

Q1: (i) The harmonic functions in Ω forms a vector space.

Soln:- $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ is said to be harmonic if $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω .

To check if it forms a vector space we consider

$$u_1, u_2 \in C^2(\Omega) \text{ s.t. } \Delta u_1 = \Delta u_2 = 0.$$

$$\text{Hence, } \Delta(u_1 + u_2) := \Delta u_1 + \Delta u_2 = 0 \quad \& \quad u_1 + u_2 \in C^2(\Omega)$$

Thus, $u_1 + u_2$ is harmonic.

Again for $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta u = 0$ we have

$$\Delta(cu) := c \Delta(u) = 0.$$

So, cu is harmonic

\therefore Harmonic Functions in Ω forms a vector space

(ii) Rotational Symmetry of the Laplace operator.

i.e., the eqn $\Delta u = 0$ is invariant under rotation about an arbitrary center ' y ' in \mathbb{R}^2

i.e. if $x' = x \cos \theta - y \sin \theta$ and $y' = x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta$ then define $w(x', y') = u(x, y)$.

$$\therefore, \boxed{u_{xx} + u_{yy} = w_{x'}x' + w_{y'}y'} \quad \text{--- RTP}$$

$$u_x = (w_{x'}x')_x + (w_{y'}y')_x$$

\star To show

$$u_x = w_{x'}(x')_x + w_{y'}(y')_x$$

$$= \cos \theta w_{x'} + \sin \theta w_{y'}$$

$$u_y = w_{x'}(x')_y + w_{y'}(y')_y$$

$$= \cancel{\cos \theta w_{x'}} + \cancel{\sin \theta w_{y'}}$$

$$= -\sin \theta w_{x'} + \cos \theta w_{y'}$$

$$\Rightarrow u_{xx} = \cos \theta [w_{x'x'}(x')_x + w_{x'y'}(y')_x] + \sin \theta [w_{y'x'}(x')_x + w_{y'y'}(y')_x]$$

$$= \cos^2 \theta w_{x'x'} + \sin \theta \cos \theta w_{x'y'} + \sin \theta \cos \theta w_{y'x'} + \sin^2 \theta w_{y'y'} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$u_{yy} = -\sin \theta [w_{x'y'}(x')_y + w_{y'y'}(y')_y] + \cos \theta [w_{y'x'}(x')_y + w_{y'y'}(y')_y]$$

$$= \sin^2 \theta w_{x'x'} - \sin \theta \cos \theta w_{x'y'} - \sin \theta \cos \theta w_{y'x'} + \cos^2 \theta w_{y'y'} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Adding (1) and (2) we get Δu .

(iii) $u(x, y) = \varphi(r)$; $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

$$\therefore u_x(x, y) = \varphi'(r) r_x = \varphi'(r) \frac{x}{r}.$$

$$\& u_y(x, y) = \varphi'(r) r_y = \varphi'(r) \frac{y}{r}.$$

$$\& u_{xx}(x, y) = \varphi'(r) \frac{1}{r} + \frac{x}{r} \varphi''(r).$$

$$\& u_{yy}(x, y) = \varphi'(r) \cdot 0 + \frac{y}{r} \cdot \varphi''(r) \cdot \frac{y}{r}.$$
$$= \frac{y^2}{r^2} \varphi''(r).$$

$$\text{So, } u_{xx} + u_{yy} = \varphi'(r) \frac{1}{r} + \frac{\varphi''(r)}{r} [x^2 + y^2]$$
$$= \varphi''(r) + \frac{\varphi'(r)}{r}.$$

Now if $\Delta u = 0$

Then, $\varphi''(r) + \frac{\varphi'(r)}{r} = 0$

taking, $\psi(r) = \varphi(r)$ (~~Define~~) (Define)

$$\psi'(r) = - \frac{\psi(r)}{r}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(r) = \frac{K}{r} \quad ; K \text{ is a constant}$$

~~or~~

Again, $\psi'(r) = \psi(r) = K/r \Rightarrow \psi(r) = K \ln|r| + K_1$ ($K_1 = \text{constant}$)

$$\therefore u(x, y) = K \ln(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) + K_1$$

② The problem $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω $\left. \begin{array}{l} u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\} \text{--- ①}$

has a unique soln given by $u = 0$.

Let u_1 and u_2 be two soln of ①

Then, $v := u_1 - u_2$ (Define).

From ① and using linearity of Laplacian,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \Delta v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \\ v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\} \text{--- ②}$$

Hence, multiplying v with $\Delta v = 0$ and integrating by parts we get,

$$\int_{\Omega} v \Delta v = 0 \quad (\text{Since, } v \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}) \text{ all of this is valid})$$

$$\Rightarrow - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} v \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} ds = 0 \quad (\eta = \text{unit outward normal to } \Omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 = 0 \quad (\because v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega)$$

$$\Rightarrow \nabla v = 0 \quad (\text{c-constant}) \text{ in } \Omega.$$

$\therefore v = \text{constant}$ in Ω .

But, $v = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and v is continuous. Hence

$$v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega.$$

③ Laplacian Equation is ill-posed

Consider, $\Delta u = 0$ in $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x > 0\}$

and, $u(0, y) = 0$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, y) = e^{-\sqrt{n}} n \sin ny.$$

It is easy to see that

$$u_n(x, y) = e^{-\sqrt{n}x} e^{nx} \sin ny$$

satisfies the eqn.

However if we can make $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, y) = n e^{-\sqrt{n}} \sin ny$ as small as we like if n is sufficiently large, but the soln

$$u_n(x, y) = e^{-\sqrt{n}x} e^{nx} \sin ny$$

may be very large for large enough 'n' and some (x, y).