

The Facets of Geometry

Special Topics

(Under heavy construction!!)

July 15, 2024

Contents

I	The Algebraic Viewpoint	1
1	Foundational Algebraic Geometry	3
1.1	A guiding example	5
1.2	Affine schemes and basic properties	6
1.3	Schemes and basic properties	18
1.4	First notions on schemes	25
1.5	Varieties	34
1.6	Fundamental constructions on schemes	55
1.7	Dimension of schemes	74
1.8	Projective schemes	79
1.9	\mathcal{O}_X -modules	86
1.10	Divisors	100
1.11	Smoothness & differential forms	109
1.12	Morphism of schemes	113
1.13	Coherent and quasicoherent sheaf cohomology	135
2	Varieties over an algebraically closed field	137
2.1	Notations	137
2.2	Intersection with hypersurfaces	139
2.3	Grassmannians	140
3	Elliptic Curves	141
4	Étale topology	143
5	Deformation Theory	145
6	Algebraic Geometry	147
6.1	Functor of points	147
6.2	Analytification and GAGA	147
6.3	Intersection theory	147
6.4	Overview of K-theory of schemes	149
6.5	The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence	150
6.6	Serre's intersection formula	151

II	The Arithmetic Viewpoint	153
7	Foundational Arithmetic	155
7.1	Fundamental properties of \mathbb{Z}	155
7.2	Algebraic number fields	155
III	The Topological Viewpoint	159
8	Foundational Geometry	161
8.1	Locally ringed spaces and manifolds	161
8.2	Linearization	169
8.3	Constructions on manifolds	169
8.4	Lie groups	169
8.5	Global algebra	170
8.6	Torsors and 1 st -Čech cohomology group	186
8.7	Bundles	187
8.8	Differential forms and de-Rham cohomology	188
9	Foundational Differential Geometry	193
9.1	Bundles in differential geometry and applications	193
9.2	Cohomological methods	193
9.3	Covariant derivative, connections, classes and curvatures	193
10	Foundational Homotopy Theory	195
10.1	Fundamental group and covering maps	199
10.2	Cofibrations and cofiber sequences	222
10.3	Fibrations and fiber sequences	233
10.4	Homology theories	246
10.5	Cohomology theories	261
10.6	Cohomology products and duality	262
10.7	CW-complexes & CW homotopy types	263
10.8	Homotopy and homology	264
10.9	Homotopy & algebraic structures	264
10.10	Model categories & abstract homotopy	266
10.11	Classifying spaces	266
10.12	Spectra	266
10.13	Lifting & extension problems	266
11	Stable Homotopy Theory	267
12	Classical Ordinary Differential Equations	269
12.1	Initial value problems	270
12.2	Linear systems	279
12.3	Stability of linear systems in \mathbb{R}^2	282
12.4	Autonomous systems	283

12.5 Linearization and flow analysis	285
12.6 Second order ODE	291
13 K-Theory of Vector Bundles	297
14 Jet Bundles	299
IV The Analytic Viewpoint	301
15 Analysis on Complex Plane	303
15.1 Holomorphic functions	304
15.2 La théorie des cartes holomorphes	311
15.3 Singularities	325
15.4 Cauchy's theorem - II	327
15.5 Residues and meromorphic maps	329
15.6 Riemann mapping theorem	331
16 Riemann Surfaces	333
16.1 Introduction	333
16.2 Ramified coverings & Riemann-Hurwitz formula	342
16.3 Monodromy & analytic continuation	343
16.4 Holomorphic & meromorphic forms	344
16.5 Riemann-Roch theorem	349
17 Foundational Analytic Geometry	359
V The Categorical Viewpoint	361
18 Classical Topoi	365
18.1 Towards the axioms of a Topos	366
18.2 Grothendieck Topologies & Sheaves	371
18.3 Basic Properties and Results in Topoi	381
18.4 Sheaves in an arbitrary Topos	401
18.5 Geometric Morphisms	415
18.6 Categorical Semantics	421
18.7 Topoi and Logic	431
19 Language of ∞-Categories	443
19.1 Simplicial sets	443
19.2 Classical homotopical algebra	458
20 Algèbre Commutative Dérivée	461

