

The Facets of Geometry

Analytic

(Under heavy construction!!)

July 15, 2024

Contents

I	The Algebraic Viewpoint	1
1	Foundational Algebraic Geometry	3
1.1	A guiding example	5
1.2	Affine schemes and basic properties	6
1.3	Schemes and basic properties	18
1.4	First notions on schemes	25
1.5	Varieties	34
1.6	Fundamental constructions on schemes	55
1.7	Dimension of schemes	74
1.8	Projective schemes	79
1.9	\mathcal{O}_X -modules	86
1.10	Divisors	100
1.11	Smoothness & differential forms	109
1.12	Morphism of schemes	113
1.13	Coherent and quasicoherent sheaf cohomology	135
2	Varieties over an algebraically closed field	137
2.1	Notations	137
2.2	Intersection with hypersurfaces	139
2.3	Grassmannians	140
3	Elliptic Curves	141
4	Étale topology	143
5	Deformation Theory	145
6	Algebraic Geometry	147
6.1	Functor of points	147
6.2	Analytification and GAGA	147
6.3	Intersection theory	147
6.4	Overview of K-theory of schemes	149
6.5	The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence	150
6.6	Serre's intersection formula	151

II	The Arithmetic Viewpoint	153
7	Foundational Arithmetic	155
7.1	Fundamental properties of \mathbb{Z}	155
7.2	Algebraic number fields	155
III	The Topological Viewpoint	159
8	Foundational Geometry	161
8.1	Locally ringed spaces and manifolds	161
8.2	Linearization	169
8.3	Constructions on manifolds	169
8.4	Lie groups	169
8.5	Global algebra	170
8.6	Torsors and 1 st -Čech cohomology group	186
8.7	Bundles	187
8.8	Differential forms and de-Rham cohomology	188
9	Foundational Differential Geometry	193
9.1	Bundles in differential geometry and applications	193
9.2	Cohomological methods	193
9.3	Covariant derivative, connections, classes and curvatures	193
10	Foundational Homotopy Theory	195
10.1	Fundamental group and covering maps	199
10.2	Cofibrations and cofiber sequences	222
10.3	Fibrations and fiber sequences	233
10.4	Homology theories	246
10.5	Cohomology theories	261
10.6	Cohomology products and duality	262
10.7	CW-complexes & CW homotopy types	263
10.8	Homotopy and homology	264
10.9	Homotopy & algebraic structures	264
10.10	Model categories & abstract homotopy	266
10.11	Classifying spaces	266
10.12	Spectra	266
10.13	Lifting & extension problems	266
11	Stable Homotopy Theory	267
12	Classical Ordinary Differential Equations	269
12.1	Initial value problems	270
12.2	Linear systems	279
12.3	Stability of linear systems in \mathbb{R}^2	282
12.4	Autonomous systems	283

12.5 Linearization and flow analysis	285
12.6 Second order ODE	291
13 K-Theory of Vector Bundles	297
14 Jet Bundles	299
IV The Analytic Viewpoint	301
15 Analysis on Complex Plane	303
15.1 Holomorphic functions	304
15.2 La théorie des cartes holomorphes	311
15.3 Singularities	325
15.4 Cauchy's theorem - II	327
15.5 Residues and meromorphic maps	329
15.6 Riemann mapping theorem	331
16 Riemann Surfaces	333
16.1 Introduction	333
16.2 Ramified coverings & Riemann-Hurwitz formula	342
16.3 Monodromy & analytic continuation	343
16.4 Holomorphic & meromorphic forms	344
16.5 Riemann-Roch theorem	349
17 Foundational Analytic Geometry	359
V The Categorical Viewpoint	361
18 Classical Topoi	365
18.1 Towards the axioms of a Topos	366
18.2 Grothendieck Topologies & Sheaves	371
18.3 Basic Properties and Results in Topoi	381
18.4 Sheaves in an arbitrary Topos	401
18.5 Geometric Morphisms	415
18.6 Categorical Semantics	421
18.7 Topoi and Logic	431
19 Language of ∞-Categories	443
19.1 Simplicial sets	443
19.2 Classical homotopical algebra	458
20 Algèbre Commutative Dérivée	461

VI Special Topics	463
23 Commutative Algebra	471
23.1 General algebra	473
23.2 Graded rings & modules	487
23.3 Noetherian modules and rings	490
23.4 $\text{Supp}(M)$, $\text{Ass}(M)$ and primary decomposition	493
23.5 Tensor, symmetric & exterior algebras	496
23.6 Field theory	508
23.7 Integral dependence and normal domains	543
23.8 Dimension theory	551
23.9 Completions	554
23.10 Valuation rings	555
23.11 Dedekind domains	558
23.12 Tor and Ext functors	560
23.13 Projective and injective modules	561
23.14 Multiplicities	566
23.15 Kähler differentials	567
23.16 Depth, Cohen-Macaulay & regularity	570
23.17 Filtrations	572
23.18 Flatness	572
23.19 Lifting properties : Étale maps	573
23.20 Lifting properties : Unramified maps	574
23.21 Lifting properties : Smooth maps	575
23.22 Simple, semisimple and separable algebras	576
23.23 Miscellaneous	578
24 K-Theory of Rings	591
24.1 K_0	591
24.2 K_1	603
24.3 K_2	610
24.4 Higher K -theory of rings-I	619
24.5 K -theory & étale cohomology	636
25 Abstract Analysis	639
25.1 Integration theory	640
25.2 Banach spaces	709
25.3 Hilbert spaces	725
25.4 Extension problems-I : Hahn-Banach theorem	726
25.5 Major theorems : UBP, OMT, BIT, CGT	726
25.6 Strong & weak convergence	735
25.7 Spectral theory	737
25.8 Compact operators	737

26 Homological Methods	739
26.1 The setup : abelian categories	739
26.2 Homology, resolutions and derived functors	741
26.3 Results for $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$	750
27 Foundational Sheaf Theory	751
27.1 Recollections	751
27.2 The sheafification functor	752
27.3 Morphisms of sheaves	754
27.4 Sheaves are étale spaces	760
27.5 Direct and inverse image	764
27.6 Category of sheaves	767
27.7 Classical Čech cohomology	773
27.8 Derived functor cohomology	778

Part IV

The Analytic Viewpoint

Chapter 15

Analysis on Complex Plane

Contents

15.1 Holomorphic functions	304
15.1.1 Cauchy-Riemann equations	305
15.1.2 Conformal maps	306
15.1.3 Harmonic maps	307
15.1.4 Stereographic projection and the Riemann sphere	307
15.1.5 Linear fractional transformations	307
15.1.6 e^z , $\log z$, $\arg z$	310
15.1.7 Branches of $\log z$ and $\arg z$	310
15.2 La théorie des cartes holomorphes	311
15.2.1 Line integrals	311
15.2.2 Cauchy's theorem - I	312
15.2.3 Theory of holomorphic maps	314
15.3 Singularities	325
15.3.1 Laurent series	326
15.3.2 Isolated singularities : Removable, poles and essential	326
15.4 Cauchy's theorem - II	327
15.4.1 General Cauchy's theorem	328
15.4.2 Homotopy & Cauchy's theorem	329
15.5 Residues and meromorphic maps	329
15.6 Riemann mapping theorem	331

We will here review some of the classical results of complex function theory of one variable, namely the following four topics:

- Analytic functions; Cauchy-Riemann equations, harmonic functions.
- Complex integration; Zeroes of analytic functions, winding numbers, Cauchy's formula and theorem, Liouville's theorem, Morera's theorem, open-mapping theorem, Schwarz's lemma.
- Singularities; Classification, Laurent series, Casorati-Weierstrass theorem, residues and applications, meromorphic maps, Rouché's theorem.

- Conformal maps; Möbius transformations, normality and compactness, Riemann mapping theorem.

