# MAA202: Analysis Homework I

## Alexandre Hirsch, André Renom, Maëlys Solal

## $4^{th}$ November 2019

## Contents

1	Exercise 1	1
	1.1	1
	1.2	1
	1.3	2
	1.3.a	2
	1.3.b	2
	1.3.c	3
	1.3.d	3
		3
		_
	1.4.a	3
	1.4.b	3
	1.5	3
2	Exercise 2	4
	2.1	4
	2.2	5
	2.3	5
	2.4	6
	2.5	6
	2.6	7
3	Exercise 3	7
	3.1	7
4	Exercise 4	7
_	/ 1	7

### 1 Exercise 1

#### 1.1

Let  $B = \bar{B}(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid ||x|| \le 1\}$  be the closed unit ball for the norm  $||\cdot||$ . Let us show that B is cool.

Let us prove that B is convex.

Take  $x, y \in B$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . We want to prove that  $tx + (1 - t)y \in B$ . To do so, we prove that  $||tx + (1 - t)y|| \le 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} ||tx+(1-t)y|| &\leq ||tx||+||(1-t)y|| \quad \text{by the triangle inequality} \\ &= |t|\cdot||x||+|1-t|\cdot||y|| \quad \text{by homogeneity} \\ &\leq t+(1-t) \quad \text{as } ||x|| \leq 1 \text{ and } ||y|| \leq 1 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Which finally proves that B is convex.

We now prove that B is bounded.

Take  $x \in B$  then  $||x|| \le 1$  by definition of B. This proves that B is bounded by 1.

We now prove that B is symmetric with respect to 0.

Take  $x \in B$  then  $||x|| \le 1$  by definition of B and thus  $|-1| \cdot ||x|| \le 1$  and by homogeneity  $||-x|| \le 1$  which proves  $-x \in B$ .

We have therefore proved that B is symmetric with respect to 0.

We finally prove that  $0 \in \mathring{B}$ 

Let  $\widetilde{B} = B(0,1) = \{x \mid ||x|| < 1\}$  be the open unit ball. We know  $\widetilde{B} \subset B$  and  $\widetilde{B}$  is open. As  $\mathring{B}$  is the union of all open sets contained in B, we get  $\widetilde{B} \subset \mathring{B}$ . By definition,  $0 \in \widetilde{B}$  and hence  $0 \in \mathring{B}$ .

•

Finally, we have proved that B is cool.

#### 1.2

We want to show that for a cool set X, and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha X + \beta X = (\alpha + \beta)X$ . We will proceed by double inclusion.

Let us first show that  $\alpha X + \beta X \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$ .

Take  $a, b \in X$ . Then  $a\alpha + b\beta \in \alpha X + \beta X$ . We then write:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta) \left( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} a + \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} b \right)$$

We define  $t := \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \in [0, 1]$ , and thus  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} = 1 - t$ . We therefore have:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta)(at + b(1 - t))$$

As X is a cool set, it is convex. Hence for  $a, b \in X$ , we have  $c := ta + (1-t)b \in X$ . Therefore:

$$a\alpha + b\beta = (\alpha + \beta)c \in (\alpha + \beta)X$$

We have therefore proved that  $\alpha X + \beta X \subseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$ .

We now want to show that  $\alpha X + \beta X \supseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$ . Take  $x \in (\alpha + \beta)X$ . Then there exists  $y \in X$  such that:

$$x = (\alpha + \beta)y$$
$$= \alpha y + \beta y \in \alpha X + \beta X$$

We therefore have proved that  $\alpha X + \beta X \supseteq (\alpha + \beta)X$ .

Finally, by double inclusion, we have proved that  $\alpha X + \beta X = (\alpha + \beta)X$ .

#### 1.3

We now define a function on E by setting for every  $x \in E$ ,

$$N_X(x) = \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\}$$

We now show that  $N_X$  is well-defined and that it defines a norm on E.

