


# Aprendizagem Automática Avançada - Assignment 1 - by Martim Silva 51304 and Alexandre Sobreira 59451

1

a)

For this Neural Network:



Having the weights as:

$$w_{11} = w_{12} = w_{21} = w_{22} = +1; w_{23} = -2; b_1 = -1.5; b_2 = -0.5$$

Also considering the activation function to be a step function (and calling the “O” standing for “output” in the image above as “y”) we have:

$$y = \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

$$y = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^n w_i \cdot x_i\right) \quad (2)$$

And we are working with 2 elements in the input layer in total:  $x_1, x_2$ .

So we apply the above formula (2) to our case without forgetting the biases for each neuron:

$$y = \varphi(w_{21} \cdot x_1 + w_{22} \cdot x_2 + b_2 - w_{23} \cdot \varphi(w_{11} \cdot x_1 + w_{12} \cdot x_2 + b_1))$$

Since all the weights except  $w_{23} = -2$  are equal to  $+1$  and  $b_1 = -1.5; b_2 = -0.5$  we have

$$y = \varphi(x_1 + x_2 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(x_1 + x_2 + b_1))$$

To calculate the part pertaining to neuron 2, we need to take into account the fact that it can also take as input the output of neuron 1 which is why we have the activation function within itself in our calculations.

So if we fix to a constant possible value one of our inputs, for example  $x_1$  to the value 0 we have:

$$y = \varphi(x_2 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(x_2 - 1.5))$$

And if we go about replacing the values of the remaining input with some values ranging from 0 to 2 we can see that:

-For  $x_2 = 0$ :

$$y = \varphi(0 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(0 - 1.5)) = 0$$

-For  $x_2 = 0.5$ :

$$y = \varphi(0.5 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(0.5 - 1.5)) = 0$$

-For  $x_2 = 1$ :

$$y = \varphi(1 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(1 - 1.5)) = 1$$

-For  $x_2 = 1.5$ :


$$y = \varphi(1.5 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(1.5 - 1.5)) = 1$$

-For  $x_2 = 2$ :

$$y = \varphi(2 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(2 - 1.5)) = 0$$

Note: We use the step function mentioned earlier (1) to derive a value from  $\varphi(\dots)$  blocks, if the value inside parenthesis is lesser or equal to 0 it results in 0 and if not it results in 1.

So using these calculated points while having  $x_1 = 0$  our separation lines in a graph will look like this:



Above the black filled-in line and below the red filled-in line are the output values of 0 while below the red filled-in line and above the black filled-in line are the values of 1. The crossing sections are these lines themselves with the black one taking place at 0.5 and the red one at 1.5.

## b)

The inputs and the output can only be 0s or 1s so calculating our “y” for all combinations of this we have:

-For  $x_1 = 0; x_2 = 0$ :

$$y = \varphi(0 + 0 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(0 + 0 - 1.5)) = 0$$

-For  $x_1 = 0; x_2 = 1$ :

$$y = \varphi(0 + 1 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(0 + 1 - 1.5)) = 1$$

-For  $x_1 = 1; x_2 = 0$ :

$$y = \varphi(1 + 0 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(1 + 0 - 1.5)) = 1$$

-For  $x_1 = 1; x_2 = 1$ :

$$y = \varphi(1 + 1 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot \varphi(1 + 1 - 1.5)) = 0$$

The truth table for this NN is that of the “exclusive or” or XOR:

$x_1$	$x_2$	$y$
0	0	0
0	1	1
1	0	1
1	1	0

c)

Considering the activation function to be a sigmoid with  $a = 1$ :

$$y = \varphi(x) = 1/(1 + e^{(-av_j)}) = 1/(1 + e^{-v_j})$$

### Forward Step:

A single iteration of the error backpropagation algorithm where the goal is to find the values for each of the weights and biases in the next iteration starts in the forward step with calculating the induced local fields. Note: In this answer it is only shown the case for the input  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$  with the logic for the remaining combination of possible inputs being analogous to that shown here.

