## Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur CS771 Introduction to Machine Learning, 2017-18-a

**QUESTION** 

Assignment Number: 2
Student Name: Gurpreet Singh
Roll Number: 150259

## Part 1

Date: November 8, 2017

We know that Gaussian-Gaussian has the conjugacy property, and hence, we can say that the marginal probability of  $\mathbf{x}$  *i.e.*  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x}]$ 

Therefore, we can write the marginal probability of  $\mathbf{x}$  as a gaussian distribution with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}'$  and covariance matrix  $\Lambda$ .

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, W, \sigma\right] = \int_{\mathbf{z}} \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\mu}, W, \sigma\right] \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{z} \mid 0, \mathbf{I}\right] d\mathbf{z}$$
$$= \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}', \Lambda\right)$$

Also, we know that  $\mathbf{x} = W\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \epsilon$ , and from the properties of Gaussian, we know  $\boldsymbol{\mu}' = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]$  and  $\Lambda = cov(\mathbf{x})$ . Hence

$$\mu' = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{x}]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[W\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}]$$

$$= W(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{z}] = 0) + (\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\mu}] = \boldsymbol{\mu}) + (\mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}] = 0)$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

$$\begin{split} & \Lambda' &= & \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{x} \right] \right) \left( \mathbf{x} - \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{x} \right] \right)^T \right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[ \left( W \mathbf{z} + \epsilon \right) \left( W \mathbf{z} + \epsilon \right)^T \right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[ W \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^T W^T + W \mathbf{z} \epsilon^T + \epsilon W \mathbf{z}^T + \epsilon \epsilon^T \right] \\ &= & W \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^T \right] W^T + 0 + 0 + \mathbb{E} \left[ \epsilon \epsilon^T \right] \\ &= & W \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I}^T W^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \\ &= & W W^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I} \end{split}$$

Hence, we can write  $\mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x}] = \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, C)$  where  $C = WW^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$ 

## Part 2

**Note:** I will use  $C = WW^T + \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}$  for the rest of the question Since all the sample points are independent, we can write  $\mathbb{P}[X] = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[\mathbf{x}^i]$ . Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}\left[X \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, W, \sigma\right] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{x}^{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, W, \sigma\right]$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i} \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, C\right)$$

$$\implies \mathbb{P}\left[X \mid \boldsymbol{\mu}, W, \sigma\right] = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \|C\|}} e^{\frac{-1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)C^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}^{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{T}}$$

## Part 3

We can write the MLE estimate of  $\mu$  as

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{MLE} &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \max} \mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{X} \,\middle|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right] \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \max} \log \left(\mathbb{P}\left[\boldsymbol{X} \,\middle|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]\right) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \max} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{x}^i \,\middle|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]\right) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \max} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(\mathbb{P}\left[\mathbf{x}^i \,\middle|\, \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{W}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\right]\right) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \min} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}^i - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) C^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}^i - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^T + \frac{1}{2} \log \left(2\pi \left\|C\right\|\right) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\arg \min} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}^i - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) C^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}^i - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^T \end{split}$$

We can simply differentiate the RHS term, and using differentials of matrices, we get

$$\frac{\delta(RHS)}{\delta(\boldsymbol{\mu})} = \frac{\delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{x}^{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) C^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}^{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{T}\right)}{\delta(\boldsymbol{\mu})} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} C^{-1} \left(\mathbf{x}^{i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}^{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}^{i}$$

Therefore, the MLE estimate of  $\mu$  is, as expected, the emperical mean of all the data points. Hence we use this to centralize for the PCA algorithm.