All this is important as it will build one's intuition of geometry in complex case, which is something we don't learn as early in our studies as, say, real geometry. Of-course this would be of immense use in complex algebraic geometry, some of which we shall cover in later chapters. Moreover, a complex manifold by definition locally looks like \mathbb{C}^n , hence it is imperative that we understand the geometry and analysis of complex plane and make it as second nature as the usual geometry over \mathbb{R}^2 is to us.

15.1 Holomorphic functions

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ denote an open subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} for the rest of this chapter. Consider a function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Motivated by the classical case of real differentiability in one variable, we can define a notion of differentiation for f at $a \in \Omega$.

Definition 15.1.0.1. (\mathbb{C} -differentiable/holomorphic functions) A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is \mathbb{C} -differentiable or holomorphic at $a \in \Omega$ if the following limit exists:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+z) - f(a)}{z}$$

in which case it's value is said to be the derivative of f at a and is denoted by $\frac{df}{dz}(a) = f'(a) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 15.1.0.2. As we shall soon see, this seemingly innocuous definition for some surprising reason gives the following fantastic results:

1. Theorems 15.1.1.2 and ?? tells us:

$$\{ \text{All } \mathbb{C}\text{-differentiable maps } f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \}$$

$$\cong$$

$$\{ \text{All pairs of differentiable maps } u, v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ related by CR-equations} \}$$

2. Corollary 15.1.2.2 and Theorem ?? tells us:

$$\mathbb{C}\text{-differentiable maps are conformal.}$$

3. Theorem ?? tells us:

$$\mathbb{C}\text{-differentiable functions are harmonic.}$$

Moreover, Theorem ?? tells us that if Ω is simply connected, then

$$\{ \text{Harmonic functions } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C} \}$$

$$\cong$$

$$\{ \mathbb{C}\text{-differentiable functions on } \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C} \}$$

4. Theorem ?? tells us:

Contour integral of a \mathbb{C} -differentiable map around a loop is 0.

5. Theorem ?? tells us:

A \mathbb{C} -differentiable function inside a disc is determined by its values on the disc's boundary.

6. Corollary 15.2.3.5 tells us:

$$\{\mathbb{C}\text{-differentiable maps } f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

\cong

$$\{\text{Analytic maps } f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

This shows the sheer importance of the notion of \mathbb{C} -differentiability, which we will explore later in a more *local* setting. Our goal in the rest of this chapter is to provide rather quick proofs to these results while portraying the main ideas employed in them.

Let us start by analyzing some elementary properties of holomorphic maps.

15.1.1 Cauchy-Riemann equations

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map on an open subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Now, there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $(x, y) \mapsto x + iy$. Composing f with this map, we get that f can equivalently be stated as the data of two real valued maps $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $u(x, y) = \Re f(\varphi(x, y))$ and $v(x, y) = \Im f(\varphi(x, y))$.

Like in the case of \mathbb{R} -differentiability, in our case we can also define partial differential operators of f w.r.t. x, y and z .

Definition 15.1.1.1. (Partial differential operators on f) Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map on an open subset Ω of \mathbb{C} . Then, we define the following quantities in an obvious manner:

1. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} := \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$.
2. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} := \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$.
3. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$.
4. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)$.

Then the fact that f is holomorphic can be equivalently stated in terms of real differentiability of the maps u and v as the following theorem states.

Theorem 15.1.1.2. *Suppose $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is any \mathbb{C} -valued function on an open set Ω of \mathbb{C} . Then write $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ where $u, v : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.*

1. *$f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic at $z_0 \in \Omega$ if and only if u, v are real differentiable and satisfy any of the following equivalent PDEs at z_0 :*

$$(a) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}.$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}.$$

$$(c) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}.$$

- (d) $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$.
2. If $u, v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a pair of C^1 -maps satisfying the CR-equations, then $f := u + iv$ is a holomorphic map.

Proof. Equivalence of the four PDEs is straightforward. Now let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. This means that for any $a \in \Omega$, we have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(a) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+z) - f(a)}{z}.$$

The required PDEs for u and v follows by letting z approach 0 first from real axis and then from imaginary axis and deeming them equal.

Next, we may write $R(z) = f(a+z) - f(a) - cz$ for some $c = c_1 + ic_2$ and then $R(z) = R_u(z) + iR_v(z)$ where $R_u(z) = u(a+z) - u(a) - c_1x + c_2y$ and $R_v(z) = v(a+z) - v(a) - c_2x - c_1y$. Then, f is holomorphic at a with $\frac{df}{dz}(a) = c$ if and only if $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R(z)}{z} = 0$. But the latter happens if and only if $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_u(z)}{z} = 0 = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_v(z)}{z}$. Now $\frac{R_u(z)}{z} = 0$ if and only if $c_1 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(a)$ and $c_2 = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(a)$. Similarly, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{R_v(z)}{z} = 0$ if and only if $c_2 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(a)$ and $c_1 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(a)$. \square

15.1.2 Conformal maps

We will now show that holomorphic maps "preserves angles". The meaning of angle is not well-defined a-priori on the complex plane, so we will have to develop that first.

A *curve* in \mathbb{C} is a continuous map $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is said to be *differentiable* if $\Re \gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\Im \gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are differentiable \mathbb{R} -valued functions. It is said to be *regular* at $t \in I$ if $\gamma'(t) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Now, let $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be two curves which intersect at $\gamma_1(t_1) = \gamma_2(t_2)$ for $t_1, t_2 \in I$ such that γ_i is regular at t_i , $i = 1, 2$. Such an intersection is said to be *regular*. Then, the angle of intersection of γ_1 and γ_2 at a regular point is defined to be:

$$\angle \gamma_1(t_1), \gamma_2(t_2) := \arg \gamma_2'(t_2) - \arg \gamma_1'(t_1).$$

A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *conformal* at $z_0 \in \Omega$ if f preserves angles of all regular intersections of two curves at z_0 .

It is now an easy observation that holomorphic maps will be conformal.

Lemma 15.1.2.1. *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map on an open set Ω of \mathbb{C} . If $z_0 \in \Omega$ such that $f'(z_0) \neq 0$, then for any two curves γ_1, γ_2 such that $\gamma_1(t_1) = z_0 = \gamma_2(t_2)$ and γ_1, γ_2 are regular at t_1, t_2 respectively, then*

$$\angle \gamma_1(t_1), \gamma_2(t_2) = \angle f \circ \gamma_1(t_1), f \circ \gamma_2(t_2).$$

Proof. The result follows from chain rule and the fact that $\arg wz = \arg w + \arg z$. \square

A map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *conformal* if it preserves angles of all regularly intersecting curves. Thus,

Corollary 15.1.2.2. *All holomorphic functions are conformal except at those points at which derivative is zero.* \square

We will now show that even an arbitrary conformal map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is also holomorphic.

Theorem 15.1.2.3. *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a conformal map such that $\Re f$ and $\Im f$ are of class C^1 . Then,*

1. *f is holomorphic.*
2. *$f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$.*

Proof. Simple thus omitted. \square

15.1.3 Harmonic maps

A function $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be harmonic if $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0$. Below are some straightforward implications of Cauchy-Riemann equations.