VI Special Topics	463
23 Commutative Algebra	471
23.1 General algebra	473
23.2 Graded rings & modules	487
23.3 Noetherian modules and rings	490
23.4 $\text{Supp}(M)$, $\text{Ass}(M)$ and primary decomposition	493
23.5 Tensor, symmetric & exterior algebras	496
23.6 Field theory	508
23.7 Integral dependence and normal domains	543
23.8 Dimension theory	551
23.9 Completions	554
23.10 Valuation rings	555
23.11 Dedekind domains	558
23.12 Tor and Ext functors	560
23.13 Projective and injective modules	561
23.14 Multiplicities	566
23.15 Kähler differentials	567
23.16 Depth, Cohen-Macaulay & regularity	570
23.17 Filtrations	572
23.18 Flatness	572
23.19 Lifting properties : Étale maps	573
23.20 Lifting properties : Unramified maps	574
23.21 Lifting properties : Smooth maps	575
23.22 Simple, semisimple and separable algebras	576
23.23 Miscellaneous	578
24 K-Theory of Rings	591
24.1 K_0	591
24.2 K_1	603
24.3 K_2	610
24.4 Higher K -theory of rings-I	619
24.5 K -theory & étale cohomology	636
25 Abstract Analysis	639
25.1 Integration theory	640
25.2 Banach spaces	709
25.3 Hilbert spaces	725
25.4 Extension problems-I : Hahn-Banach theorem	726
25.5 Major theorems : UBP, OMT, BIT, CGT	726
25.6 Strong & weak convergence	735
25.7 Spectral theory	737
25.8 Compact operators	737

26 Homological Methods	739
26.1 The setup : abelian categories	739
26.2 Homology, resolutions and derived functors	741
26.3 Results for $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$	750
27 Foundational Sheaf Theory	751
27.1 Recollections	751
27.2 The sheafification functor	752
27.3 Morphisms of sheaves	754
27.4 Sheaves are étale spaces	760
27.5 Direct and inverse image	764
27.6 Category of sheaves	767
27.7 Classical Čech cohomology	773
27.8 Derived functor cohomology	778

Part VI

Special Topics

Chapter 26

Homological Methods

Contents

26.1 The setup : abelian categories	739
26.2 Homology, resolutions and derived functors	741
26.2.1 Homology	741
26.2.2 Resolutions	743
26.2.3 Derived functors and general properties	746
26.3 Results for $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$	750
26.3.1 Universal coefficients	750
26.3.2 Künneth theorem	750
26.3.3 \otimes -Hom adjunction	750

Methods employed in homological algebra comes in handy to attack certain type of local-global problems in geometry. We would like to discuss some foundational homological algebra in this chapter in the setting of additive and abelian categories. The main goal is not to illuminate foundations but to quickly get to the working theory which can allow us to develop deeper results elsewhere in this notebook. Using the Freyd-Mitchell embedding theorem, we can always assume that any (small) abelian category \mathbf{A} is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$ over some ring R . Thus we will freely do the technique of diagram chasing in the following, implicitly assuming \mathbf{A} to be embedded in a module category. Consequently, the main example to keep in mind throughout this chapter is of-course the category of R -modules, $\mathbf{Mod}(R)$.

26.1 The setup : abelian categories

Let us begin with the basic definitions. Let \mathbf{A} be a category. Then \mathbf{A} is said to be *preadditive* if for any $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$, the homset $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y)$ is an abelian group and the composition $\mathrm{Hom}(x, y) \times \mathrm{Hom}(y, z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(x, z)$ is a bilinear map. For two preadditive categories \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} a functor $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is called *additive* if for all $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$, the function $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(Fx, Fy)$ is a group homomorphism.

Let \mathbf{A} be a preadditive category and $f : x \rightarrow y$ be an arrow. This mean for any two object $w, z \in \mathbf{A}$, there is a zero arrow $0 \in \text{Hom}(w, z)$. Then, we can define the usual notions of algebra as follows.

1. $i : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow x$ is defined by the following universal property w.r.t. $fi = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x' & & \\ \downarrow \exists! & \searrow \forall g \text{ s.t. } fg=0 & \\ \text{Ker}(f) & \xrightarrow{i} x & \xrightarrow{f} y \end{array}$$

2. $j : y \rightarrow \text{CoKer}(f)$ defined by the following universal property w.r.t. $jf = 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & y' \\ & \nearrow \forall g \text{ s.t. } gf=0 & \uparrow \exists! \\ x & \xrightarrow{f} y & \xrightarrow{j} \text{CoKer}(f) \end{array} .$$

3. $k : x \rightarrow \text{CoIm}(f)$ is defined to be the cokernel of the kernel map $i : \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow x$.

