

# AMR: Nonlinear Control Methods

Aliasghar Arab

# Course Announcements

- **No class** on Tuesday 14th and 21st October
- **Next class** on 28th October
- Today's topics:
  - Feedback Linearization
  - Robust Control (Sliding Mode)
  - Adaptive Control

# Controllability: Linear vs Nonlinear Systems

## Linear Systems

$$\dot{x} = Ax + bu$$

### Controllability:

$$c = \{b, Ab, A^2b, \dots, A^{n-1}b\}$$

$$|c| \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{Controllable}$$

## Nonlinear Systems

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u$$

### Controllability via Lie Brackets

$$c = \{ad_f^0 g, ad_f^1 g, \dots, ad_f^{n-1} g\}$$

# Lie Brackets

## Definition (Lie Bracket)

$$[f, g] = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} g$$

## Notation

$$ad_f^0 g = g$$

$$ad_f^1 g = [f, g]$$

$$ad_f^2 g = [f, [f, g]]$$

$$\vdots$$

## Controllability Distribution

$$c = \{g, [f, g], [f, [f, g]], \dots\}$$

# Example System Definition

Consider the nonlinear system:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + g(x)u$$

Where:

$$x = [x_1, x_2]^T$$
$$f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad g(x) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Jacobian Calculations

Jacobian of  $g(x)$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Jacobian of  $f(x)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 & 2x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

# Lie Bracket Calculation

$$[f, g] = \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} f - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} g$$

$$\begin{aligned} [f, g] &= \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1^2 + 2x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 & 2x_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1x_2 \\ 2x_1^2x_2 + 4x_1x_2^2 + 2x_2^3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 \\ 2x_1^3 + 2x_1^2x_2 + 2x_1x_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Controllability Matrix

$$C = [g \quad [f, g]] = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & 2x_1x_2 - x_2^2 \\ x_2^2 & 2x_1^2x_2 - 2x_1^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Feedback Linearizability Condition

$|C| \neq 0$  and Distribution is Involutive

## Involutivity Condition

The set  $\{g, [f, g], \dots, \text{ad}_f^{n-2}g\}$  must be involutive.



# Robust Control Problem

## Real System with Uncertainties

$$\dot{x} = f(x, u) + \Delta \quad (\text{with unknown uncertainties})$$

## Example (Spring-Damper System)

$$\ddot{x} + a\dot{x} + bx^3 + d(x) = u$$

- $a\dot{x}$ : damping term
- $bx^3$ : nonlinear spring
- $d(x)$ : unknown disturbance

# Control Objective

## Goal

Find control  $u$  such that  $x \rightarrow x_d$

## Nominal Model

$$\ddot{x} + \hat{a}\dot{x} + \hat{b}x^3 = u$$

## Proposed Controller

$$u = \ddot{x}_d + \hat{a}\dot{x} + \hat{b}x^3 + k_d(\dot{x}_d - \dot{x}) + k_p(x_d - x) + V_r$$

# Error Dynamics

Define tracking error:  $e = x_d - x$

Substituting controller into system dynamics:

$$\ddot{x}_d - \ddot{x} + k_d \dot{e} + k_p e = (a - \hat{a})\dot{x} + (b - \hat{b})x^3 + d(x) - V_r$$

Which gives:

$$\ddot{e} + k_d \dot{e} + k_p e = \Delta$$

Where  $\Delta = (a - \hat{a})\dot{x} + (b - \hat{b})x^3 + d(x) - V_r$

# State Space Representation

Define state vector:

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} e \\ \dot{e} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \dot{z} = Az + bw$$

Where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k_p & -k_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$w = (a - \hat{a})\dot{x} + (b - \hat{b})x^3 + d(x) - V_r$$

State equations:

$$\dot{z}_1 = z_2$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = -k_d z_2 - k_p z_1 - w$$

# Lyapunov Stability Analysis

## Lyapunov Function Candidate

$$V = \frac{1}{2} z^T P z$$

## Time Derivative

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2} [\dot{z}^T P z + z^T P \dot{z}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(z^T A^T + w^T b^T) P z + z^T P (A z + b w)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} z^T [A^T P + P A] z + z^T P b w\end{aligned}$$

## Uncertainty Bounds

- $|\hat{a} - a| < \alpha$
- $|\hat{b} - b| < \beta$
- $|d(x)| < r$
- $\beta > 0$

## Bound on Total Uncertainty

$$|(a - \hat{a})\dot{x} + (b - \hat{b})x^3 + d(x)| < \alpha|\dot{x}| + \beta|x|^3 + r = f(x)$$

## Robust Term Design

$$V_r = \frac{z^T P b}{|z^T P b|} f(x)$$

This ensures:

$$z^T P b [(a - \hat{a})\dot{x} + (b - \hat{b})x^3 + d(x) - V_r] < 0$$

## Stability Guarantee

With this choice of  $V_r$ , we get  $\dot{V} < 0$ , guaranteeing asymptotic stability.