Lemma 15.1.3.1. *Let $f = u + iv : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function where $u, v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then, f is harmonic if and only if u and v are harmonic (in \mathbb{R} -sense).*

Lemma 15.1.3.2. *All holomorphic maps are harmonic.*

Lemma 15.1.3.3. *All conformal maps are harmonic.*

15.1.4 Linear fractional transformations

A linear fractional transformation is a map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \mathbb{C} &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\longmapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$. These are important as they provide a class of workable examples of rational functions, which are pretty much the bread and butter of algebraic geometry. Moreover, these maps arrange themselves in a group and it then follows that it contains as a subgroup the biholomorphic automorphism group of lots of geometric objects of interest (see Lemmas 15.1.5.2, 15.1.5.3). However, these maps makes the most sense on the complex projective line, \mathbb{CP}^1 , the quotient of \mathbb{C}^2 by all lines passing through origin, which is the usual Riemann sphere $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let us work out this connection in detail. We have the following maps:

$$\alpha : \mathbb{C}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} \bar{\mathbb{C}}$$

$$(w, z) \longmapsto [w, z] \longmapsto \frac{w}{z}$$

Notice that $L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$, the collection of all linear fractional transforms on $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ forms a group where the identity is given when $a = 0 = c$. The multiplication of two fractional transforms is again a fractional transform, as can be checked easily. Hence, it follows that $L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ is a subgroup of all

biholomorphic maps of $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$, the $\text{Aut}(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$. Hence we have a hold on one type of global biholomorphic maps of the Riemann sphere(!)

We then have the following result.

Lemma 15.1.4.1. *Let $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$ denote the Riemann sphere. Then,*

$$L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}}) \cong GL_2(\mathbb{C})/\mathbb{C}^\times I_2$$

Proof. There's a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa : GL_2(\mathbb{C}) &\longrightarrow L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}}) \\ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} &\longmapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a group homomorphism, as can be checked easily. The kernel of this homomorphism consists of matrices

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

such that $\frac{az+b}{cz+d} = z$. Unravelling, we get $c = 0 = b$ and $a = d \neq 0$. □

This group is also known by *projective general linear group*, $PGL_2(\mathbb{C}) := L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$. The group $L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ also has some special subgroups. For example, it consists of all biholomorphic maps of $D^\circ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$.

Lemma 15.1.4.2. *For the open unit ball D° , we have*

$$\text{Aut}(D^\circ) \cong \left\{ \frac{t(z-a)}{1-\bar{a}z} \mid |t| = 1 \text{ \& } a \in D^\circ \right\}.$$

Similarly, it also contains an isomorphic copy of all biholomorphic maps of upper half plane \mathbb{H} .

Lemma 15.1.4.3. *For the upper half plane $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{H}) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \subset GL_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Properties

Let us now state some basic properties of fractional transforms.

Lemma 15.1.4.4. *If $\varphi : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-identity fractional transform, then either it has one or two fixed points, but not zero.*

Proof. A non-identity fractional transform $\varphi(z) = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ follows that either $b, d \neq 0$ or $a \neq c$. Suppose the former is not the case. Now if $\varphi(z) = z$, then it follows that $cz^2 + (d-a)z - b = 0$ where $b = d = 0$. Thus we obtain $z(cz-a) = 0$, which gives atleast one and atmost two solutions. Similarly, if $a = c$, then $b, d \neq 0$. It then follows that the above quadratic has either one or two solutions. □

Another property of fractional transforms is that they are uniquely determined by how they map on three points.

Lemma 15.1.4.5. *If z_1, z_2, z_3 and w_1, w_2, w_3 are two pair of distinct points in $\bar{\mathbb{C}}$, then there exists a unique fractional transform $\varphi \in L_f(\bar{\mathbb{C}})$ such that*

$$f(z_i) = w_i \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Proof. Uniqueness follows from the fact that if $\varphi, \varpi : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ are two fractional transforms taking $z_i \mapsto w_i$, then the fractional transform $\varphi \circ \varpi^{-1}$ has 3 fixed points. It follows from Lemma 15.1.5.4 that $\varphi \circ \varpi^{-1} = \text{id}$.

To show existence, take any arbitrary triple $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$. We will show that one can construct a fractional transform depending on v_i mapping as $z_i \mapsto v_i$. Denote then the map $\varphi, z_i \mapsto v_i$ and $\varpi, w_i \mapsto v_i$. Then $\varpi^{-1} \circ \varphi$ would be the required map. Since v_i can be arbitrary, therefore we choose it as per our convenience. It is perhaps easier to write it for $\infty, 0, 1$. \square

One last basic property that may be observed for fractional transforms is that they are conformal.

Lemma 15.1.4.6. *All fractional transforms $\varphi : \bar{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ are conformal.*

Proof. Since fractional transforms are holomorphic, therefore by Corollary 15.1.2.2, we reduce to showing that $\varphi'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \bar{\mathbb{C}}$. Indeed, we have

$$\phi'(z) = \frac{ad - bc}{(cz + d)^2},$$

where since $ad - bc \neq 0$ by definition, therefore $\phi'(z) \neq 0$. \square

Example : The Cayley transform

We will discuss here the properties of the following fractional transform, known by Cayley's name:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \bar{\mathbb{C}} &\longrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{C}} \\ z &\longmapsto \frac{z + i}{z - i}. \end{aligned}$$

15.2 La théorie des cartes holomorphes

The theory of holomorphic maps. We now begin another part of complex function theory which is at the heart of a lot of sources of interest in it. We first consider the line integrals.

15.2.1 Line integrals

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Suppose $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open subset containing γ and it's interior and let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then, the *line integral* of f along γ is defined as

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz := \int_a^b f(\gamma(t)) \gamma'(t) dt$$

where definite integral of a complex valued function $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where $g = u + iv$ is given simply as the Riemann integral on each of the real and imaginary parts:

$$\int_a^b g(t)dt = \int_a^b u(t)dt + i \int_a^b v(t)dt.$$

A continuous map $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called piecewise C^1 if γ is C^1 at all but finitely many points and where it isn't differentiable, one sided derivative exists.

Few properties of line integrals are in order.

Theorem 15.2.1.1. *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a curve in \mathbb{C} and let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open subset containing γ . Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map over G . Then,*

1. (FTOC) *If γ is piecewise C^1 , then*

$$\int_a^b \gamma'(t)dt = \gamma(b) - \gamma(a).$$

2. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ where G contains γ , then*

$$\int_{\gamma} f'(z)dz = f(\gamma(b)) - f(\gamma(a)).$$

So if γ is a closed loop, then integral of f' along it is 0.

3. *If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a reparametrization of γ , then $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_{\tilde{\gamma}} f(z)dz$.*
4. (Estimate) *If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ and $M = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |f(\gamma(t))|$, then*

$$\left| \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz \right| \leq ML(\gamma)$$

where $L(\gamma) = \int_a^b |\gamma'(t)| dt$ is the arc-length.

Proof. Assuming 1 by FTOC on each piece, all results follows from basic analysis. □

15.2.2 Cauchy's theorem - I

We will now state the Cauchy's theorems on holomorphic maps and integrals. This will be a special case of the general version, which we shall do later, for we will find almost all of the traditional applications without needing that generality. We will begin with it's infantile version, which is quite simple to state now with line integrals in our pouch.

Theorem 15.2.2.1. (Cauchy's theorem) *Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a closed piecewise C^1 loop in \mathbb{C} and let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a convex open set containing γ and it's interior $\text{Int}(\gamma)$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$, then*

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0.$$

Then there is the Cauchy integral formula.

Theorem 15.2.2.2. (*Cauchy's integral formula*) Let C be a circle oriented in the counterclockwise manner and let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set containing C and its interior $\text{Int}(C)$. Then,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw$$

for all $z \in \text{Int}(C)$.

Remark 15.2.2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on open $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. The integral formula tells us that the value of f at $z \in G$ can be given in terms of line integral of f around a small enough circle C in the CCW orientation centered at z so that $C \subseteq G$. Hence the integral formula tells us that holomorphic maps are pretty much completely determined by taking their line integrals around circles.

We will provide some results which can be derived from them. In particular, using these, we would be able to show that a holomorphic map is analytic (Corollary 15.2.3.5).

Proof of Cauchy's theorem : Holomorphic maps have primitives

A *primitive* of a holomorphic map f is a holomorphic map g such that $g' = f$. We first state the following theorem without proof using which we will prove the Cauchy's theorem.

