

# ST5201: Basic Statistical Theory

## Chapter 1-9: Review

CHOI Yunjin  
stachoiy@nus.edu.sg

Department of Statistics and Applied Probability  
National University of Singapore (NUS)

Thursday 9<sup>th</sup> November, 2017

- Announcement
- Some information about final
- Review

- Assignment 4 released.
  - Due on 14th of November by 9 pm

- Does it cover the things before midterm?
  - Yes. Chapter 1 to 9 are all subject to the final exam.
- Will the tables be provided?
  - Yes.
- How can I review my midterm paper?
  - You can email me ([stachoiy@nus.edu.sg](mailto:stachoiy@nus.edu.sg)) to make an appointment.  
The last day you can review your paper is 15th of November.

- Sample Space
  - The set that contain all the possible outcomes
  - Can be finite or infinite
- Probability Measure
  - $P(\Omega) = 1$
  - $0 \leq P(A) \leq 1$
  - $P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i)$ ,  $\{A_i\}$  are disjoint
- Apply the properties of probability measure to random variables:
  - For discrete r.v.'s, the *summation* of PMF over all the possible values is 1.
  - For cont. r.v.'s, the *integral* of PDF over the support is 1.
  - For discrete r.v.'s,  $0 \leq P(X = x_i) \leq 1$  for any  $x_i$
  - For cont. r.v.'s,  $f(x) \geq 0$  for any  $x \Leftarrow f(x)$  can be larger than 1.
  - $P(X \in A)$  can be calculated by summation (for discrete r.v.) or integral (for cont. r.v.)

- Sample Spaces With Equally-likely Outcomes
  - Identify the cardinality of the sample space  $n$
  - Identify the cardinality of event of interest  $m$
  - Probability:  $m/n$
- Generally used counting methods:
  - Sampling with replacement:  $n^r$  permutations
  - Sampling without replacement:  ${}_nP_r$  permutations
  - Sampling without replacement:  ${}_nC_r$  combinations
- Conditional Probability
  - $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}$
  - For discrete r.v.'s,  $p_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{P(X=x, Y=y)}{P(Y=y)}$
  - For cont. r.v.'s,  $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \frac{f(x,y)}{f_Y(y)}$

## ■ Multiplication Law

- Events:  $P(A \cap B) = P(B)P(A|B) = P(A)P(B|A)$
- Discrete r.v.'s:  $p_{X,Y}(x,y) = p_{X|Y}(x|y)p_Y(y) = p_{Y|X}(y|x)p_X(x)$
- Cont. r.v.'s:  $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_Y(y) = f_{Y|X}(y|x)f_X(x)$

## ■ Law of Total Probability

- Events:  $P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(B_i)P(A|B_i)$ , where  $B_i$  is a division of  $\Omega$
- Discrete r.v.'s:  $p_X(x) = \sum_y p_{X|Y}(x|y)p_Y(y)$
- Cont. r.v.'s:  $f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_Y(y)dy$

## ■ Bayes Rule

- Events:  $P(B_j|A) = \frac{P(B_j)P(A|B_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n P(B_i)P(A|B_i)}$ , where  $B_i$  is a division of  $\Omega$
- r.v.'s: not referred

## ■ Independence

- Events:  $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$
- r.v.'s: will be referred later

- Random Variable: a function from *Sample Space* to *Real Numbers*
  - A function of a random variable is also a random variable
  - Example:  $X|Y = y$  is a r.v.;  $E(X|Y)$  is a r.v.
  - However,  $E(X|Y = y)$  is a constant
- Discrete r.v.'s: PMF/CDF/MGF
  - Bernoulli r.v.: 2 outcomes, parameter  $p$ , mean  $p$ , variance  $pq$
  - Binomial r.v.:  $n + 1$  outcomes, parameters  $n$  and  $p$ , mean  $np$ , variance  $npq$ ; can be viewed as summation of  $n$  Bernoulli r.v.'s
  - Geometric r.v.:  $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , parameter  $p$ , mean  $1/p$ , variance  $(1 - p)/p^2$ ; number of trials until first success
  - Negative Binomial r.v.:  $\Omega = \{r, r + 1, r + 2, \dots\}$ , parameter  $r, p$
  - Hypergeometric r.v.
  - Poisson r.v.:  $\Omega = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ , parameter  $\lambda$ , mean  $\lambda$ , variance  $\lambda$ ; related to Poisson Process
  - For 2 indept Poisson r.v.'s.,  $X + Y \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_x + \lambda_y)$



