll be doing more complicated operations that will span multiple lines. To make things easier, RStudio has a **scripts** pane. This is where you can write .R files that store R commands. You then highlight the lines you want to execute and click "Run" or press Ctrl+Enter on Windows and the lines will be passed one at a time to the console where they are executed.

2.4.2.3 Environment

The **environment** pane shows you all the objects that are in your current environment. Objects and environments aren't concepts we've looked at yet, so for now just think of this pane as a window to any variables you create.

2.4.2.4 Files/plots/help

These panes are fairly self-explanatory. The **files** pane gives you a view to the files on your computer, the **plots** tab shows you any plots that you create, and the **help** pane can be used to look up functions or topics.

2.5 Packages

As we know, R is a functional programming language, meaning that we rely on functions to do our work for us. And when you install R, you'll have access to thousands of functions that come bundled with it. However, these functions have been chosen because they're more generalisable and basic. Including functions for everything that could be done in R with the base version would result in it being unnecessarily large.

So instead of them being available from the start, people create sets of functions that usually are used for a particular tasks and then distribute them as

a *package*. You can then install this package and have access to all these great functions that someone has written for you.

Some great examples of R packages are:

- `ggplot2`³ for creating plots
 - `dplyr`⁴ for data manipulation
 - `shiny`⁵ for creating web apps
 - `BMRsr`⁶ for extracting energy data
- Truth be told, this isn't a *great* example of a package, it's just the one I've made so that's why it's here.

The thing to remember is that R has a fantastic open source community and if you need to do something in R, somebody has probably written a package to help you out.

2.5.1 Installing packages

You can think of installing a package as a bit like installing a program on your computer. You only need to install it once, but then you'll need to open it each time you use it.

Installing packages is really easy; you just use the `install.packages()` function:

```
install.packages("BMRsr")
```

You can choose where the package installs by supplying a path to the `lib` parameter (e.g. `lib = "C:/me/desktop"`), but by default it will install it into your default library folder. You can find the path to this default library folder with the `.libPaths()` function.

Once the package has been installed, you only need to reinstall it if there's a problem or you want to update it. Otherwise, you just need to load it every time you want to use it. The logic behind this is that you may have hundreds of packages installed and you don't need all of them for every project you do. So instead, we load specific packages we want each time.

To load a package, use the `library()` function. Place this somewhere near the start of your script so that it's obvious which packages someone will need if they're reading your code and want to do it for themselves. This will then load in all of the functions from that package for you to use.

³<https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org/>

⁴<https://dplyr.tidyverse.org/>

⁵<https://shiny.rstudio.com/>

⁶<http://bmr.arawles.co.uk>

But Adam, what happens if I load two packages that have functions with the same name? Ah, that's a great question. Later in the book ([#Environments]) we're going to look at exactly how R deals with this issues, but I'll give a simple explanation for now. When R loads your packages, it will do it in a specific order. The later on the package is loaded, the higher it's precedence. That means that when you try and use a function with a name that's in more than one of the packages you've loaded, it will default to the latest package you've loaded.

To help avoid these situations, there are some things in place. Firstly, when you load a package that includes function names that are already used, you'll get a conflict warning. Secondly, to avoid this confusion altogether, you can be explicit about which package your function came from. To do that, just prefix your function with the package name and `::` when you use it, like this:

```
dplyr::mutate()  
  
mutate()
```

Finally, there is a package called **conflicted** that you can use to avoid these issues. Rather than giving a certain package precedence because it was loaded later, attempting to use a function that could come from more than one package will cause an error and you'll need to be explicit with which one you mean.

Personally, I use the prefix method. Yes it's a little bit more verbose, but it makes it 100 times easier for someone else to know exactly where your function has come from without the need for extra packages. This also tends to be the approach that I take because there's nothing worse than coming back to a script a year later and forgetting which packages you need because you didn't include the correct library calls.

Chapter 3

For Students

This section of the book is aimed at those who are either complete beginners in data analysis and statistical computing or who have some prior experience with another language or software package and want to learn more about R.

Here, we'll take a look at the basics but without going into too much detail so as to be confusing. If you make it through this section, when you come out the other side you'll have more than enough knowledge to be able to complete your first real R project.

For those of you who feel you want to understand what underpins the concepts and code we're going to look at, or those who want to eventually teach R to others, the following section ("For Teachers") will go into more detail. For example, you'll need to understand what a function is to use R, but you won't need to know how to create one. In the "For Students" section, we look at what a function is, and then we learn how to create one in the "For Teachers" section.

3.1 Operators

Operators perform an action or represent something. For example, a great example of an operator is `+`. The `+` is a type of arithmetic operator that adds things together.

In this section, we're going to look at the more common arithmetic operators that are used for simple maths in R, and then at some logical operators that are used to evaluate whether a criteria has been fulfilled.

3.1.1 Arithmetic operators

At the base of lots of programming languages are the arithmetic operators. These are your symbols that perform things like addition, subtraction, multiplication, etc. Because these operations are so ubiquitous however, the symbols that are used are often very similar across languages, so if you've used Excel or Python or SPSS or anything similar before, then these should be fairly straightforward.

Here are the main operators in use:

```
2 + 2 # addition
```

```
## [1] 4
```

```
10 - 5 # subtraction
```

```
## [1] 5
```

```
5 * 4 # multiplication
```

```
## [1] 20
```

```
100/25 # division
```

```
## [1] 4
```

3.1.2 Logical operators

Logical operators are slightly different to arithmetic operators - they are used to evaluate a particular criteria. For example, are two values equal. Or, are two values equal *and* two other values different.

To compare whether two things are equal, we use two equal signs (==) in R:

```
1 == 1 # equal
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

Why two I hear you say? Well, a bit later on we'll see that we use a single equals sign for something else.

To compare whether two things are different (not equal), we use !=:


```
1 != 2 # not equal
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

The `!` sign is also used in other types of criteria, so the best way to think about it is that it inverts the criteria you’re testing. So in this case, it’s inverting the “equals” criteria, making it “not equal”.

Testing whether a value is smaller or larger than another is done with the `<` and `>` operators:

```
2 > 1 # greater than
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
2 < 4 # less than
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

Applying our logic with the `!` sign, we can also test whether something is *not* smaller or *not* larger:

```
1 > !2 # not greater than
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
2 < !4 # not less than
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

Why is the `!` sign before the equals sign in the “not equal” to code, but after the “less than/greater than” sign? No idea. It’d probably make more sense if they were the same, but I suppose worse things happen at sea.

There are three more logical operators, and they are the “and”, “or”, and “xor” operators. These are used to test whether at least one or more than one or only one of the logical comparisons are true or false:

```
1 == 1 | 2 == 3 # or (i.e. are either of these TRUE)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
1 == 1 & 2 == 3 # and (i.e. are these both TRUE)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

The xor operator is a bit different:

```
xor(1 == 1, 2 == 3) # TRUE because only 1 is
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
xor(1 == 1, 2 == 2) # FALSE because both are
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

For `xor()`, you need to provide your criteria in brackets, but this will make much more sense once we look at functions.

3.1.3 Questions

1. Why do `1 != 2` and `!(1 == 2)` both equal true?
2. Reading the R documentation¹ on logical operators, what is the difference between `|` and `||` (and `&` and `&&`)?
 - Hint: the data structures² section of this book should help

3.2 Variable assignment

Do you ever tell a story to a friend, and then someone else walks in once you've finished and so you have to tell the whole thing again?

Well, imagine after the second friend walks in, another friend comes in, and you have to start the story over again, and then another friend comes in and so on and so forth. What would be the best way to save you repeating yourself? As weird as it would look, if you wrote the story down then anyone who came in could just read it, rather than you having to go through the effort of explaining the whole thing each time.

Also, if you realised you'd misremembered something, then you'd just have to change the story as it was written down, rather than going to each of your friends and explaining your error.

¹<https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/base/html/Logic.html>

²data-structures

This is essentially what we can do in R. Sometimes you'll use the same value again and again in your script. For example, say you're looking at total expenditure over a year, the value for the amount spent would probably come up quite a lot. Now, you could just type that value in every time you need it, but that's a lot of error. And what happens if the value changed? You'd then have to go through and change it every time it appears.

Instead, you could store the value in a variable, and then reference the variable every time you need it. This way, if you ever have to change the value, you only need to change it once.

3.2.1 Creating variables

Creating variables in R is really easy. All you need to do is provide a valid name, use the `<-` symbol, and then provide a value to assign:

```
hello_im_a_variable <- 100
hello_im_a_variable
```

```
## [1] 100
```

Now, whenever you want to use your variable, you just need to provide the variable name in place of the value:

```
hello_im_a_variable/10
```

```
## [1] 10
```

You can even use your variable to create new variables:

```
hello_im_another_variable <- hello_im_a_variable/20
hello_im_another_variable
```

```
## [1] 5
```

When you come across other people's work, you may see that they use `=` instead of `<-` when they create their variables. Even though it's not the end of the world if you do do that, I would recommend getting into the habit of using `<-`. `<-` is purely used for assignment, whereas `=` is actually also used when we call functions, and so it can get a bit confusing if you use them interchangeably.

As a side note, you'll see that the value of the variable isn't outputted when we assign it. If we want to see the value, we need just the name.

3.2.2 Naming

Naming objects and variables in R mostly comes down to preference. There are some hard and fast rules that need to be followed which we'll discuss and also a few common naming conventions but which one you use is up to you.

3.2.2.1 Valid names

R is pretty lenient when it comes to names but there are some red lines:

- Names must start with a character or a dot (but then the second character can't be a digit)
- Names can only contain letters, numbers, underscores, and dots

Similar to this, there are some reserved words that can't be used as object names:

- break
- if
- else
- FALSE
- TRUE
- for
- function
- Inf
- NaN
- NA
- next
- repeat
- return
- while

As a sidenote, names are case sensitive. That means that you can have two objects called `test` and `Test` that can be referred to separately. Generally, this isn't the best idea.

3.2.2.2 Naming conventions

3.2.2.2.1 Nouns and verbs Roughly speaking, it's advisable to name your variables as nouns and your functions (which we get to later) as verbs. This is because variables can be considered *things* whereas functions *do things*.

For example, an appropriate name for the energy-based dataset you're working on might be `energy_dataset`. This is descriptive and unique. An example of good function names are the `sum()` and `mean()` functions; what they do is easily disseminated from their names.

3.2.2.2.2 Multiple words Sometimes, you'll want to use names that have more than one word, like our `energy_dataset` example. If you're convinced that the best way to do this is to include an actual space, you can create objects with spaces in their names by surrounding the name in backticks `:

```
`dont call your variable this` <- 1
```

Please don't ever do this. It will just make things 100% more complicated down the line. Instead, I highly recommend that you use camel case (`EnergyDataset`), `_s` (`energy_dataset`) or `.s` (`energy.dataset`).

Personally, I use `_s` because camel case is more difficult to read at a glance and there is a group of R functions that use `.` in their name and you don't want to get confused with those, but it's really just a preference. I would only say that it's better to be consistent than to choose the right convention.

3.2.3 Reassigning variables

Variables are very flexible. You can overwrite a previously defined variable just by reassigning a new value to the same name:

```
variable_1 <- 100  
variable_1 <- "I'm not 100 anymore"  
variable_1
```

```
## [1] "I'm not 100 anymore"
```

R will also give the variable an appropriate *type* based on the value you assign. So for example, if you assign 20 to a variable, then that variable will be stored as a number. If you assign something in quotation marks like `"hello"`, then R will store it as text.

Let's look in a bit more detail at the different data types...

3.2.4 Questions

1. Is `.2nd` a valid name? Why/why not?
2. Why are names like `if`, `function`, and `TRUE` not allowed?
3. Why might it be a bad idea to assign a value to a name like `mean` or `sum`?

3.3 Data types

Data can be stored in lots of different forms. For example, "TRUE" and TRUE are stored as two different types, even though they look very similar to us.

The main different data types are:

- logical
 - TRUE
 - FALSE
- double (numeric)
 - 12.5
 - 19
 - 99999
- integer (numeric)
 - 2L
 - 34L
- character
 - “hello”
 - “my name is”
- factors
- dates
 - 2019-06-01
- datetime (POSIXct)
 - 2019-06-01 12:00:00

Let’s have a look at each one in detail:

3.3.1 Logical

A logical variable can only have two *real* values, `TRUE` or `FALSE`. I say two *real* values, because you can also have things like `NA`, but that's true of any data type. We look at `NA` values a little bit later on.

Logical variables are used a lot in response questionnaires, where the answer to the question is either “Yes” or “No” (`TRUE` or `FALSE`). I would recommend converting any character strings like “Yes” or “No” or “`TRUE`” or “`FALSE`” to a logical variable rather than leaving them as characters, because it'll make your analysis less verbose (use fewer lines of code), even if it doesn't change the underlying logic.

To test whether something is stored as logical, we use the `is.logical()` function:

```
is.logical(TRUE)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
is.logical("TRUE")
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

To convert a value to logical, use the `as.logical()` function:

```
as.logical(1)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
as.logical(0)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
as.logical("TRUE")
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
as.logical("FALSE")
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

Be careful though, just because a conversion seems obvious to you, doesn't mean you'll get the expected result! For instance, what do you think `as.logical(2)` should return? See for yourself.

3.3.2 Double

The best way to think of a `double` value is as a number. It can be a whole number (but see `Integers`) or a decimal. R will often take care of any implicit number conversion that needs to be done under the hood, so the only thing you really need to keep in mind is that when you assign a number, be it a whole number or decimal, it will be stored as double by default.

As an aside, it's called double because it's stored using double precision³.