Theorem 15.2.2.4. (*Cauchy's triangle theorem*) Let T be a triangle in \mathbb{C} and $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set containing T and $\text{Int}(T)$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$, then

$$\int_T f(z) dz = 0.$$

Proof. [??] [Sarason]. □

Now, we will prove the following lemma using the above triangle theorem.

Lemma 15.2.2.5. Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a convex open set and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$. Then there exists a map $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ such that $g' = f$.

Proof. For a fixed $z_0 \in G$, define

$$\begin{aligned} g : G &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\longmapsto \int_{[z_0, z]} f(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

where $[z_0, z]$ denotes the path formed by line joining z_0 and z in G . We claim that for all $z \in G$, $g'(z) = f(z)$. Indeed, pick any $z_1 \in G$ to form triangle $T = (z_0, z_1, z)$ inside G (G is convex). Then, by Theorem 15.2.2.4, we get the following

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_T f(w) dw \\ &= \int_{[z_0, z_1]} f(w) dw + \int_{[z_1, z]} f(w) dw + \int_{[z, z_0]} f(w) dw \\ g(z) - g(z_1) &= \int_{[z_1, z]} f(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

We wish to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(z) - g(z_1)}{z - z_1} - f(z_1) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{z - z_1} \int_{[z_1, z]} f(w) dw - f(z_1) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{z - z_1} \int_{[z_1, z]} (f(w) - f(z_1)) dw \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|z - z_1|} \int_{[z_1, z]} |f(w) - f(z_1)| dw. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is continuous, therefore for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $|w - z_1| < \delta$ implies $|f(w) - f(z_1)| < \epsilon$. Hence, for $|w - z_1| < \delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{|z - z_1|} \int_{[z_1, z]} \epsilon dw \\ &= \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence as $z \rightarrow z_1$, the above difference $\rightarrow 0$. □

Proof of Theorem 15.2.2.1. Since $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$, therefore by Lemma 15.2.2.5, there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ such that $g' = f$. Hence the result follows by Theorem 15.2.1.1, 2. □

Proof of Cauchy's integral formula : Cauchy integrals

We would like to present the proof of Cauchy integral formula as it portrays how to use the fact that integral of holomorphic maps around closed loops are zero (Theorem 15.2.2.1).

Proof of Theorem 15.2.2.2. Pick any $z_0 \in \text{Int}(C)$. We shall show the result for this chosen z_0 . We shall use the Cauchy's theorem 15.2.2.1 in an essential manner. Indeed, consider the following figure on the complex plane inside G : Integrating the holomorphic map $\frac{f(w)}{w - z_0}$ over the each




Figure 15.1: Contour over which to integrate $\frac{f(w)}{w - z_0}$.

of the four regions will give zero by Theorem 15.2.2.1. However, summing them up, one can see that we get the difference $\int_C \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw - \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw$, which should thus be zero, yielding us $\int_C \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw = \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw$. Note this is true for all $\epsilon < d(z_0, C)$.

Now recall that $\int_C \frac{1}{z} dz = 2\pi i$. Hence, we get the following estimate for any chosen $\epsilon < d(z_0, C)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw - f(z_0) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(w)}{w-z_0} dw - f(z_0) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\epsilon} \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w-z_0} dw \right| \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Theorem 15.2.1.1, 4, let $M_\epsilon = \sup_{w \in C_\epsilon} \left| \frac{f(w) - f(z_0)}{w - z_0} \right|$ to obtain the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{M_\epsilon}{2\pi} L(C_\epsilon) \\ &= \frac{M_\epsilon}{2\pi} 2\pi\epsilon \\ &= \epsilon M_\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is holomorphic, therefore $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} M_\epsilon = |f'(z_0)|$. Hence, $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon M_\epsilon = 0$, which gives the desired result. \square

15.2.3 Theory of holomorphic maps

We now present applications of the two highly useful results of Cauchy (Theorems 15.2.2.1, 15.2.2.2). The results covered here are as follows:

- Mean value property.
- Power series representation of Cauchy integrals.
- Morera's theorem.
- Derivatives.
- Liouville's theorem.
- Identity theorem.
- Maximum modulus theorem.
- Schwarz's lemma.
- Classification of bijective holomorphic maps of open unit ball.
- Open mapping theorem.
- Fundamental theorem of algebra.
- Inverse function theorem.
- Local m^{th} power property.
- Harmonic conjugates.

These results lie at the heart of complex analysis.

Let us begin by understanding the behavior of a holomorphic map around a circle centered at a point.

Mean value property of holomorphic maps

Proposition 15.2.3.1. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$. Then, for all $z_0 \in G$ and C_r a circle of radius r centered at z_0 contained inside G together with its interior $\text{Int}(C)$, we have*

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{it}) dt.$$

Proof. Using the integral formula (Theorem 15.2.2.2) and using $\gamma(t) = z_0 + re^{it}$ as a parameterization of C_r , the result follows. \square

Power series representation of Cauchy integrals

We will in this section show that functions defined by Cauchy integrals are analytic. Since holomorphic maps are given by Cauchy integrals, thus we would be able to show that holomorphic maps are analytic.

Definition 15.2.3.2. (Maps given by Cauchy integral) Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise C^1 curve in \mathbb{C} and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on an open subset $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ where G contains $\text{Im}(\gamma)$. Define the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\longmapsto \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Then \tilde{f} is called the Cauchy integral associated to $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ and $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow G$.

We first show that holomorphic maps are given by Cauchy integrals.

Lemma 15.2.3.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on an open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then f is locally given by a Cauchy integral.*

Proof. Indeed, by Theorem 15.2.2.2, we see that for all $z \in G$, choosing a small circle C_z around z and such that C_z and $\text{Int}(C_z)$ are inside G , we can write

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_z} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw.$$

Hence locally f looks like a Cauchy integral. \square

We now show that Cauchy integrals are analytic, making holomorphic maps analytic by above lemma.

Proposition 15.2.3.4. *Maps defined by Cauchy integrals are analytic.*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ where G is open and let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow G$ be a piecewise C^1 curve. We wish to show that \tilde{f} defined on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$ is given locally by power series. Indeed, pick any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$. Since $\text{Im}(\gamma)$ is closed, therefore there exists a ball of radius r , B_r , such that $B_r \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$. In order to expand $\tilde{f}(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw$ as a power series, we first focus on $\frac{1}{w - z}$, where $w \in \text{Im}(\gamma)$ and

z is as above. Indeed, for any $z_0 \in B_r$, we have $|z - z_0| < r$ and $|w - z_0| > r$, thus yielding that $\left| \frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right| < 1$ and hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w - z} &= \frac{1}{(w - z_0) - (z - z_0)} = \frac{1}{(w - z_0)(1 - \frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0})} \\ &= \frac{1}{w - z_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z - z_0}{w - z_0} \right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the convergence is uniform as we are within the radius of convergence. Now, $f(w) \leq M$ for all $w \in \text{Im}(\gamma)$ as $\text{Im}(\gamma)$ is compact and f is continuous over it. Hence we get that that following holds for all $w \in \text{Im}(\gamma)$

$$\frac{f(w)}{w - z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w)(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}}.$$

Taking integral both sides, it thus follows from uniform convergence of above series that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(z) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w - z} dw = \int_{\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w)(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)(z - z_0)^n}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw \right) (z - z_0)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence locally \tilde{f} looks like a power series, i.e. it is analytic. □

Corollary 15.2.3.5. *Holomorphic maps are analytic.*

Proof. By Lemma 15.2.3.3, holomorphic maps are given by Cauchy integrals. By Proposition 15.2.3.4, maps given by Cauchy integrals are analytic. □

Morera's theorem : Converse of Cauchy's triangle theorem

Proposition 15.2.3.6. *If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous map on an open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that for all triangles $T \subseteq G$ where $\text{Int}(T) \subseteq G$ as well we get*

$$\int_T f(z) dz = 0,$$

then f is holomorphic.

