Profile of the interviewed: Assistant Professor in Computer Science with expertise on Software Engineering, specializing in IoT, adaptive systems and ethical-aware systems

Q1: **Clarity** – Are the descriptions of the concerns clear and unambiguous? Would you suggest any modifications?

Descriptions are clear, overall, but they would benefit from some updates:

- In *Responsibility and Accountability*, it should be made clear what the roles of the subject of the requirement are. The "client" of the tool should be better described: if you mean that it is the service that uses the system, then it should be specified. I would also add an object: for what should they be responsible? I guess it is for the collected data.
- I would better disambiguate between *Fairness* and *Social Inclusion*: fairness should refer to avoiding biases and having the same accuracy for different groups, and social inclusion should be related to the accessibility to the system. I would avoid using the word "discrimination" in the descriptions of both to avoid ambiguities.

Q2: **Relevance** – Are the concerns relevant in the context of age verification systems? Would you remove any of them?

I found them relevant, overall. I don't see the point of having "safety" together with *Security*, I think that safety is not something closely related to this kind of system.

I would only keep "Security" as a concern.I would order them differently in this list according to their scope: after privacy and data minimization, I see consent granting, then transparency, fairness, social inclusion and accountability.

Q3: Actionability – Can these concerns realistically be addressed in age verification systems?

I think that *Trust and Social Acceptance* can not be directly enforced in the system. I see *social acceptance* as a subjective property that arises thanks to the consideration of the previous requirements. I would agree on removing it.

Q4: **Completeness** – Are these concerns comprehensive enough to address the ethical aspects of age verification systems? Would you include others?

I believe they span almost all the ethical aspects of such systems. I do not have suggestions of what else to include.