

# INTRODUCTION TO ABSTRACT ALGEBRA

MATH 350, YALE UNIVERSITY, FALL 2018

These are lecture notes for MATH 350a, “Introduction to Abstract Algebra,” taught by Marketa Havlickova at Yale University during the fall of 2018. These notes are not official, and have not been proofread by the instructor for the course. These notes live in my lecture notes respository at

<https://github.com/jopetty/lecture-notes/tree/master/MATH-350>.

If you find any errors, please open a bug report describing the error, and label it with the course identifier, or open a pull request so I can correct it.

## Contents

Syllabus	1
References	1
1 Wednesday, 29 August 2018	2
2 August 31, 2018	3
2.1 Symmetries of a regular $n$ -gon	4
2.2 Symmetric group on $n$ elements	5
3 September 4, 2018	6
3.1 Fields $n$ stuff	7
4 September 7, 2018	8
4.1 Homomorphisms	8
5 September 10, 2018	10
5.1 Representation Theory	10
5.2 Isomorphisms and Equality	11

6	September 12, 2018	12
6.1	<i>Kernels</i> . . . . .	12
7	September 14, 2018	15
7.1	<i>Cyclic Groups</i> . . . . .	15
8	September 17, 2018	18
8.1	<i>Finite Cyclic Groups</i> . . . . .	18
8.2	<i>Subgroups</i> . . . . .	19
9	September 19, 2018	20
10	September 21, 2018	23
11	September 24, 2018	24
11.1	<i>Quotient Groups</i> . . . . .	24
12	September 26, 2018	26
12.1	<i>Mapping from <math>G</math> to <math>G/H</math></i> . . . . .	26
12.2	<i>Testing Normality</i> . . . . .	27
13	Friday, 28 September 2018	28
13.1	<i>Product Subgroups</i> . . . . .	28
13.2	<i>Isomorphism Theorems</i> . . . . .	29
14	Monday, 1 October 2018	31
14.1	<i>Isomorphism Theorems Continued</i> . . . . .	31
14.2	<i>Why do people care about normal groups?</i> . . . . .	32
15	Wednesday, 3 October 2018	33
15.1	<i>Permutations</i> . . . . .	33
15.2	<i>Actions</i> . . . . .	34
16	Friday, 5 October 2018	35
16.1	<i>Orbits and Stabalizers</i> . . . . .	35
16.2	<i>Cycles in <math>S_n</math></i> . . . . .	35
16.3	<i>Actions of <math>G</math> on itself</i> . . . . .	35
17	Monday, 8 October 2018	37
17.1	<i>Conjugation in <math>S_n</math></i> . . . . .	38
18	Friday, 12 October 2018	39
18.1	<i>Proving the simplicity of <math>A_5</math></i> . . . . .	39
19	Monday, 15 October 2018	41

19.1	<i>Right Actions</i>	41
19.2	<i>Group Automorphisms</i>	42
20	Monday, 22 October 2018	43
20.1	<i>Classifying Automorphisms</i>	43
20.2	<i>Sylow <math>p</math>-subgroups</i>	44
20.3	<i>Sylow Theorems</i>	44
21	Wednesday, 24 October 2018	46
22	Friday, 26 October 2018	47
23	Monday, 20 October 2018	48
23.1	<i>Classifying Finitely-Generated Abelian Groups</i>	48
23.2	<i>Classifying Finitely-Generated Abelian Groups 2, Electric Boogaloo</i>	49
24	Wednesday, 31 October 2018	50
24.1	<i>FGAGs for Dayyyyyysss</i>	50
24.2	<i>The Shape of Things to Come</i>	51
24.3	<i>Commutators</i>	51
25	Friday, 2 November 2018	53
25.1	<i>Semidirect Products</i>	53
26	Monday, 5 November 2018	55
26.1	<i>More Semidirect Products</i>	55
26.2	<i>Identifying Groups as Semidirect Products</i>	55
26.3	<i>Classification</i>	56
27	Wednesday, 7 November 2018	57
27.1	<i>Classify Groups of Order 12</i>	57
27.2	<i>“A Simple Song”</i>	57
27.3	<i>Introductions to Rings</i>	58
28	Friday, 9 November 2018	59
28.1	<i>Warmups</i>	59
28.2	<i>Quaternions, Division Rings, and Fields, Oh My!</i>	59
29	Monday, 12 November 2018	62
29.1	<i>Polynomial Rings</i>	62
29.2	<i>Matrix Rings</i>	63
29.3	<i>Group Rings</i>	63
29.4	<i>Why doesn't <math>\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}Q_8</math>?</i>	64