Proof. □

Derivatives of a holomorphic map

Proposition 15.2.3.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on an open set $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then, f is differentiable to all orders and*

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{n+1}} dw$$

where C_r is a circle in CCW orientation of radius r such that $C_r \subseteq G$ and $\text{Int}(C_r) \subseteq G$. Moreover, for all $z \in \text{Int}(C_r)$ with z_0 as center, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(z_0)(z-z_0)^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{C_r} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{n+1}} dw \right) (z-z_0)^n \end{aligned}$$

Liouville's theorem

A holomorphic map f on the entire complex plane, that is $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(\mathbb{C})$, is said to be *entire*.

Proposition 15.2.3.8. *Any entire bounded function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is constant.*

Zeros of holomorphic maps

Proposition 15.2.3.9. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open connected subset of \mathbb{C} . If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ is a holomorphic map on G , then the zero set $V(f) = \{z \in G \mid f(z) = 0\}$ has no limit point in G i.e. either $V(f) = G$ or $V(f)$ is discrete.*

Identity theorem

Proposition 15.2.3.10. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be two holomorphic maps defined on an open connected set $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then $f = g$ on G if and only if there exists a set $A \subseteq G$ which has a limit point in G such that $f|_A = g|_A$.*

Corollary 15.2.3.11. *Let f, g be two holomorphic maps on open connected subset $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists an open set $U \subsetneq G$ contained inside of G such that $\partial U \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{U} \subseteq G$ and $f|_U = g|_U$. Then $f = g$ on G .*

Proof. Indeed, since any element in ∂U is a limit point of U in G and $f|_U = g|_U$, then the result follows by Proposition 15.2.3.10. \square

Corollary 15.2.3.12. *Let f, g be two holomorphic maps on open connected subset $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists a closed ball $B \subset G$ on which $f|_B = g|_B$, then $f = g$ on G .*

Proof. A closed ball has non-empty interior. The result follows by Corollary 15.2.3.11. \square

Maximum modulus principle

Proposition 15.2.3.13. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open connected set and $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on G . Then $|f|$ doesn't achieves local maxima in G .*

Schwarz's lemma

Lemma 15.2.3.14. *Let $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disc. If $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(D)$ is a holomorphic map $f : D \rightarrow D$ such that $f(0) = 0$, then*

1. $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ for all $z \in D$.
2. $|f'(0)| \leq 1$.
3. *If f is not of the form λz for $\lambda \in S^1$, then the inequality in 1. & 2. is strict at all points $z \in D \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, if there exists $z_0 \in D \setminus \{0\}$ such that $|f(z_0)| = |z_0|$, then $f(z) = \lambda z$ for $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\lambda = f'(0)$.*

Proof. Consider the map defined by

$$g : D \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$z \longmapsto \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)}{z} & \text{if } z \in D \setminus \{0\} \\ f'(0) & \text{if } z = 0. \end{cases}$$

Clearly g is holomorphic. Now, for any $r \in (0, 1)$, for $C_r \subset D$, by maximum modulus, Proposition 15.2.3.13, we have

$$|g(z)| < \frac{1}{r}$$

for all $z \in \text{Int}(C_r)$. Taking limit as $r \rightarrow 1$, we obtain $|g(z)| \leq 1$ for all $z \in D$. Now, if $\exists w \in D$ such that $|f(w)| = |w|$, then $|g(w)| = 1$. Since $|g(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$ as shown above, therefore by another use of maximum modulus, Proposition 15.2.3.13, it follows that $g(z) = \lambda$ is a constant where $|\lambda| = 1$. Thus $f(z) = \lambda z$. \square

Corollary 15.2.3.15. (*Pick's lemma*) *Let $f : D \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic map where $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$. Then, for any two points $z, w \in D$*

$$\left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{1 - \overline{f(z)}f(w)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{z - w}{1 - \overline{z}w} \right|$$

except if f is a linear fractional transform mapping disc onto itself.

Proof. Define for each $w \in D$ the following fractional transform

$$g_w : D \longrightarrow D$$

$$z \longmapsto \frac{z - w}{1 - \overline{z}w}.$$

Then apply Schwarz's lemma (Lemma 15.2.3.14) on $g_{f(w)} \circ f \circ g_w^{-1} : D \rightarrow D$ as fractional transforms are biholomorphic. \square

Classification of bijective holomorphic maps of open unit ball

We shall classify all bijective holomorphic maps $f : D \rightarrow D$ for $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < 1\}$ and see that in the process that they are biholomorphic as well. For this, we first define the following important

map which we encountered in Pick's lemma (Corollary 15.2.3.15). Define the following map for each $\alpha \in D$:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_\alpha : \bar{D} &\longrightarrow \bar{D} \\ z &\longmapsto \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}z}.\end{aligned}$$

This is indeed a holomorphic map over \bar{D} . We now see that this is biholomorphic.

Theorem 15.2.3.16. *For any $\alpha \in D$, the map $\varphi_\alpha : \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{D}$ is such that*

1. φ_α takes D to D ,
2. φ_α takes ∂D to ∂D ,
3. φ_α is injective,
4. φ_α is surjective,
5. φ_α has a holomorphic inverse given by $\varphi_{-\alpha}$.

Proof. Fix an $\alpha \in D$. We first show 2. For any $z \in \partial D$, we can write $z = e^{it}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi_\alpha(e^{it})| &= \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}e^{it}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha}\bar{e^{it}}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{1 - \alpha e^{-it}} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{e^{it} - \alpha}{e^{it} - \alpha} \right| \\ &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi_\alpha(e^{it}) \in \partial D$. This shows 2. Now we show 1. For this, by maximum modulus (Proposition 15.2.3.13), we have that $|\varphi_\alpha|$ achieves maxima on ∂D , and by 1., that maxima is 1, hence at every point of ∂D does $|\varphi_\alpha|$ achieves maxima. Hence $\varphi_\alpha(D) \subseteq D$. This shows 1. Next, it is a matter of simple calculation to see that $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_{-\alpha} = \text{id}_{\bar{D}}$ and thus by symmetry $\text{id}_{\bar{D}} = \varphi_{-\alpha} \circ \varphi_\alpha$. Hence, φ_α is a biholomorphic map taking D onto D and ∂D onto ∂D . \square

We would now like to see that all biholomorphic maps of open unit ball are given by some unit modulus scalar multiples of φ_α . However, we need an idea to do so, which is provided by the following result.

Proposition 15.2.3.17. *(Extremality) For fixed $\alpha, \beta \in D$, denote $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}$ to be the class of holomorphic maps into the unit disc $f : D \rightarrow D$ such that $f(\alpha) = \beta$. Then,*

1. *we have*

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha, \beta}} |f'(\alpha)| = \frac{1 - |\beta|^2}{1 - |\alpha|^2}.$$

2. The map $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$ achieving the suprema is given by the following rational map

$$f = \varphi_{-\beta} \circ \lambda \circ \varphi_{\alpha}$$

where $\lambda \in \partial D$ is a scalar.

Proof. 1. We need only show that for each $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\beta}$, we get

$$|f'(\alpha)| \leq \frac{1 - |\beta|^2}{1 - |\alpha|^2}.$$

Indeed, this simply follows from a similar idea as used in the proof Pick's lemma (Corollary 15.2.3.15) above; consider the map $g = \varphi_{\beta} \circ f \circ \varphi_{-\alpha}$ and use Schwarz's lemma (Lemma 15.2.3.14) on it to get the bound $|g'(0)| \leq 1$. Now use chain rule while keeping in mind that $\varphi'(0) = 1 - |\alpha|^2$ and $\varphi'_{\alpha}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2}$.

