MAA202: Analysis Homework I

Alexandre Hirsch, André Renom, Maëlys Solal

4^{th} November 2019

Contents

1	Exercise 1	1
	1.1	1
	1.2	1
	1.3	2
	1.3.a	2
	1.3.b	2
	1.3.c	3
	1.3.d	3
	1.4	3
	1.4.a	3
	1.4.b	3
	1.5	3
2	Exercise 2	4
	2.1	4
	2.2	5
	2.3	5
	2.4	6
	2.5	6
	2.6	7
3	Exercise 3	7
J	3.1	7
	0.1	'
4	Exercise 4	7
	4.1	7

1 Exercise 1

1.1

Let $B = \bar{B}(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid ||x|| \le 1\}$ be the closed unit ball for the norm $||\cdot||$. Let us show that B is cool.

Let us prove that B is convex.

Take $x, y \in B$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. We want to prove that $tx + (1 - t)y \in B$. To do so, we prove that $||tx + (1 - t)y|| \le 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} ||tx+(1-t)y|| &\leq ||tx||+||(1-t)y|| \quad \text{by the triangle inequality} \\ &= |t|\cdot||x||+|1-t|\cdot||y|| \quad \text{by homogeneity} \\ &\leq t+(1-t) \quad \text{as } ||x|| \leq 1 \text{ and } ||y|| \leq 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which finally proves that B is convex.

We now prove that B is bounded.

Take $x \in B$ then $||x|| \le 1$ by definition of B. This proves that B is bounded by 1.

We now prove that B is symmetric with respect to 0.

Take $x \in B$ then $||x|| \le 1$ by definition of B and thus $|-1| \cdot ||x|| \le 1$ and by homogeneity $||-x|| \le 1$ which proves $-x \in B$.

We have therefore proved that B is symmetric with respect to 0.

We finally prove that $0 \in \mathring{B}$

Let $\widetilde{B} = B(0,1) = \{x \mid ||x|| < 1\}$ be the open unit ball. We know $\widetilde{B} \subset B$ and \widetilde{B} is open. As \mathring{B} is the union of all open sets contained in B, we get $\widetilde{B} \subset \mathring{B}$. By definition, $0 \in \widetilde{B}$ and hence $0 \in \mathring{B}$.

Finally, we have proved that B is cool.

1.2

We want to show that for a cool set X, and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, $\alpha X + \beta X = (\alpha + \beta)X$. We will proceed by double inclusion.

Let us first show that $\alpha X + \beta X \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$.

Take $a, b \in X$. Then $a\alpha + b\beta \in \alpha X + \beta X$. We then write:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta) \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} b \right)$$

We define $t := \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \in [0, 1]$, and thus $\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} = 1 - t$. We therefore have:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta)(at + b(1 - t))$$

As X is a cool set, it is convex. Hence for $a, b \in X$, we have $c := ta + (1-t)b \in X$. Therefore:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta)c \in (\alpha + \beta)X$$

We have therefore proved that $\alpha X + \beta X \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$.

We now want to show that $\alpha X + \beta X \supseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$. Take $x \in (\alpha + \beta)X$. Then there exists $y \in X$ such that:

$$x = (\alpha + \beta)y$$
$$= \alpha y + \beta y \in \alpha X + \beta X$$

We therefore have proved that $\alpha X + \beta X \supseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$.

Finally, by double inclusion, we have proved that $\alpha X + \beta X = (\alpha + \beta)X$.

1.3

We now define a function on E by setting for every $x \in E$,

$$N_X(x) = \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\}$$

We now show that N_X is well-defined and that it defines a norm on E.

1.3.a

We want to show that for each $x \in E$, the set $N := \{\alpha \mid x \in \alpha X\}$ is not empty.

We start from the fact that $0 \in \mathring{X}$.

Then, there exists an r > 0 such that $B(0, r) \subset X$.

We define $\alpha := \frac{2||x||}{r}$. We know that $B(0, \alpha r) \subset \alpha X$. Since $B(0, \alpha r) = B(0, 2||x||)$, we have that $x \in \alpha X$, and hence $\alpha \in N$.

We have hence proved that N isn't empty.

1.3.b

We want to show N is homogeneous, that is $N_X(\lambda x) = |\lambda| N_X(x)$.

We start from:

$$N_X(\lambda x) = \inf\{|\alpha| | \lambda x \in \alpha X\}$$

But we know that $\lambda x \in \alpha X \Leftrightarrow \lambda x \in -\alpha X$, hence we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} N_X(\lambda x) &= \inf\{|\alpha| \mid |\lambda| x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= \inf\{|\lambda \alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= |\lambda| \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= |\lambda| N_X(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have hence proved N is homogeneous.