30	Friday, 16 November 2016	65
30.1	<i>Ring Maps</i> . . . . .	65
30.2	<i>Quotient Rings</i> . . . . .	66
30.3	<i>Using Quotient Rings</i> . . . . .	66
31	Monday, 26 November 2018	68
31.1	<i>Warm-Up</i> . . . . .	68
31.2	<i>Ideals Generated by Subset</i> . . . . .	68
31.3	<i>Fields</i> . . . . .	69
32	Wednesday, 28 November 2018	71

## Syllabus

---

<b>Instructor</b>	Marketa Havlickova, <a href="mailto:miki.havlickova@yale.edu">miki.havlickova@yale.edu</a>
<b>Lecture</b>	MWF 10:30–11:20 AM, LOM 205
<b>Recitation</b>	TBA
<b>Textbook</b>	Dummit and Foote. <i>Abstract Algebra</i> . 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2004
<b>Midterms</b>	Wednesday, October 10, 2018 Wednesday, November 14, 2018
<b>Final</b>	Monday, December 17, 2018, 2:00–5:30 PM

---

Abstract Algebra is the study of mathematical structures carrying notions of “multiplication” and/or “addition”. Though the rules governing these structures seem familiar from our middle and high school training in algebra, they can manifest themselves in a beautiful variety of different ways. The notion of a group, a structure carrying only multiplication, has its classical origins in the study of roots of polynomial equations and in the study of symmetries of geometrical objects. Today, group theory plays a role in almost all aspects of higher mathematics and has important applications in chemistry, computer science, materials science, physics, and in the modern theory of communications security. The main topics covered will be (finite) group theory, homomorphisms and isomorphism theorems, subgroups and quotient groups, group actions, the Sylow theorems, ring theory, ideals and quotient rings, Euclidean domains, principal ideal domains, unique factorization domains, module theory, and vector space theory. Time permitting, we will investigate other topics. This will be a heavily proof-based course with homework requiring a significant investment of time and thought. The course is essential for all students interested in studying higher mathematics, and it would be helpful for those considering majors such as computer science and theoretical physics.

Your final grade for the course will be determined by

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 25\% \text{ homework} + 20\% \text{ exam 1} + 20\% \text{ exam 2} + 35\% \text{ final} \\ 25\% \text{ homework} + 10\% \text{ exam 1} + 20\% \text{ exam 2} + 45\% \text{ final} \\ 25\% \text{ homework} + 20\% \text{ exam 1} + 10\% \text{ exam 2} + 45\% \text{ final} \end{array} \right\}.$$

## References

[DF04] Dummit and Foote. *Abstract Algebra*. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.

## 1 Wednesday, 29 August 2018

Most of today's lecture was administrata covering how the course will be run.

Towards the end of the period we began to play with the very basic concepts of group theory. First and foremost is the definition of a group.

**Definition (Group).** A group is an ordered pair  $(G, \star)$ , where  $G$  is a set and  $\star$  is a binary operation on  $G$ , which obeys the following axioms.

*Group*

- There exists an element  $e \in G$  known as the *identity* with the property that  $e \star g = g \star e = g$  for all  $g \in G$ .
- For all  $g \in G$  there exists a  $g^{-1} \in G$  known as the *inverse* of  $g$  which has the property that  $g \star g^{-1} = g^{-1} \star g = e$ .
- The operation  $\star$  is associative.

You will sometimes see a fourth axiom included in this list, namely that  $G$  is closed under  $\star$ , but since  $\star$  is a binary operation on  $G$  which definitionally means it is a map  $\star : G \times G \rightarrow G$ , and so  $G$  is always implicitly closed under  $\star$ . When *checking* whether or not  $(G, \star)$  is a group, though, it is a very good idea to check that  $\star$  actually is a binary operation.