2. From proof of 1, it follows that the equality is achieved if and only if $|g'(0)| = 1$. By Schwarz's lemma (Lemma 15.2.3.14) this happens only if $g(z) = \lambda z$ for $\lambda \in \partial D$. Rest follows by composing with inverses of φ_{β} and $\varphi_{-\alpha}$ which we know from Theorem 15.2.3.21, 5. \square

We now come to the real deal. The following shows that all bijective holomorphic maps $D \rightarrow D$ are biholomorphic and are given by unit modulus scalar multiples of φ_{α} for some $\alpha \in D$. However we shall need a topic which we will cover in the next few sections, namely the inverse function theorem for one complex variable (see Section ??, Theorem ??). Moreover we shall also need another result which we do only in a further section called Rouché's theorem (Section ??, Theorem ??).

Theorem 15.2.3.18. *(Bijective holomorphic maps $D \rightarrow D$) Let $f : D \rightarrow D$ be a bijective holomorphic map. Denote $\alpha \in D$ to be the unique element such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Then, there exists $\lambda \in \partial D$ such that*

$$f = \lambda \varphi_{\alpha}.$$

Proof. Consider the set-theoretic inverse of f , denoted $g : D \rightarrow D$. By Rouché's theorem (Theorem ??) and by inverse function theorem (Theorem ??), we obtain that $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(D)$. Now by chain rule we obtain $g'(f(\alpha))f'(\alpha) = 1$, that is, $g'(0) = 1/f'(\alpha)$. Now by Proposition 15.2.3.17, we obtain the following inequality for f and g where $f(\alpha) = 0$ and $g(0) = \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2} \\ |g(z)| &\leq 1 - |\alpha|^2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain that $1 - |\alpha|^2 \geq g'(0) = 1/f'(\alpha) \geq 1 - |\alpha|^2$, thus $g'(0) = 1 - |\alpha|^2$. Similarly, $|f'(\alpha)| = \frac{1}{1 - |\alpha|^2}$. Hence f achieves the suprema of Proposition 15.2.3.17, 1. By Proposition 15.2.3.17, the result follows. \square

Corollary 15.2.3.19. *There is a bijection*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \text{Bijective holomorphic maps } f : D \rightarrow D \right\} \\ & \cong \\ & \left\{ \text{Rational functions of the form } \lambda \frac{z-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}, \alpha \in D, \lambda \in \partial D \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using this and Schwarz's lemma, we can show that a holomorphic map $f : D \rightarrow D$ can have atmost one fixed point.

Corollary 15.2.3.20. *Let $f : D \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic map. Then f has atmost one fixed point.*

Proof. The idea is quite simple and we have used it already in the proof of Pick's lemma (Corollary 15.2.3.15). Indeed, we will construct $\varphi_\alpha : D \rightarrow D$ in such a manner that Schwarz's lemma can be applied to $\varphi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ and will use the results about the function φ_α (Theorem 15.2.3.21).

Suppose $z_1 \neq z_2 \in D$ are two fixed points of f . Consider the map $\varphi_{z_1}(z) := \frac{z-z_1}{1-\bar{z}_1 z}$. This is a biholomorphic mapping $\varphi_{-z_1} : D \rightarrow D$. Consider

$$h = \varphi_{z_1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{-z_1}.$$

Then $h : D \rightarrow D$ is a holomorphic map and $h(0) = 0$. Applying Schwarz's lemma (Lemma 15.2.3.14), we obtain that $|h(z)| \leq |z|$. But notice that $h(\varphi_{z_1}(z_2)) = \varphi_{z_1}(z_2)$. Thus $\varphi_{z_1}(z_2)$ is a fixed point of h . Moreover, $\varphi_{z_1}(z_2) \neq 0$ as other wise $z_2 = z_1$, a contradiction. Thus, there exists $w \in D$ such that $|h(w)| = |w|$ (in particular, for $w = \varphi_{z_1}(z_2)$). Hence by contrapositive of Lemma 15.2.3.14, 3, we obtain that $h(z) = \lambda z$. Since $h(w) = w = \lambda w$, we obtain that $\lambda = 1$. Hence $h = \text{id}$, thus $f = \text{id}$. □

Open mapping theorem

This theorem is quite an important result in the theory of holomorphic maps. It says a very simple thing, *all holomorphic maps on open connected sets are open maps(!)*

Theorem 15.2.3.21. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open connected subset and let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a non-constant holomorphic map $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then f is an open map.*

Fundamental theorem of algebra

Proposition 15.2.3.22. *Every non-constant polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ can be factored into linear factors.*

Proof. Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is a non-constant polynomial given by

$$f(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0.$$

Suppose to the contrary that f has no zeros in \mathbb{C} . Then $g(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is an entire map. We wish to use Liouville's theorem (Proposition 15.2.3.8) on $g(x)$ in order to obtain a contradiction. Indeed, to get an upper bound for $|g(x)|$, we need a lower bound for $|f(x)|$. To this end we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &\geq |a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0| \\ &\geq |a_n x^n| \left| \left(1 + \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n x} + \cdots + \frac{a_1}{a_n x^{n-1}} + \frac{a_0}{a_n x^n} \right) \right| \\ &\geq |a_n x^n| \left(1 - \left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n x} \right| - \cdots - \left| \frac{a_1}{a_n x^{n-1}} \right| - \left| \frac{a_0}{a_n x^n} \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from triangle inequality. Now write $h(x) = 1 - \left| \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n x} \right| - \cdots - \left| \frac{a_1}{a_n x^{n-1}} \right| - \left| \frac{a_0}{a_n x^n} \right|$. In order to get a further lower bound for $|f(x)|$, we need to get an upper bound for $h(x)$. Since $h(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, therefore for some $R > 0$, we shall have $h(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}$ for $|x| > R$. Thus, we get

$$|f(x)| \geq |a_n R^n| \frac{2}{3}$$

for $|x| > R$. Now on $|x| \leq R$, by continuity of $|f|$ on a compact domain, we get that it achieves a minima, and hence $|f|$ is a lower bounded map and hence $g(x)$ is an upper bounded map. \square

Inverse function theorem

Remember that for a differentiable map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, if $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a point such that Df_{x_0} is invertible, the inverse function theorem tells us that f is a diffeomorphism in some neighborhood around x_0 . A similar statement is true for holomorphic maps $f : G \subseteq \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 15.2.3.23. (*Inverse function theorem*) Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open connected set and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on G . If for $z_0 \in G$ we have that $f'(z_0) \neq 0$, then there exists a neighborhood $z_0 \in V \subseteq G$ such that

1. $\varphi|_V : V \rightarrow \varphi(V)$ is bijective,
2. $\varphi(V) \subseteq G$ is open,
3. the map $\psi : \varphi(V) \rightarrow V$ given by $\varphi(z) \mapsto z$ is in $\mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(\varphi(V))$,
4. the map $\varphi|_V : V \rightarrow \varphi(V)$ is biholomorphic.

We will now prove it. Let us begin with the following simple lemma.

Lemma 15.2.3.24. Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open connected set. If $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic map, then the map defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g : G \times G &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (z, w) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} & \text{if } z \neq w, \\ f'(z) & \text{if } z = w \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is continuous.

Proof. Clearly g is continuous for all (z, w) with $z \neq w$. Pick any $a \in G$. We will show that g is continuous at (a, a) . For that, we wish to estimate $|g(z, w) - g(a, a)|$. For this, note that we can write $g(z, w)$ as follows where γ is the straight path $\gamma(t) = (1 - t)z + tw$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(z, w) &= \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \\ &= \frac{f(\gamma(0)) - f(\gamma(1))}{\gamma(0) - \gamma(1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{w - z} \int_{\gamma} f'(z) dz \\ &= \int_0^1 f'(\gamma(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Theorem 15.2.1.1, 2. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z, w) - g(a, a)| &= \left| \int_0^1 f'(\gamma(t)) dt - f'(a) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 f'(\gamma(t)) - f'(a) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |f'(\gamma(t)) - f'(a)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now by continuity of f' , the estimate follows. \square

We can now prove the inverse function theorem.

