

Team Keepify
Notes from End-Of-Semester Retrospective

Presentation Feedback

- Pretty good job for the most part
- The push for product development bit into presentation time
- The low point was time
- Feedback from 9 students
- Articulation, presentation, professional, able to answer questions effectively: all right
- Filled in gaps in presentation with question answers
- The team was great at explaining the domain
- The drone team ragged on us
- It would have been beneficial to go over the testing process
- Did a lot of domain research
- Great job working with sponsor's ideas and combining with our own
- Worked hard
- High regard for process
- Good risk management
- Reflected well
- Planned for second semester well
- Positive - Seems like an effective team, a lot of work has been done, a lot of work into sponsor scope, explained thoroughly, set goals and pushed to achieve them, did a good job with what they had time to do, dedicated to the project.
- Negative - Disorganized presentation, need to consider abuse cases, could have mapped out what we wanted to discuss ahead of time, didn't communicate with sponsor*, low professionalism*

* means Malachowsky had doubts

Peer Feedback

- Quantity - about the same
- Quality - about the same
- Initiative - Namsang last, he doesn't speak too often, but that might be a symptom of how we run our stand-ups
- Meeting commitments - same across the board
- Punctuality - Jonathan rated lower, not sure why?
- Overall - Liang > Joe > Isaac, Jonathan, Namsang

Coach Feedback

- Good risk management
- Our project is the most like what working in industry is like

- Good use of AI: machine learning for analysis and LLM for summarizing churn score
- Grading - See no reason to not give us an A

Sponsor Feedback

- 4 for work done on time, work is complete professional quality
- 5 on everything else
- Appreciate the team's hard work, not just in development but in defining the project

Document

- Testing - Someone should define the unit testing procedure. Put together a suite of test data with expected validation responses. Might be something for our intern to work on.
- Tracking project progress - Our project estimation started out iffy but got better as time went on.
- SUMI - It's great to have, but we need to figure out how we're using it.
- We need to meet to determine our timeline for beta deployment.
- Customer Satisfaction - We could ask better questions so John can be more critical.