To check whether a value is stored as double (or more generally numeric), use the `is.double()` and `is.numeric()` functions:

```
is.double(2)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
is.numeric("not numeric")
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
is.double(2L) # see the next section for why this returns FALSE
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

To convert a value to a double, use the `as.double()` or `as.numeric()` functions:

```
as.double("5")
```

```
## [1] 5
```

```
as.numeric("10")
```

```
## [1] 10
```

```
as.double("im going to cause a warning")
```

```
## Warning: NAs introduced by coercion
```

```
## [1] NA
```

³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Double-precision_floating-point_format

3.3.3 Integer

Whilst also storing numeric data (like double), integers are specific to whole numbers. Also, by default, even when you assign a whole number, like this: `number <- 1`, R will store that value as double rather than as an integer. To store something explicitly as an integer, suffix the value with an L, like this: `number <- 1L`. Attempting to store something that isn't an integer as an integer will result in a warning:

```
1.5
```

```
## [1] 1.5
```

For the most part, I let R take care of how it stores numbers, unless I explicitly need it to be of a certain type. That is pretty rare though.

To check if something is an integer, use the `is.integer()` function:

```
is.integer(2)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
is.integer(2L)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

To convert to an integer, use the L suffix or the `as.integer()` function:

```
1L
```

```
## [1] 1
```

3.3.4 Character

Sometimes called characters, or character strings, or just strings, characters store text. If you assign a value within quotation marks, regardless of what's inside the quotation marks, it will be stored as character. For example, `"5"` stores a character string with the text "5", not the number 5. This is particularly important when you want to start combining variables. For example, `{r, error = TRUE} "5" + 5` doesn't work, because you're trying to add text to a number, which doesn't make sense.

To check whether something is stored as a character, use the `is.character()` function:

```
is.character("hello")
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
is.character(5)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
is.character(TRUE)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

To convert something to a character, use the `as.character()` function:

```
as.character(5)
```

```
## [1] "5"
```

```
as.character(TRUE)
```

```
## [1] "TRUE"
```

3.3.5 Factors

Factors are a unique but useful data type in R. Essentially, factors store different levels that represent some sort of grouping. For example, say you were collecting some information on people from different countries, the column that holds which country the respondent is from could be stored as a factor, with the levels England, Spain, France, etc.

A factor level is made up of two things. A label and a number that represents that group. In my countries example, our factor would have the labels “England”, “Spain”, “France” and the values 1, 2, 3. This means that internally, a factor is essentially a collection of integers representing the level position and character strings representing the level label.

To create a factor, we just use the `factor()` function:

```
factor(c("England", "France", "Spain"))
```

```
## [1] England France Spain
```

```
## Levels: England France Spain
```

It's also worth remembering that you can have levels that don't appear in the data you have. For example, in a questionnaire, you may provide the options "None", "Some", "All". But in your responses, you may see that no-one chose the "None" option. In that case, you would still create a factor with three levels, even though only two of them appear.

You can also specify whether a factor is *ordered*. You would use an ordered factor when the levels have meaningful order. For instance, in the above example, it would make sense that "Some" is better than "None", and "All" is better than "Some". To create an ordered factor, just specify `ordered = TRUE` in your function. By default, the factor will be ordered in the order the values appear, unless you specify levels (see below).

To convert something to a factor, use the `factor()` function if you want to specify levels and labels, or `as.factor()` to do it for you:

```
factor(c("Some", "All"), levels = c("None", "Some", "All"))
```

```
## [1] Some All  
## Levels: None Some All
```

```
factor(c("Some", "All"), levels = c("None", "Some", "All"),  
       ordered = TRUE)
```

```
## [1] Some All  
## Levels: None < Some < All
```

```
as.factor(c("Some", "All"))
```

```
## [1] Some All  
## Levels: All Some
```

Notice the difference in the output of those three lines. The first allows us to specify the levels (i.e. the values that were possible). The second does the same but we also specify the ordering of the levels, and the third just converts the provided values and generates the levels based on that data.

Note: An important change in R version 4.0.0 is that R will no longer automatically convert strings (characters) to factors when you import data using `data.frame()` or `read.table()`. Prior to 4.0.0, it would automatically convert strings to characters unless otherwise specified.

3.3.5.1 Converting from factors

Sometimes you'll need to convert data from a factor to something else, usually a character. This is fairly straightforward using the tools we've already seen:

```
as.character(factor(c("Some", "None", "All")))
```

```
## [1] "Some" "None" "All"
```

3.3.6 Dates

Dates in any language are tricky. Different countries store dates in different formats and different bits of software store dates in different ways (looking at you Excel). This can make storing values as dates tough.

The most common way of creating a date is to use the `as.Date()` function. To use this function, you just need to provide your date as a character string:

```
as.Date("2019/01/01")
```

```
## [1] "2019-01-01"
```

But Adam, how does R know which one is the month and which is the day? Good question, thank you for asking. By default, R expects your character string to be in the order “Year/Month/Day”. If you don't provide it in that format, you'll get a nonsense output:

```
as.Date("01/12/2019")
```

```
## [1] "1-12-20"
```

If your data is in a different format however, you can specify the format:

```
as.Date("01/12/2019", format = "%d/%m/%Y")
```

```
## [1] "2019-12-01"
```

Here, we're telling R that the string is in the format “Day/Month/Year”. A list of the different codes that can be used in the format parameter can be found [here](https://www.statmethods.net/input/dates.html)⁴, or by typing “R date codes” into Google.

⁴<https://www.statmethods.net/input/dates.html>

Because nothing in life is simple, sometimes you'll get some data that has the date stored as a number. This is because the source of that data has the date stored as the number of days that have passed since an origin date. Because it's a number, our `as.Date(..., format = ...)` doesn't work. Instead, we can still use the `as.Date()` function, but we need to specify what the origin date is that the number refers to.

By default, when importing from Excel in Windows, the origin date is December 31st 1899. More commonly, the date January 1st 1970 (also known as the epoch date) is used.

Anyway, to specify your origin, we use the `origin` parameter, like this:

```
as.Date(18262, origin = "1970/01/01")
```

```
## [1] "2020-01-01"
```

Notice the format I've provided the origin in. It's the same as the default that R expects, and I would recommend copying that format wherever possible. If you're someone who just wants to watch the world burn, then you can specify a format for your origin as well...

```
as.Date(18262, origin = as.Date("01/01/1970", format = "%d/%m/%Y"))
```

```
## [1] "2020-01-01"
```

but where's the humanity in that?

Testing whether something is a date is not as simple as the other data types unfortunately. Instead, we just use the `is()` or `class()` functions. If the first value returned is "Date", then you know it's a date:

```
is(as.Date("2020/01/01"))
```

```
## [1] "Date"      "oldClass"
```

```
class(as.Date("2020/01/01"))
```

```
## [1] "Date"
```

3.3.7 Datetimes (POSIXct)

If you thought dates were annoying, datetimes are like dates' little brother who didn't get enough attention as a child and so acts up all the time. One of the reasons for this is that datetimes aren't actually called datetimes. They're called POSIXct in R. So whenever you see that dreadful word, just remember "ah, Adam told me that means datetime" and you'll be fine.

Another thing that makes datetimes tough is that in addition to dates, datetimes (as you may have guessed) also store the time. The issue with that is that time is a more relative concept - there are lots of different time zones, so how do you know which one you're referring to? By default, R has a locale for where you currently are and will use that location for your timezone. You override that default using the `Sys.setlocale()` function, or you can use the `tz` parameter when creating your datetime as we'll see below.

With these annoyances aside however, creating datetimes isn't all that different to creating dates except that we use the `as.POSIXct()` function instead. We just provide a character string (with a `format` specification if necessary), or a number with an origin. One important departure from dates though, is that now our origin is in seconds, not days, to allow us to calculate the time.

```
as.POSIXct("2020/01/01 12:00:00")
```

```
## [1] "2020-01-01 12:00:00 UTC"
```

```
as.POSIXct("2020/01/01 12:00:00", tz = "NZ")
```

```
## [1] "2020-01-01 12:00:00 NZDT"
```

```
as.POSIXct(1577880000, origin = "1970/01/01")
```

```
## [1] "2020-01-01 12:00:00 UTC"
```

Similar to dates, there is no `as.POSIXct()` function in base R, so we use the `is()` and `class()` functions instead:

```
is(as.POSIXct("2020/01/01 12:00:00"))
```

```
## [1] "POSIXct" "POSIXt" "oldClass"
```

```
class(as.POSIXct("2020/01/01 12:00:00"))
```

```
## [1] "POSIXct" "POSIXt"
```

3.3.8 NA and NULL

The R language has two kind of related values, **NA** and **NULL**.

3.3.8.1 NULL

NULL indicates the absence of a value. It means that a value is missing (or has length zero). A null value has no ‘type’ because it represents an absence of something, so passing a null value to any of the `is.[type]()` functions will return `FALSE`. Instead, checking whether a value is `NULL` is done with the `is.null()` function:

```
is.character(NULL)
```

```
## [1] FALSE
```

```
is.null(NULL)
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

`is.character()` returns `FALSE` because `NULL` doesn’t have a data type (it’s the absence of a value), whereas `is.null()` returns `TRUE` because it is `NULL`.

What happens when you compare values to `NULL` using our logical operators? Well, this is where the idea of `NULL` having a length of 0 comes in. If you type `length(NULL)`, you’ll see it will return 0. So when you’re comparing a value against `NULL` like this:

```
"Am I NULL?" == NULL
```

```
## logical(0)
```

You get an output that is of length 0. And that makes sense, because `NULL` is missing/has 0 zero length. So basically you’re then comparing something ("`Am I NULL?`") to nothing (`NULL`). Therefore, your output is nothing (or length 0).

Dealing with `NULL` can be a bit tricky, so the important thing to remember is that `NULL` represents something missing, and have length 0. Unlike, `NA`...

3.3.8.2 NA

On the other hand, *NA* (not available) represents an invalid value. This most often occurs when you try to convert one datatype to another where R can't assign an appropriate value.

NA isn't of length 0 like NULL because it is a value, it's just invalid. In other words, there's something there, but it doesn't really make sense what it is.

For example, attempting to parse a character string to a date format that doesn't match will result in a NA value:

```
as.Date("10/01/20", format = "%m%Y%d")
```

```
## [1] NA
```

R has tried to parse a value from one type into another. The value isn't NULL because it clearly isn't missing, but it couldn't be converted to a date type, so it's NA. Unlike NULL, there are different NA values for each datatype (although they'll all look like NA in the console). In the example above, we actually created a NA that has the Date type:

```
errant_date <- as.Date("10/01/20", format = "%m%Y%d")
is(errant_date)
```

```
## [1] "Date"      "oldClass"
```

This is because R knows what type the NA *should* be, but it couldn't assign in a proper value.

The same behaviour can be observed for other data types:

```
as.numeric("not a number")
```

```
## Warning: NAs introduced by coercion
```

```
## [1] NA
```

```
as.logical("not a logical")
```

```
## [1] NA
```



```
is(as.numeric("not a number"))
```

```
## Warning in is(as.numeric("not a number")): NAs  
## introduced by coercion
```

```
## [1] "numeric" "vector"
```

```
is(as.logical("not a logical"))
```

```
## [1] "logical" "vector"
```

To test whether something is NA, we use the `is.na()` function. You don't need to worry about what type the NA is, this will test if it is an NA of any type.

```
is.na(NA_character_) # this will be an NA that is of type 'character'
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

```
is.na(NA_integer_) # this will be an NA that is of type 'integer'
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

3.3.8.3 Dealing with NAs

Dealing with NAs is often contextual. Attempting to perform a mathematical calculation on a vector of values that contains at least one NA will often return NA:

```
sum(1, 2, NA)
```

```
## [1] NA
```

```
mean(c(1, NA))
```

```
## [1] NA
```

```
NA + 1
```

```
## [1] NA
```

In some cases, those NAs will represent real issues with the values and so removing the NAs or converting them to 0 will just mask the error without fixing it.

Alternatively, data imports can often return NA values because of differing data types or similar and so converting those values to 0 or removing them outright may be appropriate.

Ultimately, how you deal with NA values is a question that you'll need to answer when it happens and depending on the situation. I will give you a helping hand though and say that if you want to just remove the NA values when summing or calculating an average or similar, then these functions often have an `na.rm` parameter that can be used to remove the NA values from the supplied list of values:

```
sum(1, 2, NA, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 3
```

3.3.9 NaN and Infinity

A special case is NaN (not a number). NaNs are distinct to NA in that they represent a valid value. More precisely, NaN represents not real numbers (numeric values that cannot be represented with numbers). For example, dividing 0/0.

Inf and -Inf are similar constructs. They represent infinity and minus infinity respectively. They are valid values but they are not representable with numbers, so they have their own reserved words.

NaN, Inf and -Inf are all of the numeric type and do not have equivalent values in other data types.

3.3.10 Implicit Conversion

Converting between types using the `as.x()` functions is nice and easy because the type that you're converting to is explicitly defined. However, there is also *implicit conversion* in R. That is where R basically avoids an error by converting a variable into a different data type so that the function can run correctly. Let's look at a proper example.

```
paste0("I'm a character", 100)
```

```
## [1] "I'm a character100"
```

The `paste0()` function provides a pretty good example of implicit conversion in the wild. `paste0()` expects character strings when it's called, so what happens when you provide it with a number like we did above? Why doesn't it complain? Well it's because R automatically converts it for us. It takes that number, converts it to a character and then pastes things together. This way, you can be a bit more fast and loose with your data types because R will do the type conversion for you. Maybe the best way to describe it is that it's a bit like a programming autocorrect.