#### 1.3.a

We want to show that for each  $x \in E$ , the set  $N := \{\alpha \mid x \in \alpha X\}$  is not empty.

We start from the fact that  $0 \in \mathring{X}$ .

Then, there exists an r > 0 such that  $B(0, r) \subset X$ .

We define  $\alpha := \frac{2||x||}{r}$ . We know that  $B(0, \alpha r) \subset \alpha X$ . Since  $B(0, \alpha r) = B(0, 2||x||)$ , we have that  $x \in \alpha X$ , and hence  $\alpha \in N$ .

We have hence proved that N isn't empty.

#### 1.3.b

We want to show N is homogeneous, that is  $N_X(\lambda x) = |\lambda| N_X(x)$ .

We start from:

$$N_X(\lambda x) = \inf\{|\alpha| | \lambda x \in \alpha X\}$$

But we know that  $\lambda x \in \alpha X \Leftrightarrow \lambda x \in -\alpha X$ , hence we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} N_X(\lambda x) &= \inf\{|\alpha| \mid |\lambda| x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= \inf\{|\lambda \alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= |\lambda| \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} \\ &= |\lambda| N_X(x) \end{aligned}$$

We have hence proved N is homogeneous.

#### 1.3.c

We want to show that N is definite, that is  $N_X(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$ .

We start from:

$$N_X(0) = \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in 0 \times X$$

$$\Rightarrow ||x|| \le 0 \times M_X$$

$$\Rightarrow x = 0$$

This concludes the proof.

#### 1.3.d

We want to show that the triangular inequality is true for  $N_X$ . We therefore take  $x, y \in E$ .

$$\begin{split} N_X(x+y) &= \inf\{|\alpha| \mid (x+y) \in \alpha X\} \\ &= \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid (x+y) \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X + \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} \\ &= \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} + \inf\left\{|\alpha| \mid y \in \frac{\alpha}{2}X\right\} \\ &\leq \inf\{|\alpha| \mid x \in \alpha X\} + \inf\{|\alpha| \mid y \in \alpha X\} \\ &= N_X(x) + N_X(y) \end{split}$$

This concludes the proof that the triangular inequality holds for  $N_X$ , and by extension, that  $N_X$  is a norm.

#### 1.4

#### 1.4.a

We want to show that  $||x|| \leq MN_X(x) \quad \forall x \in E$ . In order to do this, we start from the fact that X is bounded by M and that therefore  $X \subset \bar{B}(0,M)$ . We have from this that  $x \in N_X(x)\bar{X} \subset N_X\bar{B}(0,M)$ . Since  $x \in N_X\bar{B}(0,M)$  then  $||x|| \leq MN_X$ . This concludes the proof that  $||\cdot||$  is weaker than  $N_x$ .

#### 1.4.b

We want to show that there exists an  $\alpha$  such that for all x,  $N_X(x) \leq \alpha ||x||$ . Since  $0 \in \mathring{X}$ , we can consider  $r := \sup\{r \mid B(0,r) \subset X\}$  with  $r \neq 0$ . We then have that for all x,  $N_X B(0,r) \subset B(0,x)$ . We therefore have that:

$$N_X r \le ||x||$$
 
$$N_X \le \frac{1}{r}||x||$$

This concludes the proof that  $N_X$  is weaker than  $||\cdot||$ , and therefore also that they are equivalent.

#### 1.5

We know that  $x \in \bar{X}$  for the norm  $N_X$  is equivalent to

$$\exists (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in X^{\mathbb{N}}, (x_n) \text{ converges for } N_X \text{ to } x$$

But wee know that the norms  $||\cdot||$  and  $N_X$  are equivalent, therefore if a sequence converges under  $N_X$ , then it must also converge under  $||\cdot||$ . Therefore:

$$x \in \bar{X}_{N_X} \Leftrightarrow \exists (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^{\mathbb{N}}, (x_n) \text{ converges for } || \cdot || \text{ to } x$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow x \in \bar{X}_{||\cdot||}$ 

We have therefore shown equivalence between the closure of X for the two norms. This concludes the proof.