# Sliding Mode Control Approach

## Sliding Surface

$$S = \dot{e} + \lambda e$$

## Control Objective

Design control such that  $S \rightarrow 0$

## Convergence Property

If  $S \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\dot{e} + \lambda e = 0 \Rightarrow e \rightarrow 0$  exponentially



# Sliding Mode Stability

## Lyapunov Function

$$v = S^T S$$

## Reaching Condition

$$\dot{v} = -\eta |S|$$

This implies:

$$S\dot{S} = -\eta |S| \Rightarrow \dot{S} = -\eta \operatorname{sgn}(S)$$

# General System Example

Consider system:

$$\dot{x} = f(x) + u$$

Sliding surface derivative:

$$\dot{S} = \dot{e} + \lambda \dot{e} = \ddot{x}_d - \ddot{x} + \lambda \dot{e}$$

Substitute dynamics:

$$\dot{S} = \ddot{x}_d - f(x) - u + \lambda \dot{e}$$

# Sliding Mode Control Law

From reaching condition:

$$\ddot{x}_d - f(x) - u + \lambda e = -\eta \operatorname{sgn}(S)$$

Solving for control:

$$u = \ddot{x}_d - f(x) + \lambda e + \eta \operatorname{sgn}(S)$$

# Robust Sliding Mode Control

For system with uncertainty:

$$\dot{x} = \hat{f}(x) + \Delta + u, \quad \|\Delta\| < F$$

Robust control law:

$$u = \ddot{x}_d - \hat{f}(x) + \lambda e + (\eta + F) \operatorname{sgn}(S)$$

This guarantees:

$$[\ddot{x}_d - \hat{f}(x) - \Delta - u + \lambda e] \operatorname{sgn}(S) < -\eta$$

# Adaptive Control Problem

## Example (Pendulum System)

$$I\ddot{\theta} + b\dot{\theta} + C\sin\theta = \tau$$

- $I$ : unknown inertia
- $b$ : unknown damping
- $C$ : unknown gravitational term

## Control Objective

Design  $\tau$  and parameter update laws to track desired trajectory  $\theta_d$

## Proposed Controller

$$\tau = \hat{l}[\ddot{\theta}_d + k_d(\dot{\theta}_d - \dot{\theta}) + k_p(\theta_d - \theta)] + \hat{b}\dot{\theta} + \hat{C}\sin\theta$$

Where:

- $\hat{l}, \hat{b}, \hat{C}$ : parameter estimates
- $k_d, k_p$ : feedback gains

Subtracting actual system from control law:

$$(I - \hat{I})\ddot{\theta} + (b - \hat{b})\dot{\theta} + (C - \hat{C})\sin\theta - \hat{I}[\dot{e} + k_d\dot{e} + k_p e] = 0$$

Define parametric uncertainties:

$$p = [I \quad b \quad C]^T, \quad Y = [\ddot{\theta} \quad \dot{\theta} \quad \sin\theta]^T$$

Then:

$$p^T Y = I\ddot{\theta} + b\dot{\theta} + C\sin\theta$$

# Error System Representation

Define states:

$$x_1 = e, \quad x_2 = \dot{e}$$

State equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_1 &= x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 &= -k_p x_1 - k_d x_2 + I^{-1}[p - \hat{p}]^T Y\end{aligned}$$

In matrix form:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + bw$$

Where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -k_p & -k_d \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad w = I^{-1}[p - \hat{p}]^T Y$$



# Composite Lyapunov Function

## Lyapunov Candidate

$$V(x, p - \hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Sx + \frac{1}{2\gamma}[p - \hat{p}]^T[p - \hat{p}]$$

Where:

- $S > 0$ : symmetric positive definite matrix
- $\gamma > 0$ : adaptation gain

# Lyapunov Analysis

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{V} &= \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^T Sx + \frac{1}{2}x^T S\dot{x} + \frac{1}{\gamma}[p - \hat{p}]^T(-\dot{\hat{p}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x^T A^T + w^T b^T)Sx + \frac{1}{2}x^T S(Ax + bw) - \frac{1}{\gamma}[p - \hat{p}]^T \dot{\hat{p}}\end{aligned}$$

Assuming  $\dot{p} = 0$  (constant parameters):

$$\dot{V} = \frac{1}{2}x^T(A^T S + SA)x + x^T Sbw - \frac{1}{\gamma}[p - \hat{p}]^T \dot{\hat{p}}$$

# Parameter Update Law

To ensure  $\dot{V} < 0$ , choose:

$$x^T S b l^{-1} [p - \hat{p}]^T Y = \frac{1}{\gamma} [p - \hat{p}]^T \dot{\hat{p}}$$

This suggests the update law:

$$\dot{\hat{p}} = \gamma x^T S b l^{-1} Y$$

# Final Adaptive Control Scheme

## Control Law

$$\tau = \hat{l}[\ddot{\theta}_d + k_d\dot{e} + k_p e] + \hat{b}\dot{\theta} + \hat{c}\sin\theta$$

## Parameter Adaptation

$$\hat{p}(t) = \hat{p}(0) + \gamma \int_0^t x^T S b l^{-1} Y d\tau$$

Where:

$$\hat{p} = [\hat{l} \quad \hat{b} \quad \hat{c}]^T, \quad Y = [\ddot{\theta} \quad \dot{\theta} \quad \sin\theta]^T$$

# Summary

- **Feedback Linearization:** Uses Lie brackets for nonlinear controllability analysis
- **Robust Control:** Handles bounded uncertainties using Lyapunov methods
- **Sliding Mode:** Provides robustness through discontinuous control
- **Adaptive Control:** Estimates unknown parameters online for better tracking

## Key Takeaway

These methods provide powerful tools for controlling nonlinear robotic systems in the presence of uncertainties and unknown parameters.

# Questions?