4. $l : \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow y$ is defined to be the kernel of the cokernel map $j : y \rightarrow \text{CoKer}(f)$.

Hence, for each $f : x \rightarrow y$ in a preadditive category \mathbf{A} , we can contemplate the following four type of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ker}(f) & & \text{CoKer}(f) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ x & \xrightarrow{f} & y \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{CoIm}(f) & & \text{Im}(f) \end{array}$$

Lemma 26.1.0.1. *In a preadditive category, if a coproduct $x \oplus y$ exists, then so does the product $x \times y$ and vice versa. In such a case, $x \oplus y \cong x \times y$. \square*

A preadditive category \mathbf{A} is said to be *additive* if it contains all finite products, including the empty ones. By the above lemma, we require zero objects and sums of objects to exist.

An additive category \mathbf{A} is said to be *abelian* if all kernels and cokernels exist and the natural map for each $f : x \rightarrow y$ in \mathbf{A}

$$\text{CoIm}(f) \rightarrow \text{Im}(f)$$

is an isomorphism. This intuitively means that the first isomorphism theorem holds in abelian categories by definition.

26.2 Homology, resolutions and derived functors

In this section, we shall discuss basic topics of homological algebra in abelian categories, which we shall need to setup the sheaf cohomology in geometry and Lie group cohomology in algebra and etcetera, etcetera.

26.2.1 Homology

We first define cochain complexes and maps, cohomology and homotopy of such. Since this section is mostly filled with *trivial matters*, therefore we shall allow ourselves to be a bit sketchy with proofs.

Definition 26.2.1.1. (Cochain complexes, maps and cohomology) A cochain complex A^\bullet is a sequence of object $\{A^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with a map $d^i : A^i \rightarrow A^{i+1}$ called the coboundary maps which satisfies $d^i \circ d^{i-1} = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. A map $f : A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$ of cochain complexes is defined as a collection of maps $f^i : A^i \rightarrow B^i$ such that the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^i & \xrightarrow{d^i} & A^{i+1} \\ f^i \downarrow & & \downarrow f^{i+1} \\ B^i & \xrightarrow{d^i} & B^{i+1} \end{array}.$$

That is, $d^i f^i = f^{i+1} d^i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a cochain complex A^\bullet , we define the i^{th} cohomology object as the quotient

$$h^i(A^\bullet) := \text{Ker}(d^i) / \text{Im}(d^{i-1}).$$

With the obvious notion of composition, we thus obtain a category of cochain complexes $\text{coCh}(\mathbf{A})$ over the abelian category \mathbf{A} .

We now show that h^i forms a functor over $\text{coCh}(\mathbf{A})$.

Lemma 26.2.1.2. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. The i^{th} -cohomology assignment is a functor*

$$\begin{aligned} h^i : \text{coCh}(\mathbf{A}) &\longrightarrow \mathbf{A} \\ A^\bullet &\longmapsto h^i(A^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a map of complexes $f : A^\bullet \rightarrow B^\bullet$, we first define the map $h^i(f)$

$$\begin{aligned} h^i(f) : h^i(A^\bullet) &\longrightarrow h^i(B^\bullet) \\ a + \text{Im}(d^{i-1}) &\longmapsto f^i(a) + \text{Im}(d^{i-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined group homomorphism. Further, it is clear that this is functorial. □

With this, we obtain the cohomology long-exact sequence.

We now define the notion of exact functors between two abelian categories.

Definition 26.2.1.6. (Exactness of functors) Let \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} be abelian categories. A functor $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is said to be

1. *additive* if the map $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(A, B) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{B}}(FA, FB)$ is a group homomorphism,
2. *left exact* if it is additive and for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow FA' \rightarrow FA \rightarrow FA''$ is exact,
3. *right exact* if it is additive and for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ the sequence $FA' \rightarrow FA \rightarrow FA'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact,
4. *exact* if it is additive and for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ the sequence $0 \rightarrow FA' \rightarrow FA \rightarrow FA'' \rightarrow 0$ is exact,
5. *exact at middle* if it is additive and for every short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ the sequence $FA' \rightarrow FA \rightarrow FA''$ is exact.

Remark 26.2.1.7. It is important to keep in mind that all the above definitions are made for *short* exact sequences; a left exact A functor may not map a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow \dots$ to a long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow FA_1 \rightarrow \dots$.

There are two prototypical examples of such functors in the category of R -modules.