## 2 Exercise 2

#### 2.1

Let us prove that  $\bar{B}(0,1)$  is compact if and only if  $S(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid N(x) = 1\}$  is compact. We will prove this by double implication.

Assume  $\bar{B}(0,1) = \{x \in E \mid N(x) \le 1\}$  is compact.

We know  $S(0,1) \subset \bar{B}(0,1)$  then S(0,1) is compact provided it is closed as any closed subset of a compact set is compact. Let us now prove S(0,1) is closed by proving its complement is open. We have:

$$(S(0,1))^c = \{x \in E \mid N(x) \neq 1\}$$

$$= \{x \in E \mid N(x) < 1 \lor N(x) > 1\}$$

$$= \{x \in E \mid N(x) < 1\} \cup \{x \in E \mid N(x) > 1\}$$

$$= B(0,1) \cup (\bar{B}(0,1))^c$$

We know B(0,1) is open and as  $\bar{B}(0,1)$  is closed,  $(\bar{B}(0,1))^c$  is open and hence  $(S(0,1))^c$  is open as the union of open sets. Thus S(0,1) is closed and therefore compact.

Let us now prove the converse statement. Assume S(0,1) is compact.

Consider the function

$$f: S(0,1) \times [0,1] \to E$$
  
 $(x,t) \mapsto tx$ 

Let us prove that f is Lipschitz continuous.

Let  $x, y \in S(0,1)$  and let  $t_x, t_y \in [0,1]$ . Then  $N(t_x x - t_y y) \leq N(x-y)$  and thus f is Lipschitz continuous.

Hence f(S(0,1), [0,1]) is compact.

Let us now prove that  $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) = \bar{B}(0,1)$  by double inclusion.

- " $\subseteq$ " Take  $x \in S(0,1)$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then  $N(f(x,t)) = N(tx) = |t| N(x) \le N(x) = 1$  hence  $f(x,t) \in \bar{B}(0,1)$ . Therefore we have proved  $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) \subseteq \bar{B}(0,1)$
- " $\supseteq$ " Take  $x \in \bar{B}(0,1)$ . We must prove that there exist  $x' \in S(0,1)$  and  $t' \in [0,1]$  such that f(x',t') = x't' = x.
  - If x=0: then we can set t':=0 and let x' be any element of S(0,1). We then get f(x',t')=x't'=0=x which proves  $x\in f(S(0,1),[0,1])$

- If  $x \neq 0$ : Let  $t := \frac{1}{N(x)}$ , then N(tx) = |t| N(x) = 1 thus we can set  $x' := tx \in S(0,1)$ . We then set  $t' := N(x) \in [0,1]$  so that x = x't'. We hence get f(x',t') = x which proves  $x \in f(S(0,1),[0,1])$ 

We therefore have proved that  $\bar{B}(0,1) \subseteq S(0,1)$ .

Finally,  $f(S(0,1),[0,1]) = \bar{B}(0,1)$  and hence  $\bar{B}(0,1)$  is compact which finally concludes the proof.

#### 2.2

We first recall the definition of Lischitz continuity for two normed vector spaces each endowed with their own norm,  $(E, N_E)$ ,  $(F, N_F)$ . The map f is 1-Lipschitz continuous if:

$$\forall x, y \in E, N_F(f(x) - f(y)) \le N_E(x - y)$$

In this case, we are considering the function  $f: E \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $x \mapsto d(x,A) = \inf\{N(x-u) \mid u \in A\}$  with  $A \subset E$ . We want to show that the map f is 1-Lipschitz continuous. That is to say that:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le N(x - y)$$

