

ST5225: Statistical Analysis of Networks

Lecture 9: Exponential Random Graph Models

WANG Wanjie
staww@nus.edu.sg

Department of Statistics and Applied Probability
National University of Singapore (NUS)

Saturday 31 March, 2018

- Review: World Wide Web, Part I
- Exponential Random Graph Models

- Advertisement
 - How to set the price for advertisements in search engines
 - Formulation of the problem: clickthrough rate, revenue per click, valuation, matching market
- Review of Statistical notions: model, PDF, likelihood function, MLE.
- Random Graph
 - $|V|$ is given, $(i, j) \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(p)$
 - Likelihood, MLE, and an example
 - More properties: degree dist., prob. of edge, parameterization
 - drawbacks of the model: few triangles, no clustering structure, degree dist.
- Stochastic block model
 - $|V|$ is given
 - Each node has a label ℓ_i , indicating which community it belongs to. The prob. of an edge depends on ℓ_i and ℓ_j
 - Likelihood, MLE, and an example
 - More properties: degree dist., prob. of an edge
 - If the labels are unknown, we model the labels as multinomial dist., and have new likelihood function
 - MLE does not have explicit solution in this case

- Generalizations of SBM (revisit in the future)
 - Degree Corrected SBM
 - Mixed membership SBM
- Exponential Random Graph Model
 - Motivation
 - Sufficient Statistics
 - Exponential family distributions
 - Model
 - Edge prob., MLE
 - Example: p_1 model

Recall the likelihood for the RGM and the SBM for graph $G = (V, E)$

■ Random Graph Model:

$$\begin{aligned} L(p) &= p^{|E|} (1-p)^{\binom{|V|}{2} - |E|} = \exp \left\{ |E| \log p + \left(\binom{|V|}{2} - |E| \right) \log(1-p) \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ |E| \log \frac{p}{1-p} + \binom{|V|}{2} \log(1-p) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

■ SBM

$$\begin{aligned} L(B) &= \prod_{r \neq s} b_{rs}^{e_{rs}} (1 - b_{rs})^{n_r n_s - e_{rs}} \times \prod_r b_{rr}^{e_{rr}} (1 - b_{rr})^{\binom{n_r}{2} - e_{rr}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \sum_{r,s} e_{rs} \log \frac{b_{rs}}{1 - b_{rs}} + \sum_{r \neq s} n_r n_s \log(1 - b_{rs}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_r \binom{n_r}{2} \log(1 - b_{rr}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Both can be written in the form of $\exp \{ \sum_{i=1}^L f_i(\theta) S_i(data) \}$, where $S_i(data)$ is some statistic of the data.

How to make the model more flexible?

- Generalise the model in a similar form

$$\exp\left\{\sum \text{parameter} \times \text{statistic}\right\}$$

- Why do we select this form?
- What does it mean?
- How to select the parameters and the statistics?

With data points $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$, we may calculate many many statistics:

Statistic

Statistic is a function of the random variables.

Examples:

- Mean of the data: $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$
- Variance of the data $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2$
- Minimum/Maximum of the data: $\min_i x_i, \max_i x_i$
- The first observation the data: x_1
- Statistics of interest depend on the case

One common problem for the data is to get estimate of the parameters

- Say that the sample $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} Unif(0, b)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. What is the MLE for b ?

Solution. Note that for the uniform dist., the PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{b} I_{0 \leq x \leq b}.$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is

$$L(b) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{b} I_{0 \leq x_i \leq b} \right] = \frac{1}{b^n} I_{0 \leq \min x_i \leq \max x_i \leq b}.$$

To figure out the likelihood function, we only need $\max x_i$.

- Therefore, knowing $\max x_i$ is sufficient to figure out the MLE.
- Further, if we have an estimate \hat{b} , then knowing $\max x_i$ is sufficient to figure out the density of the data points.

Now we consider the normal distribution.

- Say that the sample $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. What is the likelihood function for (μ, σ^2) ?