Proof of Theorem 15.2.3.23. 1. The surjectivity is clear. For injectivity, we will show that for two $z_1 \neq z_2 \in V$, $|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| \geq M$ for some $M > 0$ using the lemma just proved. Indeed, using Lemma 15.2.3.24 and triangle inequality, we obtain for $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\varphi'(z_0)|$ an open set \tilde{V} containing (z_0, z_0) such that for all $(z_1, z_2) \in \tilde{V}$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$ we get the following

$$\left| \left| \frac{\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} \right| - |\varphi'(z_0)| \right| \leq \left| \frac{\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} - \varphi'(z_0) \right| < \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} |\varphi'(z_0)|.$$

Using this, we obtain that

$$|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi'(z_0)| |z_1 - z_2|.$$

Thus for $z_1, z_2 \in V \subseteq G$ where V is obtained by projecting a small open set inside \tilde{V} back to G , we see that on that V φ is injective.

2. This is just open mapping theorem, Theorem ??.

3. Shrink V enough so that $\varphi'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in V$. Then everything is straightforward using

$$|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi'(z_0)| |z_1 - z_2|$$

which we obtained in 1. \square

Local m^{th} power property

Any holomorphic map around a point can be represented by the m^{th} power of some other special holomorphic map. Indeed, this is what the following theorem tells us.

Theorem 15.2.3.25. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected subset of \mathbb{C} and let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ be a holomorphic map on G . Let $z_0 \in G$ and denote $w_0 = f(z_0)$. Let m be the order of zero that $f - w_0$ has at z_0 . Then, there exists an open set $z_0 \in V \subseteq G$ and a holomorphic map*

$$\varphi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ such that

1. $f(z) = w_0 + (\varphi(z))^m$ for all $z \in V$,
2. φ' is nowhere vanishing in V , i.e. has no zero in V ,
3. there exists $r > 0$ such that φ is biholomorphic onto $D_r(0)$, the open disc of radius r around 0. Thus, $\varphi : V \rightarrow D_r(0)$ is bijective.

Proof. The main point of the proof is to try to represent the desired φ as $\exp \frac{??}{m}$. We just need to fill ?? correctly. Since $f - w_0$ has zero of order m at z_0 , therefore there exists $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ such that

$$f(z) - w_0 = (z - z_0)^m g(z).$$

Now, by appropriately shrinking G away from zeros of g , we may assume $g \neq 0 \forall z \in G \setminus \{z_0\}$ ¹. Thus we have that $\frac{g'}{g}$ is holomorphic on G (this is our V). By Lemma 15.2.2.5, we get $h \in \mathcal{C}^{\text{hol}}(G)$ such that $h' = \frac{g'}{g}$. We now claim that $g = \exp h$. Indeed, it is a simple matter to see that the derivative of $g \exp -h$ is zero. Thus, by using surjectivity of \exp , we can absorb the additive constant into h to obtain the above claim. One then sees that

$$\varphi(z) = (z - z_0) \exp \frac{h(z)}{m}$$

does the job for 1. The rest is straightforward. □

Harmonic conjugates

We will now show that any real valued harmonic map $u : G \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defines a unique (upto some constant) holomorphic map $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose real part is u .

Theorem 15.2.3.26. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a convex open connected set. Let $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a harmonic real valued function. Then, there exists holomorphic map $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ unique upto an additive constant such that*

$$\Re g = u.$$

Proof. The main idea is to construct a holomorphic map f on G via the data of partial derivatives of u , and then use the Lemma 15.2.2.5, to get a primitive g , which will do the job. Indeed, we can make f via the following observation: u is harmonic real valued function if and only if $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z} \partial z} = 0$. Using this, just define $f = u_x - iu_y$ and to show that f is holomorphic, observe that $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$. □

¹We are implicitly using the isolated zeros theorem (Theorem ??) which we shall do later.

In combination with Lemma 15.1.3.2, we get that

Corollary 15.2.3.27. *Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open connected. Then,*

$$\{g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ is holomorphic}\} \cong \{u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is harmonic}\}$$

where we identify functions upto additive constant. □

15.3 Singularities

Consider the map $f(z) = 1/z$ on \mathbb{C}^\times . It is holomorphic. However, at $z = 0$, it is not holomorphic. Such points are called singularities of f , as we shall define more clearly later. Our goal is to study this phenomenon more carefully in this section. For this, we first need to develop a tool for local analysis of such "bad" points (some may also call it "the" points).

15.3.1 Laurent series

Definition 15.3.1.1. (Laurent series) A Laurent series centered at $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, denoted by $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$, is a series of functions defined on some annulus $A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid R_1 < |z - z_0| < R_2\}$ centered at z_0 for $0 \leq R_1 < R_2$ such that the series converges at all points $z \in A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2)$. That is, the sequence of holomorphic maps $\{\sum_{n=-N}^N a_n(z - z_0)^n\}_N$ on $A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2)$ converges uniformly and absolutely to a holomorphic function $f : A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by Weierstrass theorem).

For a Laurent series, we can find the coefficients in terms of Cauchy integral of the function it represents.

Lemma 15.3.1.2. *Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$ be a Laurent series around $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ in an annulus. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$*

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_r} \frac{f(w)}{(w - z_0)^{n+1}} dw$$

where $R_1 < r < R_2$.

Proof. Use the uniform convergence of the Laurent series on $\frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}}$ (so to limits out of integrals) and the fact that $\int_{C_r} (z - z_0)^n dz = 2\pi i$. □

By Cauchy-Hadamard theorem for calculation of radius of convergence we also get the parameters for the maximum annulus on which a Laurent series can exist.

Lemma 15.3.1.3. *For a Laurent series $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n$, the smallest value of R_1 and largest value of R_2 such that $f(z)$ converges on $A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2)$ is given by*

1. $R_1 = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_{-n}|^{\frac{1}{n}}$
2. $R_2 = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}}}$

Proof. Straightforward use of Cauchy-Hadamard. □

The following is the main theorem here.

Theorem 15.3.1.4. *Consider any $0 < R_1 < R_2$ and any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. If $f : A_{z_0}(R_1, R_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic, then it is represented by a Laurent series.*

15.3.2 Isolated singularities : Removable, poles and essential

We now come to the main matter of the present study, the notion of singularities. A holomorphic function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to have an *isolated singularity* at $z_0 \notin G$ if there exists a punctured disc $A_{z_0}(0, r) \hookrightarrow G$. Consequently, by Theorem 15.3.1.4, we obtain a Laurent series expansion of f in $A_{z_0}(0, r)$. Let us denote it by

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{n=\infty} a_n z^n.$$

We can then classify the isolated singularity z_0 into three types:

1. z_0 is a *removable singularity* if $a_n = 0$ for all $n < 0$,
2. z_0 is a *pole of order m* if $\min\{n < 0 \mid a_n \neq 0\} = m$,
3. z_0 is an *essential singularity* if $\min\{n < 0 \mid a_n \neq 0\} = -\infty$ or unbounded.

There are three characterizing theorems of each of the three kinds of singularities.

Theorem 15.3.2.1. (*Riemann's extension theorem*) Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. Then the following are equivalent.

1. The point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is a removable singularity of f .
2. There exists a punctured disc $A_{z_0}(0, r) \hookrightarrow G$ such that f is bounded on it.

Theorem 15.3.2.2. (*Criterion for a pole*) Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. Then the following are equivalent.

1. The point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is a pole of f of some order.
2. We have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} |f(z)| = \infty.$$

Theorem 15.3.2.3. (*Casorati-Weierstrauss theorem*) Let $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. If the point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$ is an essential singularity of f , then there exists a punctured disc $A_{z_0}(0, r) \hookrightarrow G$ such that $f(A_{z_0}(0, r))$ is dense in \mathbb{C} .

