C++ Classes

The big picture before the details

- Provide a constructor (or the compiler will, and it will be wrong!)
- When your object holds dynamic data (pointers and new memory) you want to ensure deep copies so....
- YOU MUST IMPLEMENT
 - Destructor
 - Copy constructor
 - Assignment operator (one of many operators)
- If you are lazy make the copy and assignment operator private, then they cannot be copied or assigned
- RAII Use the destructor to deallocate your dynamic memory

Outline

- Friend functions verses getters and setters
- Objects in Libraries
- Constructors
- Destructors
- What compiler creates for you
- Dynamic memory and objects
- RAII

Friend Functions

- Sometimes a function may require access to private parts of an object (like non member operator overloads do).
- Declaring the function to be a friend of the class, gives it access to protected and private members of the class without opening up access to anyone else.
- See project 9_getter_setter_friends_are_all_bad

Use case - using getters

```
#ifndef GETTERSETTERFRIEND H
#define GETTERSETTERFRIEND H
class GetterSetterFriend {
private:
   int i:
public:
   GetterSetterFriend(int i);
    virtual ~GetterSetterFriend();
    //a horrid little getter
    int geti();
bool isEqual using getter(GetterSetterFriend &gsf1,
        GetterSetterFriend &gsf2);
#endif /* GETTERSETTERFRIEND H */
```

```
#include "GetterSetterFriend.h"
GetterSetterFriend::GetterSetterFriend(int i):i(i) {
GetterSetterFriend::~GetterSetterFriend() {
    // TODO Auto-generated destructor stub
int GetterSetterFriend::geti(){
    return i;
bool isEqual using getter(GetterSetterFriend &gsf1,
        GetterSetterFriend &gsf2){
    //note getter access (can read only)
   return (gsf1.geti() < gsf2.geti());</pre>
```

isEqual - using Friend Functions, sorta fixes getter and setter

Friend function of a class

- It is not a member function
- Has direct access to private members
- Just as member functions do

Use keyword *friend* in front of function declaration Specified IN class definition

But they're NOT member functions!

Friends - summary

- Break encapsulation (but not as bad as getters)
- In the .h file
 - Friend function declared in class with prefix 'friend'
- In the .cpp file
 - Friend function definition

Objects in Libraries

- See 9_Library_class_demo and 9_Library_class
- Link just like a library full of functions
- Use just like a library full of functions

Constructors (review)

- Default constructor (no arguments)
 - Classname::classname()
- Overloaded constructors (with arguments)
 - Classname::classname(type varName,...)
- Copy constructor
- Assignment operator

Get to these in a bit

Constructors set up the object for use

What to do in constructors?

At a minimum initialize member variables!

Constructors- Member Initialization

- If you do NO initialization
 - For Objects their default constructor is called
 - Primitives (ints, bools, doubles, longs, char etc) NO
 INITIALIZATION AT ALLBest to initialize...

```
class NoMemberInitilization {
private:
    std::string myString;
    int myInt;

public:
    NoMemberInitilization();
    virtual ~NoMemberInitilization();
};
```

You don't make the 'forget to initialize' mistake when you first write object.

Its when you add data members later that you forget to initialize

```
NoMemberInitilization::NoMemberInitilization() {
    //myStrings no argument (default) constructor called set to 0
    //myInt has garbage in it
}
```

Constructors – member initialization

Could initialize in constructor body

```
NoMemberInitilization::NoMemberInitilization() {
    myString = "";
    myInt=0;
}
```

- But, construction of objects proceeds in 2 phases
 - 1. Initialization of Data members
 - 2. Execution of the body of the constructor that was called
- So if you initialize in constructor body, you initialize an object with default constructor then assign in constructor body.
- 2 calls!
- Also what if any members vars are const?

Constructors – member initialization THE CORRECT WAY

- Use initializer list
- Uses copy constructor
- 1 call

```
NoMemberInitilization::NoMemberInitilization():myString(""),myInt(0) {
```

And what if member vars are const?

