

2.1 $2/N, 37, \sqrt{N}, N, N \log \log N, N \log N, N \log(N^2), N \log^2 N, N^{1.5}, N^2, N^2 \log N, N^3, 2^{N/2}, 2^N$.
 $N \log N$ and $N \log(N^2)$ grow at the same rate.

2.3 We claim that $N \log N$ is the slower growing function. To see this, suppose otherwise. Then, $N^{\epsilon}/\sqrt{\log N}$ would grow slower than $\log N$. Taking logs of both sides, we find that, under this assumption, $\epsilon/\sqrt{\log N} \log N$ grows slower than $\log \log N$. But the first expression simplifies to $\epsilon \sqrt{\log N}$. If $L = \log N$, then we are claiming that $\epsilon \sqrt{L}$ grows slower than $\log L$, or equivalently, that $\epsilon^2 L$ grows slower than $\log^2 L$. But we know that $\log^2 L = o(L)$, so the original assumption is false, proving the claim.

2.7 For all these programs, the following analysis will agree with a simulation:

- (I) The running time is $O(N)$.
- (II) The running time is $O(N^2)$.
- (III) The running time is $O(N^3)$.
- (IV) The running time is $O(N^2)$.
- (V) j can be as large as i^2 , which could be as large as N^2 . k can be as large as j , which is N^2 . The running time is thus proportional to $N \cdot N^2 \cdot N^2$, which is $O(N^5)$.
- (VI) The *if* statement is executed at most N^3 times, by previous arguments, but it is true only $O(N^2)$ times (because it is true exactly i times for each i). Thus the innermost loop is only executed $O(N^2)$ times. Each time through, it takes $O(j^2) = O(N^2)$ time, for a total of $O(N^4)$. This is an example where multiplying loop sizes can occasionally give an overestimate.

2.12

- (a) $N=12000000$
- (b) If they can get to $N \log N = \log 100 * 12000000$, give full points
- (c) $N \approx 34641$
- (d) $N 4932$

2.20 in! Test to see if N is an odd number (or 21 and is not divisible by 3, 5, 7, . . . \sqrt{N})

- (b) $O(\sqrt{N})$, assuming that all divisions count for one unit of time
- (c) $B = O(\log N)$.
- (d) $O(2^{B/2})$.
- (e) If a 20-bit number can be tested in time T , then a 40-bit number would require about T^2 time.
- (f) B is the better measure because it more accurately represents the size of the input.

3.23

(a, b) This function will read in from standard input an infix expression of single lower case characters and the operators, +, -, /, *, ^ and (,), and output a postfix expression.

```
void inToPostfix() {
    stack<char> s;
    char token;
    cin >> token;
    while (token != '=') {
        if (token >= 'a' && token <= 'z')
            cout << token << " ";
        else
            switch (token) {
```

```

case ')': while(!s.empty() && s.top() != '(')
{ cout<<s.top()<<" "; s.pop();}
s.pop(); break;
case '(': s.push(token); break;
case '^': while(!s.empty() && !(s.top() == '^' ||
s.top() == '('))
{cout<<s.top(); s.pop();}
s.push(token); break;
case '**':
case '/': while(!s.empty() && s.top() != '+'
&& s.top() != '-' && s.top() != '(')
{cout<<s.top(); s.pop();}
s.push(token); break;
case '+':
case '-': while(!s.empty() && s.top() != '(')
{cout<<s.top()<<" "; s.pop();}
s.push(token); break;
}
cin>> token;
}
while (!s.empty())
{cout<<s.top()<<" "; s.pop();}
cout<<"\n";
}

```

(c) The **function** converts postfix to **infix** with the same restrictions as above.

```

string postToInfix() {
stack<string> s;
string token;
string a, b;
cin>>token;
while (token[0] != '=') {
if (token[0] >= 'a' && token[0] <= 'z')
s.push(token);
else
switch (token[0]) {
case '+': a=s.top(); s.pop(); b=s.top(); s.pop();
s.push("(" + a + " + " + b + ")"); break;
case '-': a = s.top(); s.pop(); b = s.top(); s.pop();
s.push("(" + a + " - " + b + ")"); break;
case '*': a = s.top(); s.pop(); b = s.top(); s.pop();
s.push("(" + a + " * " + b + ")"); break;
case '/': a = s.top(); s.pop(); b = s.top(); s.pop();
s.push("(" + a + " / " + b + ")"); break;
case '^': a = s.top(); s.pop(); b = s.top(); s.pop();
s.push("(" + a + " ^ " + b + ")"); break;
}
cin>> token;
}
return s.top();
} //Converts postfix to infix

```