1.3.c

We want to show that N is definite, that is $N_X(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$.

We start from:

$$N_X(0) = \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in 0 \times X$$

$$\Rightarrow ||x|| \le 0 \times M_X$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0$$

This concludes the proof.

1.3.d

We want to show that the triangular inequality is true for N_X . We therefore take $x, y \in E$.

$$\begin{split} N_X(x+y) &= \inf\{|\alpha| \mid (x+y) \in \alpha X\} \\ &= \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid (x+y) \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X + \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} + \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid y \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} \\ &\leq \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} + \inf\{|\alpha| \mid y \in \alpha X\} \\ &= N_X(x) + N_X(y) \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof that the triangular inequality holds for N_X , and by extension, that N_X is a norm.

1.4

1.4.a

We want to show that $\forall x \in E$, $||x|| \leq MN_X(x)$. In order to do this, we start from the fact that X is bounded by M and that therefore $X \subset \bar{B}(0,M)$. We therefore have $x \in N_X(x)\bar{X} \subset N_X(x)\bar{B}(0,M)$. Since $x \in N_X(x)\bar{B}(0,M)$ then $||x|| \leq MN_X(x)$. This concludes the proof that $||\cdot||$ is weaker than N_X .

1.4.b

We want to show that there exists an α such that for all x, $N_X(x) \leq \alpha ||x||$. Since $0 \in \mathring{X}$, we can consider $r := \sup\{r \mid B(0,r) \subset X\}$ with $r \neq 0$. We then have that for all x, $N_X B(0,r) \subset B(0,x)$. We therefore have that:

$$N_X r \leq ||x||$$

$$N_X \leq \frac{1}{r}||x||$$

This concludes the proof that N_X is weaker than $||\cdot||$, and therefore also that they are equivalent.

1.5

We know that $x \in \bar{X}$ for the norm N_X is equivalent to

$$\exists (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in X^{\mathbb{N}}, (x_n) \text{ converges for } N_X \text{ to } x$$

But we know that the norms $||\cdot||$ and N_X are equivalent, therefore if a sequence converges under N_X , then it must also converge under $||\cdot||$. Therefore:

$$x \in \bar{X}_{N_X} \Leftrightarrow \exists (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}, (x_n) \text{ converges for } ||\cdot|| \text{ to } x \Leftrightarrow x \in \bar{X}_{||\cdot||}$$

We have therefore shown equivalence between the closure of X for the two norms. This concludes the proof.

2 Exercise 2

2.1

Let us prove that $\bar{B}(0,1)$ is compact if and only if $S(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid N(x) = 1\}$ is compact. We will prove this by double implication.

Assume $\bar{B}(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid N(x) \le 1\}$ is compact.

We know $S(0,1) \subset \bar{B}(0,1)$ then S(0,1) is compact provided it is closed as any closed subset of a compact set is compact. Let us now prove S(0,1) is closed by proving its complement is open. We have:

$$(S(0,1))^{c} = \{x \in E \mid N(x) \neq 1\}$$

$$= \{x \in E \mid N(x) < 1 \lor N(x) > 1\}$$

$$= \{x \in E \mid N(x) < 1\} \cup \{x \in E \mid N(x) > 1\}$$

$$= B(0,1) \cup (\bar{B}(0,1))^{c}$$

We know B(0,1) is open and as $\bar{B}(0,1)$ is closed, $(\bar{B}(0,1))^c$ is open and hence $(S(0,1))^c$ is open as the union of open sets. Thus S(0,1) is closed and therefore compact.

Let us now prove the converse statement. Assume S(0,1) is compact.

Consider the function

$$f: S(0,1) \times [0,1] \to E$$
$$(x,t) \mapsto tx$$

Let us prove that f is Lipschitz continuous.

Let $x, y \in S(0,1)$ and let $t_x, t_y \in [0,1]$. Then $N(t_x x - t_y y) \leq N(x-y)$ and thus f is Lipschitz continuous.

Hence f(S(0,1),[0,1]) is compact.

Let us now prove that $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) = \bar{B}(0,1)$ by double inclusion.

- " \subseteq " Take $x \in S(0,1)$ and $t \in [0,1]$. Then $N(f(x,t)) = N(tx) = |t| N(x) \le N(x) = 1$ hence $f(x,t) \in \bar{B}(0,1)$. Therefore we have proved $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) \subset \bar{B}(0,1)$
- " \supseteq " Take $x \in \bar{B}(0,1)$. We must prove that there exist $x' \in S(0,1)$ and $t' \in [0,1]$ such that f(x',t') = x't' = x.
 - If x=0: then we can set t':=0 and let x' be any element of S(0,1). We then get f(x',t')=x't'=0=x which proves $x\in f(S(0,1),[0,1])$

- If $x \neq 0$: Let $t := \frac{1}{N(x)}$, then N(tx) = |t| N(x) = 1 thus we can set $x' := tx \in S(0,1)$. We then set $t' := N(x) \in [0,1]$ so that x = x't'. We hence get f(x',t') = x which proves $x \in f(S(0,1),[0,1])$

We therefore have proved that $\bar{B}(0,1) \subseteq S(0,1)$.

