

$$1) M = \{0, \dots, 4\}$$

$$K \leftarrow \{0, \dots, 5\}$$

$$\text{Enc}_K(m) = (k+m) \bmod 5$$

$$\text{Dec}_K(c) = (c-k) \bmod 5$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(C=c | M=m) &= \Pr(\text{Enc}_K(m) = c) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^5 \Pr(\text{Enc}_K(m) = c | K=k) \Pr(K=k) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=0}^5 \Pr(\text{Enc}_K(m) = c | K=k) \end{aligned}$$

for perfect secrecy

$$\Pr(C=c | M=m_0) = \Pr(C=c | M=m_1) \quad \forall c, m_0, m_1$$

consider the case when $c=3, m_0=0, m_1=3$

$$\therefore \Pr(C=3 | M=m_0) = \frac{1}{6} (0+0+0+1+0+0)$$

$$= 1/6 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\Pr(C=3 | M=m_1) = \frac{1}{6} (1+0+0+0+0+1)$$

$$= 1/3 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

as (1) \neq (2) \rightarrow encryption scheme is not perfectly secure.

2) No, this is not a perfectly secure encryption scheme as here $|K| < |M|$.

Proof: Let $M(c) = \{m : Dec_k(c) = m \mid k \in K\}$
 now as $|K| < |M| \exists m' \text{ s.t.}$
 $Dec_k(c) \neq m'$ for particular c & $\forall k$

$\therefore Pr[M=m' | C=c] = 0 \neq Pr[M=m']$
 (Assuming uniform distribution over M)

Counter example: $M = \{0, 1\}^L$ (Assume uniform dist over it)
 example $m \leftarrow M$

let m be any L bit string with even number of one's & c be a L bit cipher string with odd number of ones. (assuming $m \neq c$)

$\therefore Pr[M=m | C=c] = 0 \neq Pr[M=m] = \frac{1}{2^L}$

same is the case when m has odd number of 1's & c has even.

\Rightarrow encryption scheme not perfectly secure.

5) given any positive polynomial $q(n) \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t.
 $\text{negl}_1(n) < \frac{1}{q(n)} \quad \forall n > N_1$

as $p(n)$ is positive polynomial \Rightarrow multiplying the inequality on both sides by $p(n)$

$$p(n) \cdot \text{negl}_1(n) < \frac{p(n)}{q(n)} \quad \forall n > N_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{negl}_2(n) < \frac{1}{r(n)} \quad \forall n > N_2$$

$r(n) = \frac{q(n)}{p(n)}$, which is a positive polynomial as q, p are positive poly.

$\Rightarrow \text{negl}_2(n)$ is negligible function

4) Assume G_1 is not PRG $\Rightarrow \exists D_1$ distinguisher which works with non negligible probability.
 constructing D for G using D_1 as subroutine -
 for input st D will output similar to D_2 with input $t||b$ where $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$. \therefore we have $t||b = G(s)||b = G_1(s)||b$ if $t = G(s)$ & t is random string

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow & |Pr[D(G(s)) = 1] - Pr[D(r) = 1]| \\ &= |Pr[D_1(G(s)||b) = 1] - Pr[D_1(r)||b) = 1]| \\ &= |Pr[D_1(G_1(s)||b) = 1] - Pr[D_1(r)||b) = 1]| \\ &= |Pr[D_1(G_1(s)) = 1] - Pr[D_1(r) = 1]| \\ &\gg \text{negl}(n+1) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction as G is a PRG
 $\Rightarrow G_1$ is also a PRG