

Proving languages not to be regular

1 The pumping lemma

If L is a regular language, then there is a number $p > 0$ (sometimes called the pumping length) such that if $w \in L$ and $|w| \geq p$, then w can be written as xyz where

1. $|xy| \leq p$
2. $y \neq \varepsilon$
3. $xy^kz \in L \quad \forall k \geq 0$

Pumping Lemma can be useful to prove that some languages are not regular.

Example 1a:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma &= \{0, 1\} \\ L &= \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}\end{aligned}$$

Solution A:

We will use an indirect proof to show that L is not a regular language. Suppose that L is regular, which means that any string $w \in L$ of length not smaller than p should satisfy the above conditions. Let

$$w = 0^p 1^p \in L$$

It can be seen that $|w| = 2p$, which satisfies the condition $|w| \geq p$. If we divide w into parts x, y and z , we know that xy contains only 0 symbols; $|xy| \leq p$ states that they are at most p symbol long, and our first p symbols are 0s in the string.

Because $y \neq \varepsilon$, it has to contain at least one 0 symbol. Pumping y (xy^kz) for any $k \neq 1$ would result in a new string where the number of 0s is not equal to the number of 1s. (for example, $w_2 = 0^{p-|y|}1^p$ for $k = 0$, $w_3 = 0^{p+|y|}1^p$ for $k = 2$, $w_4 = 0^{p+2|y|}1^p$ for $k = 3$, etc.). As $w_2 \notin L$, we came to a contradiction, meaning that our original assumption is not true; L is not regular.

Solution B:

We will again use an indirect proof to show that L is not a regular language. Suppose that L is regular, which means that any string $w \in L$ of length not smaller than p should satisfy the above conditions. Let

$$w = 0^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} 1^{\lceil p/2 \rceil} \in L$$

It can be seen that $|w| = p$ if p is even, while $|w| = p + 2$ if p is odd. Both of these satisfy the condition $|w| \geq p$. If we divide w into parts x, y and z , we will not get additional information about the composition of x and y as we did in Solution A. The length of our string is p or $p + 2$, and while xy will surely start with a 0, it can end with a 1 because of $|xy| \leq p$. Moreover, y is not guaranteed to start with a 0 because of this, it can happen that the leading 0s belong to x . This leads to the following cases, where we all have to show the contradiction:

1. xy contains only 0s: this case is identical to the proof of Solution A, we will come to a contradiction the same way.
2. xy contains both 0s and 1s: This leads to two further subcases:
 - (a) x contains all the 0s: Similarly to Solution A, we can state that y has to contain at least one 1 symbol because of $y \neq \varepsilon$. Pumping y (xy^kz) for any $k \neq 1$ would result in a new string where the number of 1s is not equal to the number of 0s. We can come to a contradiction in a similar way to Solution A.
 - (b) y contains both 0s and 1s: Let $y = 0^i1^j, i, j \geq 1$. Pumping y (xy^kz) for any $k > 1$ would result in the string $0^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - i} (0^i1^j)^k 1^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - j}$. This for example in the case of $k = 2$ will give $w_2 = 0^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - i} (0^i1^j)^2 1^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - j} = 0^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - i} 01011^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - j} = 0^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - i + 1} 101^{\lceil p/2 \rceil - j + 1}$, and clearly $w_2 \notin L$ (even if $i = j$), so we came to a contradiction.

As we came to a contradiction in every possible case, our original assumption is not true; L is not regular.

Example 1b:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

$$L = \{0^n 1^n \mid 0 \leq n \leq 1234567890\}$$

Solution: L is a finite language, thus it also has to be regular.

Example 1c:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

$$L = \{0^n 10^n \mid n \geq 0\}$$

Example 2:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

$$L = \{vv \mid v = (0|1)^*\}$$

Solution:

The language contains strings that consist of the same substring concatenated twice. We will use an indirect proof to show that L is not a regular language. Suppose that L is regular, which means that any string $w \in L$ of length not smaller than p should satisfy the above conditions. Let

$$v = 0^p 1,$$

so consequently

$$w = 0^p 10^p 1 \in L$$

It can be seen that $|w| = 2p + 2$, which satisfies the condition $|w| \geq p$. If we divide w into parts x, y and z , we know that xy contains only 0 symbols; $|xy| \leq p$ states that they are at most p symbol long, and our first p symbols are 0s in the string. From here, showing the contradiction is similar to Example 1 Solution A.

As a sidenote, if you choose $v = 0^p$, and $w = 0^p 0^p$, finding the contradiction would again involve examining multiple cases. This is because your string is $w = 0^{2p}$, and all such strings are in L (even length, containing a single symbol). You have to examine all combinations of y having an even/odd length and k being even/odd, and finding a contradiction this way. You will not be able to find a contradiction in cases where $|y|$ or k is even, as these will result in a w_2 of even length.

Example 3:

$$\Sigma = \{1, \#\}$$

$$L = \{1^i \# 1^j \# 1^{i+j} \mid i \geq 0\}$$

Example 4:

$$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$$

$$L = \{v \mid |v| \text{ is prime}\}$$

Solution:

The language contains strings whose length is a prime number. The symbol composition of the strings is irrelevant. We will use an indirect proof to show that L is not a regular language. Suppose that L is regular, which means that any string $w \in L$ of length not smaller than p should satisfy the above conditions. Let $m > p$ be a prime (m has to exist for any p , as we have an infinite number of primes). Let

$$w = 0^m \in L$$

By definition, $|w| = m$ will satisfy $|w| \geq p$. For any division of w into parts x, y and z and $|xy| \leq p$, we know that $y = 0^i$ because of $y \neq \varepsilon$. We would like to pump y by choosing an appropriate k where we can show that the length of the resulting string is not a prime. Let $k = m + 1$. This will give us $w_2 = xy^{m+1}z = 0^{m+m \cdot i} = 0^{m(i+1)}$. We see that the length of w_2 can be factorized. If none of the factors are 1, then this cannot be a prime number. By definition, $m > p > 0$, and $i = |y| > 0$, so $m \geq 2$ and $(i+1) \geq 2$, meaning that the length of w_2 is not a prime. As $w_2 \notin L$, we came to a contradiction, meaning that our original assumption is not true; L is not regular.