3.3.10.1 Dangers of implicit conversion

As you can imagine, this automatic conversion between types when the user didn't specifically ask for it can cause problems. For example, when I teach R courses I often ask the attendees what they think R is going to return when I type `"TRUE" == TRUE`. Without fail, the majority will say that it's going to be `FALSE`; one is a character string and the other is a logical value.

My heart always dies a little bit then when I show them that it actually returns `TRUE`. "But why?", they rightly ask. And it's all because of implicit conversion. When we use the `==` operator, R will try and coerce the variables so that they're the same type. In this case, it successfully coerces `"TRUE"` to `TRUE`, and so returns `TRUE`.

There are, of course, going to be cases where this makes things easier. For instance, say you've got some data where there are two columns of logical values but one has incorrectly been imported as a character column. This implicit conversion will save you from having to change the character column. But implicit conversion will inevitably cause you problems in the future.

So my advice is simply to be aware of it. Don't fight it, don't worry about it unduly, just keep it in the back of your mind for that one time where you can't seem to figure out why you can divide things by `TRUE`.

3.3.11 Questions

1. Why are 2 and 2L different?
2. What is an ordered factor and how is it different to a character string?
3. Why does `as.Date("19/01/2019", format = "%d/%m/%y")` return the date 19th Jan 2020 and not 19th Jan 2019?
4. Why does `as.numeric(TRUE)` return 1? What will `as.logical(2)` return?
5. Look at the `identical()` function. How is that different from `==`?

3.4 Data structures

It's rare that you're ever going to be working on a single value in R. Instead, you're going to want to work on collections of values, like a dataset or a list or something similar. So we need to know what data structures are available in R. Here's a list of the structures which we'll go into more detail:

- vectors
- lists
- matrices
- data frames

3.4.1 Vectors

Vectors are simple arrays of data in a single dimension. You can think of vectors as a like a very simple list. For instance, you can store the numbers 1 to 10 in a vector. Or each word in a string of text could be stored in a vector.

One of the main things to remember about vectors however, is that they are atomic. That's basically a fancy word to mean that each value in a vector must be a single unit. For instance, the number 1 is a single unit. But a vector containing all the numbers between 1 and 10 is not. This is in direct contrast to lists, which are recursive, and we'll look at those next.

To create a vector, we use the `c()` function, which is short for concatenate. In other words, we're pulling together lots of different values and concatenating them into one structure.

```
c(1, 2, 3, 4)
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3 4
```

Technically speaking, even single values are stored as a vector in R, they just have length one. That's why if you type `is(1)`, the second things that pops up after "numeric" is "vector". R is telling us that 1 is a number and that it's also a vector.

3.4.1.1 Coercion

All the values in a vector must be of the same type (e.g. character, numeric, etc.). If you try and create a vector with different data types in it, you'll see that all the values will be coerced to the same type. This is because the type of a vector is stored at the structure level (i.e., what type is the vector?), not at the individual level (i.e. what type is the value in the vector?). Let's look at an example:

```
c(1, "hello")
```

```
## [1] "1"      "hello"
```

You can see that both values get coerced to character strings. If we try:

```
c(1L, 1.5)
```

```
## [1] 1.0 1.5
```

We see that our integer (1L) becomes a double.

This is related to the concept of **implicit conversion** in R. Roughly speaking, all of the values in your vector will get coerced to the most *complex* type.

3.4.1.2 Naming

You can name the values in a vector. To give a value a name, you can simply provide one with a = sign when you create your vector:

```
c(this_is_the_first_value = 1, this_is_the_second_value = 2)
```

```
## this_is_the_first_value this_is_the_second_value  
##                1                2
```

3.4.2 Lists

Lists are similar to vectors in that they store values one after another. However, there are two main differences:

- Lists can contain values of any type - they are *recursive*.

Recursion is the action of doing something again and again. We call lists recursive, because we could have a list, that contains a list, that contains a list, and so on and so forth like Russian Dolls.

- Lists do not have to be made up of values of the same type.

So for instance, whilst a vector must always be the same, like `c(1,2,3)`, we could have a list that looks like this:

```
list(1, "hello", TRUE)
```

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] "hello"
##
## [[3]]
## [1] TRUE
```

As you just saw, we create lists using the `list()` function, and providing names is done the same way as it is for vectors:

```
list(first_value = 1, second_value = "hello")
```

```
## $first_value
## [1] 1
##
## $second_value
## [1] "hello"
```

3.4.3 Lists vs Vectors

Given that lists and vectors are intrinsically linked, it's very natural to wonder when to use one over the other. Well, the basic answer is to use whichever one has the requirements you need. If all of your values are of the same type and are atomic (numeric, integer, logical, etc.). If they aren't all the same, or you need to have a list of data structures like vectors and lists rather than just single values, then use a list.

I appreciate that this answer isn't particularly satisfactory, so let me give a real life example of when I've used each.

Vector

I was recently producing a simulation that I needed to run multiple times with a different value each time. The value itself was a single number ranging between 1 and 30. So I used a vector like so:

```
my_vector <- c(1:30)
# this is just shorthand for saying 'all of the numbers
# from 1 to 30'
```

So when I ran my simulation, I had all the values I wanted to run it for in a single structure.

List

When doing data modelling, it can sometimes be helpful to create and evaluate multiple models. One way of doing that is to create multiple models and assign them to different variables:

```
model1 <- model(...)
model2 <- model(...)
model3 <- model(...)
```

The problem with this however, is that if I then want to compare the models, I'll have to write out `modelX` each time. If I have 50 models or similar, it may take a while. So instead, I often store all my models in a list. The values are complex (i.e. a model isn't just a numeric or character value) so they can't be stored in a vector, but they can be stored in a list. This means that I keep all my models together, and if I then decide that actually I want to add more models to my list, this is significantly easier than typing out more `modelX <- model(...)` lines and assigning each one as a new variable.

Before moving onto the other data structures, I just want to quickly mention that in my learning experience, understanding vectors and lists is one of the most important parts of getting to grips with R. R for many is about automating analysis and reducing the amount of time taken to do something. And vectors and lists are at the heart of this. Later on, we'll look at functions and for loops, which we can use to perform the same action or calculation on all the values in a list or vector. Together, these will be your strongest R tools.

3.4.4 Matrices

Unlike vectors, matrices are 2 dimensional. In fact, matrices resemble something a bit like a watered down version of a spreadsheet or table.

I say watered down, because matrices can only contain values of the same type. In fact, a matrix is really just a vector in 2D. For example, if I had a vector of the numbers 1 to 10, I could easily convert it into a matrix just by setting what I wanted the dimensions to be with the `dim()` function like so:

```
im_gunna_be_a_matrix <- 1:10
dim(im_gunna_be_a_matrix) <- c(2, 5)
im_gunna_be_a_matrix
```

```
##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
## [1,]    1    3    5    7    9
## [2,]    2    4    6    8   10
```

```
is(im_gunna_be_a_matrix)
```

```
## [1] "matrix"      "array"      "structure" "vector"
```

Matrices aren't really designed for storing complex datasets. Instead, matrices are an efficient way of storing and performing matrix mathematics on sets of numbers.

Creating a matrix is easy. You can give a vector dimensions like we did above, or you can use the `matrix()` function. We provide the values we want to put into the matrix, and how many rows and columns they should be split into:

```
matrix(c(1:4), nrow = 2, ncol = 2)
```

```
##      [,1] [,2]
## [1,]    1    3
## [2,]    2    4
```

By default, the matrix is filled by column first (i.e. it starts at column 1 and fills that column, then moves onto the next one). To change this, use `byrow = TRUE`.

3.4.5 Dataframes

Dataframes are the more typical dataset storage medium. They can have columns of different types (although all of types within a column need to be the same), and they resemble more of an Excel spreadsheet or table than matrices do.

To create a dataframe, we use the `data.frame()` function. To this function, we provide our values as columns:

```
data.frame(col_1 = c(1, 2, 3), col_2 = c("hello", "world",
    "howsitgoing"))
```

```
##   col_1    col_2
## 1     1    hello
## 2     2    world
## 3     3 howsitgoing
```

More specifically, R stores dataframes as essentially a list of lists, with each list representing a different column. It's *a tiny* bit like the relationship between vectors and matrices; matrices are built on vectors and dataframes are built on lists. To demonstrate this, when we type...


```
is(data.frame())
```

```
## [1] "data.frame" "list"          "oldClass"     "vector"
```

The second value in the returned vector is “list”.

So at it’s heart, a dataframe is a list, and each column within a dataframe is also a list. Why is that useful to know? Well, for one, this should make things make a bit more sense when we move onto subsetting⁵. Secondly, when you start to move onto more complicated analysis, you can utilise the features of a list to create datasets that wouldn’t be possible in something like Excel. For instance, we know that we can store models in a list. Well, let’s say we had a dataset that had data for lots of different countries and we wanted to create a separate model for each country. We could have a dataset that had the country in one column and then the model in another:

```
data.frame(
  country = c("England", "Spain", "France"),
  model = I(list(model(...), model(...), model(...)))
  # The I() just tells R to leave it as a list
)
```

For now though, don’t worry too much about the internals. Just remember that data frames are the most flexible dataset storage medium and they’ll be what you do most of your analysis with. And if you can remember that each column is technically a list, then you’re ahead of the game.

3.4.6 Questions

1. If I want to store a set of integers, what data structure should I use and why?
2. Reading in Excel and .csv files into R will convert them into data.frames. Why do you think this is?
3. What does `is(matrix())` return? What does this tell us about the underlying difference between matrices and dataframes?
 - Hint: This explains why matrices need to have columns of the same type

⁵[subsetting.html#dataframes-1](#)

3.5 Subsetting

There will be occasions where you don't want all the values in a vector/list/matrix/dataframe. Instead, you'll only want a *subset*. The way to do that is slightly different depending on the data structure you're using.

Note: In some programming languages, an index starts from 0. This means that you have a list or array or similar, the first value is at position 0, then 1, then 2, etc. In R, the first value is at position 1. In other words, we index from 1 in R.

3.5.1 Vectors

Vectors are simple. Just use square brackets (`[]` or `[[]]`) after your vector and provide the index or indices of the values that you want:

```
c(10, 20, 30, 40)[1]
```

```
## [1] 10
```

```
c(10, 20, 30, 40)[c(1, 4)]
```

```
## [1] 10 40
```

```
c(10, 20, 30, 40)[1:3]
```

```
## [1] 10 20 30
```

```
c(10, 20, 30, 40)[[1]]
```

```
## [1] 10
```

P.S. If you have a vector of named values, you can also use the names instead of the indices. Like `c(value_1 = 1)[["value_1"]]`.

But Adam, I hear you ask, `c(10,20,30,40)[1]` and `c(10,20,30,40)[[1]]` just gave us the same thing, so are the interchangeable?

Well, they kind of returned the same thing, but they didn't. So no, they're not interchangeable.

Essentially, `[]` returns the *container* at the provided index, where `[[]]` returns the *value* at the provided index. Another way of thinking about it is that `[]` is a subsetting operator (you're taking a subset of the original set) whereas `[[]]`

is an extraction operator (you're extracting a value out of the vector). As a real-world analogy, say you have ten salt shakers lined up in a row with salt in each one. Using the `[]` operator will give you the salt shaker (and the salt) you asked for. Using `[[[]]` will give you just the salt inside the shaker, but not the shaker.

Let's see a practical example in R of the difference:

```
c(value_1 = 10, value_2 = 20)[1]
```

```
## value_1
##      10
```

```
c(value_1 = 10, value_2 = 20)[[1]]
```

```
## [1] 10
```

In the first call, we get the name of the value and the value itself. In other words, rather than just returning the value at that index, we've essentially just chopped up the vector to only returning everything from the first position. Conversely, in the second call, we've just been given the value. What we've done here is extracted the value out from that position.

As a result of this difference, `[]` **can be used with more than one index (e.g. `[1:5]` or `[c(1,3)]`) whereas `[[[]]` can only be used with a single index.**

It's a very subtle difference, but it is an important one. Make sure that if you want the value, use `[[[]]`, and if you want the whole part of the vector, use `[]`.

3.5.2 Lists

Lists can be subsetted in the same way as vectors - `[]` returns the container at the index provided and `[[[]]` returns just the value:

```
list(value_1 = c(1, 2, 3), value_2 = c("hello", "there",
  "everyone"))[[1]]
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3
```

```
list(value_1 = c(1, 2, 3), value_2 = c("hello", "there",
  "everyone"))[1]
```

```
## $value_1
## [1] 1 2 3
```

A key difference with lists however, is that you can also subset based on the name of the value in the list using the `$` operator:

```
list(value_1 = c(1, 2, 3), value_2 = c("hello", "there",
  "everyone"))$value_1
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3
```

This is equivalent to:

```
list(value_1 = c(1, 2, 3), value_2 = c("hello", "there",
  "everyone"))[["value_1"]]
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3
```

Another key difference is that lists can, of course, hold recursive values. This means that subsetting a list can return another list, that can also be subsetted and so on:

```
list(list_1 = list(list_2 = list(list_3 = "hello")))[1][1][1]
```

```
## $list_1
## $list_1$list_2
## $list_1$list_2$list_3
## [1] "hello"
```

And of course, you can do the same thing with the `[[[]]` operator if you only want the value and not the container.

3.5.3 Matrices

Matrices are two dimension, meaning they can't be subsetted with a single value. Instead, we still use the `[]` operator, but we provide two values: one for the row and another for the column:

```
matrix(c(1:10), nrow = 5, ncol = 2)
```

```
##      [,1] [,2]
## [1,]    1    6
## [2,]    2    7
## [3,]    3    8
## [4,]    4    9
## [5,]    5   10
```

