

# C++ Inheritance and Composition Summary

Some content adapted from 'Absolute C++' by Walter Savitch

## Inheritance (Is a)

- Promotes code reuse
- Is elegant
- And delicate (protected exposes innards to derived classes, change base probably break derived)
- Breaks encapsulation (protected again)

## Composition (has a)

- Use member variables instead of deriving from base class
- Delegation code needed
- Much better encapsulation (private verses protected)
- Because of this can change much of member variable classes without causing compilation problems or excessive rewrite

# General Rules

- Prefer composition over inheritance
  - Don't be rigid, know when to use which
    - **Composition** - "Has A"
    - **Inheritance** - "Is A"
- Objects: Do not return a reference or a pointer to internal data structures from any member function. Make a copy if needed. Avoid getters and setters if possible
- Objects: Design public interface to be complete and minimal.
  - **Defensive programming, hide all that you can. All member variables private. Minimal public functions.**
  - **Makes it easy to change implementation.**





**A Leaf**  
**Model as an object**





**A Tree**  
**Model as an object**



A photograph of a forest in autumn. The ground is covered in fallen orange and red leaves. Several trees with dark trunks and vibrant yellow and orange foliage are visible. A calm body of water in the foreground reflects the trees and the colorful canopy above. The overall scene is peaceful and scenic.

# **A Forest Model as an object**



# Base class pointer access

```
//go thru all the trees and apply a season
void Forrest::doSeason(season mySeason){
    for(std::vector<TreeDecid>::iterator it = myTrees.begin(); it != myTrees.end(); ++it) {
        it->liveThruSeason(mySeason);
    }
}
```

- Works fine as written, but what if we wanted to add conifers to the vector?
- Second vector? Works but what if we had Maple, Oak, Dogwood, Beach, Birch etc..) derived from TreeDecid? Lots of vectors
- Does not scale well
- Use the virtual nature of the class structure
- Have one vector with a pointer to base class Tree. Use virtual nature of functions to call most derived implementation



# Vectors holding pointers to dynamic Data

# Problems

- Security holes
  - What if I had a method in forest that removes dead trees (those with health=dead). Get an iterator to dead tree and...
    - `myTrees.erase(iterator);`
  - You just erase the pointer, now have a tree with nothing pointing to it. Memory leak.
  - Good thing all data is private and you returned no pointers or refs to internal data
  - You have control of whether the above happens or not!



# Problems

- FORREST How to grow new trees?
  - Currently added by forest
  - Object Oriented (OO) Should really be created by trees themselves
  - Then added to forest
  - Every design has flaws
- TREE.H What about trying to override a method and getting the name slightly wrong?
  - set~~h~~health(season aSeason) instead of set~~H~~Health(season aSeason)
  - No warnings from compiler, java uses @override, C++ no such thing.
- Abstract base class will solve this (Tree.h)

# Summary

- Inheritance and Composition
- Hide Data and minimal public interface
- Virtual functions – ensure most derived version of function called
- Virtual functions – allow list of base class pointers that point to **variety** of derived objects
- Abstract base classes – force implementation of virtual functions
- Some OO design practice, Employee, Forrest, Liquids, CNU