First we consider an element  $z_1 \in \bar{A}$  such that  $d(y, z_1) = d(y, A)$ . By the triangular inequality for distances, we have:

$$d(x, z_1) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z_1)$$

However, we know that by definition  $d(x, A) \leq d(x, z_1)$ , and  $d(y, z_1) = d(y, A)$ , hence

$$d(x,A) \le d(x,y) + d(y,A)$$
 
$$d(x,A) - d(y,A) \le d(x,y)$$

We will now consider an element  $z_2 \in \bar{A}$  such that  $d(x, z_2) = d(x, A)$ . By the same logic as above:

$$d(y, z_2) \le d(y, x) + d(x, z_2)$$

However, we know that by definition  $d(y,A) \leq d(y,z_2)$ , and  $d(x,z_2) = d(x,A)$ . Also, we have that d(x,y) = d(y,x). Hence:

$$\begin{split} d(y,A) & \leq d(y,x) + d(x,A) \\ - \left( d(x,A) - d(y,A) \right) & \leq d(x,y) \end{split}$$

By combining these two results, we have that:

$$|d(x, A) - d(y, A)| \le d(x, y)$$
$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le N(x - y)$$

This concludes the proof of 1-Lipschitz continuity.

### 2.3

Let us first prove that  $d(x, F) \leq N(x)$ .

From the previous question, we have that  $x \mapsto d(x, F)$  is Lipschitz-continuous so  $\forall x, y \in F \mid d(x, F) - d(y, F) \mid \leq N(x - y)$ . Now let y = 0 which is possible as  $0 \in F$  because F is a vector subspace of E. We

then get  $|d(x,F)-d(0,F)|=|d(x,F)|=d(x,F)\leq N(x-0)=N(x)$  from which we get our result.

Let us now prove that  $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u) \mid u \in F, N(u) \le 2N(x)\}$ . We have from the previous question that since F is a subset of E,  $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u) \mid u \in F\}$ . We also know that  $0 \in F$ . Assume therefore that N(u) > 2N(x), then d(u, x) > N(x) = d(x, 0). In other words, since zero is in F, we need not consider elements of F whose distance from x will be greater than the distance between x and x.

#### 2.4

We want to show that d(x, F) is attained at some  $u_x \in F$ . That is to say  $\exists u_x \in F, d(x, u_x) = d(x, F)$ . We therefore define a function

$$f: F \to \mathbb{R}_+$$
  
 $u \mapsto N(x-u) = d(x, u)$ 

We will also define a set  $U := \{u \in F \mid N(u) \le 2N(x)\}.$ 

We have from previous questions that  $d(x, F) = \inf\{N(x - u)|u \in U\} = \inf\{f(u)|u \in U\}$ . The necessary conditions for d(x, F) to be attained are that U is compact and f is continuous.

We have by definition that U is bounded, with M=2N(x). Since F is finite dimensional, it now suffices to prove that U is closed to satisfy compactness. We will argue by contradiction, and assume that there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\in U^{\mathbb{N}}$  converging to  $l\notin U$ . Then for some  $\epsilon>0$ ,  $\exists n\in\mathbb{N}$ ,  $N(l-x_n)<\epsilon$ .

$$N(l) = N(l - x_n + x_n)$$

$$\leq N(x_n - l) + N(x_n)$$

$$< \epsilon + N(x_n)$$

$$\leq \epsilon + 2N(x)$$

As  $\epsilon \to 0$ , we find that l is in fact in U, showing that U is therefore closed.

We will now show that f is continuous. We will do this using the triangular inequality, taking  $y, z \in F$ .

$$N(y-x) = N(y-z+z-x) \le N(y-z) + N(z-x) \Leftrightarrow f(y) - f(z) \le N(y-z)$$
  
$$N(z-x) = N(z-y+y-x) \le N(y-z) + N(y-x) \Leftrightarrow -(f(y)-f(z)) \le N(y-z)$$

We therefore have that  $|f(y) - f(z)| \le N(y-z)|$  showing that f is a continuous function. Combining the compactness of U and the continuity of F concludes the proof that finally gives the result that d(x,F) is attained at some  $u_x \in F$ .