Example 26.2.1.8. ($-\otimes_R M$ and $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$) Let R be a commutative ring and M be an R -module. It is a trivial matter to see that the functor $-\otimes_R M : \mathbf{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(R)$ is right exact but not left exact as applying $-\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ on the following shows where $\gcd(n, m) = 1$:

$$0 \rightarrow n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, $n\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ is not injective as the former is an infinite ring whereas the latter is finite.

Consider the covariant hom-functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -) : \mathbf{Mod}(R) \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}(R)$. This can easily be seen to be left exact. This is not right exact as applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, -)$ to the above exact sequence would yield (note that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$).

We next dualize the above theory study the dual notion of homology, without much change.
TODO.

26.2.2 Resolutions

We begin with injective objects, resolutions and having enough injectives.

Definition 26.2.2.1. (Injective objects and resolutions) Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. An object $I \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be injective if the functor thus represented, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, I) : \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{AbGrp}$ is exact. An injective resolution of an object $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is an exact cochain complex

$$A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

where each I^i is an injective object. We denote an injective resolution of A by $\epsilon : A \rightarrow I^{\bullet}$.

The following are equivalent characterizations of injective objects.

Proposition 26.2.2.2. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $I \in \mathbf{A}$. Then the following are equivalent*

1. The functor $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, I)$ is exact.
2. For any monomorphism $i : A \rightarrow B$ and any map $f : A \rightarrow I$, there is an extension $\tilde{f} : B \rightarrow I$ to make following commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{i} & B \\ & & \downarrow f & \nearrow \tilde{f} & \\ & & I & & \end{array} .$$

3. Any exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

splits.

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow 2. is immediate from definition. 2. \Rightarrow 3. follows from using the universal property of item 2 on $\text{id} : I \rightarrow I$ and monomorphism $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A$. For 3. \Rightarrow 1., we need only check right exactness of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, I)$, which follows immediately from item 3. \square

The following are some properties of injective objects.

Proposition 26.2.2.3. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. If $\{I_i\}_i$ is a collection of injective objects of \mathbf{A} and $\prod_i I_i$ exists, then it is injective.*

Proof. As $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, \prod_i I_i) \cong \prod_i \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(-, I_i)$ and arbitrary product of surjective maps is surjective, therefore the claim follows. \square

We see that any two injective resolutions of an object are homotopy equivalent.

Lemma 26.2.2.4. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and $A \in \mathbf{A}$ be an object with two injective resolutions $\epsilon : A \rightarrow I^\bullet$ and $\eta : A \rightarrow J^\bullet$. Then there exists a homotopy $k : \epsilon \sim \eta$.*

Proof. Comparison Theorem 2.3.7, pp 40, [cite Weibel Homological Algebra]. \square

We then define when an abelian category has enough injectives.

Definition 26.2.2.5. (Enough injectives) An abelian category \mathbf{A} is said to have enough injectives if for each object $A \in \mathbf{A}$, there is an injective object $I \in \mathbf{A}$ such that A is a subobject of I , $A \leq I$.

In such abelian categories, all objects have injective resolutions.

Lemma 26.2.2.6. *Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category with enough injectives. Then all objects $A \in \mathbf{A}$ admit injective resolutions $\epsilon : A \rightarrow I^\bullet$.*

Proof. Pick any object $A \in \mathbf{A}$. As \mathbf{A} has enough injectives, therefore we have $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I^0$. Consider $\text{CoKer}(\epsilon)$ and let it be embedded in some injective object I^1 , which yields the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & I^0 & \xrightarrow{d} & I^1 \\ & & & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & & & & \text{CoKer}(\epsilon) & & \end{array} .$$

Continue this diagram by considering $\text{CoKer}(d)$ which embeds in some other injective I^2 to further yield the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xhookrightarrow{\epsilon} & I^0 & \xrightarrow{d} & I^1 & \xrightarrow{d} & I^2 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 & & & & \text{CoKer}(\epsilon) & & \text{CoKer}(d) & &
 \end{array}$$

This builds the required injective resolution. \square

We now give examples of abelian categories with enough injectives. Recall that a *divisible group* G is an abelian group such that for any $g \in G$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $h \in G$ such that $g = nh$ (see Definition 23.13.2.1).

Theorem 26.2.2.7. *Let R be a commutative ring with 1. Then,*

1. *Any divisible group in \mathbf{AbGrp} is an injective object.*
2. *If G is an injective abelian group, then $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, G)$ is an injective R -module.*
3. *\mathbf{AbGrp} is an abelian category which has enough injectives.*
4. *$\mathbf{Mod}(R)$ is an abelian category which has enough injectives.*

Proof. The main idea of the proofs of the later parts is to use injective objects constructed in a bigger category and an adjunction to a lower category to construct injectives in the smaller subcategory. Further, embedding each object in a large enough product of injectives (which would remain injective by Proposition 26.2.2.3) would show enough injectivity.