```
matrix(c(1:10), nrow = 5, ncol = 2)[4, 1]
```

```
## [1] 4
```

3.5.4 Dataframes

Dataframes can be subsetted in the same way as matrices (using the `[]` operator). However, dataframes can also be subsetted (like lists), using the `$` operator and the name of the column:

```
data.frame(col_1 = c(1, 2, 3), col_2 = c("hello", "there",
    "everybody"))$col_1
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3
```

Why does this approach work for dataframes? Well, as I alluded to before, dataframes store columns as lists. But technically, the dataframe itself is also stored as a kind of list, with each column being another entry in that list. So, just like we can subset lists using `$`, we can subset dataframes with it as well because a dataframe is like a fancy list.

3.5.5 Subsetting by criteria

Sometimes, you might not know the indices of the items you want to extract from a datastructure. Instead, you might want to do something like “extract all numbers from a vector that are less than three”. To do this, we essentially find the indices of the values that match our criteria and then subset the data structure like we learned previously.

Let’s look at subsetting a vector as an example:

```
vector1 <- c(10, 15, 14, 20, 21, 50)
```

Let’s say want to extract all of the values below 20. To find the indices of the values that match our criteria, we just use our logical operators:

```
vector1 < 20
```

```
## [1] TRUE TRUE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE
```

This returns TRUE if the value is less than 20, and FALSE if it isn't. We can then pass this vector of TRUE and FALSEs in `[]` after the vector to only return the values we want:

```
vector1[vector1 < 20]
```

```
## [1] 10 15 14
```

Other data structures can also be subsetted in the same way, but for matrices or dataframes, it's easier to use something like `subset` or `dplyr::filter()` (although `subset` has its own limitations).

You'll notice that this is ever so slightly different to the way we were subsetting before. Previously, we were providing just the indices of the values we wanted (e.g. 1,2 and 4). But here, we're actually providing a vector of TRUE and FALSE values to indicate which values we want. The structure is slightly different, but the logic is the same.

This does mean however, that you can also provide a vector of TRUEs and FALSEs yourself manually if you wish. There are two reasons why I would avoid this however:

1. It takes longer to write out
2. If you don't provide the same number of logical values (i.e. TRUEs and FALSEs) as there are values in the vector, then the logical values are **recycled**. That means that if you have a vector that's 6 values long, and you provide a logical vector to subset it that is only three values long, then your logical vector is going to be repeated. This can lead to unwanted results:

```
vector1[c(TRUE, FALSE)]
```

```
## [1] 10 14 21
```

Here, because I've only specified two logical values, when it comes to subsetting time, those two values will be recycled to create a vector like this `c(TRUE, FALSE, TRUE, FALSE, TRUE, FALSE)`. This is why we get three values returned instead of the expected one.

So while you can manually subset with a vector of logical values indicating whether to return that value as is returned, it's best to stay away from it.

3.5.6 Questions

1. Why can dataframes and lists be subsetted in a similar way?
2. What happens if you miss the last character off when subsetting a dataframe column with `$` (e.g. `df$co` instead of `df$col`)? Does the same thing happen when subsetting using `[]`?

3.6 Functions

Being a functional programming language, functions are at the heart of R. We've already used lots of functions in the previous chapters, but now we're going to look in more detail about what a function is.

3.6.1 Function basics

John Chambers, creator of the S programming language upon which R is based and core member of the R programming language project, said this:

"To understand computations in R, two slogans are helpful:

- Everything that exists is an object.
- Everything that happens is a function call.

— John Chambers"

For now, we're going to focus on that second statement. What does it mean?

Well, a function is quite simple. It has an input, it does something, and then it gives an output. A really simple example of this is just typing `print(1)` into the console and hitting enter. You've given an input, there was a calculation, and now there's an output. Something's happened (1 was printed in the console) and it was done by calling a function (`print`).

If you're well-versed in mathematics, you'll know that functions in maths are the same. $f(x) = 3x$ means that to get y , you take x and multiply it by three. In this case, our input is x , our bit in the middle is multiplying by three, and then our output is y .

If you haven't used functions in mathematics then don't worry. Even by getting this far in the book, you've already used functions loads of times. For example, how do you create a vector? If you remember, you use the `c()` function, which we know stands for "concatenate". So, every time you've created a vector, you've used a function without even knowing it. The input was whatever you provided in the brackets. The computation was to concatenate everything together. And then the output was the vector.

Similarly, whenever you created a factor or a matrix or a dataframe or whatever, you used a function. You provided an input, there was a computation to change that input, and then you got an output.

As confusing as functions will inevitably become, just try to remember the core of what a function is: When you call a function, there's an input, something happens, and there's an output.

3.6.1.1 Functions in R

So more specifically, what do functions look like in R? Well, a good starting point is that when we call (use) a function, it's almost always followed by brackets `()` when you use them. This helps make it clear what values you're providing as your inputs. For example, the `c()` function, the `data.frame()` function, the `sum()` function are all followed by `()`, which is how you provide your inputs.

I say that nearly almost all functions are followed by `()`, because some aren't. A simple example of this is `+`. `+` is still a function:

```
is.function(`+`)

## [1] TRUE

# the backticks just mean I'm referring to the + function
# without using it
```

But it doesn't have brackets. Instead, we can use a shorthand where we provide the values we want to give to the function either side of it (e.g. `1 + 2`). Importantly however, the logic is exactly the same, and you can still use the `+` like a normal function with brackets:

```
1 + 2

## [1] 3
```

It's just that this looks a little weird to us, so we often use the shorthand way. But the long and short of it is: an easy way to tell when someone is calling (using) a function is to look for the `()` after the function name.

3.6.1.2 Inputs

We know that to use a function in R, we have to provide inputs*. And we also know that we provide our inputs within the brackets after the function name. But how do we know what values are allowed?

*Technically, sometimes you don't have to provide an input to a function (e.g. `Sys.Date()`, which gives us the current date without putting anything in the brackets). But in the interests of clarity, just imagine that the inputs to these functions are blank rather than that they don't have any input at all.

By typing a `?` followed by the name of the function into the console (e.g. `?length()`), you'll get a help page showing you the input parameters allowed by the function. So if we use `?length()` as an example, the help page tells us that the `length()` function expects one input parameter, `x`, and that needs to be an `R` object. Nice and simple.

In some cases, you'll see a `...` as one of the input parameters. This essentially means that you can provide an indeterminate number of values for that input. I know that sounds confusing, but the `c()` function is a good way of demonstrating this. When you create a vector, you can provide an (essentially) infinite number of values to the function. So the `c()` function basically bundles everything you provide to it into that `...` parameter.

3.6.1.2.1 Explicit input parameters If you type `?c()` into the console however, you'll see that there are also some other input parameters: `recursive` and `use.names`. Well Adam, if `...` just bundles everything I provide into a single input, then how do those work? Well this outlines the importance of providing **explicit** input parameters. When we're explicit, we're saying exactly which input parameter we're referring to with each value we provide. And to do this, we just provide the name of the input parameter when we give it. Let's look at the `substr()` function as an example.

The `substr()` function simply returns part of a character string that you provide. So, if I was to type:

```
substr("Hello", 1, 3)
```

```
## [1] "Hel"
```

I get the first to the third characters in the string "hello". With this function call however, I haven't been explicit. Instead, I've just provided the inputs in the order that they're listed in the documentation:

- `x`
 - a character vector
- `start`
 - the first element to be extracted

- stop
 - the last element to be extracted

To be explicit, I need to provide the name of the input parameter that I'm referring to when I provide my inputs:

```
substr(x = "Hello", start = 1, stop = 3)
```

```
## [1] "Hel"
```

```
substr(start = 1, stop = 3, x = "Hello")
```

```
## [1] "Hel"
```

Notice how, when I'm being explicit, it doesn't matter what order I provide my inputs in, R knows which value should be mapped to which input parameter.

Also, notice how we're using `=` here and not anything else like `<=`? This is another reason why I suggest not using `=` for assignment: we use `=` when we're providing input parameters and so it's good to keep them separate.

So how does this link back with the `...`? Well, with the `c()` function, every unnamed parameter you provide is bundled into the `...` parameter. To give values for the `recursive` and `use.names` parameters, you'd need to provide them *explicitly* (e.g. `recursive = TRUE`). This will be true of many functions where you see a `....`. If you're not explicit with the parameters that you don't want to be included in the `...`, you're going to have a bad time.

3.6.1.2.2 Optional input parameters For many functions, certain parameters have a predefined value that they will default to. This provides a level of flexibility whilst not requiring lines and lines of code for every function call; there's a default value, but you can override it if needed.

Optional parameters are easily distinguished in the documentation of a function because they will have a value already assigned to them like this: `use.names = TRUE`.

For instance, when we create a vector using the `c()` function, there are two optional parameters (`recursive` and `use.names`) that already have the values `TRUE` and `FALSE` assigned to them. To override these defaults, we just need to provide a new value to the parameter like this:

```
c(1, 10, 15, use.names = FALSE)
```

```
## [1] 1 10 15
```

3.6.1.3 Outputs

First and foremost, in R you can have as many input as you like to a function. However, a function will only ever return one *thing*. I say one *thing*, because functions can return a list which itself can contain multiple values, but just keep this in mind: **Functions in R have a single return value.**

3.6.1.3.1 Reassigning outputs Functions in R do not edit the inputs you provide in place. Instead, they essentially work on copies of the inputs you provide. Here's a quick example:

```
x <- 1
sum(x, 1)
```

```
## [1] 2
```

```
x
```

```
## [1] 1
```

As you can see, when we call the `sum()` function with `x` as an input parameter, the value of `x` stays the same.

If you do want to edit your original value, you just need to reassign the output of the function call back to the variable. I know that sounds complicated, but it's quite simple:

```
x <- 1
x <- sum(x, 1)
x
```

```
## [1] 2
```

This works because the right-hand side of the assignment line is executed first. In other words, when the `sum(x,1)` is evaluated, `x` is still equal to one. This makes sense because otherwise it'd be very hard to keep track of what `x` was equal to!

This behaviour (not changing the input parameter value in place) is a major point of difference between functions and what are called methods in other languages. If you're coming from something like Python, you may be used to changing objects through methods: `object.AddNew` or something like that. In R, functions do not change variables in the global environment because they are executed in their own environment. To learn more about environments, there is an environments⁶ chapter in the “For Teachers” section.

⁶[environments.html](#)

3.6.2 Questions

1. Why does ``<-`(test, 2)` work? What does this tell us about `<-`?
2. Why does `mean(1,2)` not return the output you'd expect but `sum(1,2)` does?
 - Hint: the documentation of both functions will help.
3. Other than `Sys.Date()`, can you think of another example of a function that be executed without any explicit input parameters?

Chapter 4

For Teachers

Now we have a basic understanding of some of the core concepts in R, let's have a look at them in a bit more detail. In contrast to the previous section, here we were going to focus on the underpinnings of R. You can kind of think of this section as being less practical and more theoretical.

Previous experience in different programming language will definitely help you, but it's not mandatory. We're going to take a look at some core programming concepts in the next few sections, and the explanations here should be more than enough. If you do have experience in other languages, then these sections will hopefully outline some of the idiosyncrasies of R that make it different to other languages.

If you're going to teach R, this section will provide you with a good level of understanding. Hopefully, you'll not only know *how* to do something when asked, but *why* you should do it that way.

4.1 Functions

In the "For Students" section, we looked at what a function is and how to use one. In this section, we're going to look more at the structure of a function and how you might go about writing your own functions.

When you start writing our own functions, you'll start to see a massive improvement in your efficiency. By extracting out common tasks to functions and by keeping your functions simple, you can easily expand and debug your project. A rough rule of thumb is that if you've copied some code more than twice, think about extracting it out to a function.

Let's take a look at an example of where it may be appropriate to shorten your workflow by using a function. Let's say you've got 2 datasets, and you want to

get the standard deviation and mean of one column and then create a normal distribution based on those values:

```
dataset1 <- data.frame(observation_number = c(1, 2, 3, 4,
5), value = c(10, 35, 13, 20, 40))
dataset2 <- data.frame(observation_number = c(1, 2, 3, 4,
5), income = c(100, 200, 150, 600, 900))
```

Without using functions, our workflow might look like this:

```
mean_ds1 <- mean(dataset1$value)
sd_ds1 <- sd(dataset1$value)
normal_dist_ds1 <- rnorm(1000, mean = mean_ds1, sd = sd_ds1)

mean_ds2 <- mean(dataset2$income)
sd_ds2 <- sd(dataset2$income)
normal_dist_ds2 <- rnorm(1000, mean = mean_ds2, sd = sd_ds2)
```

This isn't too bad, but what if we wanted to add another dataset? We'd have to copy and paste the code yet again. Then, say we wanted not to use the mean but the median, we'd have to replace each call to the `mean()` function with `median()` in each block of code.

If we extract out the commonalities to a function, then not only do we reduce the amount of code we're using, but this also makes future changes or fixes much easier.

We'll look more specifically out how we create functions in the next few sections, but for now let's imagine what we'd want our function to look like. It'd need to calculate the mean and standard deviation of a column, but that column name or position in the dataframe might change - the column is called 'value' in the first dataset but is called 'income' in the second, and even though they're both the second column in the dataset, we don't want to rely on that in case we have a new dataset where the column we want to use isn't in that position.

Let's revisit this once we understand how we create functions in R a bit better. If you're comfortable with creating functions in R, then you can skip to the solution.

4.1.1 Creating functions

R and its packages give you access to hundreds of thousands of different functions, all tailored to perform a particular task. Despite this wide array to choose from however, they will always be cases where there isn't a function to do exactly what you need to do. For those of you coming over from Excel, this can

often be a serious source of frustration where there isn't an Excel function for you to use and there isn't an easy way to create one without knowing VBA.

R is different. Creating functions can be very simple and will really change the way you work.

Creating functions will also highlight an important delineation. Previously, we've been focusing on *calling* functions. Calling a function is essentially using it. But in order to call a function, it needs to be *defined*. Base functions are already defined (i.e. someone has already written what the function is going to do), but when you're creating your own functions, you are *defining* a new function that you're presumably going to call later on.

4.1.1.1 Function structure

If we go back to the beginning of this chapter, we learned that everything that exists is an object. Functions are no exception, and so we create them like we do all our other objects. There is a slight diversion however. When we define a function, we assign it to an object with the `function` keyword like this:

```
my_first_function <- function() {  
}
```

Notice how we've got two sets of brackets here. The first `()` is where we define our input parameters. The second `{}` is where we define the body of our function.

Let's do a simple example. Let's create a function that adds two numbers together:

```
my_sum_function <- function(x, y) {  
  x + y  
}
```

So in this example, I've defined that when anyone uses the function, they need to provide two input parameters named `x` and `y`. Something that people tend to struggle with is that the names of your input parameters have no real *meaning*. They are just used to reference the value provided in the body of the function and, hopefully, make it clear what kind of thing the user of the function should be providing. This is why in some functions that require a dataframe there will be an input parameter called `df` or similar. It can suggest at a glance that the value required for that parameter is a data frame. But just calling it `df` alone has no impact.

In the body of the function, we can see that we're just doing something really simple: we're adding `x` and `y` together with `+`.

Once I've run the code to **define** my function, I can then **call** it like I would any other function:

```
my_sum_function(x = 5, y = 6)
```

```
## [1] 11
```

4.1.1.1.1 Optional input parameters When defining your function, you can define optional parameters. These will likely be values where most of the time you need it to be one thing, but there are edge cases where you need it to be something else. Defining optional parameters is really easy; whenever you define your function, just give it a value and that will be its default:

```
add_mostly_2 <- function(x, y = 2) {
  x + y
}

add_mostly_2(x = 5)
```

```
## [1] 7
```

```
add_mostly_2(x = 5, y = 3)
```

```
## [1] 8
```

Sometimes you'll want to provide users with a set of options. To do so, provide a default value of a vector and use the `match.arg()` function like this:

```
greet_me <- function(greeting = c("hello", "welcome")) {
  greeting <- match.arg(greeting)
  greeting
}
```

This will ensure that users of the function can only provide one of the values in the vector. If they use the default, then the first value in the vector will be used:

```
greet_me("welcome")
```

```
## [1] "welcome"
```



```
greet_me()

## [1] "hello"

greet_me("wassup") # This will error because 1 wasn't an options for y

## Error in match.arg(greeting): 'arg' should be one of "hello", "welcome"
```

Note: The `match.arg()` function only works with character vectors.

4.1.1.2 ...

You'll notice a crucial distinction between R's `sum()` function and ours. The base function allows for an indeterminate number of input parameters, whereas we've only allowed 2 (`x` and `y`). This is because the base `sum()` function uses a `...`. This `...` is essentially shorthand for “as many or as few inputs as the user wants to provide”. To use the `...`, just add it as in an input parameter:

```
dot_dot_dot_function <- function(x, y, ...) {
}
```

The `...` works particularly well when you might be creating a function that *wraps* around another one. A wrapping function is just a function that makes a call to another one within it, like this:

```
sum_and_add_2 <- function(...) {
  sum(...) + 2
}
```

All we're basically doing in the above wrapping around the `sum()` function to add some specific functionality.

By using the `...` here, we can just pass everything that the user provides to the `sum()` function. This means we don't have to worry about copying any input parameters.

4.1.1.2.1 Return values As I mentioned in the “For Students” section, functions have a single return value. By default, a function will return the last evaluated object in the function environment. In our `my_sum_function` example, our last evaluation was `x + y`, so the output of that was what was returned by the function.

You can also be explicit with your return values by using the `return()` function. The `return()` function will return whatever is provided to the `return()` function. This can be useful if you want to return a value prematurely:

```
early_return_function <- function(x, y, return_x = TRUE) {
  if (return_x) {
    return(x)
  }
  x + y
}
early_return_function(x = 2, y = 10, return_x = TRUE)
```

```
## [1] 2
```

Here, we can see more clearly that `x` is returned when `return_x` is `TRUE` and `x + y` is returned otherwise.

Certain style guides suggest that you should **only** use `return()` statements for early returns. In other words, the “normal” return value for your function should be defined by what’s evaluated last. Personally, I think you should use whatever makes it clearer for you. I quite like seeing explicit `return()` values in a function because I find it makes it clearer what all the possible return values are, but this is just personal preference.

4.1.2 Input validation

Unlike some other languages, functions do not have a specific data type tied to each input parameter. Any requirements that are imposed on an input parameter (e.g. it should be numeric) are done by the function creator in the body of the function. So for instance, when you try to sum character strings, the error you get occurs because of type-checking in the body of the function, not when you provide the input parameters.

```
function_without_check <- function(x, y) {
  x + y
}
function_without_check(x = 2, y = "error for me please")
```

```
## Error in x + y: non-numeric argument to binary operator
```

```
function_with_check <- function(x, y) {
  if (!is.numeric(x) || !is.numeric(y)) {
    warning("x or y isn't numeric. Returning NA")
    NA_integer_
  } else {
    x + y
  }
}
```

```

}
function_with_check(x = 2, y = "warn me please")

## Warning in function_with_check(x = 2, y = "warn me
## please"): x or y isn't numeric. Returning NA

## [1] NA

```

4.1.3 Functions as objects

Functions are technically just another object. This means that you can use functions like you would any other object. For instance, some functions will accept other functions as an input parameter. When we move onto the `apply` logic, the `lapply()` (list-apply) function requires a `FUN` parameter that is the function to be applied to each value in the provided list.

```

sum_list <- list(c(1, 2), c(5, 10), c(20, 30))

lapply(sum_list, FUN = sum)

## [[1]]
## [1] 3
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 15
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 50

```

Linked with the idea that functions are just another type of an object, there is an important distinction between `substr` and `substr()`. The first will return the `substr` *object*. That is, not the result of applying inputs to the `substr` function, but the function itself. If you just type the name of the function into the console, it will show you the code for that function (it's definition):

```

substr

## function (x, start, stop)
## {
##   if (!is.character(x))
##     x <- as.character(x)
##   .Internal(substr(x, as.integer(start), as.integer(stop)))
## }

```

```
## }
## <bytecode: 0x560e7c9af040>
## <environment: namespace:base>
```

Conversely, `substr()` will *call* the `sum` function with the inputs provided in the brackets.

```
substr("hey there", 1, 3)
```

```
## [1] "hey"
```

4.1.3.1 Anonymous functions

Because some functions accept functions as an argument, there is the concept of **anonymous functions** in R. These are just functions that haven't been assigned a name. For example, we might want to use an anonymous function in an `lapply` call:

```
lapply(sum_list, FUN = function(x) max(x) - min(x))
```

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 5
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 10
```

Anonymous functions mean that you don't have to define your function in the traditional way. However, if you're going to use that function more than once, it's advisable to extract it out to a named function and then reference it:

```
diff <- function(x) {
  max(x) - min(x)
}
lapply(sum_list, FUN = diff)
```

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 5
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 10
```

4.1.4 Example answer

Going back to our previous example¹ of when we might want to make a function, what might our function actually look like? Recapping, we know we need to calculate the mean and standard deviation of a column, but that the name of the column might change. Using what we've just learnt, let's have a go:

```
create_norm_dist_from_column <- function(dataset, column_name,
  n = 1000) {
  ds_mean <- mean(dataset[[column_name]])
  ds_sd <- sd(dataset[[column_name]])
  rnorm(n = n, mean = ds_mean, sd = ds_sd)
}

normal_dist_ds1 <- create_norm_dist_from_column(dataset1,
  "value")
normal_dist_ds2 <- create_norm_dist_from_column(dataset2,
  "income")

head(normal_dist_ds1, 5)
```

```
## [1] 24.31338 27.64695 31.85969 12.56067 44.49219
```

Now, instead of copying the code each time we need it, we've extracted the common computations to a function and then we call the function where we need. Hopefully this demonstrates the logic behind why functions can be so useful.

This is an example of the concept of abstraction, which is a common theme in programming. If you're interested in learning more about abstraction, the *operate*² book that I wrote to turn the understanding you've hopefully built up over this book into actual data analysis skills looks at abstraction in more detail.

4.1.5 Vectorised functions

An important concept in R that differs from non-functional programming language is the presence of vectorised functions. Vectorised functions operate a bit like applying a set of values to a function over and over again without needing to use iterative loops (which we'll look at later). For example, if we use the `substr()` function as an example, which creates a substring from a string, we can provide a vector of values to the `x` parameter instead of a single value and we'll get a return value for each one:

¹functions-teachers

²<https://operate.arawles.co.uk>

```
substr(c("hello", "there"), start = 1, stop = 4)
```

```
## [1] "hell" "ther"
```

Logically, this is quite easy to wrap your head around. R has just applied the same parameters (`start = 1`, `stop = 4`) to two different strings. In fact, when you provide a single value, you're still providing a vector, it just has a length of 1, so R just applies it once.

This makes repeating functions for multiple values much easier, because you don't need to write any loops or `apply` statements.

4.1.5.1 Recycling

Things get a bit more complicated when you provide vectors to multiple arguments though. For example, what would happen if I provided `stop = c(4,3)` as a parameter to the above function call?

Make your guesses...

```
substr(c("hello", "there"), start = 1, stop = c(4, 3))
```

```
## [1] "hell" "the"
```

R has used the first value in each vector for the first time it runs (`hello` and `4`), and then it's used the second values from each vector when it runs the second time. What about the `start` parameter though? Well because it's only got a length of 1 but the other parameters have a length of 2, the 1 gets **recycled** until it's the same length as the other parameters. If the length of the larger vector isn't a multiple of the smaller one, then the smaller vector is recycled until it's the same length and any extra values are discarded. So the above example is the same as:

```
substr(c("hello", "there"), start = c(1, 1), stop = c(4, 3))
```

This idea of repeating an operation again and again is very common in programming, and it's something that we'll look at in more detail in the Iteration³ chapter.

³iteration

4.1.6 Questions

1. How are `mean()` and `sum()` different in their implementation of ...?
2. If functions are objects, how would you construct a function that returns a function? What might be a use for this?
3. What happens if you create a function that asks for an argument that is never used? Why isn't there an error?
 - Hint: search 'lazy' evaluation
4. What does the `missing()` function do? How does this go against the lazy approach?
5. Why might one use the `missing()` approach instead of assigning a default value? What are the drawbacks of this?

4.2 Environments

As your scripts become more complex, the number of variables and functions that you assign will start to increase. Pair this with the fact that you may be using lots of external packages that will all contain lots of functions and the number of objects you're working with can easily get into the hundreds and even thousands.

This presents a scoping issue: If I refer to the object `x`, what `x` do I mean if there's more than one? In other words, in which scope should R search for the `x` object?

R uses environments to solve this issue. Environments are collections of objects that can be used to group similar objects and provides a replicable naming convention for retrieving objects that may have the same name from the appropriate environment.

In this chapter, we're going to understand the concepts underpinning environments and scope in R.

4.2.1 Environment basics

At its core, an environment is a collection of objects. A bit like a list, environments store multiple objects in a single structure.

To create a new environment, we use the `new.env()` function.

```
new_env <- new.env()
```

To add items to our environment, we can add them like we would a list using the `$` operator:

```
new_env$first_object <- "hello"
```

To list all of the objects in an environment, we use the `ls()` function:

```
ls(new_env)
```

```
## [1] "first_object"
```

Importantly, you can't have two objects in the same environment with the same name. If you try, you'll just overwrite the previous value:

```
new_env$first_object <- "world"  
new_env$first_object
```

```
## [1] "world"
```

4.2.2 Environment inheritance

Environments have parents and children. In other words, there is a hierarchy of environments, with environments being encapsulated in other environments while also encapsulating other environments.

Every environment (with the exception of what we call the empty environment) has a parent. For example, when I created my `new_env` environment before, this was created in the **global environment**. The global environment is the environment that objects are assigned to when working in R interactively. The global environment's parent environment will be the environment of the last package you loaded. Packages have environments to avoid name conflicts with functions and to help R know where to look for a function. These package environments will contain everything that the package developer included with the package (i.e. functions, maybe some datasets, etc.).

At the top of the environments of packages you've loaded will be the **base environment** which is the environment of base R. Finally, the base environment's parent is the **empty environment** which does not have a parent.

The hierarchy of these environments looks like this:




Figure 4.1: Environment hierarchy

4.2.3 Scope

So we know that objects in the same environment can't have the same name, but what happens when two different environments happen to have objects with the same name? This is where the concept of **scope** comes in. **Scoping** is the set of rules that governs where R will look for a value.

R will search for the object in order of environment, starting at the most specific environment (so the global environment in the above diagram) and moving up. For example, we know that there is a function in base R called `sum()`. But, if I define a new function in the global environment called `sum` then which function will be called when I type `sum(...)`. Well, because we know that the search path starts from the most specific environment, R will look for `sum` in the global environment first and it'll find the `sum` that I've just defined. At this point, it'll stop looking because `sum` has been found.

For this reason, it's a good idea to use a package like `conflicted` to manage the packages you loaded, otherwise which function you use when you have two functions with the same name from different packages will be defined by which

one you loaded later.

Alternatively, you can specify with which environment R should look for a particular function by prefixing the function with its package and `::`. For example, if I decided against my better judgement to define a function called `sum` in my environment, and then I wanted to call the base function, I could do so like this:

```
base::sum(1, 2)
```

```
## [1] 3
```

4.2.4 Function environments

Functions, when they are called, create their own more specific environment. The parent of this environment will be the environment in which it was called (most often this will be the global environment).

This breeds some specific behaviours. For example, say you've written a function that expects two input parameters, `x` and `y`. Well, what would happen if someone had already defined an `x` and `y` variable in their script? Which value should R use?

Let's see what happens.