You're probably already familiar with lots of groups already. Consider the rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ , the real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ , the set of symmetries of the square, and the integers (under addition, which is important).

## 2 August 31, 2018

As always, Miki began class at precisely 10:25 AM. She wrote a review of last lecture on the bard, and then posed the following question as a warm up. She also talked about how the DUS department is arguing over whether money should be spent on T-shirts or chocolate (Miki thinks chocolate).

**Problem 2.1** (Warm Up). Are these groups?

- (a)  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \times)$ ;
- (b)  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \times)$

*Solution.* The solutions to the warm-up

*Solution to (a).* No, since 0 has no inverse. □

*Solution to (b).* No, this only works when  $n$  is prime. For any factors  $a, b$  of  $n$ ,  $a \times b = 0$ , which isn't in the group. We say that  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}, \times)$  is a group for all prime  $p$ . □

■

**Theorem 2.1** (Fermat's little theorem). For prime  $p$  and composite  $a = np$ , then  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** If  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $\bar{a}$  has an inverse in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \times)^*$ .

**Definition** (Units). A unit is something which has an inverse. The units of a group are denoted by putting an asterisk after the group, eg  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \times)^*$ .

Units

**Example 2.1.** For integers modulo 4,  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \times)^* = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}\}$ .

**Problem 2.2** (On Homework). What are the conditions for determining the units of a group? We know it must have an inverse, but that's hard to check. Instead, we know that  $a$  is a unit if and only if  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ . Prove this.

## 2.1 Symmetries of a regular $n$ -gon

Miki is angry with the book because she doesn't like how it treats symmetries, I think because she wants  $D_{2n}$  to be called  $D_n$ .

Miki drew a triangle on the board, and began talking about the different operations we can perform on that triangle to preserve symmetries. She introduced  $s$  to mean a reflection, and  $r$  to mean a rotation. For a triangle, there are three distinct reflections,

$$s = \{s_1, s_2, s_3\},$$

where  $s_i$  is the reflection across the line  $OA_1$ . We can also rotate the triangle in two directions.

We know that these are all the symmetries, since we can count the permutations of the triangle. We've exhausted them, so we know that there can't be any more elements of the triangle-symmetry group  $D_6$ . In fact, because of the permutation fact, we know that  $|D_{2n}| = 2n$ . Some other observations about  $D_{2n}$ :

- $s^2 = e \implies s = s^{-1}$ ;
- rotating twice clockwise is the same as rotating counterclockwise, so these aren't unique elements;
- $r^n = e$
- $rs = s_2$ , so  $s_n$  is just a combination of  $r$  and  $s$  — then we can generate the entire group with just  $r$  and  $s$ .

These things lead us to discover a new object.

**Definition (Generators).** For a group  $G$ , the generators of  $G$  is a set  $S = \{a, b, \dots : a, b, \dots \in G\}$  where  $G$  is equal to all possible combinations of elements of  $S$ . For  $D_{2n}$ , we could say that  $D_{2n}$  is generated by  $r$  and  $s$ . Usually there isn't a way to guess the generators of a group easily.

*Generators*

**Definition (Relations).** A relation is a way of writing equivalent elements of groups. For example, in  $D_{2n}$ ,

*Relations*

$$r^3 \equiv 1, \quad s^2 \equiv 1, \quad sr \equiv r^2s.$$

Relations allow us to define how we can commute elements of the group.

**Definition (Presentation).** A presentation of a group are the generators combined with the relations necessary to create the group. The largest group which is generated from the generators and which satisfies the relations, and has no other relations, is our group. A presentation is written as  $\langle a, b \mid \text{relations between } a \text{ and } b \rangle$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are the generators of the group.

*Presentation*



Now Miki told us that the group of the symmetries of a regular  $n$ -gon is the dihedral group of order  $2n$ , written either as  $\{D_{2n}$  or  $D_n\}$ , depending on if you are a representation theorist or not.

**Problem 2.3** (HW). Why is the order of  $D_{2n}$  always  $2n$ ?

## 2.2 Symmetric group on $n$ elements

Miki defined the symmetric group on  $n$  elements  $S_n$ , which is just the permutations of  $n$  elements. Notice that  $D_{2n}$  is a subgroup of  $S_n$ . We know that the order of  $S_n = n!$  and the order of  $D_{2n} = 2n$ .

