C++ 11 Smart pointers

Administrative

- Next project soon

- Read the online stuff for this week, especially material on smart pointers

Outline

- 4 kinds of smart pointers

- Benefits of Smart pointers

- Examples

- Move verses copy

- Git projects

4 kinds of smart pointers

- std::auto_ptr ← Deprecated, does not work correctly

- std::unique_ptr ← Can have only1 unique pointer
  to an object (but can transfer ownership
  From unique_ptr to unique_ptr)

- std::shared_ptr ← Can have multiple shared pointers
  to same object

- std::weak_ptr ← Not discussed in this class
  see 'Modern effective C++'
  By Scott Meyers for full explanation

Benefits

- Do not need to worry about deleting
- Or dangling pointers
- Or copies
- Work very well with Standard Library

Example – the risky way

```
//A.h
class A {
  public:
      A();
      ~A();
  private:
      int* i;
      // disable value-copying
      A(const A&);
      A& operator=(const A&);
};
```

```
//A.cpp

A::A():i(new int(0)) { }

A::~A() {
  if(i)
     delete i;
}
```

Quite a bit of boiler plate code

Example – the new <u>and</u> improved way

```
//A.h
class A {
  public:
        A();
  private:
        unique_ptr<int> i;
};
```

```
//A.cpp

        A::A():i(new int(0)) { }
```

Look at that code savings!
Default destructor is fine so do not have to define it.
Copying automatically disabled (unique_ptr is not copyable)
Move defined automatically (unique_ptr is moveable)
Whats move?

Move verses copy

- Pre C++ 11 has 4 functions that you must manage if your object holds dynamic data
  - Constructor, destructor, copy constructor, assignment operator
- C++ 11 adds 2 more
  - Move constructor
  - Move assignment
- These let you move objects instead of copying. A big savings if object is large

Move constructor and move assignment

- Do I have to define these?

- Not for this class!!

- The syntax is complex, the gains are substantial but the reasons are subtle.

- See 'Move Semantics' on course website

Example git projects

- demo_pointers_vectors
  - unique_ptr in a vector
  - No need to delete
- unique_ptr_ex
  - Difference between class A and B
  - Using unique_ptr instead of raw ptr

Summary

- Try to use unique_ptr for your pointer needs
- Much safer
  - Don't have to worry about leaking memory
  - Or dangling pointers
  - Or getting boilerplate code correct
- See