Then you MUST use initializer lists
See 9_Classes InitializerList.cpp and .h

Destructor (review)

- A function that gets called when an object is destroyed.
- Called when object goes out of scope (whether statically or dynamically allocated)
- Its purpose is to clean up after object
 - dynamically allocated memory that the object has pointers to
 - Close open filestreams
 - Close database connections
 - Close network connections
- Syntax:

```
classname::~classname();
```

```
See 9_library_class - class_destructor
```

Destructor Objects with dynamic memory (review)

If dynamic memory allocated deallocate it.

```
HoldsDynamicData::~HoldsDynamicData() {
    if (ps)
        delete[] ps;
}
```

- Must write yourself if object has dynamically allocated members, or object members that cannot make a copy of themselves.
- Otherwise let compiler handle it

Shallow and Deep Copies

Shallow copy

- Assignment copies only member variable contents over (so only pointer addresses copied, NOT the data pointed to)
- This is how default (compiler generated) assignment and copy constructors work
- Fine if No dynamic memory involved

Deep copy

- Pointers, dynamic memory involved
- Must dereference pointer variables to "get to" data for copying.
- And then copy that data

When your object holds dynamic data

- YOU MUST IMPLEMENT
 - Class destructor
 - Special member function
 - Automatically destroys objects
 - Copy constructor
 - Single argument member function
 - Called automatically when temp copy needed
 - Like when you pass an object to a function by value
 - MUST DO DEEP COPY
 - Assignment operator
 - Must be overloaded as member function
 - MUST DO DEEP COPY

Compiler created Functions

Given this class

```
* defaultClass.cpp...

#include "defaultClass.h" #include "defaultClass::defaultClass(int i) {
    this->i=i;
}

defaultClass::~defaultClass() {
    pul
}
```

```
* defaultClass.h[]
#ifndef DEFAULTCLASS_H_
#define DEFAULTCLASS_H_
class defaultClass {
private:
    int i;
public:
    defaultClass(int i);
    virtual ~defaultClass();
};
#endif /* DEFAULTCLASS_H_ */
```

Why does this work? (see 9_classes)

```
//why does this work
defaultClass d11(1);
defaultClass d22(d11);
//copy constructor
defaultClass d33(2);
d33 = d11;
//assignment operator
```

Where is the copy constructor and assignment operator? I did not write it.

- Compiler did.
- It will invisibly write copy constructor, assignment operator destructor for you and others if needed
- Does 'shallow' copy (variable to variable)
 - 1. Fine if class has no dynamically allocated memory
 - 2. or all member variables know how to make copy of themselves
- What if you have dynamic data, or ignorant variables?
 - Show demo (9_classes project HoldsDynamicData.cpp)
- Need a 'deep' copy (dynamic mem to new dynamic mem)
- Must write these 3 functions if have dynamic member vars
 - Copy constructor
 - Assignment operator
 - Destructor

Copy Constructor Objects with dynamic memory

A special constructor that is used to make a copy of an existing instance

```
//copy constructor
HoldsDynamicData(const HoldsDynamicData& other);
```

- Where is it used?
 - Initializer lists (Constructing a new instance from another)
 - Pass by value to a function
 - Show demo (9_classes holdsdynamicdata.cpp)
- Must write yourself if object has dynamically allocated members, or object members that cannot make a copy of themselves.
- Otherwise let compiler handle it

Assignment Operator Objects with dynamic memory

One of many operators

```
//assignment operator
HoldsDynamicData & operator= (const HoldsDynamicData & other);
```

- Used with =
- Show demo (9_classes holdsdynamicdata.cpp)
- Must write yourself if object has dynamically allocated members, or object members that cannot make a copy of themselves.
- Otherwise let compiler handle it

Yikes! How can I remember all this

- Follow the template in
- 9_copy_and_assign_template

Don't want to implement?

- If you don't want to implement copy constructor or assignment operator (or others as well)
- Why not just not write them?
 - Because compiler will if you dont
- Solution: Mark them as private
- But friend functions, and class methods can still access
- Solution: Do not define them, then they are declared so compiler will not generate them, but if you try to call them anywhere, You get a linker error.

Automatic memory Management

- RAII (Resource Acquisition Is Initialization)
- Object manages dynamic memory allocation
- As well as deletion, (you cant lose)

Summary

- When your object holds dynamic data YOU MUST IMPLEMENT
 - Destructor
 - Copy constructor
 - Assignment operator (one of many operators)