## 2.5

We want to show that for a function  $y: x - u_x$ ,

$$\sup_{N(y)=1} d(y, F) \in \{0, 1\}$$

We will first consider the case where F = E, where d(y, F) can take no other value than 0. In that case we trivially have

$$\sup_{N(y)=1} d(y, F) = 0 \in \{0, 1\}$$

We now consider the case where  $F \subsetneq E$ . We have from a previous question that  $d(y, F) \leq N(y) = 1$ . We take  $u_y \in F$  to be the element of F for which d(y, F) is attained. We therefore have that  $\forall u \in F, N(y - u_y) \leq N(y - u)$ . Along the same principle, we have that  $\forall u \in F, N(x - u_x) \leq N(x - u)$ .

Since  $u_x + u_y \in F$ ,  $N(x - u_x) \le N(xi(u_x + u_y))$ .

We now use the initial statement that  $\forall x \in E, d(x, f) \leq N(x)$ , to say that  $N(y - u_y) \leq N(y)$ , using the definition of y to rewrite this as  $N(x - (u_x + u_y)) \leq N(x - u_x)$ .

The two sides of the inequality conclude that  $N(x-(u_x+u_y))=N(x-u_x)$ , that is to say  $N(y-u_y)=N(y)=1$ . This concludes the proof that  $\sup_{N(y)=1}d(y,F)\in\{0,1\}$ .

#### 2.6

Let us construct this infinite sequence by induction.

Start by  $x_0 \in S(0,1)$ , then  $N(x_0) = 1$ .

Let us prove  $Span(x_0) \supseteq S(0,1)$  by contradiction. Assume  $x_0$  spans S(0,1) then it would also span E which is a contradiction as E is of infinite dimension.

Then take  $x_1 \in S(0,1)$  such that  $N(x_1 - x_0) = 1$ , we also have  $N(x_1) = 1$ By the same arguments and as dim E > 1,  $(x_0 - x_1)$  doesn't span S(0,1)

Then by induction, as dim  $E = +\infty$  we can construct an infinite sequence  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  that spans E such that  $N(x_n - x_m) = 1$  if  $n \neq m$  and  $N(x_n) = 1$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

One then cannot extract a subsequence that converges in S(0,1), thus S(0,1) is not compact. By the first question,  $\bar{B}(0,1)$  is not compact.

## 3 Exercise 3

#### 3.1

We will prove by contradiction that all compact sets are precompact. Let F be a compact and non-precompact subset of E. Let  $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\exists (x_i)_{i \in I}$  such that  $F \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} B(x_i, \epsilon)$  Let us construct the

sequence  $(u_n)_{n\in I}\in F^{\mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $u_i\in B(x_i,\epsilon)\setminus\bigcup_{j\in I, j\neq i}B(x_j,\epsilon)$ 

### 4 Exercise 4

#### 4.1

We take as our example:

$$H = \mathcal{C}^{2}([0,1], \mathbb{C})$$

$$N = ||\cdot||_{\infty}|_{H} : f \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |f(t)|$$

We first prove that  $H \subset \mathcal{C}^1([0,1],\mathbb{C})$ . We know that all functions which are of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  are also  $\mathcal{C}^1$ , and the functions are still mapping from [0,1] to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $H \subset \mathcal{C}^1([0,1],\mathbb{C})$ .

We now prove that (H, N) has infinite dimension.

We know  $\mathbb{C}[X]_{\geq 2} \subset \mathcal{C}^2([0,1],\mathbb{C})$  as complex polynomials of degree greater than or equal to 2 are of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$ .

But we also know  $\mathbb{C}[X]_{\geq 2}$  has infinite dimension as its basis  $(X^2, X^3, ...)$  is composed of infinitely many vectors which finally proves that (H, N) has infinite dimension.