



ActivPal External Presentation #2

Adnan Akbas

Ali Safdari

Dmitrijs Sekijevskis


Mark Boon

Matthew Turkenberg

Colin Werkhoven

Topics

- Introduction
- Research approach
- Results
- Problems we encountered
- Next steps
- Questions






Introduction

- Reason Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek (CBS) came to us
- Research questions:
 - How do we measure the intensity of movement in the ActivPal data
 - Can we use this knowledge to determine if people did their 150 minutes of moderate activity in the week data?
 - Can you recognize the lab activities in the week data?
 - Can you see patterns in the rest of the data that indicate doing sports? What kind of sports?

Research approach





Results


- Progress on dice face issue
- Activity recognition model
- Linear & multivariate regression between MET from lab data and accelerometer data

Dice face – What is dice face


What is dice face?

- The orientation of the Activpal accelerometer itself!






Dice face





[2.]



Activity recognition

- Balancing dataset



Activity recognition - features dataset

- Segmented our activpal dataset in 6.4S
- Feature engineered:
 - Standard deviation for X, Y, Z
 - Mean for X, Y, Z
 - Based on previous research
- Cleaned dataset and balanced dataset

	standard_deviation_x	mean_x	standard_deviation_y	mean_y	standard_deviation_z	mean_z	activity_walking	activity_running	activity_jumping	activity_standing	activity_traplopen	activity_sitten
0	0.316779	-1.036914	0.241070	0.024363	0.415354	0.180017	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	0.471659	-1.059276	0.373105	0.032986	0.562155	0.189236	1	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.472722	-1.036582	0.332441	0.040551	0.586077	0.157862	1	0	0	0	0	0
3	0.486818	-1.026290	0.328380	0.038194	0.545233	0.147817	1	0	0	0	0	0
4	0.516327	-1.040236	0.342754	0.030146	0.539769	0.154424	1	0	0	0	0	0

Activity recognition - selected model

- Random Forest Model
 - Compared to decision tree model it gives best result
- Configurations:
 - number of trees : 20
 - Random seed: 0



Activity recognition results



Validation test result

- Accuracy: 97%
- Precision: 98%
- Recall: 97%


Cross-validation results

- Accuracy: 86% (+/- 17%)
- Precision: 91% (+/- 11%)
- Recall: 86% (+/- 17%)

Regression between MET and accelerometer data - Single linear regression one respondent




$r = 0.8772657125077458$




Regression
between MET and
accelerometer data
- linear regression
all respondents

`r_squared = 0.5060251771104591`



Regression between MET
and accelerometer data -
multivariate
regression all
respondents

`r_squared = 0.5237108907536834`



Problems we encountered



- Accelerometer X, Y, Z axes orientations may have been misinterpreted
- The gyroscope data is only available in data file with a sampling rate of 15 seconds
- How to synchronize the gyroscope data with the raw data (with much lower sampling rate of 50ms)

Next steps

01

Data analysing raw data & dice face data set

02

Improving the activity recognition model

03

Improving the linear regression model between the MET value & magnitude of acceleration



Questions?