The last theorem in particular shows the chaotic behaviour of essential singularities.

15.4 Cauchy's theorem - II

Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise closed C^1 curve in \mathbb{C} and let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$. We define the *index of γ* to be the following map over Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_\gamma(z) : \Omega &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ z &\longmapsto \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{1}{w - z} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15.4.0.1. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise closed C^1 curve in \mathbb{C} and let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$. Then $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is a holomorphic map on Ω .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 15.2.3.4, as $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is the Cauchy integral of the constant function 1. \square

The following is the main theorem that we shall use.

Theorem 15.4.0.2. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise closed C^1 curve in \mathbb{C} and let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$. Then,*

1. $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is an integer valued map,
2. $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is constant on each connected component of Ω ,
3. $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z)$ is 0 on unbounded component of Ω .

We now introduce the main Cauchy's theorem.

15.4.1 General Cauchy's theorem

To state the Cauchy's theorem in full generality, we first need to build the small language of chains, which is just a slight generalization of curves. Let $\{\gamma_i : I_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}_{i=1}^n$ be a finite collection of piecewise C^1 curves over \mathbb{C} . A *chain generated by $\{\gamma_i\}$* is a formal sum of the form

$$\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n.$$

One can be more precise here by treating Γ as an element of the free abelian group of all singular 1-chains, but we don't need that technology right now. We denote

$$\text{Im}(\Gamma) := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Im}(\gamma_i).$$

Moreover, for a continuous map $f : \text{Im}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we further denote

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) dz.$$

We can further define the *index of a chain Γ* as simply the sum of indices of individual curves:

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z) := \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Ind}_{\gamma_i}(z)$$

for all $z \in \Omega$, where $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \text{Im}(\Gamma)$. Note that the set Ω here will have multiple components if each element of the cycle is a distinct loop. Indeed, if $\Gamma = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_n$ is a cycle where each γ_i is a closed loop, then we call Γ a *cycle*. The general Cauchy's theorem is then a statement about integral over cycles.

Theorem 15.4.1.1. *(Cauchy's theorem) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open set and $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \Omega$ be a cycle such that*

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \Omega.$$

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. Then,

1. *(Integral formula)*

$$\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z)f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw$$

for all $z \in \Omega \setminus \text{Im}(\Gamma)$.

2. (Integral theorem)

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz = 0,$$

3. if $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1 \hookrightarrow \Omega$ are two cycles such that $\text{Ind}_{\Gamma_0}(z) = \text{Ind}_{\Gamma_1}(z)$ for all $z \notin \Omega$, then

$$\int_{\Gamma_0} f(z)dz = \int_{\Gamma_1} f(z)dz.$$

The most important of the above triad of conclusions is the first one, which clearly generalizes the known integral formula.

15.4.2 Homotopy & Cauchy's theorem

Theorem 15.4.2.1. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set. If $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \hookrightarrow \Omega$ are two piecewise C^1 closed loops in Ω such that they are homotopic in Ω , then*

$$\text{Ind}_{\gamma_0}(z) = \text{Ind}_{\gamma_1}(z) \quad \forall z \notin \Omega.$$

This has some major corollaries in combination with Theorem 15.4.1.1.

Corollary 15.4.2.2. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set and let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. If $\gamma_0, \gamma_1 \hookrightarrow \Omega$ are two piecewise C^1 closed loops in Ω such that they are homotopic in Ω , then*

$$\int_{\gamma_0} f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz.$$

Corollary 15.4.2.3. *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set and let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic map. If $\gamma \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is a piecewise C^1 closed loop in Ω and Ω is simply connected, then*

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0.$$

15.5 Residues and meromorphic maps

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic. Let $z_0 \notin \Omega$ be a point of isolated singularity of f . The *residue of f at z_0* is then defined to be the coefficient a_{-1} of the Laurent series

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

of the map f around z_0 . We denote residue of f at z_0 by $\text{res}_{z_0}(f) := a_{-1}$. For example, consider the following integral where C_r is a circle of radius r centered at z_0

$$\int_{C_r} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n.$$

Since all terms $a_n(z - z_0)^n$ are $n \neq -1$ contributes zero integral as the positive parts of holomorphic in the interior of the loop and the negative parts are derivatives of constant 1, which is zero, therefore the only non-zero term is contributed by $n = -1$. Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_r} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n(z - z_0)^n &= 2\pi i a_{-1} \\ &= 2\pi i \operatorname{res}_{z_0}(f) \end{aligned}$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n z^n$.

We now define a class of holomorphic maps which one encounters often in complex analysis.

Definition 15.5.0.1. (Meromorphic maps) Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set and $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be any function. We say that f is meromorphic if

1. there exists a set $A \subset \Omega$ which has no limit points in Ω ,
2. $f : \Omega \setminus A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic,
3. every point of A is a pole of f .

One often calls the set A as the *set of poles of f* .

There are some observations to be made.

Lemma 15.5.0.2. *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a meromorphic map on an open-connected set Ω . Then, the set of poles of f is at most countable.*

Proof. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be the set of poles of f . Covering Ω by countably many compact sets $\{K_i\}$, we observe that intersection of each of $K_i \cap A$ has to be at most finite, otherwise there exists a sequence in $K_i \cap A$, which consequently admits a convergent subsequence, that is, a limit point in Ω . Consequently, A is a countable union of finite sets. \square

Remark 15.5.0.3. For the purposes of residue of f at $a \in A$, one can replace analysis of f with analysis of f by the analysis of $Q = \sum_{n=-m}^{-1} a_n(z - a)^n$, called the principal part of f at a where m is the order of pole of f at $a \in A$. Clearly, $\operatorname{res}_a Q = \operatorname{res}_a f$. Moreover, one sees that

$$\operatorname{res}_a(f) \operatorname{Ind}_{\gamma}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} Q(z) dz$$

where γ is a piecewise C^1 -loop centered at a , in $\Omega \setminus A$. This is again a consequence of the fact that all terms inside the integral are zero except the one corresponding to a_{-1} . Indeed, this hints at a general phenomenon, which is clarified by the following theorem.

Theorem 15.5.0.4. (The residue theorem) *Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open-connected set. If $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a meromorphic map with $A \subseteq \Omega$ its set of poles and Γ a cycle in $\Omega \setminus A$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}(z) = 0 \quad \forall z \notin \Omega,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_{a \in A} \operatorname{res}_a(f) \operatorname{Ind}_{\Gamma}(a).$$

We now an important result, which gives us information of zeroes of holomorphic maps on certain subsets.

Theorem 15.5.0.5. *Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a piecewise closed C^1 -loop in an open-connected set $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ such that*

1. $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 0$ for all $z \notin \Omega$,
2. $\text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 0$ or 1 for all $z \in \Omega \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma)$.

Then we have that for any holomorphic maps $f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, denoting $\Omega_1 := \{z \in \Omega \setminus \text{Im}(\gamma) \mid \text{Ind}_\gamma(z) = 1\}$ and $N_f = \#Z(f) \cap \Omega_1$, we get that

1. *if f has no zeros on $\text{Im}(\gamma) \subseteq \Omega$, then*

$$N_f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \text{Ind}_{f \circ \gamma}(0).$$

2. *(Rouché's theorem) if*

$$|f(z) - g(z)| < |g(z)| \quad \forall z \in \text{Im}(\gamma),$$

then $N_g = N_f$.

15.5.1 Riemann mapping theorem

The following is a very strong rigidity result for holomorphic maps.

Theorem 15.5.1.1. *Let $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{C}$ be a proper simply connected domain. Then Ω is biholomorphic to the open unit disc.*

This is a starting point for the uniformization.