

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\sup_S |er_D^L[f] - er_S^L[f]| > 2 \cdot L \cdot R_n(F) + \epsilon \right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n \epsilon^2}{2 L^2} \right)$$

$$R_n(F) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{S, z_i} \sup_{f \in F} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i f(x_i) \right|$$

Radamacher complexity of F .

$$F = \{w: \|w\|_2 \leq R\}, \|z\|_2 \leq r \text{ then } R_n(F) \leq \frac{R \cdot r}{\sqrt{n}}$$

We are able to handle,

(hinge loss, logistic, exp, least square, ϵ -insensitive)

Not handled:

① Regularisation.

② Classification (not Lipschitz).

~~Fact~~ (Comment):

Finding exact VC-dimension of a class is ~~NP-hard~~, but we can upper-bound it. Same holds for $R_n(F)$.

$$\hat{R}_n(F) = \sup_{S, \hat{z}_i} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{z}_i f(x_i) \right|$$

← Empirical R.A.

$S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
Sample $\hat{z}_1, \hat{z}_2, \dots, \hat{z}_n$.

Example:

$$w \in \mathcal{W}, \left(\text{not necessarily } B(0, R) \right)$$

$$\sup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{n} \sum \hat{z}_i x_i, w \right\rangle \right|$$

~~Not NP-hard~~

Exercise! $\mathbb{P} \left(\left| \hat{R}_n(F) - R_n(F) \right| > \epsilon \right) \leq 2 \exp \left(-\frac{n \epsilon^2}{2 B^2} \right)$

holds whenever $|f(x)| \leq B$.

$$g: (S, \hat{z}_i) \mapsto \hat{R}_{S, \hat{z}_i}(F) \rightarrow \text{show is stable.}$$

Why people are interested in Gaussian Avg? (rather than Radamacher avg) ~~although symmetrisation does~~

Ans: $R_n(F) \leq G_n(F) \leq \ln n R_n(F)$
 \uparrow
 Gaussian avg.

Massart's Finite class lemma! -

Bounds $R_n(F)$ if $|F| < \infty$

Fix $|S| = n$ $S \in (X \times Y)^n$

$f \mapsto (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$A = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n : a = (f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n)) \text{ for some } f \in F\}$

$R_S(F) = \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i a_i$, $|A| \leq |F|$

We want to bound this

$R_n(F) = \mathbb{E}_S R_S(F) \leq \sup_S R_S(F)$

We will use cramer - chernoff:

$\exp(s \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_{a \in A} \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i a_i)$

$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \exp(s \sup_a \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i a_i)$ [Jensen's Ineq]

$= \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_a \exp(\frac{s}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i a_i)$ (exp - is monotonic)

$= \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_a \prod \exp(\frac{s \varepsilon_i a_i}{n})$

$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sum_a \prod_i \exp(\frac{s \varepsilon_i a_i}{n})$

$= \sum_a \prod_i \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \exp(\frac{s \varepsilon_i a_i}{n})$

$\leq \sum_a \prod_i \exp(\frac{s^2 a_i^2}{2n^2})$

(Hoeffding's lemma)

$= \sum_a \exp(\frac{s^2}{2n^2} \|a\|_2^2)$

$= |A| \exp(\frac{s^2 c^2}{2n^2})$

$\sup_{a \in A} \|a\|_2 = c$

$R_S(F) \leq \frac{1}{s} \lg |A| + \frac{sc^2}{2n^2} \leq \frac{c \sqrt{2 \lg |A|}}{n}$

Applications:

① Covering Sparse Models:

Let \mathcal{F} be linear class of sparse models.

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x \mapsto \langle w, x \rangle, \quad \|w\|_0 \leq s, \quad \|w\|_\infty \leq R\}$$

$$w, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \|x\|_\infty \leq \gamma$$

$$\Rightarrow \|w\|_1 \leq s \cdot R$$

$$R_s(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \frac{1}{n} \sum \varepsilon_i \langle w, x_i \rangle$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_{w \in \mathcal{W}} \|w\|_1 \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_\infty$$

[Hölder Inequality]

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \quad \|x\|_p \|y\|_q \geq |\langle x, y \rangle|$$

for $p=1$ we have

$$\left| \sum a_i b_i \right| \leq \sum |a_i b_i| \quad \text{let } \|a\|_1 = A$$

$$\leq A \sum |b_i| = A \cdot \|b\|_1$$

$$\leq s \cdot R \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_\infty$$

$$= s \cdot R \cdot \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon_i} \sup_j \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i x_{ij} \right|$$

~~to apply~~ $A = \{x_1^i, x_2^i, \dots, x_n^i\}$

observe, $|A| = d$

(add the supremum)

$$\leq \frac{s \sqrt{n} \sqrt{2 \log d} \cdot s R}{n}$$

$$\leq \frac{s \sqrt{n} R \sqrt{2 \log d}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

② Covering Number $\rightarrow R.A.$

$\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \varepsilon$ -cover \mathcal{C}

$$\forall f \in \mathcal{F}, \exists g \in \mathcal{C}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, |f(x) - g(x)| \leq \varepsilon$$

$$R_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq \varepsilon + R_n(\mathcal{C}) \leq \varepsilon + B \sqrt{\frac{|\mathcal{C}| \varepsilon}{n}}$$

using Hoeffding

$$= \varepsilon + \beta \sqrt{\frac{d \lg(1 + \frac{2\beta}{\varepsilon})}{n}} \quad \text{for linear models of } L\text{-norm at most } \beta$$

$$R_n(F) \leq \inf_{\alpha} \left\{ \alpha + \beta \sqrt{\frac{N_{\infty}(F, \alpha)}{n}} \right\}$$

Classification:

$$F \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^X, \quad L = L^{0-1} \text{ (non-Lipshitz)},$$

$$\mathbb{P}_S \left(\sup_{f \in F} | \text{er}_0^{0-1}[f] - \text{er}_S^{0-1}[f] | > 2R_n(L^{0-1} \circ F) + \varepsilon \right) \leq 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2}\right)$$

can be proved using McDiarmid's and boundedness of loss function.

TLCE:- $R_n(L \circ F) \leq L \cdot R_n(F)$ if L is L -Lipshitz

$$R_n(L^{0-1} \circ F) \leq 2 R_n(F).$$

if $F \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^X$.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n, a = \{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n), f \in F\}$$

$$\Pi_S(F) = |\mathcal{A}|$$

\hookrightarrow growth function.

$$\text{We have } \Pi_S(F) \leq 2^n.$$

$$R_n(F) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\lg \Pi_S(F)}{n}} \quad \text{if } |C| \leq \sqrt{n}$$

$$(\text{will show}) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{d \lg n}{n}}$$