


# Going nonparametric:

- Nearest neighbor and kernel regression


Emily Fox & Carlos Guestrin  
Machine Learning Specialization  
University of Washington

# Fit globally vs. fit locally


# Parametric models of $f(x)$




# Parametric models of $f(x)$




# Parametric models of $f(x)$



# Parametric models of $f(x)$



- $f(x)$  is not really a polynomial



# What alternative do we have?

If we:

- Want to allow flexibility in  $f(\mathbf{x})$  having local structure
- Don't want to infer "structural breaks"

What's a simple option we have?


- Assuming we have plenty of data...

# Simplest approach: Nearest neighbor regression

# Fit locally to each data point

Predicted value = “closest”  $y_i$

1 nearest neighbor  
(1-NN)  
regression



# What people do naturally...

Real estate agent assesses value by  
finding sale of most similar house



\$ = ???



\$ = 850k

# 1-NN regression more formally

Dataset of (,\$) pairs:  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)$

Query point:  $\mathbf{x}_q$  \$ ?  
big lime green house

1. Find "closest"  $\mathbf{x}_i$  in dataset


$$x_{NN} \leftarrow \min_i \text{distance}(x_i, x_q)$$

*x<sub>NN</sub> big pink house*


2. Predict

$$\hat{y}_q = y_{NN}$$

*sales price of big pink house*



# Visualizing 1-NN in multiple dimensions



Voronoi tessellation  
(or diagram):

- Divide space into  $N$   regions, each containing 1 datapoint
- Defined such that any  $\mathbf{x}$  in region is “closest” to region’s datapoint

$x_j$  closer to  $x_i$   
than any other  
 $x_j$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Don’t explicitly form!

# Distance metrics: Defining notion of “closest”

In 1D, just Euclidean distance:

$$\text{distance}(x_j, x_q) = |x_j - x_q|$$

In multiple dimensions:

- can define many interesting distance functions
- most straightforwardly, might want to weight different dimensions differently

# Weighting housing inputs

Some inputs are more relevant than others



**# bedrooms**  
**# bathrooms**  
**sq.ft. living**  
sq.ft. lot  
floors  
**year built**  
year renovated  
**waterfront**



# Scaled Euclidean distance

Formally, this is achieved via

distance( $\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_q$ ) =



$$\sqrt{a_1(\mathbf{x}_j[1]-\mathbf{x}_q[1])^2 + \dots + a_d(\mathbf{x}_j[d]-\mathbf{x}_q[d])^2}$$

weight on each input  
(defining relative importance)

Other example distance metrics:

- Mahalanobis, rank-based, correlation-based, cosine similarity, Manhattan, Hamming, ...

# Different distance metrics lead to different predictive surfaces



# 1-NN algorithm

# Performing 1-NN search

- Query house:



- Dataset:



- **Specify:** Distance metric
- **Output:** Most similar house



# 1-NN algorithm



Initialize  $\text{Dist2NN} = \infty$ , =  $\emptyset$

For  $i=1,2,\dots,N$

Compute:  $\delta = \text{distance}(\text{house}_i, \text{query house})$

If  $\delta < \text{Dist2NN}$

set  $i$

set  $\text{Dist2NN} = \delta$

Return most similar house

closest house


query house




closest house  
to query house




# 1-NN in practice



# Sensitive to regions with little data




# Also sensitive to noise in data



# k-Nearest neighbors

# Get more “comps”

More reliable estimate if you base estimate off of a larger set of comparable homes



# k-NN regression more formally



Dataset of (, ) pairs:  $(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)$

Query point:  $\mathbf{x}_q$

1. Find  $k$  closest  $\mathbf{x}_i$  in dataset

$(x_{NN1}, x_{NN2}, \dots, x_{NNk})$  such that for any  $x_i$  not in nearest neighbor set,  
 $distance(x_i, x_q) \geq distance(x_{NNk}, x_q)$

2. Predict

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y}_q &= \frac{1}{k} (y_{NN1} + y_{NN2} + \dots + y_{NNk}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k y_{NNj}\end{aligned}$$

# Performing k-NN search

- Query house:



- Dataset:



- **Specify:** Distance metric
- **Output:** Most similar houses



# k-NN algorithm



sort first **k houses**  
by distance to query house

Initialize **Dist2kNN** = sort( $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ )  $\leftarrow$  list of sorted distances  
= sort(, ..., <sub>1</sub>, <sub>k</sub>)  $\leftarrow$  list of sorted houses

For  $i = k+1, \dots, N$

Compute:  $\delta = \text{distance}(\text{house}_i, \text{query house}_q)$

If  $\delta < \text{Dist2kNN}[k]$

find  $j$  such that  $\delta > \text{Dist2kNN}[j-1]$  but  $\delta < \text{Dist2kNN}[j]$

remove furthest house and shift queue:

$[j+1: \text{house}] = [j: \text{house}]$

$\text{Dist2kNN}[j+1:k] = \text{Dist2kNN}[j:k-1]$

set  $\text{Dist2kNN}[j] = \delta$  and


= <sub>j</sub>

Return **k** most similar houses


closest houses  
to query house



# k-NN in practice



# k-NN in practice



# Issues with discontinuities

Overall predictive accuracy might be okay,  
but...