Solution. Note that for the normal dist., the PDF is

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}.$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

To figure out the likelihood function, we only need $\sum x_i^2$ and $\sum x_i$

- Again, knowing $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is sufficient to figure out the MLE and calculate the likelihood function
- We do not need the details of the data

In the following two slides, X denotes the data vector
(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)

Sufficient Statistic

With respect to a model P_θ , a statistic $T(X)$ is sufficient for underlying parameter θ if the conditional probability distribution of the data X , given the statistic $T(X)$, does not depend on the parameter θ , i.e.

$$P(X|T(X), \theta) = P(X|T(X)).$$

- The relationship between X and the parameter θ are totally expressed by the relationship between X and $T(X)$
- Instead of storing all the data, we may store $T(X)$ only
- Note: $T(X)$ is a function of X only, which does not include any parameter
- The model parameters are decided by $T(X)$. It can be viewed that *the model targets on $T(X)$*

Factorization Theorem

T is sufficient for θ if and only if nonnegative functions g and h can be found such that:

$$P_{\theta}(x) = h(x)g(\theta, T(x)).$$

- In other words, the data only interacts with parameter θ via $T(X)$.
- Proof. (sufficiency)

$$\begin{aligned} P(X|T(X), \theta) &= P_{\theta}(X|T(X)) = \frac{P_{\theta}(X, T(X))}{P_{\theta}(T(X))} \\ &= \frac{h(X)g(\theta, T(X))}{\sum_{x:T(x)=T} h(x)g(\theta, T(x))} \\ &= \frac{h(X)g(\theta, T(X))}{g(\theta, T(x)) \sum_{x:T(x)=T} h(x)} \\ &= \frac{h(X)}{\sum_{x:T(x)=T} h(x)} = P(X|T(X)) \end{aligned}$$

- Uniform dist. $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} Unif(0, \theta)$

$$f_{\theta}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{1}{\theta} I_{0 \leq x \leq \theta} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta^n} I_{0 \leq \min x_i \leq \max x_i \leq \theta}.$$

So the sufficient stat is $\max x_i$.

The uniform dist. model is interested in the range of the data.

- Suppose that $X_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} f_{\alpha}$, where $f_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)^2} [x(1-x)]^{\alpha-1}$, $\alpha > 0$.
Then,

$$f_{\alpha}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)^2} [x_i(1-x_i)]^{\alpha-1} = \left(\frac{\Gamma(2\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha)^2} \right)^n \left[\prod_{i=1}^n x_i(1-x_i) \right]^{\alpha-1},$$

where the sufficient statistic is $T = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i(1 - X_i)$.

- *MLE is always sufficient stat.*

According to the factorization theorem, if the parametric model has a distribution with the form

$$P_{\theta}(x) = h(x)g(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_d) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^d T_i(x)\theta_i\right\},$$

then the sufficient statistics are

$$T_1(x), T_2(x), \dots, T_d(x).$$

Exponential Family Distribution

We call $f_{\theta}(x)$ as an *exponential family distribution*, if it satisfies

$$f_{\theta}(x) = h(x)g(\theta) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i T_i(x)\right\}$$

where $T_i(x)$, $h(x)$, and $g(\theta)$ are known functions.

Exponential Family Distribution

We call $f_\theta(x)$ as an exponential family distribution, if it satisfies

$$f_\theta(x) = h(x)g(\theta) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i T_i(x) \right\}$$

where $T_i(x)$, $h(x)$, and $g(\theta)$ are known functions.

Remarks:

- The data X and the parameter interacts *through $T_i(x)$ only*. $h(x)$ is a function about the **data** only, and $g(\theta)$ is a function about the **parameter** only.
- $g(\theta)$ is to normalize the density function, so that the integration is 1.
- The part θ_i can be generalized to be $\eta(\theta) = (\eta_1(\theta), \eta_2(\theta), \dots, \eta_d(\theta))$, where $\eta(\theta)$ is a one-to-one mapping.