1. By Corollary 23.13.2.3, the statement follows.

2. Recall that $F(-) : \mathbf{Mod}(R) \rightleftarrows \mathbf{AbGrp} : \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, -)$ is an adjunction, where F is the forgetful functor. Consequently $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F(M), G) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, G))$. It then follows that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, G)$ is injective.

3. Observe that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is a divisible, thus injective abelian group by item 1. Let G be an abelian group. Consider the abelian group

$$I = \prod_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

By Proposition 26.2.2.3, I is an injective abelian group. We now construct an injection $\varphi : G \rightarrow I$, which would complete the proof. We have the canonical map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \theta : G &\longrightarrow I \\
 g &\longmapsto (\varphi(g))_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For this to be well-defined, we need to show that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero. Indeed, we claim that for any element $g \in G$, there is a \mathbb{Z} -linear map $\varphi_g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi_g(g) \neq 0$. This would suffice as if $\theta(g) = 0$ for some $g \in G$, then $\varphi(g) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. Consequently, $\varphi_g(g) = 0$, which cannot happen, hence θ is injective. So we need only show the existence of φ_g .

Indeed, if $|g| = \infty$, then we have an injection $\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow G$ taking $1 \mapsto g$. Pick any non-zero map $f : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. By injectivity of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , f extends to $\varphi_g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is non-zero at g . On the other hand, if $|g| = k < \infty$, then consider the inclusion $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow G$ taking $\bar{1} \mapsto g$. Then, consider the map $f : \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ taking $\bar{1} \mapsto \frac{1}{k}$. Then, by injectivity of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , it extends to $\varphi_g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which is non-zero at g .

4. Pick any R -module M . We wish to find an injective R -module I such that $M \leq I$. By items 1 and 2, we know that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ is an injective R -module. By the proof of item 2, we also know that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})).$$

Consequently, by Proposition 26.2.2.3, we have an injective module

$$I = \prod_{\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}),$$

We claim that the following map

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : M &\longrightarrow I \\ m &\longmapsto (\varphi(m))_{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))} \end{aligned}$$

is injective. Indeed, we claim that for each $m \in M$, there exists $\varphi_m \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}))$ such that $\varphi_m(m) \neq 0$. By the above isomorphism, we equivalently wish to show the existence of $g_m \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ such that $g_m(m) \neq 0$. This is immediate from the proof of item 3. \square

We next dualize the above theory and study projective objects, projective resolutions and having enough projectives to define homology. **TODO.**

26.2.3 Derived functors and general properties

First, for each covariant left exact functor $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ between abelian categories, we will produce a sequence of functors $R^i F$ for each $i \geq 0$. We will then dualize it.

Definition 26.2.3.1. (Right derived functors of a left-exact functor) Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a left exact functor of abelian categories where \mathbf{A} has enough injectives. Then, define for each $i \geq 0$ the following

$$\begin{aligned} R^i F : \mathbf{A} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{B} \\ A &\longmapsto h^i(F(I^\bullet)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon : A \rightarrow I^\bullet$ is any injective resolution of A . We call $R^i F$ the i^{th} right derived functor of the left exact functor F .

Remark 26.2.3.2. Indeed the above definition is well-defined, by Lemmas 26.2.1.5 and 26.2.2.4. Further, keep in mind the Remark 26.2.1.7.

Some of the basic properties of right derived functors are as follows. First, the 0^{th} -right derived functor of F is canonically isomorphic to F .

Lemma 26.2.3.3. *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two abelian categories where \mathbf{A} has enough injectives. Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a left-exact functor. Then, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^0 F \cong F.$$

Proof. Pick any object $A \in \mathbf{A}$ with an injective resolution $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I^\bullet$. Consequently, $R^0 F(A)$ is the cohomology of

$$0 \rightarrow F(I^0) \xrightarrow{Fd^0} F(I^1),$$

that is, $R^0 F(A) = \text{Ker}(Fd^0)$. But since F is left-exact and we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} \text{Im}(d^0),$$

therefore we get that $\text{Ker}(Fd^0) = \text{Im}(F\epsilon)$. This also needs the observation that if F is left-exact, then for any map $f \in \mathbf{A}$, we have $F(\text{Im}(f)) \cong \text{Im}(Ff)$. Since ϵ is injective, then so is $F\epsilon$ and thus $\text{Im}(F\epsilon) \cong FA$. \square

Remark 26.2.3.4. Let $I \in \mathbf{A}$ be an injective object. Then we claim that $R^i F(I) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Indeed, this follows immediately because we have $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\text{id}} I \rightarrow 0$ as a trivial injective resolution of I .