```
sum_custom <- function(x, y) {  
  x + y  
}  
  
x <- 10  
y <- 5  
  
sum_custom(x = 1, y = 2)
```

```
## [1] 3
```

In this case, the fact that there is already an `x` and `y` in the global environment doesn't really make much difference. The function creates its own more specific environment when it's called, and it looks for the `x` and `y` variables in here first. It finds them and uses those values (1 and 2).

But what happens if a variable doesn't exist in the more specific function environment? Let's take a look.

```
sum_custom <- function(x, y) {  
  x + y + w  
}  
  
w <- 5  
  
sum_custom(x = 1, y = 2)
```

```
## [1] 8
```

In this case, the function looks in the specific environment for `w`, but it doesn't exist. The only objects that exist in the function environment are the `x` and `y` that we've provided. So when R doesn't find it in the more specific environment, it looks in the less-specific global environment. It finds it, and so it uses the value it finds.

This can be a dangerous thing, so always make sure that your function is accessing the values you think it is.

So does R work the other way? Does it ever look in a more specific environment? Nope.

```
sum_custom <- function(x, y) {  
  im_a_sneaky_variable <- 10  
  x + y  
}  
  
im_a_sneaky_variable
```

```
## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'im_a_sneaky_variable' not found
```

Once the function is called, objects in its environment are inaccessible. The long and short of it is, R will start from specific environments and then look upwards, never downwards.

4.2.5 Mutability

Linked with the idea of function environments is mutability. An object is either mutable or immutable. If it is mutable, then it can be edited from within a function environment. If an object is immutable, then it can't. This might seem like an arbitrary distinction, but it has important consequences. If an object is mutable, then calling the same function on that object twice in a row is going to provide different results (because the object will have changed after

the first call). If an object is immutable, then calling the same function on the same object will always return the same value.

In R, values are immutable. In other words, when you pass an object to a function, that function operates on a **copy** of the object you provided - the object is not changed in place.

You can replace the value you passed to the function by assigning it to the returned value:

```
x <- 1
x <- x + 1
```

But this hasn't changed the original value of `x`. It's actually just changed the binded value - you've created a new one and told `x` to be of that value now, you haven't changed the original value.

For the most, this exact distinction isn't too important. The important thing to remember is that when you pass an object to a function, **you are operating on a copy of the object, not the original**.

4.2.5.1 'Super' assignment

There will be occasions, however, when you need to make changes to the global environment regardless of what environment you are currently in. For instance, say you want to increment a counter every time a function is called, regardless of where it's called from. In these cases, you can use the `<<-` operator. This is used as an assignment operator to assign a value to the global environment. Observe...

```
sum_custom <- function(x, y) {
  count <<- count + 1
  x + y
}

count <- 0

sum_custom(1, 2)
```

```
## [1] 3
```

```
count
```

```
## [1] 1
```

```
sum_custom(2, 3)
```

```
## [1] 5
```

```
count
```

```
## [1] 2
```

Note how when we assign 0 to our `count` variable outside of the function, we don't need to use `<-`. This is because we're already assigning to the global environment.

Use the `<-` with care and only assign something to the global environment if you really need to. Otherwise, you may start overwriting variables in your global environment without ever realising it.

4.2.6 Questions

1. In what situations can we have two environments with the same name? Why is this?
2. Search 'namespacing'. How does that concept relate to environments?
 - Hint: This is heavily related to question 1
3. What might be an issue with create a function that uses superassignment on an object with the name `x`?

4.3 Objects and Classes

Objects and classes are a fairly ubiquitous concept across programming languages and data analysis tools. We'll briefly look at what an object is in R, but by no means is this an exhaustive description.

4.3.1 What is a class?

The world is complicated. Everything in the world is unique and defined by an infinite number of properties and features. For example, if you take a person, they can be defined by their name, where they're from, where their parents are from, their hair colour, their likes and dislikes, and so on and so on. When we want to store data in a structured and formal way in a computer system, however, this isn't particularly helpful. Instead, we need to store data in a predefined structure. This is essentially what a class is; a pre-defined structure to store important attributes or features of a **thing**.

Let's take the person instance again. Let's say we're going to store data on a number of individuals, we won't be able to store everything about them. So we'll choose a subset of their attributes or features to store that are relevant to what we need. But to make things more efficient, we're going to store the same information for each one. So let's say we're going to do some geographical analysis, we might want to include a person's name, their nationality, and perhaps their ethnicity. So for each person we want to store, we can store these three attributes. And we might call this data structure a "person". Well this is exactly what a class is; a collection of attributes and features that is shared by objects instances of the same type.

So our class is "person", and it has the attributes "name", "nationality", and "ethnicity". Now this obviously doesn't capture everything about a person, but it's enough for what we want to do.

Graphing that object might look something like this:




Figure 4.2: Example 'Person' object

4.3.2 What is an object?

An object is just an instance of a class. So if you create a person from our "person" called John, then "John" is the object and "person" is the class.

When you create a dataframe for example, you're creating a `data.frame` object from the basic structure of the `data.frame` class.

4.3.3 Objects and Classes in R

Looking more specifically at R, what kind of objects do we see. Well, according to John Chambers, the founder of the S programming language upon which R is based, everything is:

"To understand computations in R, two slogans are helpful:

- Everything that exists is an object.
- Everything that happens is a function call.

— John Chambers"

So every function, dataframe, plot, list, vector, integer, everything is an object.

To see the class of an object in R, use the `class()` function.

```
class(data.frame()) # here we're finding the class of an empty data.frame
```

```
## [1] "data.frame"
```

```
class(data.frame) # here we're finding the class of the data.frame() function
```

```
## [1] "function"
```

```
class(1)
```

```
## [1] "numeric"
```

4.3.3.1 Inheritance

Sometimes, different classes are interrelated. For example, if you were storing data on vehicle, then you might have a “vehicle” class. But if you’re storing data on lots of different vehicles, you might also have classes for each type of vehicle (e.g. “car”, “motorbike”, etc.). All of these classes are still vehicles, and so you don’t want to have to repeat yourself when you define each of those classes. In other words, all of those classes are going to have some common attributes like colour, horsepower and so on. Similarly, each different type of vehicle will have some attributes that are unique to that type of vehicle. For instance, motorbikes can have sidecars but vans and cars don’t. Cars and vans have doors but motorbikes don’t. This highlights the benefits of inheritance. By creating a “vehicle” class and allowing your subsequent classes to inherit all of the attributes of the “vehicle” class, you can avoid duplication while allowing distinct classes. This way, when you want to add any attributes to all of your vehicles, you can just do it via the “vehicle” class rather than changing each type of vehicle class.

Diagramming this relationship:




Figure 4.3: Example of inheritance using cars

Inheritance is an extremely deep topic which we won't go into here, but R objects also use inheritance. To see the inheritance tree of an object, use the `is()` function:

```
is(1L)
```

```
## [1] "integer"          "double"
## [3] "numeric"          "vector"
## [5] "data.frameRowLabels"
```

Diagramming this like our “vehicle” example would look like this:




Figure 4.4: Inheritance of the integer class

Here we can see that an integer object is made up of the integer, double, numeric classes. The order of inheritance goes from left to right so we know that the integer class inherits from the double class, which inherits from the numeric class.

4.3.3.2 Object-Oriented Systems

Unfortunately (or fortunately, depending on your point of view), R doesn't have a single way of storing objects. In fact, there are 2 object-oriented (OO) programming systems in base R, and more (like R6) can be added via packages. These two base OO systems are S3 and S4, and they differ in the way that objects are constructed, stored, and interacted with. We're not going to go into the

difference here, but I recommend Hadley's Advanced R⁴ to better understand the difference between the two. For now, I'm just going to explain the basics of the S3 system as I think it's the easiest to understand and helps convey the philosophy behind why we use objects more easily.

4.3.3.2.1 S3 In the S3 system, we rely on generic functions and methods. Generics are functions that have a single common goal, but that can be used for objects that might be very different. For example, `print()`-ing a dataframe is going to be different to `print()`-ing a plot or an API response or whatever. But `print()` always seems to know what to do. The reason it does is that the `print()` function is a **generic** function that actually uses a more specific function to achieve it's goal. In other words, we achieve a fairly high level goal like printing by calling a function that is specific to the object we're working on under the hood. These more specific functions are called **methods**.

As a real world analogy, think of the process of talking to someone. The common goal in talking is to communicate. But, depending on the language that someone speaks, the actual act of talking is going to be slightly different for different people you talk to. In this case, you can think of **communicating** as being the **generic** - it's the eventual goal. And **talking in the appropriate language** as being the **method**.

Going back to R, if you type `print.` into the console, the autocompleter will show you lots and lots of `print.something()` functions. These are all of the **methods** for all of the different printable objects in R. `print.date()` will print a date object, `print.data.frame()` will print a dataframe object and so on. But when you just use the `print()` function on an object, R will automatically choose which method it needs for the object you've passed as an input parameter. If there isn't a specific method for the object you've provided, then it will use the default `print.default()` method.

```
# here we're using the generic
print(as.Date("2020/06/10"))
```

```
## [1] "2020-06-10"
```

```
# because we're printing a Date object, this is the
# method that is actually used
print.Date(as.Date("2020/06/10"))
```

```
## [1] "2020-06-10"
```

If an object inherits multiple classes, then R will look for the correct method starting from it's most specific class. So for example, if an object has classes

⁴<https://adv-r.hadley.nz/>

`c("class_1", "class_2")`, then R would look for `print.class_1()` and only look for `print.class_2()` (and then `print.default()`) if it couldn't find `print.class_1()`.

While you can often tell if something is an S3 method by it being a generic followed by a `.` and then an object name, don't rely on this, because people often use `.` to separate words in functions that can make them look like S3 methods when they're not.

Note: In some languages, methods are essentially functions or sub-routines that are tied to an class. For instance, a class that represents a person's bank account might have the method `Balance()`, which will return how much money a person has in their account. There are certainly some similarities between how methods are used in R and some other languages, they are a bit different. Mainly, in R, methods are not attributes of a class but are separate functions.

4.3.4 Creating classes

The obvious question is "Can I make my own class in R?". The answer is yes. And quite easily too. Whether you should or not is a different story. We're just going to look very briefly at creating a class in using S3 for now, but I'd recommend Hadley's Advanced R⁵ for a deeper look at the different systems and how to use them.

4.3.4.1 Class attribute

In S3, the class of an object is defined by its `class` attribute, which stores a vector of class names. To see an object's class, we use the `class()` function:

```
class(1)
```

```
## [1] "numeric"
```

```
class(TRUE)
```

```
## [1] "logical"
```

This class attribute will determine what method is dispatched when we call a generic on this object. So here, if we called `print()` on `1`, then it would look for `print.numeric()` and dispatch that method to print the object. Technically, this just calls `print.default()` because the default method knows how to print numeric values but the logic remains.

⁵<http://adv-r.had.co.nz/>

Something which will make OO programmers everywhere cringe, is that the class attribute for an R object is modifiable:

```
var_1 <- 1
class(var_1) <- "custom_class"
class(var_1)
```

```
## [1] "custom_class"
```

Now, when we attempt to print the `var_1` variable, R will look for the appropriate method based on its class (`custom_class`), which would be `print.custom_class()`. In this case, a `print.custom_class` method doesn't exist, so it'll default to the `print.default()` method:

```
print(var_1)
```

```
## [1] 1
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "custom_class"
```

Importantly, we've learnt here that setting the class of an object is as easy as using the `class()` function and the `<-` operator.

4.3.4.2 Constructor functions

To ensure our objects in the same class all have a similar structure, we want to make a constructor function that requires certain values. For example, let's create a class to store addresses, and we'll say that we need the house name, the road and the city. Our constructor function might look like this:

```
address <- function(house_name, road, city) {
  address <- list(house_name = house_name, road = road,
    city = city)
  class(address) <- "address"
  return(address)
}
```

Now to create an object from my constructor function, I just need to use the `address()` function:

```
my_address <- address("4", "Pleasant Drive", "London")
class(my_address)
```

```
## [1] "address"
```

Now, if I called `print(my_address)`, R would look for a `print.address()` method. But, it wouldn't find one and so would turn to `print.default()` which would print our object like any other list. Therefore, we might want to write our own method to print our new object how we want to.

4.3.4.3 Creating methods

To create a method, all we need to do is create a function with the name of our generic followed by a `'` and then name of our class. So from our previous example, our method would look like this:

```
print.address <- function(address) {  
  cat("The house name is: ", address$house_name, "\n")  
  cat("The road is: ", address$road, "\n")  
  cat("The city is: ", address$city, "\n")  
}
```

Now when we call `print()` on our new object, it will find the `print.address()` method and use that to print our object:

```
print(my_address)
```

```
## The house name is: 4  
## The road is: Pleasant Drive  
## The city is: London
```

4.3.4.4 Creating generics

You can also create your own generic functions for your classes. Generic functions have a very simple structure. Let's say we want to create a new generic called `post`:

```
post <- function(x) {  
  UseMethod("post")  
}
```

This is just telling R that when the `post()` function is called on an object, look for the appropriate `post` method to use. Without us writing any methods, this would be useless because R wouldn't have any methods to use!

Let's create a default method that can be applied if we don't have a more specific class:

```
post.default <- function(x) {
  cat("Your object is now in the post! Expect it in 5 to 10 weeks")
}
```

In our example, this default method isn't going to be very useful but it demonstrates that we need a default method to be dispatched if we haven't written a specific method for that object class.

Let's write a method for our `address` object:

```
post.address <- function(x) {
  cat("Your object has been posted to ", x$house_name,
      " ", x$road, " ", x$city, "!")
}
```

Now, if we call our new generic on two different classes of object and compare:

```
post(1)
```

```
## Your object is now in the post! Expect it in 5 to 10 weeks
```

```
post(my_address)
```

```
## Your object has been posted to 4 Pleasant Drive London !
```

We can see that in the top example, `post()` has dispatched the `post.default()` method. In the second example though, it's dispatched the `post.address()` method.

As you can see, the S3 system is quick and easy. For smaller projects, this should be more than enough to get you by. Because it's quick and easy though, it's not particularly sturdy. You can change the class of any object, which will change the methods that are called on it and so can really mess things up. If you're careful though, creating custom objects in your projects can be very powerful.

4.3.5 Questions

1. Why does `is(1L)` return integer, then double, then numeric in that order?
2. Now we understand inheritance, why can dataframes be subsetted with a `$`?
3. If we create a dataframe and then call `print.default()` on it, why do we get the output that we do? Why does it look more like a list than a dataframe?

4. Why are constructors not a fool-proof way of making sure that all our objects of our class will have the same structure? How else might one create an object of class `address`?
5. `methods("mean")` returns methods for dates and datetimes, but no other object. Why is this? What does this mean when constructing methods for a new generic?

4.4 Expressions

When we use R, we write code which is then passed to the console to be executed (evaluated). Before the code is executed though, it is just an **expression**.

An expression can therefore be defined as a section of R code that has not yet been fully evaluated. That does not mean that all expressions have to be *valid*. For example, a piece of code like this `mean()` is a valid expression, but will error when it is evaluated because `mean` is missing its required arguments.

Expressions themselves are made up of 4 constituent parts: calls, constants, names and pairlists. For now though, we're not going to look at the bits that make up expressions, but instead we'll focus on expressions as a whole.

4.4.1 Creating expressions

Creating an expression (an unevaluated piece of code) is done in base R using the `quote()` function. Unfortunately, the `expression()` function in R doesn't actually create an expression in the sense we're talking about, so use `quote()` instead.

When creating single line expressions, you can just provide the expression directly within the `quote()` function:

```
quote(x + 10)
```

```
## x + 10
```

When providing multiple line expressions, wrap the argument in `{}` like this:

```
quote({
  x + 10
  y - 5
})
```

```
## {
```

```
##      x + 10
##      y - 5
## }
```

Unfortunately, testing whether something is an expression in R isn't that easy, because the base R functions are made for the constituent parts of the expression (e.g. `is.call()`, `is.name()`, etc.). Instead, you can use the `is_expression()` function from the `rlang` package to test whether something is an expression:

```
rlang::is_expression(quote(1 + 1))
```

```
## [1] TRUE
```

4.4.2 Evaluating expressions

Once you've created your expression, you can evaluate it using the `eval()` function:

```
my_expr <- quote(1 + 1)
eval(my_expr)
```

```
## [1] 2
```

Of course in this example, this is essentially just the same as `1 + 1` as we're evaluating the expression in the same environment in which it was created. However, the `eval()` function accepts an `envir` parameter where you can pass an environment for the expression to be evaluated in:

```
new_environ <- new.env()
new_environ$num <- 10
my_expr <- quote(num + 5)
eval(my_expr) # this will error because num doesn't exist in our parent environment
```

```
## Error in eval(my_expr): object 'num' not found
```

```
eval(my_expr, new_environ) # this won't error because num exists in new_environ
```

```
## [1] 15
```

Using this, you can create expressions in one environment without evaluating them, and then evaluate them later in different environments to where they were created.

4.4.3 Substitution

As well as hard coding in the objects and names in our expression, we can substitute in values from our environment. For example, let's say we wanted to create an `x <- y + 1` expression, but we wanted to change what the value of `y` was when we created it. We could achieve this by using the `substitute()` function. `substitute()` requires two parameters, `expr` which must be an expression, and `env` which must be an environment or a list and contains the objects you want to substitute.

```
substitute(x <- y + 1, list(y = 1))
```

```
## x <- 1 + 1
```

As you can see, this doesn't *evaluate* the expression, it simply substitutes the provided names with the values provided in the `env` parameter. This can be a really powerful tool for building up expressions.

4.4.4 Quasiquotation

A related subject to expressions and substitution is the idea of **quasiquotation**, used heavily in the `tidyverse` packages. Quasiquotation is the process of quoting (creating expressions) and unquoting (evaluating) parts of that expression.

A good example of quasiquotation in action is the `dplyr` package. Within the `dplyr` package functions, you'll provide column names to various analysis and data manipulation functions. When you provide those names however, you provide them as raw names (i.e. not in quotation marks): `dplyr::mutate(data, new_column = old_column + 1)`. Those column names are then quoted (as in `quote()`) and then evaluated in the context of the dataset that you've provided:

```
test_df <- data.frame(col_1 = c(1, 2, 3))
eval(quote(col_1), env = test_df)
```

```
## [1] 1 2 3
```

I won't go into quasiquotation here because Hadley's chapters on the subject⁶ in his *Advanced R* book summarises the topic much better than I ever could. But if you're interested, I would recommend using the `tidyverse` packages and trying to understand how quoting and unquoting has been implemented in those packages. If you can get your head round it and even implement similar ideas in your own projects, you can greatly expand your flexibility and efficiency.

⁶<https://adv-r.hadley.nz/quasiquotation.html>

4.4.5 Questions

1. Why might an expression like this `fun(x)` be useful? Particularly, when paired with `substitute()`.
2. What's the difference between

```
quote({  
  x <- 1  
  x + 10  
})
```

and

```
list(quote(x <- 1), quote(x + 10))
```

Why do they evaluate to different things?

4.5 If / Else

Building on our logical operators, there will often be times where you want to split the logic of your code depending on a criteria. For example, if you've created a function that can accept a character string or a number, you might want to split the body of the function to do something slightly different depending on the class of the provided argument.

If / else statements in R has a simple structure:

```
if (criteria_statement) {  
  what_you_want_to_do  
} else if (other_criteria) {  
  something_else_you_want_to_do  
} else {  
  something_you_want_to_do_if_all_else_fails  
}
```

Putting this into practice, a real If / else block may look like this:

```
x <- 1  
if (x == 1) {  
  return("x is 1")  
} else if (x == 2) {  
  return("x is 2")  
} else {  
  return("x is not 1 or 2")  
}
```

```
## [1] "x is 1"
```

Implementing this in a function could look like this:

```
what_is_it <- function(x) {  
  if (is.character(x)) {  
    return("x is a character")  
  } else if (is.numeric(x)) {  
    return("x is numeric")  
  } else {  
    return("x is something else")  
  }  
}
```

```
what_is_it("hello")
```

```
## [1] "x is a character"
```

```
what_is_it(2)
```

```
## [1] "x is numeric"
```

```
what_is_it(TRUE)
```

```
## [1] "x is something else"
```

4.5.1 Questions

1. Rather than writing `if (x == 1 | x == 2 | x == 3)`, how could you use the `%in%` operator to make it shorter?
2. How does the `switch()` function relate to `if / else` statements?

4.6 Iteration

Functions are an important stepping stone in reducing the amount of code you need to do something (they make your code less **verbose**). Another tool in achieving this goal is the idea of iteration. Iteration is just the process of doing something more than once.

We'll often find ourselves doing the same thing again and again in programming. Calculating the means for lots of different columns, or different datasets, or

making plots for different groups are all examples of operations that you'll rarely only do once. There are two approaches to this: imperative programming and functional programming. First, we'll take a look at imperative programming (for loops) as this is more akin to lots of other programming language. Later, however, we'll look at how we can better utilise the fact that R is a functional programming to solve some iteration problems more easily and with fewer errors.

4.6.1 Imperative programming

Imperative programming is a programming paradigm that focuses on *how* an operation should be performed. For instance, if you wanted to add up all the numbers in a vector with an imperative approach, you would create a loop to go through and add each number to a grand total. You've clearly defined (with a control structure like a loop) how the operation should be performed. Imperative programming languages have the benefit that understanding exactly what is happening is much easier. You can follow the flow of logic and what's happening at each stage. Imperative languages are very common and you will inevitably have heard of some of the more famous languages that are based on imperative programming:

- Ruby
- Python
- C++
- C
- Java

Central to an imperative programming language are its control structures. Control structures define the order of execution and although the exact control structures that are supported in each language change, a fairly universal control structure is the for loop.

4.6.1.1 For loops

For loops are almost completely ubiquitous across different programming languages. They allow us to perform actions over a list or set of objects. They are flexible and explicit even if they can be difficult to understand. A simple for loop in R follows a simple structure:

```
for (identifier in list) {  
  do_something_with_it(identifier)  
}
```

In the above, the identifier is used to access the value that is currently being iterated upon. Let's look at a practical example:

```
for (i in c(1, 2, 3)) {
  print(i)
}
```

```
## [1] 1
## [1] 2
## [1] 3
```

In this loop, we go through the vector of values 1, 2 and 3, and we print it using the `i` identifier that we've assigned to the value we're currently iterating upon. So the code inside the loop will run three times (once for each value in the vector we've provided). The first time, `i` will equal 1. The code will execute, and then `i` will take the value of 2 and so on.

This isn't a particular useful example. Let's look at more realistic example. Let's say you've got a list with 2 dataframes in that have the same structure:

```
dataframe_list <- list(data.frame(obs = c(1, 2, 3), value = c(10,
  11, 9)), data.frame(obs = c(1, 2, 3), value = c(100,
  200, 150)))
```

And you want to calculate the mean for the `value` column for each one:

```
for (df in dataframe_list) {
  mean(df$value)
}
```

Or, if we refer back to our function example from the Functions chapter, we could apply our function to each dataset to get a normal distribution for each. Rather than just printing those numbers, we'll also construct a list to store the output:

```
output_list <- list()
for (df in seq_along(dataframe_list)) {
  output_list[[df]] <- create_norm_dist_from_column(dataframe_list[[df]],
    "value")
}
head(output_list[[1]], 5)
```

```
## [1] 11.177577 10.606302 8.603221 10.128466 9.690961
```

In this case, rather than looping through the *values* in the `dataframe_list` list, we're looping through the *indices* using `seq_along()`. `seq_along()` just

creates a vector with all the indices in. So for a list with two values in it, this is *almost* equivalent to `1:2`. Using the `seq_along()` approach lets us keep track of where we are in the `dataframe_list`, as we know exactly how many times the loop is run at any given time, so we can assign the output to the right position in `output_list`.

Note: If you can avoid expanding a list (i.e. increasing the size of a list by one everytime to add to it), then this is preferable. However, for very small lists, expanding a list rather than pre-defining its size and filling those values isn't really a big deal.

4.6.1.2 While loops

While loops are closely related to for loops. Instead of looping through an object or through the indices of an object, a while loop runs when a criteria is fulfilled:

```
x <- 0
while (x < 2) {
  x <- x + 1
  print(x)
}
```

```
## [1] 1
## [1] 2
```

4.6.2 Declarative and functional programming

For loops and its derivatives are very powerful, but they are arguably less important in functional languages like R than they are in other languages purely imperative languages like C and Python.

Instead, within R we can leverage functions to wrap the loops. This means that we can spend less time writing exactly how something should be done and focus on what we want out of it. By using functions to move away from writing out for loops, we start to shift paradigms from the *imperative* to the *declarative*. With imperative programming, you define *how* things should happen. With declarative programming, you focus more on the *what* you want to happen. For example, let's look back at our `dataframe_list` example. We can turn our loop into a function that we can call whenever we have a list of dataframes:

```
norm_dists <- function(dfs, column = "value") {
  output_list <- list()
  for (df in seq_along(dfs)) {
    output_list[[df]] <- create_norm_dist_from_column(dfs[[df]],
```

```
        column)
    }
    output_list
}

head(norm_dists(dataframe_list)[[1]], 1)
```

```
## [1] 9.695074
```

Now, whenever we have a list of dataframes we can just use our `norm_dists()` function and provide the column name to the `column` parameter. We don't need to write a new for loop for our new datasets, we can just apply them to our function. We've moved from the imperative (exactly what should happen to calculate each distribution) to the declarative (give me the normal distribution and I'm not bothered how you do it). Although we haven't actually changed how the normal distribution is created, we have changed how we get that distribution.

Declarative programming in R is very closely related to the idea of vectorized functions, which we looked at in Functions. Vectorised functions abstract away the complexities of writing imperative code but they still utilise control structures at their core.

4.6.2.1 Declarative vs Imperative

It's not really a case of one approach being better than the other, and in R you'll often find yourself using both. In my personal experience, I relied heavily on imperative paradigms when I started using R because it made more sense to me. Then gradually as I became more familiar with how R worked at its core, I began to move to using more declarative approaches and the `apply` functions which we'll look at next. But I never forgot that this functional approach was still relying on imperative programming at its core.

So my advice if you're new to R is to use both. Write out for loops *and* learn how to create and use functions to the same end. That way you'll always have the right tool for the job and you'll be more able to apply your knowledge to new languages in the future.

4.6.2.2 Applying functions

Because of its importance in R, applying multiple values to the same function and keeping track of the output has bred its own functions and methodology. More specifically, it's led to the development of the `apply` set of functions. These act as syntactic sugar to decrease the number of for loops present in your code*.

* A common argument is that **apply** functions are faster than for loops. Generally speaking, this is not true. Most times the function is just an implementation of a for loop at its core, meaning the **apply** functions aren't more efficient or faster. Instead, the benefit comes from the readability and cleanliness of the code.

We've already seen an example of the **lapply** (list-apply) function in action, but let's do another one. Let's say we have a list of vectors containing numbers and we want the maximum for each.