- Continuous r.v.'s
  - Characterization: PDF/CDF/MGF
  - Difference between PDF and PMF: 1. To calculate the probability of an event, we take integral of PDF and summation of PMF; 2. PDF  $f(x)$  means  $P(X \in (x, x + \Delta)) \approx f(x)\Delta$ , and PMF  $p(x)$  means  $P(X = x) = p(x)$ ; 3. Hence,  $f(x)$  can be larger than 1, but  $p(x)$  cannot
- Examples:
  - Uniform r.v.: parameter  $a$  and  $b$ , mean  $(a + b)/2$ , variance  $(b - a)^2/12$
  - Exponential r.v.: parameter  $\lambda$ , mean  $1/\lambda$ , variance  $1/\lambda^2$
  - Gamma r.v.
  - Beta r.v.
  - Normal r.v.: parameter  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , mean  $\mu$ , variance  $\sigma^2$  Use Z-table to check probabilities and quantiles
- Functions of a r.v., where  $Y = g(X)$ 
  - Find CDF of  $Y$  with  $F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(g(X) \leq y)$ , which is an event about  $X$ ; then figure out the PDF by derivation
  - Change-of-Variable Technique

- Joint dist of 2 discrete r.v.'s
  - Joint PMF:  $p(x, y) = P(X = x, Y = y)$
  - Joint Probability for any set  $C$ :  $P((X, Y) \in C) = \sum_{(x, y) \in C} p(x, y)$
  - Marginal pmf:  $p_X(x) = P(X = x) = \sum_y p(x, y)$
- Joint dist. of 2 cont. r.v.'s
  - Joint PDF: integrable function  $f(x, y)$ ; integration over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is 1
  - Joint Probability for any set  $C$ :  
$$P((X, Y) \in C) = \int_C \int f(x, y) dx dy$$
  - Marginal pdf:  $f_X(x) = \int_y f(x, y)$
  - Generalization to more than 2 r.v.'s
- Difficulty here  $P((X, Y) \in C)$ :
  - Figure out the region to integrate
  - According to the region, figure out the limits for  $x$  and  $y$
  - Integration
  - Example: Let  $(X, Y)$  be uniformly distributed over a region  $C$  (figure representation), what is  $f_{X,Y}$ ?

## ■ Conditional Dist.

- Given  $Y = y$ ,  $X|Y = y$  is a new r.v.
- $X|Y = y$  has its own pdf/pmf, we want to figure that out
- $p_{X|Y}(x|y) = p_{X,Y}(x,y)/p_Y(y)$ ,  $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_{X,Y}(x,y)/f_Y(y)$

## ■ Independence

- $F(x,y) = F(x)F(y)$  for any  $x$  and  $y$ , or  
 $f(x,y) = f(x)f(y)/p(x,y) = p(x)p(y)$ .
- Shortcut to show independence: If  $f(x,y)$  can be written as the product of a function about  $x$  and a function about  $y$ , i.e.  
 $f(x,y) = g(x)h(y)$  for any function  $g$  and  $h$ , then  $X$  and  $Y$  are indept.
- When  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent,  $h(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are also independent
- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are indept, then  $X|Y = y$  is the same with  $X \Rightarrow E(X|Y) = E(X)$ .

When  $X$  and  $Y$  are indept., then  $h(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are also indept, as long as  $h(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  are well-defined functions

- $h(x) = x^2$ ,  $h(x) = e^x$ ,  $g(y) = |y|$ ,  $g(y) = 1/(y^2 + 1)$
- It is possible that  $h(\cdot)$  and  $g(\cdot)$  are not well defined, say,  $h(x) = 1/x$  when  $X \sim \text{Ber}(.5)$ ,  $g(y) = \ln(Y)$  when  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ . In this case, take care of the **domain of functions and range of r.v.'s**
- Another example is the inverse function. For example, when  $3X$  and  $2Y + 1$  are independent, then  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, here  $h(x) = x/3$ , and  $g(y) = (y - 1)/2$ .
- However, we **cannot** say that when  $X$  and  $Y^2$  are independent,  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, when  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ ,  $Y \sim N(0, 1)$ . It is impossible to find a function from  $Y^2$  to  $Y$  (cannot define the sign of  $\sqrt{Y^2}$ ). On the other hand, in this example, **if  $Y \sim \text{Binomial}(3, 0.4)$ ,  $\sqrt{Y^2}$  will work, and the independence claim stands.**

## ■ Change-of-Variable Technique

- 1 r.v: Let  $Y = g(X)$ , if  $g(\cdot)$  is differentiable and strictly monotonic, then

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} f_X(g^{-1}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y) \right|, & \text{if } y = g(x) \text{ for some } x \\ 0, & \text{if } y \neq g(x) \text{ for all } x \end{cases}$$

Note: Find the inverse function of  $g(\cdot)$  first, and then take the derivative of this inverse function.