- Normal dist.

$$f_{\mu,\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2\sigma^2}\right\}.$$

Define the sufficient stat as $T_1(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, $T_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and define $\theta_1 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{2\mu}{2\sigma^2}$. Then the density function can be rewritten as

$$f_{\mu,\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}} \exp\{\theta_1 T_1(X) + \theta_2 T_2(X)\},$$

which belongs to the exponential family

- Bernoulli dist.

$$\begin{aligned} f_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)} \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log p + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) \log(1-p)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \frac{p}{1-p} + n \log(1-p)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Define $\theta = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$, and $T(X) = \sum x_i$. The Bernoulli dist. also belongs to the exponential family.

- Normal dist.

$$f_{\mu,\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{2\sigma^2}\right\}.$$

Define the sufficient stat as $T_1(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$, $T_2(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, and define $\theta_1 = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}$, $\theta_2 = \frac{2\mu}{2\sigma^2}$. Then the density function can be rewritten as

$$f_{\mu,\sigma}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}} \exp\{\theta_1 T_1(X) + \theta_2 T_2(X)\},$$

which belongs to the exponential family

- Bernoulli dist.

$$\begin{aligned} f_p(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= p^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-p)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i)} \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log p + \sum_{i=1}^n (1-x_i) \log(1-p)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \frac{p}{1-p} + n \log(1-p)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Define $\theta = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$, and $T(X) = \sum x_i$. The Bernoulli dist. also belongs to the exponential family.

- Many distributions belong to the exponential family, say, normal, exponential, gamma, chi-squared, beta, Bernoulli, Poisson, Wishart, geometric, etc.
- There are some exceptions, such as uniform dist.
- If a distribution belongs to the exponential family, it is easy to figure out the sufficient statistics ($T_i(X)$)
- On the other hand, if we can find a finite set of sufficient statistics, then very possibly it belongs to the exponential family.

Fisher-Pitman-Koopman-Darmois Theorem

Let $T = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_d)$ be a finite set of sufficient statistics for a model $p_\theta(x)$ with support that does not depend on θ . Then, $p_\theta(x)$ must either be an exponential family distribution, or a uniform distribution.

- We may also define a model to be with the form $\exp\{\sum_{i=1}^d \theta_i T_i(X)\}$, so that the statistic of interest, $T_i(X)$, would be considered in the model

Recall the Random graph model with parameter p ,

$$L(p) = p^{|E|}(1-p)^{\binom{|V|}{2}-|E|} = \exp\left\{|E| \log \frac{p}{1-p} + \binom{|V|}{2} \log(1-p)\right\}$$

- The distribution belongs to exponential family
- The sufficient statistic is $|E|$, number of edges
- $\theta = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$, which projects the interval $(0, 1)$ to \mathcal{R}
- Since we already assumed $|V|$ is given, so the part $\exp\{\binom{|V|}{2} \log(1-p)\}$ does not depend on data, regarded as $h(p)$
- For this model, the sufficient statistic of interest is *the number of edges*

For the random graph model, the degree distribution for any node is the same. Allow degree heterogeneity, we assume the model follows:

$$P(A_{ij} = 0) = c_{ij}, \quad P(A_{ij} = 1) = c_{ij}e^{a_i}.$$

Recall that $P(A_{ij} = 0) + P(A_{ij} = 1) = 1$, so

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{a_i}}, \quad P(A_{ij} = 1) = \frac{e^{a_i}}{1 + e^{a_i}}.$$

Define the logit function as $\text{logit}(p) = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$, then

$$\text{logit}P(A_{ij} = 1) = \log \frac{P(A_{ij} = 1)}{1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)} = \log \frac{c_{ij}e^{a_i}}{c_{ij}} = a_i, \quad i \in V$$

- In this model, we allow the edge connection probability p differs according to the node it starts with
- It targets on the directed graph.

