

## Set #2

5. Refraction (Snell's law) is commonly understood within the context of classical electrodynamics in terms of "waves".

Should one adopt a description of electrodynamics in terms of "particles", could such refraction still be described in terms of "photons". Explain your reasoning.

6.

a. Compute the De Broglie wavelength ( $\lambda_{DB}$ ) associated with a molecule of air ( $\sim N_2$ ) in your room (STP).

Compute the average distance ( $d$ ) between molecules (STP) and compare  $d$  and  $\lambda_{DB}$ .

b. Is this any different from the case discussed in class for a conduction band electron (STP). Briefly explain.

7.

In a single-photon double-slit experiment the (single-photon "sp") source has been generated via a time-correlated photon parametric down-conversion process whereby a photon (pump) of energy  $\hbar\omega_p$  is converted into a "pair" of photons each having energy  $\hbar\omega_{sp}$ .

a. If  $\lambda_p = 355 \text{ nm}$  is the pump photon wavelength, compute the photon wavelength  $\lambda_{sp}$  of the down-converted single-photon impinging onto the double slit.

b. In a real experiment we need to place a "lens" between the image plane of the two slits and the farfield screen where the interference pattern is generated. Draw a scheme of the double slit interferometer showing all its components.

c. Derive an expression for the distance between the fringes in terms of the wavelength  $\lambda_{sp}$ , the lens focal length  $f$  and the distance  $d$  between the two slits.

d. Assuming that the center-to-center distance between the two slits is  $d \simeq 500 \mu\text{m}$ , the focal length  $f \simeq 500 \text{ mm}$ , "estimate" the fringes distance. Compare your result with the observed fringe spacing that you may infer from the data collected (photon by photon) in the attached video.