- 2 r.v.'s: Let  $U = g_1(X, Y)$ ,  $V = g_2(X, Y)$ , where  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  have cont. partial derivatives, and there exist  $h_1, h_2$  so that  $X = h_1(U, V)$ ,  $Y = h_2(U, V)$  for all values  $X$  and  $Y$ , then

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \begin{cases} f_{X,Y}(h_1(u, v), h_2(u, v)) |J^{-1}|, & (u, v) \in S^* \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $J = \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix}$ .

Note: The result should contain  $u$  and  $v$  only. Take care of  $S^*$ .

## ■ Convolution

- Summation of 2 indept. r.v.'s
- $f_Z(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(z-y)f_Y(y)dy$
- Later, we introduced MGF to figure out the sum of 2 indept r.v.'s.

## ■ Special case: order statistics

- For  $n$  independent r.v.'s, order them by  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$ .
- PDF:

$$f_{X_{(k)}}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} f(x) F^{k-1}(x) [1-F(x)]^{n-k}$$

- Special case:  $f_{X_{(1)}}(x) = n f(x) [1-F(x)]^{n-1}$
- Special case:  $f_{X_{(n)}}(x) = n f(x) F^{n-1}(x)$

- Definition:  $E(X) = \sum_x xp(x)$ ,  $E(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf(x)dx$
- Intuition: Long-run average
- Properties
  - $E(g(X)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)f_X(x)dx$ ;
  - $E(g(X, Y)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x, y)f_{X,Y}(x, y)dx$ ;
  - For any linear function  $h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ ,  
 $E[h(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)] = h(E(X_1), E(X_2), \dots, E(X_n))$
  - Example:

$$E(aX + bY) = aE(X) + bE(Y),$$

no matter whether  $X$  and  $Y$  are indep. or not.

- Markov's Inequality: **non-negative** r.v.,

$$P(X \geq a) \leq E(X)/a$$

- Motivation: Describe the “spread” of the r.v.
- Definition:  $E[(X - \mu)^2]$ , where  $\mu = E(X)$ ;  $SD(X) = +\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}$
- Properties:
  - $\text{Var}(X) = E(X^2) - [E(X)]^2$
  - If  $Y = a + bX$ , then  $\text{Var}(Y) = b^2\text{Var}(X)$ ,  $SD(Y) = |b|SD(X)$
  - Only when  $X$  and  $Y$  are *independent*,

$$\text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y).$$

$$\text{Otherwise } \text{Var}(X + Y) = \text{Var}(X) + \text{Var}(Y) + 2\text{Cov}(X, Y).$$

- Chebyshev's Inequality: **any** r.v.,

$$P(|X - \mu| \geq a) \leq \text{Var}(X)/a^2$$



## ■ Covariance

- $Cov(X, Y) = E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))] = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$
- positively correlated/negatively correlated/uncorrelated
- Remark: Independence  $\Rightarrow$  Uncorrelated; Uncorrelated  $\nRightarrow$  Independence
- Properties:  $Var(X) = Cov(X, X)$ ,  
 $Cov(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^m b_j Y_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i b_j Cov(X_i, Y_j)$ .

## ■ Correlation coefficient

- $Corr(X, Y) = \frac{Cov(X, Y)}{\sqrt{Var(X)Var(Y)}}$
- Remark:  $-1 \leq Corr(X, Y) \leq 1$ .
- Properties:  $Corr(a + bX, c + dY) = sign(b)sign(d)Corr(X, Y)$

## ■ Conditional Expectation

- $E[X|Y = y] = \sum_x xp_{X|Y}(x|y)$  for discrete r.v.;  
 $E[X|Y = y] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} xf_{X|Y}(x|y)dx$  for cont. r.v.
- Interpretation: Note that  $X|Y = y$  is a new r.v.,  $E(X|Y = y)$  is the expectation on this r.v.