The likelihood function for the above model is

$$L(a) = \prod_{i,j} P(A_{ij} = 1)^{A_{ij}} (1 - P(A_{ij} = 1))^{1-A_{ij}}.$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} l(a) = \log L(a) &= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \log P(A_{ij} = 1) + (1 - A_{ij}) \log(1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} \log \frac{P(A_{ij} = 1)}{1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)} + \log(1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} a_i + \log(1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)) \\ &= \sum_i A_{i+} a_i + \sum_{i,j} \log(1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{i+} = \sum_j A_{ij}$, and the second part $\sum_{i,j} \log(1 - P(A_{ij} = 1))$ does not depend on the data

- The model still belongs to the exponential family
- The sufficient statistic is A_{i+} , $i \in V$, i.e., it is the out-degree for each node
- Take the partial derivative of the log-likelihood function and let it equal to 0. The solutions suggests that

$$\hat{P}(A_{ij} = 1) = \frac{e^{\hat{a}_i}}{1 + e^{\hat{a}_i}} = \frac{A_{i+}}{n - 1},$$

which is the standardized out-degree of node i

- Conclusion: it models the out-degree for each node by the parameter a_i . The density function can be written as a function of the out-degree. The sufficient statistic is the out-degree of each node i . The MLE can be represented by the sufficient statistics.

- If we are interested in other graphical structure, such as reciprocal edges ($(i, j) \in E$ and $(j, i) \in E$), complete structures (say, triangles), we can decide a distribution with sufficient statistics as the number of these structures.
- With the sufficient statistics, we may decide an exponential family distribution on the graph.

Exponential Random Graph Model (ERGM)

Exponential-family Random Graph Models (ERGMs) are exponential families over graphs,

$$P_{\theta}(G) = h(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^d T_i(G) \theta_i,$$

where $T_i(G)$ are functions of the graph/adjacency matrix.

To create an ERGM of interest, the following procedure works:

- Pick d (distinct) functions of the graph; they might be chosen through appeals to theory, experience, guesswork, tradition, referee pressure, trial and error, etc.
- Build the model based on these functions.

Examples:

- Random graph model: the function is the number of all the edges
- Model we just discussed: $|V|$ functions in total, each is the out-degree for one node
- Block model: The number of nodes in each community, n_r , and the number of edges between communities, e_{rs} , for $1 \leq r, s \leq K$.
- Not all the models are ERGMs!

Consider the model as

$$P_{\theta}(G) = g(G)h(\theta) \sum_{i=1}^d T_i(G)\theta_i,$$

what is the probability for $(i, j) \in E$?

Solution. Let A_{+ij} denotes the adjacency matrix with $A_{ij} = 1$, and A_{-ij} denotes the adjacency matrix with $A_{ij} = 0$. We have two sets of statistics, $T(A_{+ij})$ and $T(A_{-ij})$.

According to the definition of ERGM,

$$P_{\theta}(A_{+ij}) = e^{T(A_{+ij})\theta} h(\theta) \quad P_{\theta}(A_{-ij}) = e^{T(A_{-ij})\theta} h(\theta)$$

So, given all the other edges,

$$P((i, j) \in E | \text{the other edges}) = \frac{P_{\theta}(A_{+ij})}{P_{\theta}(A_{-ij})} = e^{(T(A_{+ij}) - T(A_{-ij}))\theta}.$$

Therefore, the edge prob. for (i, j) is concluded as a logistic regression problem:

$$\log \frac{P(A_{ij} = 1)}{1 - P(A_{ij} = 1)} = (T(A_{+ij}) - T(A_{-ij}))\theta.$$

$G = (V, E)$ with adjacency matrix A follows ERGM with joint density

$$P_{\theta}(A) = e^{T(A)\theta} h(\theta) = e^{\sum_i T_i(A)\theta_i} h(\theta) = e^{\sum_i T_i(A)\theta_i} / Z(\theta),$$

where $Z(\theta) = \sum_x \exp\{\sum_i T_i(x)\theta_i\}$ since it is the normalizing function.

Take the partial derivative of $Z(\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} &= \sum_x \exp\left\{\sum_j T_j(x)\theta_j\right\} T_i(x) \\ &= \sum_x T_i(x) \frac{\exp\{\sum_j T_j(x)\theta_j\}}{Z(\theta)} \times Z(\theta) \\ &= \sum_x T_i(x) Z(\theta) p_{\theta}(x) = Z(\theta) \sum_x T_i(x) p_{\theta}(x) = Z(\theta) E_{\theta}[T_i]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the sufficient statistics T_i , the expectation is

$$E_{\theta}[T_i] = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} Z(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log Z(\theta)$$

$G = (V, E)$ with adjacency matrix A follows ERGM with joint density

$$P_{\theta}(A) = e^{T(A)\theta} h(\theta) = e^{\sum_i T_i(A)\theta_i} h(\theta) = e^{\sum_i T_i(A)\theta_i} / Z(\theta),$$

where $Z(\theta) = \sum_x \exp\{\sum_i T_i(x)\theta_i\}$ since it is the normalizing function.