$$w_{11} = w_{12} = w_{21} = w_{22} = +1; w_{23} = -2; b_1 = -1.5; b_2 = -0.5$$

$$x_1 = 0; x_2 = 0; j = 1, 2; n = 1$$

$$v_j(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m (w_{ji}(n) \cdot y_i(n))$$

$$v_1(n) = \sum_{i=0}^m (w_{1i}(n) \cdot y_i(n)) = w_{11} \cdot x_1 + w_{12} \cdot x_2 + b_1 = -1.5$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_2(n) &= \sum_{i=0}^m (w_{2i}(n) \cdot y_i(n)) \\ &= w_{21} \cdot x_1 + w_{22} \cdot x_2 + b_2 + w_{23} \cdot \varphi_1(w_{11} \cdot x_1 + w_{12} \cdot x_2 + b_1) \\ &= 0 + 0 - 0.5 - 2 \cdot 0.182 \\ &= 0.864 \end{aligned}$$

Now to obtain the outputs:

$$y_j(n) = \phi_j(v_j(n))$$

$$y_1(n) = 1/(1 + e^{-(-1.5)}) = 0.182$$

$$y_2(n) = 1/(1 + e^{-(-0.864)}) = 0.297$$

We have just one neuron in the output layer, the one catalogued with a 2 is our output neuron, also to note since  $x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0$  our desired output will be  $d_j(n) = 0$  like it is shown in the NN's truth table.

### Backward step:

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial w_{ji}(n) = - e_j(n) \cdot \phi'_j(v_j(n)) \cdot y_i(n)$$

$$e_j(n) = d_j(n) - y_j(n), \quad y_i(n) = \text{input}, \quad d_j(n) = 0$$

$$\phi'_j(v_j(n)) = a \cdot y_j(n)[1 - y_j(n)], \quad a = 1$$

### Output Layer:

We need to calculate the partial derivatives regarding the weights:

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial w_{23}(n) = - (0 - 0.297) \times (1 \times 0.297 \times [1 - 0.297]) \times 0.182 = - 0.011$$

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial w_{21}(n) = - (0 - 0.297) \times (1 \times 0.297 \times [1 - 0.297]) \times 0 = 0$$

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial w_{22}(n) = - (0 - 0.297) \times (1 \times 0.297 \times [1 - 0.297]) \times 0 = 0$$

Also regarding the bias:

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial b_j(n) = - e_j(n) \cdot \phi'_j(v_j(n)) \cdot 1$$

$$\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial b_2(n) = - (0 - 0.297) \times 0.297 \times 1 = 0.062$$

### Hidden Layer

We need to calculate the local gradient:

$$\delta_j(n) = \phi'_j(v_j(n)) \cdot \sum (\delta_k(n) \cdot w_{kj}(n)), \quad k = 1 \text{ (since we have only 1 output neuron)}$$

$$\delta_k(n) = e(n) \cdot \phi'_k(v_k(n))$$

$$\delta_1(n) = \phi'_1(v_1(n)) \times \delta_2(n) \times w_{23}(n)$$

$$= (1 \times 0.182 \times (1 - 0.182)) \times ((0 - 0.297) \times 0.209) \times (-2)$$

$$= 0.149 \times (-0.065 \times (-2)) = 0.018$$

Also the partial derivative of the hidden layer bias:

$$\Delta b_{ji}(n) = \eta \cdot \delta_j(n) \times 1$$

$$\Delta b_1(n) = 0.1 \times 0.018 \times 1 = 0.0018$$

**Updating weights/bias:**

By considering our learning rate to be  $\eta = 0.1$  we obtain the weights and biases for the next iteration with gradient descent:

$$w_{ji}(n + 1) = w_{ji}(n) + \Delta w_{ji}(n), \quad \Delta w_{ji}(n) = -\eta \cdot (\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial w_{ji}(n)) = \eta \cdot \delta_j(n) \cdot y_i(n)$$

$$b_{ji}(n + 1) = b_{ji}(n) + \Delta b_{ji}(n), \quad \Delta b_{ji}(n) = -\eta \cdot (\partial \varepsilon(n) / \partial b_{ji}(n))$$

**Output Layer:**

$$w_{23}(n + 1) = -2 + (-0.1 \times -0.011) = -1.999$$

$$w_{21}(n + 1) = 1 + (-0.1 \times 0) = 1$$

$$w_{22}(n + 1) = 1 + (-0.1 \times 0) = 1$$

$$b_2(n + 1) = -0.5 + (-0.1 \times 0.062) = -0.5062$$

**Hidden Layer:**

$$w_{11}(n + 1) = 1 + (0.1 \times 0.018 \times 0) = 1$$

$$w_{12}(n + 1) = 1 + (0.1 \times 0.018 \times 0) = 1$$

$$b_1(n + 1) = -1.5 + (-0.1 \times 0.0018) = -1.50018$$