## ■ Law of Total Expectation

- $E[X|Y]$  is a function of  $Y$ . A function of a r.v. is also a r.v..



$$E[E(X|Y)] = E(X)$$

- Random sum:

$$E(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i) = E(E(\sum_{i=1}^N X_i|N)) = E(NE(X_1)) = E(N)E(X_1).$$

## ■ Moment Generating Function

- $M_X(t) = E(e^{tX})$ : a function of  $t$ , not r.v.
- Characterization of a r.v. (similar as CDF/PDF/PMF)
- Calculate moments:

$$E[X^k] = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} M_X(t)|_{t=0}$$

- For **indept.** r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t$

$$M_{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n M_{X_i}(t)$$

- Linear Transformation:  $M_{aX+b}(t) = e^{bt} M_X(at)$ .
- With MGF, find out the summation of two indept Poisson r.v. is still Poisson r.v., the summation of two indept. normal r.v. is still normal r.v.
- MGF for common distributions

	Probability mass function, $p(x)$	Moment generating function, $M(t)$	Mean	Variance
Binomial with parameters $n, p$ ; $0 \leq p \leq 1$	$\binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}$ $x = 0, 1, \dots, n$	$(pe^t + 1 - p)^n$	$np$	$np(1-p)$
Poisson with parameter $\lambda > 0$	$e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}$ $x = 0, 1, 2, \dots$	$\exp\{\lambda(e^t - 1)\}$	$\lambda$	$\lambda$
Geometric with parameter $0 \leq p \leq 1$	$p(1-p)^{x-1}$ $x = 1, 2, \dots$	$\frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t}$	$\frac{1}{p}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$
Negative binomial with parameters $r, p$ ; $0 \leq p \leq 1$	$\binom{n-1}{r-1} p^r (1-p)^{n-r}$ $n = r, r+1, \dots$	$\left[ \frac{pe^t}{1 - (1-p)e^t} \right]^r$	$\frac{r}{p}$	$\frac{r(1-p)}{p^2}$

	Probability density function , $f(x)$	Moment generating function, $M(t)$	Mean	Variance
Uniform over $(a, b)$	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & a < x < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$\frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$
Exponential with parameter $\lambda > 0$	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$	$\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda}$	$\frac{1}{\lambda^2}$
Gamma with parameters $(s, \lambda), \lambda > 0$	$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{s-1}}{\Gamma(s)} & x \geq 0 \\ 0 & x < 0 \end{cases}$	$\left( \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t} \right)^s$	$\frac{s}{\lambda}$	$\frac{s}{\lambda^2}$
Normal with parameters $(\mu, \sigma^2)$	$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \quad -\infty < x < \infty$	$\exp \left\{ \mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2} \right\}$	$\mu$	$\sigma^2$

Suppose  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then

- $E(X) = \mu$ ,  $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$ ,  $\text{SD}(X) = \sigma$
- $M_X(t) = e^{\mu t + \sigma^2 t^2 / 2}$
- If  $Y = a + bX$ , then
  - $Y \sim N(a + b\mu, b^2 \sigma^2)$
  - $M_Y(t) = e^{(a+b\mu)t + b^2 \sigma^2 t^2 / 2}$ .

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent,  $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ ,  $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$ , then

- $X + Y \sim N(\mu_X + \mu_Y, \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_Y^2)$

Suppose  $(X, Y)$  is a bivariate normal vector with parameters  $\mu_X$ ,  $\mu_Y$ ,  $\sigma_X$ ,  $\sigma_Y$ ,  $\rho$ , then

- $X \sim N(\mu_X, \sigma_X^2)$ ,  $Y \sim N(\mu_Y, \sigma_Y^2)$
- $\text{Corr}(X, Y) = \rho$ ,  $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \rho \sigma_X \sigma_Y$
- $X|Y = y \sim N(\mu_X + \rho \sigma_X (y - \mu_Y) / \sigma_Y, (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_X^2)$   
 $Y|X = x \sim N(\mu_Y + \rho \sigma_Y (x - \mu_X) / \sigma_X, (1 - \rho^2) \sigma_Y^2)$
- $E(X|Y) \sim N(\mu_X, \rho^2 \sigma_X^2)$ ,  $E(Y|X) \sim N(\mu_Y, \rho^2 \sigma_Y^2)$

- Three types of convergence: a sequence of r.v.'s
  - Convergence in distribution: point-wise convergence of CDF  
 $F_{X_n}(x) \rightarrow F_X(x)$ , for any  $x$  where  $F_X(x)$  is cont.
  - Convergence in probability: relevant with sample space  
 $P(|X_n - X| \leq \epsilon) \rightarrow 1$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
  - Almost sure convergence: relevant with sample space; point-wise convergence for r.v.'s  $X_n$  (“points” means “outcomes”)  
 $P(X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)) = 1$
  - Property: a.s. convergence  $\Rightarrow$  Convergence in Prob  $\Rightarrow$  Convergence in Dist.

