

One Sample & Two independent samples

Source:

Van der Horst, K., Ferrage, A., & Rytz, A. (2014). Involving children in meal preparation. Effects on food intake. *Appetite*, 79, 18–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2014.03.030>

Introduction

Van der Horst et al. (2014) studied the effect of children's involvement in meal preparation on their food and vegetable intake. A between-subject experiment was conducted with 47 children aged 6 to 10 years. In condition 1 (n= 25), children prepared a lunch meal with the assistance of a parent. The parent prepared the meal alone in condition 2 (n = 22).

For this homework, only the caloric intake (total energy in kcal) per meal will be analysed. Random variable Y = caloric intake (kcal) per meal of children in condition 1.

Random variable X = caloric intake (kcal) per meal of children in condition 2

Sample Characteristics and Adjustment Test

The skim function was used for both conditions to obtain some interest statistics and a histogram. Boxplots were also done and are present in the script.

```
mean  sd  p0  p25  p50  p75 p100 hist
431. 106. 250. 369. 429. 478. 635.  
```

Table 1 Statistics and histogram for Condition 1

```
mean  sd  p0  p25  p50  p75 p100 hist
347. 99.5 140. 290. 361. 423. 503.  
```

Table 2 Statistics and histogram for Condition 2

Shapiro-Wilks tests were applied to verify if a gaussian probability distribution adjusts to both populations. For both conditions, the null hypothesis wasn't rejected for an alpha of .5, meaning there is no statistical evidence that both populations do not follow a Gaussian distribution ($W_{\text{cond1}} = .955$, p-value_{cond1} = .319; $W_{\text{cond2}} = .958$, p-value_{cond2} = .451) This conclusion was supported by the analysis of the Q.Q Plot's (present in the script).

T Test for two independent samples

The first question to be answered using this dataset is: Do both conditions differ in the mean value of caloric intake? Given that both samples (conditions) are independent and follow a gaussian distribution, the most appropriate statistical test is the T-Test for two independent samples.

The assumption of variances was verified using the var.test function. The null hypothesis of equal variances was not rejected with an alpha of .5 ($F = 1.1285$, p-value = .7848). Given that both populations are homoscedastic, the test statistic that will be used is presented in Equation 1.

$$T_{\text{obs}} = \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - d}{S_p \sqrt{1/n_1 + 1/n_2}} \underset{\text{sub } H_0}{\sim} t_{(n_1+n_2-2)}$$

Equation 1 Test Statistic for two homoscedastic independent samples T Test

The hypothesis to be tested is $H_0: \mu_{\text{cond2}} - \mu_{\text{cond1}} = 0$ vs $H_1: \mu_{\text{cond2}} - \mu_{\text{cond1}} \neq 0$. For this test, the null hypothesis was rejected for an alpha of .01, which means that **there is statistical evidence that both conditions differ in terms of caloric intake** ($t = -2.8137$, $df = 45$, p-value = .007). A 95% confidence interval for the mean difference was also obtained: [24.042, 145. 158].

T Test for one sample

Given that significant differences between both conditions were observed and that the mean value of calorie intake from condition one is higher than the one from condition two (negative T), another question arises: Is the mean caloric intake value of condition one higher than a value of reference (400kcal)? The hypothesis to be tested is $H_0: \mu_{\text{cond1}} \leq 400$ vs $\mu_{\text{cond1}} > 400$. The appropriate test is the T-Test for one sample, given that the population follows a Gaussian distribution. The test statistic can be seen in Equation 2.

$$T = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{Y} - \mu_0}{S} \cap t_{n-1}$$

Equation 2 Test Statistic for one sample T Test

For this test, the null hypothesis was not rejected for an alpha of .5, which means **there is no statistical evidence that the caloric intake mean value of condition one is higher than the value of reference 400kcal** ($T = 1.485$, $df = 24$, $p\text{-value} = .075$). A 95% confidence interval for the mean value was also obtained: [387.768, 475.031].

T Test for paired samples

Source:

Pasman, W. J., van Baak, M. A., Jeukendrup, A. E., & de Haan, A. (1995). The effect of different dosages of caffeine on endurance performance time. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 16(4), 225–230. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-972996>

Introduction

To examine the effect of different dosages of caffeine (0-5-9-13 mg. kg body weight) on endurance performance, Pasman et al. (1995) observed nine cyclists. Caffeine capsules were administered in random order and double-blind. One hour after capsule ingestion, subjects cycled until exhaustion, and their VO2 max was measured.

For this homework, only two dosages were considered: 0mg(placebo) and 13mg.

Random variable Y = VO2 max value of athletes with 0mg of caffeine.

Random variable X = VO2 max value of athletes with 13mg of caffeine

Sample Characteristics and Adjustment Test

The skim function was used for both conditions to obtain some interest statistics and a histogram. Boxplots were also done and are present in the script.

mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
46.4	12.5	28.3	36.0	45.2	56.6	66.4	

Table 3 Statistics and histogram for Condition No caffeine (Placebo)

mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
58.1	15.1	36.2	46.5	59.3	69.5	79.1	

Table 4 Statistics and histogram for Condition 13 mg of caffeine

Shapiro-Wilks tests were applied to verify if a gaussian probability distribution adjusts to both populations. For both conditions, the null hypothesis wasn't rejected for an alpha of .5, meaning there is no statistical evidence that both populations do not follow a Gaussian distribution ($W_{\text{no_caffeine}} = .965$, $p\text{-value}_{\text{no_caffeine}} = .844$; $W_{13\text{mg}} = .930$, $p\text{-value}_{13\text{mg}} = .482$). The analysis of the Q.Q Plot supported this conclusion (present in the script).

T Test for paired samples

The question to be answered using this dataset is: Does the athletes' endurance (VO2 max) increase when 13mg of caffeine is ingested? Given that the samples are paired and that both follow a gaussian distribution, the appropriate test to answer this question is the T-Test for paired samples with the test statistic present in equation 3

$$T = \frac{\bar{D} - \delta_0}{S_D / \sqrt{n}} \cap t_{(n-1)}$$

Equation 3 Test Statistic for two paired samples. The D is a new random variable corresponding to the difference between X(13mg) and Y(0mg).

The hypothesis to be tested is $H_0: \mu_{13\text{mg}} - \mu_{\text{no_caffeine}} \leq 0$ vs $H_1: \mu_{13\text{mg}} - \mu_{\text{no_caffeine}} > 0$. For this test, the null hypothesis was rejected for an alpha of .05, which means that **there is statistical evidence that the VO2 max increases when athletes ingest 13mg of caffeine compared with 0mg** ($t = 3.2525$, $df = 8$, $p\text{-value} = .0117$). A 95% confidence interval for the mean difference was also obtained: [3.407, 20.01].