Finally, $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) = \bar{B}(0,1)$ and hence $\bar{B}(0,1)$ is compact which finally concludes the proof.

2.2

We first recall the definition of Lipschitz continuity for two normed vector spaces each endowed with their own norm, (E, N_E) , (F, N_F) . The map f is 1-Lipschitz continuous if:

$$\forall x, y \in E, N_F(f(x) - f(y)) \le N_E(x - y)$$

In this case, we are considering the function $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto d(x,A) = \inf\{N(x-u) \mid u \in A\}$ with $A \subset E$. We want to show that the map f is 1-Lipschitz continuous. That is to say that:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le N(x - y)$$

First we consider an element $z_1 \in \bar{A}$ such that $d(y, z_1) = d(y, A)$. By the triangular inequality for distances, we have:

$$d(x, z_1) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z_1)$$

However, we know that by definition $d(x, A) \leq d(x, z_1)$, and $d(y, z_1) = d(y, A)$, hence:

$$d(x,A) \le d(x,y) + d(y,A)$$
$$d(x,A) - d(y,A) \le d(x,y)$$

We will now consider an element $z_2 \in \bar{A}$ such that $d(x, z_2) = d(x, A)$. By the same logic as above:

$$d(y, z_2) \le d(y, x) + d(x, z_2)$$

However, we know that by definition $d(y, A) \leq d(y, z_2)$, and $d(x, z_2) = d(x, A)$. Also, we have that d(x, y) = d(y, x). Hence:

$$\begin{split} d(y,A) & \leq d(y,x) + d(x,A) \\ - \big(d(x,A) - d(y,A)\big) & \leq d(x,y) \end{split}$$

By combining these two results, we have that:

$$|d(x,A) - d(y,A)| \le d(x,y)$$
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le N(x-y)$$

This concludes the proof of 1-Lipschitz continuity.

2.3

Let us first prove that $d(x, F) \leq N(x)$.

From the previous question, we have that $x \mapsto d(x, F)$ is Lipschitz-continuous so:

$$\forall x, y \in F \ |d(x, F) - d(y, F)| \le N(x - y)$$

Now let y=0 which is possible as $0 \in F$ because F is a vector subspace of E. We then get $|d(x,F)-d(0,F)|=|d(x,F)|=d(x,F)\leq N(x-0)=N(x)$ from which we get our result.

Let us now prove that $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u) \mid u \in F, N(u) \le 2N(x)\}$. We have from the previous question that since F is a subset of E, $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u) \mid u \in F\}$. We also know that $0 \in F$. Assume therefore that N(u) > 2N(x), then d(u, x) > N(x) = d(x, 0). In other words, since zero is in F, we need not consider elements of F whose distance from x will be greater than the distance between x and x.

2.4

We want to show that d(x, F) is attained at some $u_x \in F$. That is to say $\exists u_x \in F, d(x, u_x) = d(x, F)$. We therefore define a function

$$f: F \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

 $u \mapsto N(x-u) = d(x, u)$

We will also define a set $U := \{u \in F \mid N(u) \le 2N(x)\}.$

We have from previous questions that $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u) \mid u \in U\} = \inf\{f(u) \mid u \in U\}$. The necessary conditions for d(x, F) to be attained are that U is compact and f is continuous.

We have by definition that U is bounded, with M=2N(x). Since F is finite dimensional, it now suffices to prove that U is closed to satisfy compactness. We will argue by contradiction, and assume that there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in U^{\mathbb{N}}$ converging to $l\notin U$. Then for some $\epsilon>0$, $\exists n\in\mathbb{N},\ N(l-x_n)<\epsilon$.

$$N(l) = N(l - x_n + x_n)$$

$$\leq N(x_n - l) + N(x_n)$$

$$< \epsilon + N(x_n)$$

$$\leq \epsilon + 2N(x)$$

As $\epsilon \to 0$, we find that l is in fact in U, showing by contradiction that U is therefore closed. We will now show that l is continuous. We will do this using the triangular inequality, taking $y, z \in F$.

$$N(y-x) = N(y-z+z-x) \le N(y-z) + N(z-x) \Leftrightarrow f(y) - f(z) \le N(y-z)$$

$$N(z-x) = N(z-y+y-x) \le N(y-z) + N(y-x) \Leftrightarrow -(f(y)-f(z)) \le N(y-z)$$

We therefore have that $|f(y) - f(z)| \le N(y - z)$ showing that f is a continuous function. Combining the compactness of U and the continuity of f concludes the proof that finally gives the result that d(x, F) is attained at some $u_x \in F$.