For example, in housing application:

- If you are a buyer or seller, this matters
- Can be a jump in estimated value of house going just from 2640 sq.ft. to 2641 sq.ft.
- Don't really believe this type of fit

# Weighted k-nearest neighbors


# Weighted k-NN

Weigh more similar houses more than those less similar in list of k-NN 

Predict:

$$\hat{y}_q = \frac{c_{qNN1}y_{NN1} + c_{qNN2}y_{NN2} + c_{qNN3}y_{NN3} + \dots + c_{qNNk}y_{NNk}}{\sum_{j=1}^k c_{qNNj}}$$

weights on NN



# How to define weights?



Want weight  $c_{qNNj}$  to be **small** when  
**distance( $\mathbf{x}_{NNj}, \mathbf{x}_q$ ) large**

and  $c_{qNNj}$  to be **large** when  
**distance( $\mathbf{x}_{NNj}, \mathbf{x}_q$ ) small**


Simple method :

$$c_{qNNj} = \frac{1}{\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_j, \mathbf{x}_q)}$$

# Kernel weights for d=1

Define:  $c_{qNNj} = \text{Kernel}_\lambda(|x_{NNj} - x_q|)$

simple isotropic case




Gaussian kernel:

$$\text{Kernel}_\lambda(|x_i - x_q|) = \exp(-(x_i - x_q)^2 / \lambda)$$

Note: never exactly 0!

# Kernel weights for $d \geq 1$

Define:  $c_{qNNj} = \text{Kernel}_{\lambda}(\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_{NNj}, \mathbf{x}_q))$



# Kernel regression


# Weighted k-NN

Weigh more similar houses more than those less similar in list of k-NN

Predict:

$$\hat{y}_q = \frac{c_{qNN1}y_{NN1} + c_{qNN2}y_{NN2} + c_{qNN3}y_{NN3} + \dots + c_{qNNk}y_{NNk}}{\sum_{j=1}^k c_{qNNj}}$$

weights on NN



# Kernel regression

Nadaraya-Watson  
kernel weighted average


Instead of just weighting NN, weight *all* points

Predict:

weight on each datapoint




$$\hat{y}_q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N c_{qi} y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N c_{qi}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Kernel}_{\lambda}(\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_q)) * y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Kernel}_{\lambda}(\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_q))}$$

# Kernel regression in practice



# Choice of bandwidth $\lambda$

Often, choice of kernel matters  
much less than choice of  $\lambda$



# Choosing $\lambda$ (or $k$ in $k$ -NN)

How to choose? Same story as always...

Cross Validation


# Formalizing the idea of local fits

# Contrasting with global average

A **globally constant fit** weights all points equally

$$\hat{y}_q = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N c y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N c}$$

equal weight on each datapoint





# Contrasting with global average

Kernel regression leads to **locally constant fit**

- slowly add in some points and  
and let others gradually die off


$$\hat{y}_q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Kernel}_\lambda(\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_q)) * y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \text{Kernel}_\lambda(\text{distance}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_q))}$$



# Local linear regression

So far, discussed fitting **constant function locally** at each point

→ “locally weighted averages”

Can instead fit a **line or polynomial locally** at each point

→ “locally weighted linear regression”

# Local regression rules of thumb

- Local linear fit reduces bias at boundaries with minimum increase in variance
- Local quadratic fit doesn't help at boundaries and increases variance, but does help capture curvature in the interior
- With sufficient data, local polynomials of odd degree dominate those of even degree

Recommended default choice:  
**local linear regression**

# Discussion on k-NN and kernel regression

# Nonparametric approaches

k-NN and kernel regression are examples  
of **nonparametric** regression

General goals of nonparametrics:


- Flexibility
- Make few assumptions about  $f(\mathbf{x})$
- Complexity can grow with the number of observations  $N$

Lots of other choices:

- Splines, trees, locally weighted structured regression models...


# Limiting behavior of NN: Noiseless setting ( $\varepsilon_i=0$ )

In the limit of getting an infinite amount of noiseless data, the MSE of 1-NN fit goes to 0




# Limiting behavior of NN: Noiseless setting ( $\varepsilon_i=0$ )

In the limit of getting an infinite amount of noiseless data, the MSE of 1-NN fit goes to 0



1-NN fit




Quadratic fit

Not true for parametric models!


# Error vs. amount of data

for a fixed model complexity



# Limiting behavior of NN: Noisy data setting

In the limit of getting an infinite amount of data,  
the MSE of NN fit goes to 0 if  $k$  grows, too



# NN and kernel methods for large $d$ or small $N$

NN and kernel methods work well when the data cover the space, but...

- the more dimensions  $d$  you have, the more points  $N$  you need to cover the space
- need  $N = O(\exp(d))$  data points for good performance


This is where parametric models become useful...

# Complexity of NN search

Naïve approach: Brute force search

- Given a query point  $\mathbf{x}_q$
- Scan through each point  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$
- $O(N)$  distance computations per 1-NN query!
- $O(N \log k)$  per k-NN query!



What if N is huge???  
(and many queries)



Will talk more about efficient methods in  
[Clustering & Retrieval](#) course

# k-NN for classification

# Recall classification task: Spam filtering example




Input:  $x$

Text of email,  
sender, IP,...

Output:  $y$


# Using k-NN for classification

Space of labeled emails (not spam vs. spam), organized by similarity of text



# Using k-NN for classification

Space of labeled emails (not spam vs. spam), organized by similarity of text



# Summary for nearest neighbor and kernel regression

# What you can do now...

- Motivate the use of nearest neighbor (NN) regression
- Define distance metrics in 1D and multiple dimensions
- Perform NN and k-NN regression
- Analyze computational costs of these algorithms
- Discuss sensitivity of NN to lack of data, dimensionality, and noise
- Perform weighted k-NN and define weights using a kernel
- Define and implement kernel regression
- Describe the effect of varying the kernel bandwidth  $\lambda$  or # of nearest neighbors  $k$
- Select  $\lambda$  or  $k$  using cross validation
- Compare and contrast kernel regression with a global average fit
- Define what makes an approach nonparametric and why NN and kernel regression are considered nonparametric methods
- Analyze the limiting behavior of NN regression
- Use NN for classification