Properties of convergence:

- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$  and  $g(\cdot)$  is a continuous function, then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{P} g(\mu)$
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$  and  $g(\cdot)$  is a continuous function, then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(\mu)$
  
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ , then  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{P} X + Y$
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} Y$ , then  $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{P} XY$
  
- Slutsky's Theorem: If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  in distribution and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} a$ ,  $a$  is a constant, then
  - $Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$
  - $Y_n + X_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$



- Law of Large Number (LLN)
  - Conditions: independent, share  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$
  - Results:  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s./P} \mu$
  - Application: Monte Carlo method for integration
- Central Limit Theorem (CLT)
  - Conditions: i.i.d,  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$ , and mgf exists in a neighborhood of 0
  - Results:  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} Z \Leftrightarrow \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu}{\sqrt{n}\sigma} \xrightarrow{d} Z, Z \sim N(0, 1)$
  - Applications in many fields, especially for unknown distribution.
  - Normal approximations of Poisson distribution

- Sample mean:  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .
  - With LLN,  $\bar{X} \rightarrow E(X)$ ; with CLT, the limiting dist. of sample mean is clear
- Sample variance:  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ 
  - $E(S^2) = \text{Var}(X)$ ; When the variance of  $S^2$  converges to 0 (which usually holds),  $S^2 \rightarrow \text{Var}(X)$
- Sample standard deviation:  $S = \sqrt{S^2}$ 
  - If  $S^2 \rightarrow \text{Var}(X)$ , then  $S \rightarrow SD(X)$ ;  $S$  is biased
  - $\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$
- Delta Method
  - If  $\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$ , and the function  $g$  has nonzero derivative at  $\theta$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2)$$

- Generalization of CLT and asymptotic normality of MLE

## ■ $\chi^2$ -distribution

- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$
- Expectation:  $n$ ; Variance:  $2n$ ; mgf:  $(1 - 2t)^{-n/2}$ ,  $t < 1/2$
- If  $X \sim \chi_n^2$ , indept. with  $Y \sim \chi_m^2$ ,  $X + Y \sim \chi_{n+m}^2$
- If the data is normal distributed,  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$

## ■ $t$ -distribution

- If  $X \sim N(0, 1)$ , indept. with  $Y \sim \chi_n^2$ , then  $\frac{X}{\sqrt{Y/n}} \sim t_n$
- Expectation: 0 when  $n > 1$ ; Variance:  $n/(n-2)$  when  $n > 2$ ; mgf does not exist
- If the data is normal distributed,  $\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S} \sim t_{n-1}$
- When the df  $n \geq 30$ ,  $t_n$  is very close to standard normal distribution

- Use  $\chi^2$ -table and  $t$ -table: Find the df first, and then identify the quantile
- Use  $\chi^2$  distribution and  $t$ -distribution to construct confidence interval when data is normal distributed

$$\mu : \left( \bar{X} - \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1}(\alpha/2), \bar{X} + \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} t_{n-1}(\alpha/2) \right)$$

$$\sigma^2 : \left( \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{n-1}^2(\alpha/2)}, \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\chi_{n-1}^2(1-\alpha/2)} \right)$$

- Parametric model: Estimate the parameter and the whole distribution is known
  - Remark: for any value in the parameter space, there is a corresponding PDF/PMF
  - For example:  $f(x) = \begin{cases} c, & 0 < x, y < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  is not parametric distribution, as  $c$  can be found as a *fixed* value.  
 $f(x) = \begin{cases} 4c, & 0 < x < 1/2 \\ 4(1 - c)/3, & 1/2 < x < 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  is a parametric distribution with parameter space  $(0, 1)$
- Estimators
  - An estimator is a function of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , which is also a r.v.

