Anton Roth May 26, 2017

Teaching Scenario

Students taking the Physics programme at the Science faculty, Lund University, have a mandatory course on nuclear physics their last semester of the second year. Complementary to the theoretically focused lectures, experimental laboratory exercises comprises a mandatory graded part of the course examination. The spring of 2017 I was supervising one of the course labs, called \(\gamma \)-spectroscopy (http://www.fysik.lu.se/english/education/courses/basic-level/fysc01-course-package/nuclear-physics/laboratory-exercies/). On the lab the students are examined with written reports that are performed in groups of two or three.

An important part of the examination is, what is denoted, data analysis. The data analysis constitutes reading in experimental data, calculate specific properties and perform a statistical analysis on the obtained results. It is most preferably achieved with a programmed script. As opposed to the course in general and large parts of the lab itself, which have a knowledge/understanding learning focus, the data analysis is a skill/competence to be learned. Additionally, the students need to independently acquire the skill/competence while the rest of the course is more teacher supported. At the point in the lab session when the students realised what was about to come, they presented their worries. It is pointed out that they lack programming skills and basic knowledge of statistics. A template example code was provided via the course web page for the purpose of giving the students an extra push to the independent data analysis. In the process of completing the report several students turned to me for detailed descriptions of what code to implement. It resulted in that I was practically giving them the solution right away. I realised something was not right.

Problem formulation: Students show a resistance towards performing the independent data analysis. Consequences are; students have more difficulties reaching the intended lab and course learning outcomes.

Analysis

Motivation is one of the most important factors in student learning. E.g. Guthrie and Wigfield see . The observed resistance is assumed to come of a lack of motivation. The analysis is built upon this and the following all connect to the need of student motivation.

The purpose of learning data analysis is not presented in either of the documents handed out in conjunction to the lab. It is merely conveyed orally at certain occasions during the lab. Also, to my knowledge the general learning outcomes are not familiar to the students (http://kursplaner.lu.se/pdf/kurs/sv/FYSC12). Relevant for the lab are the following:

- Plan, conduct and report experiment. Planera, genomfra och redovisa experiment.
- Assess experimental results. Vrdera experimentella resultat.

Anton Roth May 26, 2017

Independently obtain new knowledge and present them orally and in writing. Sjlvstndigt kunna inhmta nya kunskaper och redovisa dessa i muntligt och skriftligt.

One perspective of the teaching scenario indirectly relates to constructive alignment [Biggs and Tang, 2011] (Biggs & Tang, 2011). In fact the data analysis activities (tasks that are described) are very constructive and directly aligned to the intended learning outcomes of the lab. However, the students are not aware of the alignment, the data analysis flies under the students' radar and its importance is not understood. A consequence is that the data analysis is not meaningful for the student and motivation and engagement drops [Illeris, 2015] and this could explain some of the resistance.

Several students point out that they do not have sufficient knowledge to complete the data analysis. These students can be assumed to be in the zone of proximal development ref... and consider themselves unable to complete the tasks on their own. The situation constitutes a substantial challenge for the student and in combination with small support they drop back ref Davoz, p. 53. During the full-day lab and the course in its whole there is a large focus on theory. Performing the data analysis diverges from the rest of the course in the way that it is a task that is to be completed independently and that it leads up to learning a craft/skill. This presents a swift change in the learning method for the students and hence experience an intrinsic resistance ref.... Motivation is lost.

Proposed improvements:

- 1. Increasing the student awareness of the data analysis early on should be able to clarify its importance, e.g. via the title of the lab, at the lab introductory meeting, specifically in the learning outcomes and as part of the experimental procedure. The process of performing the data analysis and its significance in research can be directly related to personal experience of the supervisor from the academia. The student should hereby better comprehend the meaningfulness of the data analysis.
- 2. Provide some background theory to the data analysis, encourage peer-topeer discussions and clearly express the possibilities to reach out to the supervisor. This could be done either on the introductory lab meeting or in the beginning of the lab. With such an approach the student might not perceive the task unfeasible and instead embrace the challenge.
- 3. Clarify a process which helps the student on the way towards this independent learning. Construct a related example, which is meaningful and can stimulate the independent problem solving.
- 4. Do not provide the example code as this might be interpreted by the student it is not important anyways.

Anton Roth May 26, 2017

References

[Biggs and Tang, 2011] Biggs, J. B. and Tang, C. (2011). *Teaching for quality learning: what the student does.* Maidenhead: Open University Press.

[Illeris, 2015] Illeris, K. (2015). Lärande. 2nd edition, Lund: Studentlitteratur.