```
number_list <- list(c(1, 2, 3, 4), c(500, 250, 100, 10),
  c(1000, 1001, 1001, 1000))
```

We can apply the **max()** function to each item in our list:

```
lapply(number_list, FUN = max)
```

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 4
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 500
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 1001
```

As you can see, this is much shorter than writing out a for loop to achieve the same goal.

Another function in the **apply** family is **sapply()** that will attempt to simplify its output. Using the previous example and the **sapply()** function, we get a vector instead of a list:

```
sapply(number_list, FUN = max)
```

```
## [1] 4 500 1001
```

The **FUN** parameter for this set of functions accepts any function object (including anonymous functions), meaning that we can apply any function we like to each item in our list.

4.6.2.3 purrr

The **apply** family of functions in base R are extremely powerful. However, they are not quite as user-friendly as one might have hoped, and there are some

inconsistencies across the different `apply` functions. To solve this, the `purrr` provides the `map()` functions to provide the same functionality but in a more succinct and universal way.

Personally, I do prefer the `purrr` functions to the base R functions as I find they're easier to learn to use, however the base R functions do provide the same functionality. Documentation for the `purrr` package can be found at <https://purrr.tidyverse.org>⁷.

4.6.3 Questions

1. In what situation would you use `i in x` over `i in seq_along(x)`?
2. Why is `seq_along(x)` preferable to `1:length(x)`?
3. What would be the for loop code that would be required to replicate `lapply(number_list, FUN = max)`? Which would be easier to debug?

⁷purrr.tidyverse.org

Chapter 5

Summary

Overall, I hope that this book has helped you grasp the basics of the R programming language. If you're a student, then I hope this was pitched at the correct level to challenge you. If you're a teacher, then I hope this book helped round out your knowledge of R such that you would feel comfortable imparting your knowledge onto those that want to hear it.

If you feel that there are improvements to be made to the content or how it was taught, then please do let me know via a GitHub issue on the `teacheR`¹ repository.

5.1 Answers

5.1.1 For Students

5.1.1.1 Operators

1. Why do `1 != 2` and `!(1 == 2)` both equal true?
 - The `!` operator negates the following criteria. So our first statement is `1 not equal to 2` and whereas our second is `not 1 equal to 2`. But both of these evaluate to `TRUE`.
2. Reading the R documentation² on logical operators, what is the difference between `|` and `||` (and `&` and `&&`)?
 - `|` and `&` perform the comparison in a step-wise method for vectors. So `c(1,2) == 1 & c(2,3) == 2` returns `TRUE` first (`1 == 1 & 2 == 2`)

¹<https://github.com/ARawles/teacheR/issues>

²<https://stat.ethz.ch/R-manual/R-devel/library/base/html/Logic.html>

and then `FALSE` (`2 == 1 & 3 == 2`), because it evaluates the criteria for the first and second values in the vectors separately. `||` and `&&` operate on the first value in each vector only. So `c(1,2) == 1 & c(2,3) == 2` returns only `TRUE` and nothing else because it only evaluates the first values in each vector against the defined criteria (i.e. `1 == 1 & 2 == 2`).

5.1.1.2 Variable Assignment

1. Is `.2nd` a valid name? Why/why not?
 - It begins with a `.` which is valid, but the first character after the `.` is a digit which isn't allowed.
2. Why are names like `if`, `function`, and `TRUE` not allowed?
 - These are reserved words in R. They are reserved because they are used for specific functions, and so creating variables with these names could very easily confuse things! 3. Why might it be a bad idea to assign a value to a name like `mean` or `sum`?
 - `mean()` and `sum()` are functions in base R. Therefore, giving variables the same names can make reading a script very difficult, and might lead you to inadvertently use the incorrect value.

5.1.1.3 Data Types

1. Why are `2` and `2L` different?
 - `2` is stored as a double, whereas because we've appended `L` to `2L`, we're telling R to store it as an integer. Although, both are numeric.
2. What is an ordered factor and how is it different to a character string?
 - An ordered factor stores a set of groups in a set order. A character string does not necessarily represent any type of grouping and has no inherent ordering.
3. Why does `as.Date("19/01/2019", format = "%d/%m/%y")` return the date 19th Jan 2020 and not 19th Jan 2019?
 - The `%y` part of the `format` parameter tells R that the year value is 2 digits long. R therefore parses the date as 19/01/20 and ignores everything after it - resulting in 19th Jan 2020.
4. Why does `as.numeric(TRUE)` return 1? What will `as.logical(2)` return?
 - `TRUE` and `FALSE` have historically been stored as 1 and 0 respectively, so `as.logical(1)` will also return `TRUE`. R treats any numeric value greater than 0 as `TRUE`, so `as.logical(2)` will return `TRUE`.

5. Look at the `identical()` function. How is that different from `==`?
 - The `identical()` function does not use implicit conversion whereas `==` does. You can test this by comparing `identical(1, 1L)` and `1 == 1L`.

5.1.1.4 Data Structures

1. If I want to store a set of integers, what data structure should I use and why?
 - A vector would be most appropriate. All values are of the same type, and so a list would be unnecessary (but still valid).
2. Reading in Excel and .csv files into R will convert them into data.frames. Why do you think this is?
 - Excel and .csv files have a tabular structure (they are similar to tables), and often have columns of different types. As data frames fulfill both of these requirements, data frames are the default data structures for this type of data.
3. What does `is(matrix())` return? What does this tell us about the underlying difference between matrices and dataframes?
 - Matrices don't store their columns as lists, but as vectors (and that's why `is(matrix())` returns `vector` but not `list`). As a vector stores atomic values that must all be of the same type, that's why matrices must have values of the same type whilst dataframes (that rely on columns as lists) can have values of any type.

5.1.1.5 Subsetting

1. Why can dataframes and lists be subsetted in a similar way?
 - Dataframes are essentially just lists of lists, so they can be subsetted like lists because they are lists!
2. What happens if you miss the last character off when subsetting a dataframe column with `$` (e.g. `df$co` instead of `df$col`)? Does the same thing happen when subsetting using `[]`?
 - R will find the closest match when using `$`, but will not do the same with `[]`. `$` is therefore good for interactive use (when you're trying lots of things quickly), but not if you're programming, because you might accidentally subset the wrong column without noticing.

5.1.1.6 Functions (students)

1. Why does ``<` (test, 2)` work? What does this tell us about `<-`?
 - ``<` (test, 2)` works because `<-` is just another function. It assigns the second value to the first, and so we can use it like any other function (with brackets).
2. Why does `mean(1,2)` not return the output you'd expect but `sum(1,2)` does?
 - `mean()` is expecting a vector of values provided as the `x` argument. So the correct use of `mean` would be `mean(c(1,2))`. `sum()`, however, uses `...` to capture all arguments that are provided within the brackets and sum them together, so `sum(1,2)` is appropriate.
3. Other than `Sys.Date()`, can you think of another example of a function that be executed without any explicit input parameters?
 - `Sys.time()` is another in the same style. `c()` and `matrix()` also do not require explicit input parameters to operate.

5.1.2 For Teachers

5.1.2.1 Functions (teachers)

1. How are `mean()` and `sum()` different in their implementation of `...`?
 - `sum()` uses `...` to accept an indeterminate number of arguments to sum. `mean()` requires a vector of values to operate on, and uses `...` to pass on to its subsequent methods (i.e. how exactly it calculates the mean for different data structures).
2. If functions are objects, how would you construct a function that returns a function? What might be a use for this?
 - Example function:

```
function_factory <- function(power = 2) {
  ret_function <- function(x) {
    x^power
  }
  ret_function
}

square_function <- function_factory(power = 2)
square_function(3)
```

```
## [1] 9
```

3. What happens if you create a function that requires an argument that is never used? Why isn't there an error?
 - R only evaluates objects when they are called. So if there's an object that is never used, then it is never evaluated and so there's no error.
4. What does the `missing()` function do? How does this go against the lazy approach?
 - `missing()` checks whether an argument was provided in the function call. This goes against the lazy approach because it ensures that the value is checked even though it may not be used in the body of the function. Rather than erroring when the object isn't found however, `missing()` returns `TRUE` if its missing and `FALSE` if it isn't.
5. Why might one use the `missing()` approach instead of assigning a default value? What are the drawbacks of this?
 - `missing()` allows you to handle errors in more detail and lets you set more complex or conditional default values. However, using `missing()` in this way means that someone who uses your function might not be able to tell what the default value is going to be without seeing the body of the function!

5.1.2.2 Environments

1. In what situations can we have two environments with the same name? Why is this?
 - As long as those two environments don't share the same parent environment, then they can have the same name. This is because they will still both have unique identifiers by virtue of having different inherited environments.
2. Search 'namespacing'. How does that concept relate to environments?
 - Namespacing is the concept of naming objects to allow them to be uniquely identifiable. Environments allow for namespacing by encapsulating names within a scope. Then, even if the name isn't unique, the pattern of inheritance and the name will be together, so the object will be uniquely identifiable.
3. What might be an issue with create a function that uses superassignment on an object with the name `x`?
 - `x` is quite a common variable name. If someone is using your function and doesn't realise that you're using super assignment with an object named `x`, then you might overwrite their `x` variable.

5.1.2.3 Objects and classes

1. Why does `is(1L)` return integer, then double, then numeric in that order?
 - integer inherits from the double class, which in turn inherits from numeric. Integer inherits from double because all integers are doubles but not all doubles are integers. Double inherits from numeric because all doubles are numeric but not all numerics are doubles.
2. Now we understand inheritance, why can data frames be subsetted with a `$`?
 - Data frames inherit from the `list` class, meaning that methods that operate on lists (should) also work on data frames, like `$`.
3. If we create a dataframe and then call `print.default()` on it, why do we get the output that we do? Why does it look more like a list than a dataframe?
 - When we print a data frame normally, `print.data.frame()` is called. When we call `print.default()`, we're using a different method that prints the object differently (more akin to a list).
4. Why are constructors not a fool-proof way of making sure that all our objects of our class will have the same structure? How else might one create an object of class `address`?
 - Because we can change the class of an object with assignment, someone could create an object that didn't match your constructor function and then assign the class like this: `class(not_an_address) <- "address"`
5. `methods("mean")` returns methods for dates and datetimes (and `.default` which operates on numeric objects), but no other object. Why is this? What does this mean when constructing methods for a new generic?
 - These are the only data types for which a `mean` function would make sense. For instance, finding the mean for a bunch of character strings wouldn't really make much sense (although you could build one if you wanted). This means that you only really need to create methods for your generic that *make sense* (i.e. that are appropriate for what you want your generic to do).

5.1.2.4 Expressions

1. Why might an expression like this `fun(x)` be useful? Particularly, when paired with `substitute()`. + By using substitute, we can replace `fun` with any function we like:


```
quoted_fun <- substitute(fun(x), env = list(fun = sum, x = 1))
quoted_fun
```

```
## .Primitive("sum")(1)
```

```
eval(quoted_fun)
```

```
## [1] 1
```

2. What's the difference between

```
quote({
  x <- 1
  x + 10
})
```

and

```
list(quote(x <- 1), quote(x + 10))
```

and why do they evaluate to different things? + The first is a single expression. When we evaluate the first, both lines `x <- 1` and `x + 10` are evaluated at (roughly) the same time:

```
eval(quote({
  x <- 1
  x + 10
}))
```

```
## [1] 11
```

+ The second is a list of separate expressions. If we evaluate them using:

```
lapply(list(quote(x <- 1), quote(x + 10)), eval)
```

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 11
```

Then each line is evaluated separately, resulting in two lines instead of 1.

5.1.2.5 If / Else

1. Rather than writing `if (x == 1 | x == 2 | x == 3)`, how could you use the `%in%` operator to make it shorter?
 - `if (x %in% c(1,2,3))`
2. How does the `switch()` function relate to if / else statements?
 - `switch` takes an expression that returns a character string or number and then evaluates the appropriate argument depending on the value. The `switch` function therefore operates like a string of `if else (x == x)` statements.

5.1.2.6 Iteration

1. In what situation would you use `i in x` over `i in seq_along(x)`?
 - `i in x` is used to access the value at each position in `x`, whereas `i in seq_along(x)` will go along the indices. So if you want to access the value (and you're not doing something like incrementing another variable)
2. Why is `seq_along(x)` preferable to `1:length(x)`?
 - If you have something that has a length of 0, then `1:length(x)` will return `1 0`, which isn't what you want as you'll go from the first index (which won't exist anyway and so will error) and then to the 0th index. `seq_along(x)` will return an empty integer object instead, which is preferable.
3. What would be the for loop code that would be required to replicate `lapply(number_list, FUN = max)`? Which would be easier to debug?
 - Loop code:

```
max_list <- list(rep(NULL, length(number_list)))
for (i in seq_along(number_list)) {
  max_list[[i]] <- max(number_list[[i]])
}
```

5.2 Next Steps

5.2.1 opeRate

To help you learn how to apply the skills and understanding you've acquired through this book, I've developed a second book, `opeRate`³. `opeRate` was developed in exactly the same way as `teacheR`, but focuses on applied data analysis

³<https://operate.arawles.co.uk>

and practical learning. If you're interested in applying your knowledge, then I would recommend giving it a read.