

Responsible for a laboratory exercise, named γ -spectroscopy, for second year university students resistance towards independent learning of the craft “Data analysis” was experienced. The students stated their worries during the lab and as the teacher it lead to practically giving the students the solutions. Of course this should not be the case.

Teaching Scenario

Students taking the Physics programme at the Science faculty, Lund University, have a mandatory course on nuclear physics their second year, last semester. Complementary to the theoretically focused lectures, experimental laboratory exercises comprises a mandatory graded part of the course examination. The spring of 2017 I was supervising one of these labs, called γ -spectroscopy. This is a full-day lab which deals with the theory of detection of γ rays before lunch and experimental measurements after lunch which aim to exploit important nuclear physics phenomena. All in all, mixed passive and active learning through the day. The students are examined with written reports that are performed in groups of two or three.

An important part of the work is, what we denote *data analysis*, which constitutes reading in experimental data, calculate specific properties and perform a statistical analysis on the obtained results. To successfully complete the data analysis the students need to independently obtain knowledge and program a code. At the point in the lab session when this is realised by the students, they presented their worries. It is pointed out that they lack programming skills and basic knowledge of statistics. A template example code was provided via the course web page for the purpose of giving the students an extra push to the independent data analysis. In the process of completing the report several students turned to me for detailed descriptions of what code to implement, practically giving them the solution right away. Especially in these cases I realised something was not right.

Problem formulation: Students show a resistance towards performing the independent *data analysis*. Consequences are; students have more difficulties reaching the intended course learning outcomes.

Analysis

Here mention: course plan, lab docs ...

1 Notes

Laboratory exercise and data analysis : Programming and statistics

Should introduce the course, perhaps base it more on course plan. Course: Nuclear Physics and Reactors? It is a mandatory course for the science faculty students in the last semester of their second year. The course covers theoretical

models that describe atomic nuclei. The student is also introduced to the detection of nuclear radiation and the experimental part of the field ref(web:course).

I was supervising a laboratory exercise in the course, called γ -spectroscopy. This element is graded and adds up to the final grade of the student for the course. This is a full day lab which can be split in two parts, before lunch walking through theory of γ rays and radiation detectors and after lunch performing measurements and discussing acquired energy spectra. In the second part different tasks that are to be included in the report is carefully described by the supervisor. The majority of the tasks cover what is denoted data analysis, i.e. reading in experimental data, calculate specific properties and perform a statistical analysis on the obtained results. To successfully complete the data analysis the students need to independently obtain knowledge and program a code. Already during the lab session there was some quarelling from the students. They want very detailed information of how each calculation should be performed. This even though a template code which read in the data and plotted it with Python was provided via the course web page. Some students pointed out that they do not know how to program and/or learned sufficient statistics. In the process of completing the report several students turned to me for detailed descriptions of what code to implement, my feeling was that they were just looking for the answer right away. In the continuation two parts of the course plan were not really conveyed or These are:

- Planera, genomföra och redovisa experiment.
- Vreda experimentella resultat.
- Självständigt kunna inhämta nya kunskaper och redovisa dessa i muntligt och skriftligt.

There are mainly two documents handed out to the students in connection to the lab. The first one comprises a summary of what is to be learned during the laboratory and also presents preparations and a preparatory exercise. The second document presents the lab procedure in detail such as the tasks that should be completed and included in the reports by the students.

Students unclear of what/how to do it? Student wants to be given the code directly...

Course home page: <http://www.fysik.lu.se/english/education/courses/basic-level/fysc01-course-package/nuclear-physics/>

Is the course plan walked through?

Need to expand this part ...

1.1 Possible solutions

Define the aims/objectives of the lab more clearly. Clarify formulas even more.

There is a swift change in the method of learning. Studying theory (students do this in a certain way) and then they need to acquire the craft/skill of performing data analysis, i.e. daq and statistical analysis. There is a resistance from the students here. Rephrase this as a challenge.

Solutions:

- Need better motivation to facilitate change
- Clarify early on: γ -spectroscopy and data analysis
- Provide some background theory to the data analysis as well (on intro-meeting?)
- Strive to center the data analysis in general for the complete course.
- In beta lab the intended learning outcomes are presented in the lab manual.
- Clarify a process which helps the student on the way towards this independent learning. Construct a related example, which is meaningful and can stimulate the independent problem solving.

Pedagogical concepts:

- Constructive alignment - Are the students aware of that to complete the learning outcomes they need the experimental experience and assessment of measurements (Vrdera experimentella resultat) such as independently gather new knowledge and present their findings (this relates to themselves finding ways on how to implement the data analysis code). ILO: specify what is to be learned and HOW. "The alignment is achieved by ensuring that the intended verb in the outcome statement is present in the teaching/learning activity and in the assessment task." Biggs, John B., et al. Teaching for Quality Learning at University : What the Student Does. vol. 4th ed, McGraw-Hill Education, 2011. EBSCOhost. <http://eds.a.ebscohost.com.ludwig.lub.lu.se/eds/ebookviewer/ebook/bmx1YmtfXzQwNTMzM19fQU41?sid=2fe07096-9927-40ef-b738-82a7750c7270&sessionmgr4009&vid=0&format=EB&rid=1>
- Student engagement (meaningfulness) - Several students does not care how to solve and analyse the data. This could largely depend on a lacking student engagement. Students are at the end of second year, motivation is not big (need ref)? Nothing substitute for time on task when it comes to student engagement (i.e. not active learning etc.) (NSSE). Daloz 1999, Challenge vs. support p. 53. Encourage peer-to-peer discussions? Research shows (Zhao, Kuh; 2004) learning communities increases engagement.
- Zone of proximal development. Relate: Prior knowledge, preunderstanding and prerequisites - All lack a statistical background and some even basic programming skills.
- Resistance towards new things : pragmatism, John Dewey.
- Societal discourses - Group dynamics; Give up, cause it's a thing. Need ref...

Ref of fame: Lrande / Knud Illeris.