The following is an important property of right derived functors which makes them ideal for defining the general notion of cohomology, because they always have long exact sequence in cohomology.

Theorem 26.2.3.5. *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two abelian categories where \mathbf{A} has enough injectives. Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a left-exact functor. If*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence in \mathbf{A} , then we have a long exact sequence in right derived functors of F as in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & R^0 F A & \longrightarrow & R^0 F B & \longrightarrow & R^0 F C \\ & & & & \searrow \delta_0 & & \nearrow \\ & & R^1 F A & \longrightarrow & R^1 F B & \longrightarrow & R^1 F C \\ & & & & \nearrow \delta_1 & & \searrow \end{array}$$

It follows from above theorem that if F is exact, then $R^i F$ are trivial for $i \geq 1$.

Corollary 26.2.3.6. *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two abelian categories where \mathbf{A} has enough injectives. Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be an exact functor. Then,*

$$R^i F = 0$$

for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. Pick any object $A \in \mathbf{A}$ and let $I \in \mathbf{A}$ be an injective object such that $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I$ is an injective map. Then we have a short-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow I \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$$

where $B = I/A$. By Theorem 26.2.3.5, Lemma 26.2.3.3 and Remark 26.2.3.4, it follows that we have a long exact sequence in right derived functors of F as in

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} R^i F A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & R^i F B & & \\ & \nwarrow & & \nearrow \delta_i & & & \\ R^{i+1} F A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & R^{i+1} F B & & \end{array} .$$

It follows from exactness of the above sequence that $R^i F B \cong R^{i+1} F A$ for all $i \geq 1$. Repeating the same process for B (embedding B into an injective object and observing the resultant long exact sequence), we obtain that

$$R^{i+1} F A \cong R^1 F C$$

for some object $C \in \mathbf{A}$. Replacing A by C , it thus suffices to show that $R^1 F A = 0$.

In the beginning of the above long exact sequence we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F A & \longrightarrow & F I & \twoheadrightarrow & F B \\ & & & & \nearrow \delta_0 & & \\ R^1 F A & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & R^1 F B & & \end{array}$$

from which it follows via exactness that δ_0 is surjective and $\text{Ker}(\delta_0) = FB$. We then deduce that $R^1 F A = 0$, as required. \square

Injective resolutions might be hard to find in general, but given a left exact functor F , it would be somewhat easier to find objects J such that $R^i F(J) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. The remarkable property of such objects is that it can help to calculate the value of right derived functors of F for objects admitting resolutions by them.

Definition 26.2.3.7 (Acyclic resolution). Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two abelian categories where \mathbf{A} have enough injectives. Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a left-exact functor. An object $J \in \mathbf{A}$ is said to be acyclic if $R^i F(J) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. An acyclic resolution of $A \in \mathbf{A}$ is an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} J^0 \rightarrow J^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

where each J^i is acyclic.

The name "acyclic" is justified since they have zero cohomology, so all cocycles are coboundaries, so there are no cycles for that object.

Remark 26.2.3.8. Note that for an acyclic resolution $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} J^\bullet$, we have $h^0(F(J^\bullet)) \cong FA$ by following the steps as in the proof of Lemma 26.2.3.3.

We then have the following useful theorem.

Proposition 26.2.3.9. *Let \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} be two abelian categories where \mathbf{A} have enough injectives. Let $F : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ be a left-exact functor. For $A \in \mathbf{A}$, let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\epsilon} J^\bullet$ be an acyclic resolution. Then for all $i \geq 0$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R^i F(A) \cong h^i(F(J^\bullet)).$$

Derived functors are equivalent to datum of what is defined to be a universal δ -functor. In the rest of this section we setup the definitions and only state the result.

TODO : Universal δ -functors.

26.3 Results for $\text{Mod}(R)$

When the abelian category is that of modules over a commutative ring R , then we have some special results which is very useful in homotopy theory.

26.3.1 Universal coefficients

26.3.2 Künneth theorem

26.3.3 \otimes -Hom adjunction