Take the partial derivative of $Z(\theta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} &= \sum_x \exp\{\sum_j T_j(x)\theta_j\} T_i(x) \\ &= \sum_x T_i(x) \frac{\exp\{\sum_j T_j(x)\theta_j\}}{Z(\theta)} \times Z(\theta) \\ &= \sum_x T_i(x) Z(\theta) p_{\theta}(x) = Z(\theta) \sum_x T_i(x) p_{\theta}(x) = Z(\theta) E_{\theta}[T_i]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the sufficient statistics T_i , the expectation is

$$E_{\theta}[T_i] = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} Z(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log Z(\theta)$$

Recall that the likelihood function is the density function,

$$L(\theta) = P_{\theta}(A) = e^{T(A)\theta} / Z(\theta).$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = T(A)\theta - \log Z(\theta).$$

Take the derivative of it and let it equal to 0,

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = T_i(A) - \frac{\partial \log Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = 0,$$

and the MLE satisfies

$$T_i(A) = \frac{\partial \log Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = E_{\hat{\theta}}[T_i]$$

- With MLE, the expectation of the sufficient stat. equals to the observed sufficient stat.

Recall that the likelihood function is the density function,

$$L(\theta) = P_{\theta}(A) = e^{T(A)\theta} / Z(\theta).$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$l(\theta) = T(A)\theta - \log Z(\theta).$$

Take the derivative of it and let it equal to 0,

$$\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = T_i(A) - \frac{\partial \log Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = 0,$$

and the MLE satisfies

$$T_i(A) = \frac{\partial \log Z(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_{\theta=\hat{\theta}} = E_{\hat{\theta}}[T_i]$$

- With MLE, *the expectation of the sufficient stat. equals to the observed sufficient stat.*

- Random graph model: The sufficient statistic is $|E|$, and the parameter is $\theta = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$.

Given θ , $p = \frac{e^\theta}{1+e^\theta}$, and the expectation of $|E|$ is $\binom{|V|}{2} \times p = \binom{|V|}{2} \times \frac{e^\theta}{1+e^\theta}$. Therefore, MLE satisfies

$$|E| = \binom{|V|}{2} \times \frac{e^{\hat{\theta}}}{1+e^{\hat{\theta}}} \iff \hat{p} = \frac{e^{\hat{\theta}}}{1+e^{\hat{\theta}}} = \frac{|E|}{\binom{|V|}{2}}$$

- Block model. The sufficient stat. are e_{rs} . The expectation of e_{rs} for $r \neq s$ is $n_r n_s b_{rs}$, where the parameter $\theta_{rs} = \log \frac{b_{rs}}{1-b_{rs}}$. Therefore, MLE satisfies

$$e_{rs} = n_r n_s \hat{b}_{rs} \iff \hat{b}_{rs} = \frac{e^{\hat{\theta}_{rs}}}{1+e^{\hat{\theta}_{rs}}} = \frac{e_{rs}}{n_r n_s}$$

Remark 1. Since $T_i(A)$ can be calculated from the graph, the conclusion builds the equations for MLE

Remark 2. Yet, $E_\theta[T_i]$ may be hard to calculate

Question. Consider the politics blog dataset. Whether there is a link from A to B depends on the number of edges (base parameter), popularity of B (whether other blogs refer to it or not), the expansiveness of A (whether A refers to other blogs), and the probability of reciprocal edges if there is a link from B to A . Build an ERGM for this data set, which includes these information.