2.5

We want to show that for an element $y := x - u_x \in E$,

$$\sup_{N(y)=1} d(y, F) \in \{0, 1\}$$

We will first consider the case where F = E, where d(y, F) can take no other value than 0. In that case we trivially have

$$\sup_{N(y)=1} d(y, F) = 0 \in \{0, 1\}$$

We now consider the case where $F \subsetneq E$. We have from a previous question that $d(y,F) \leq N(y) = 1$. We take $u_y \in F$ to be the element of F for which d(y,F) is attained. We therefore have that $\forall u \in F$

 $F, N(y-u_y) \leq N(y-u)$. Along the same principle, we have that $\forall u \in F, N(x-u_x) \leq N(x-u)$. Since $u_x + u_y \in F, N(x-u_x) \leq N(x-u_x + u_y)$.

We now use the initial statement that $\forall x \in E, d(x, f) \leq N(x)$, to say that $N(y - u_y) \leq N(y)$, using the definition of y to rewrite this as $N(x - (u_x + u_y)) \leq N(x - u_x)$.

The two sides of the inequality conclude that $N(x-(u_x+u_y))=N(x-u_x)$, that is to say $N(y-u_y)=N(y)=1$. This concludes the proof that $\sup_{N(y)=1}d(y,F)\in\{0,1\}$.

2.6

Let us construct this infinite sequence by induction.

Start by $x_0 \in S(0,1)$, then $N(x_0) = 1$.

Let us prove $Span(x_0) \supseteq S(0,1)$ by contradiction. Assume x_0 spans S(0,1) then it would also span E which is a contradiction as E is of infinite dimension.

Then take $x_1 \in S(0,1)$ such that $N(x_1 - x_0) = 1$, we also have $N(x_1) = 1$ By the same arguments and as dim E > 1, $(x_0 - x_1)$ doesn't span S(0,1)

Then by induction, as dim $E = +\infty$ we can construct an infinite sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ that spans E such that $N(x_n - x_m) = 1$ if $n \neq m$ and $N(x_n) = 1$ for $n \geq 0$.

One cannot then extract a subsequence that converges in S(0,1), thus S(0,1) is not compact. Using the first question of the exercise, we therefore have that, $\bar{B}(0,1)$ is not compact.

3 Exercise 3

3.1

We will prove by contradiction that all compact sets are precompact.

Let F be a compact and non-precompact subset of E.

Let $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, such that $\exists (x_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $F \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} B(x_i, \epsilon)$ Let us construct the sequence $(u_n)_{n \in I} \in F^{\mathbb{N}}$,

such that
$$u_i \in B(x_i, \epsilon) \setminus \bigcup_{j \in I, j \neq i} B(x_j, \epsilon) \subset F$$
We have $\forall i, j \in I, j \neq i$ $N(u_i - u_j) > \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \in I} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in$

We have, $\forall i, j \in I, i \neq j, N(u_i - u_j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \min_{i,j \in I} (N(x_i - x_j)).$

Thus $\forall (u_n)_{n \in I}, \forall \phi$ strictly increasing, $(u_{\phi(n)})$ does not converge.

Thus $(u_n)_{n\in I}$ does not have an adherence value and F is therefore not compact. This is a contradiction with the fact that F is compact.

Therefore, all compact sets are precompact.

4 Exercise 4

4.1

We take as our example:

$$H = \mathcal{C}^2 ([0, 1], \mathbb{C})$$

$$N = ||\cdot||_{\infty}|_H : f \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |f(t)|$$

We first prove that $H \subset \mathcal{C}^1([0,1],\mathbb{C})$. We know that all functions which are of class \mathcal{C}^2 are also \mathcal{C}^1 , and the functions are still mapping from [0,1] to \mathbb{C} . Thus $H \subset \mathcal{C}^1([0,1],\mathbb{C})$.

We now prove that (H, N) has infinite dimension.

We know $\mathbb{C}[X] \subset \mathcal{C}^2([0,1],\mathbb{C})$ as complex polynomials are of class \mathcal{C}^2 .

But we also know $\mathbb{C}[X]$ has infinite dimension as its basis $(1, X, X^2, X^3, ...)$ is composed of infinitely many vectors which finally proves that (H, N) has infinite dimension.