## ■ Method of Moments:

- For  $K$  unknown parameters, calculate  $K$  lower order moments
- Express the parameters with these moments
- Substitute the moments with sample moments to have the estimator
- Consistent

## ■ Maximum Likelihood Estimates

- Likelihood function:  $L_n(\theta) = f(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n | \theta)$
- MLE: maximizer of  $L_n(\theta)$
- Method 1: figure out the maximizer of  $L_n(\theta)$  directly
- Method 2: Find log-likelihood  $l_n(\theta) = \ln L_n(\theta)$ , calculate the derivative of  $l_n(\theta)$  and solve the equation  $l'_n(\theta) = 0$ . Verify the solution satisfies that  $l_n(\theta)$  achieves the maximum
- Consistent
- Asymptotic Normality: Fisher information  $I(\theta) = -E(l''(\theta))$  under the smoothness condition,

$$\sqrt{nI(\theta)}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

## ■ Assessment of Estimators

- Sampling distribution: distribution of  $\hat{\theta}_n$
- Consistency:  $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$  in probability. Remark: MLE and MM are both consistent
- Unbias:  $E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta$ , for any  $n$
- Variance:  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n)$  is small
- Mean Squared Error:  $\text{MSE}(\hat{\theta}_n) = E(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)^2 = \text{Bias}^2 + \text{Var}$
- Remark: MSE converges to 0  $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_n$  is consistent

## ■ Cramer-Rao Lower Bound

- For any unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ ,

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq 1/(nI(\theta)), \quad \text{any } n.$$

- Efficiency:  $(nI(\theta))^{-1}/\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n)$
- If  $\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 1/(nI(\theta))$  ( $\hat{\theta}_n$  has efficiency 1), then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is *efficient*.

## ■ Confidence Interval

- CI is a *random* interval  $(L, U)$
- $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI for  $\theta$  means that

$$P(\theta \in (L, U)) \geq 1 - \alpha,$$

and  $1 - \alpha$  is called *confidence level*

- A general set-up for (approximate)  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI:

$$(\hat{\theta} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nI(\theta)}}, \hat{\theta} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{nI(\theta)}}),$$

where  $\hat{\theta}$  is MLE,  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is  $\Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)$ ,  $n$  is the sample size,  $I(\theta)$  is Fisher information

- Meaning: if we construct this interval for  $N$  times, about  $(1 - \alpha)N$  of these intervals contain  $\theta$



- **Elements in a hypothesis test:**

- Null and alternative hypotheses,  $H_0$  and  $H_a$
- Test statistic and testing criteria
- Significance level
- $p$  value and interpretation

- We are expecting making some error, since no one knows the truth

type I error = erroneous rejection of  $H_0$  while  $H_0$  is true.

type II error = erroneous retention of  $H_0$  while  $H_1$  is true.

- Significance level  $0 < \alpha < 1$ : the probability of committing a type I error.

## LRT for two simple hypotheses

Suppose that  $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$  and  $H_a : \theta = \theta_1$  are simple hypotheses with  $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \Theta$ . The likelihood-ratio test statistic is defined by

$$R = \frac{\text{lik}(\theta_0)}{\text{lik}(\theta_1)}$$

which is a function of the sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . A LRT with significance level  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a test that has a rejection region of the form  $\{R \leq c\}$  where  $c \geq 0$  is chosen so that  $P(R \leq c | H_0) = \alpha$

## Generalized Likelihood Ratio Test

The LR test statistic for testing  $H_0 : \theta \in \Theta_0$  versus  $H_a : \theta \in \Theta_1 \equiv \Theta_0^c$  (i.e.,  $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 = \Theta$ ) is defined

$$\Lambda = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \text{lik}(\theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \text{lik}(\theta)} = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \text{lik}(\theta)}{\text{lik}(\hat{\theta})} \leq 1$$

which is a function of the sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , where  $\hat{\theta}$  is the mle of  $\theta$ . A generalized LRT with significance level  $0 < \alpha < 1$  is a test that has a rejection region of the form  $\{\Lambda \leq \lambda_0\}$  where  $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq 1$  is chosen so that  $P(\Lambda \leq \lambda_0 | H_0) = \alpha$

## The two-sided $Z$ Test

The LRT with significance level  $\alpha$  for testing

$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  against  $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$  for  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with  $\sigma$  known is a test that has a rejection region given by

$$\left\{ |\bar{X} - \mu_0| \geq Z(\alpha/2) \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

## The two-sided $t$ Test

The LRT with significance level  $\alpha$  for testing

$H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  against  $H_a : \mu \neq \mu_0$  for  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with unknown  $\sigma$  is a test that has a rejection region (red in the below graph) given by

$$\left\{ |\bar{X} - \mu_0| \geq t_{n-1}(\alpha/2) \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} \right\}$$

where  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$