Solution. Let A denote the adjacency matrix. Mathematically, we represent the information with some statistics

$$A_{++}, \quad A_{i+}, \quad A_{+i}, \quad \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}.$$

Therefore, we build an ERGM with all these stats are sufficient stats. The density function would be

$$P_{\theta}(A) = \exp\{A_{++}\theta_0 + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\theta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\theta_j^{(2)} + \theta_n \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\} / Z(\theta),$$

where

$$Z(\theta) = \sum_A \exp\{A_{++}\theta_0 + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\theta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\theta_j^{(2)} + \theta_n \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\}$$

Question. Consider the politics blog dataset. Whether there is a link from A to B depends on the number of edges (base parameter), popularity of B (whether other blogs refer to it or not), the expansiveness of A (whether A refers to other blogs), and the probability of reciprocal edges if there is a link from B to A . Build an ERGM for this data set, which includes these information.

Solution. Let A denote the adjacency matrix. Mathematically, we represent the information with some statistics

$$A_{++}, \quad A_{i+}, \quad A_{+i}, \quad \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}.$$

Therefore, we build an ERGM with all these stats are sufficient stats. The density function would be

$$P_{\theta}(A) = \exp\{A_{++}\theta_0 + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\theta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\theta_j^{(2)} + \theta_n \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\} / Z(\theta),$$

where

$$Z(\theta) = \sum_A \exp\{A_{++}\theta_0 + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\theta_i^{(1)} + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\theta_j^{(2)} + \theta_n \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\}$$

The notation is confusing here. To avoid misunderstanding, let

$$\theta = \theta_0, \quad \alpha_i = \theta_i^{(1)}, \quad \beta_j = \theta_j^{(2)}, \quad \rho = \theta_n.$$

So the density function is

$$P_\theta(A) = \exp\{A_{++}\theta + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\alpha_i + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}A_{ji}\} / Z(\theta),$$

where $Z(\theta) = \sum_A \exp\{A_{++}\theta + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\alpha_i + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}A_{ji}\}$. Note that Z is hard to calculate.

Now we consider the prob. for edges. Since Z is hard to calculate, we cannot calculate it directly. Given the probability of the other edges, we consider the following conditions:

$P_{ij}(0,0)$: probability of no edge between nodes i and j

$P_{ij}(1,0)$: probability of existence of $i \rightarrow j$ but absence of $j \rightarrow i$

$P_{ij}(0,1)$: probability of existence of $j \rightarrow i$ but absence of $i \rightarrow j$

$P_{ij}(1,1)$: probability of existence of both $i \rightarrow i$ and $j \rightarrow i$

The notation is confusing here. To avoid misunderstanding, let

$$\theta = \theta_0, \quad \alpha_i = \theta_i^{(1)}, \quad \beta_j = \theta_j^{(2)}, \quad \rho = \theta_n.$$

So the density function is

$$P_\theta(A) = \exp\{A_{++}\theta + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\alpha_i + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}A_{ji}\} / Z(\theta),$$

where $Z(\theta) = \sum_A \exp\{A_{++}\theta + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+}\alpha_i + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j}\beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij}A_{ji}\}$. Note that Z is hard to calculate.

Now we consider the prob. for edges. Since Z is hard to calculate, we cannot calculate it directly. Given the probability of the other edges, we consider the following conditions:

$P_{ij}(0,0)$: probability of no edge between nodes i and j

$P_{ij}(1,0)$: probability of existence of $i \rightarrow j$ but absence of $j \rightarrow i$

$P_{ij}(0,1)$: probability of existence of $j \rightarrow i$ but absence of $i \rightarrow j$

$P_{ij}(1,1)$: probability of existence of both $i \rightarrow i$ and $j \rightarrow i$

Compared to the case $P_{ij}(0,0)$, note that $P_{ij}(1,0)$ means the number of edges A_{++} increases by 1, A_{i+} increases by 1, and A_{+j} increases by 1. Therefore,

$$P_{\theta}(A \text{ with } i \rightarrow j) = \exp\{(A_{++} + 1)\theta + \sum_{k \neq i} A_{i+} \alpha_i + (A_{i+} + 1)\alpha_i \\ + \sum_{j \neq i} A_{+j} \beta_j + (A_{+j} + 1)\beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\} / Z(\theta)$$

$$P_{\theta}(A \text{ without } (i,j) \text{ or } (j,i)) = \exp\{A_{++}\theta + \sum_{i \in V} A_{i+} \alpha_i + \sum_{j \in V} A_{+j} \beta_j + \rho \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} A_{ji}\} / Z$$

$$\implies \frac{P_{\theta}(A \text{ with } i \rightarrow j)}{P_{\theta}(A \text{ without } (i,j) \text{ or } (j,i))} = \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j\}$$

If we say the prob. for $P_{ij}(0,0) = c_{ij}$, then

$$P_{ij}(1,0) = c_{ij} \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j\}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(0, 1) &= c_{ij} \exp\{\theta + \alpha_j + \beta_i\}, \\P_{ij}(1, 1) &= c_{ij} \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha_j + \beta_i + \rho\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{ij}(0, 0) + P_{ij}(1, 0) + P_{ij}(0, 1) + P_{ij}(1, 1) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}c_{ij} &= 1/[1 + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j\} + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_j + \beta_i\} \\&\quad + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha_j + \beta_i + \rho\}].\end{aligned}$$

The density can be written as

$$P_{\theta}(A_{ij}, A_{ji}) = \frac{e^{\mu_{ij}A_{ij} + \mu_{ji}A_{ji} + \rho A_{ij}A_{ji}}}{1 + e^{\mu_{ij}} + e^{\mu_{ji}} + e^{\mu_{ij} + \mu_{ji} + \rho}},$$

where $\mu_{ij} = \theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j$.

- The above model is called p_1 model, which is the origin of ERGM
- ERGM is also called p^* model

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}P_{ij}(0, 1) &= c_{ij} \exp\{\theta + \alpha_j + \beta_i\}, \\P_{ij}(1, 1) &= c_{ij} \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha_j + \beta_i + \rho\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $P_{ij}(0, 0) + P_{ij}(1, 0) + P_{ij}(0, 1) + P_{ij}(1, 1) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}c_{ij} &= 1/[1 + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j\} + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_j + \beta_i\} \\&\quad + \exp\{\theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \alpha_j + \beta_i + \rho\}].\end{aligned}$$

The density can be written as

$$P_{\theta}(A_{ij}, A_{ji}) = \frac{e^{\mu_{ij}A_{ij} + \mu_{ji}A_{ji} + \rho A_{ij}A_{ji}}}{1 + e^{\mu_{ij}} + e^{\mu_{ji}} + e^{\mu_{ij} + \mu_{ji} + \rho}},$$

where $\mu_{ij} = \theta + \alpha_i + \beta_j$.

- The above model is called p_1 model, which is the origin of ERGM
- ERGM is also called p^* model

For p_1 model, the expectation of sufficient stat is hard to calculate, since it is edges are dependent. Not to mention the cases when we consider more complicated structures (k -cliques, k -stars, ect.)

To solve it, here are some alternative methods:

- Stochastic Approximation (short introduction)
- Pseudo MLE
- MCMC

If we draw many many graphs with that distribution under $\hat{\theta}$, then the average of the sufficient stats from these graphs are close to the expectation. Relate that to $T(A)$ from data, and update the estimate.

- Start with a guess $\hat{\theta}^{(0)}$
- Generate many graphs from $\hat{\theta}^{(0)}$
- Approximate $E_{\hat{\theta}}[T]$ by sample averages
- Adjust $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$ to $\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)}$ to bring $E_{\hat{\theta}}[T]$ closer to $T(x)$
- Repeat the procedure to get better and better estimation, until it converges

In the approximation, we need to generate the

- Start with an initial graph configuration $A^{(0)}$
- Pick an edge (i, j) at random
- Flip the edge with probability

$$\frac{p_{\theta}(A_{+ij}^{(0)})}{p_{\theta}(A_{-ij}^{(0)})},$$

which does not involve $Z(\theta)$.

- Repeat the procedure a few times, and the result graph is a graph follows the distribution.

This is a Gibbs sampling procedure.