[Insert diagrams of different ways to denote permutations, like the cycle notation]

### 3 September 4, 2018

**Definition.** For a set  $\Omega$ , the symmetric group on  $\Omega$  is  $S_\Omega = \{\text{bijective maps } \Omega \rightarrow \Omega\}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $S_n = S_{\{1, \dots, n\}}$ . This is usually called the symmetric group on  $n$  letters.

Let's consider this example for  $S_4$  (warning, there's some cyclic decomposition for  $g_1, g_2$ ?)

**Example 3.1.** Consider the following maps  $g_1, g_2 \in S_4$ ,

$g_1$	$g_2$
$1 \rightarrow 2$	$1 \rightarrow 3$
$2 \rightarrow 1$	$2 \rightarrow 1$
$3 \rightarrow 4$	$3 \rightarrow 2$
$4 \rightarrow 2$	$4 \rightarrow 4$

We can also write these as  $g_1 = (12)(34)$  and  $g_2 = (132)(4)$ . In this notation, how to we multiply things? E.g., what is  $g_2g_1$ ? Well, we can write this naïvely as  $(132)(4)(12)(34)$ , but we don't want to repeat any numbers. Let's see what happens to 1:

$$(132)(4)(12)(34) \cdot 1 = (132)(4)(12) \cdot 1 = (132) \cdot 2 = 1.$$

For 2, we get

$$(132)(4)(12)(34) \cdot 2 = 3.$$

For 3, this comes  $g_2g_1 \cdot 3 = 4$ , and for 4 we have  $g_2g_1 \cdot 4 = 2$ . Then  $g_2g_1 = (1)(234)$ . Unfortunately, doing this sort of element-wise reduction is the fastest way to multiply anything.

**Problem 3.1.** Someone asked the question “does order matter?” E.g., is it true that  $(12)(34) = (34)(12)$  always?

*Solution.* No. They are the same. Also,  $(abc) = (bca)$ ; as long as the sign of the permutation of the cycle elements is  $+1$ , it won't matter how you order the elements of a cycle. ■

**Problem 3.2.** Does order matter when there is a number repeated (when the cycles are not disjoint)? E.g. does  $g_1g_2 = g_2g_1$ ?

*Solution.* Yeah, order does matter. Consider that  $(12)(13) \neq (13)(12)$ . This means that, in general,  $S_n$  is not abelian. ■

**Problem 3.3.** Consider  $S_5$ , where  $g = (123)(45)$  and  $h = (12345)$ . Find  $g^2, g^{-1}, h^{-1}$ . Fun fact, it's easy.

These facts lead us to an interesting and useful conclusion.

**Proposition 3.1.** *For any  $g \in S_n$ , we can write  $g$  as a product of disjoint cycles.*

This gives us an interesting observation for  $S_n$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $g \in S_n$  be written as the product of disjoint cycles. Then the order of  $g$  is the least common multiple of the orders of the disjoint cycles.*

### 3.1 Fields $n$ stuff

**Definition.** A field  $k$  is a triple  $(F, +, \times)$  where  $(F, +)$  and  $(F \setminus \{0\}, \times)$  are groups where  $F^\times = F \setminus \{0\}$  and where multiplication distributes over addition. Some canonical examples are  $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  for prime  $p$ .

A brief note on finite fields: for a finite field  $\mathbb{F}$ , we know that  $|\mathbb{F}| = p^n$  for some prime  $p$  and some  $n \geq 1$ .

Now that we have fields, we can get matrices for free. Consider the canonical matrix group  $\text{GL}_n(k)$  of invertible matrices with entries in  $k$ .

**Example 3.2.** Consider  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$  where  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$  (note that this is just  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ). What is the order of  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$ ?

*Proof.* There are six. Any element cannot have three or four zeros in it, nor two zeros in the same row or column. Then just count the total possibilities. ■

## 4 September 7, 2018

Some facts about finite fields.

1. For all prime  $p$ , there exists a field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  where  $|\mathbb{F}_p| = p$ ;
2. For all prime  $p$  and  $n > 0$ , there exists a field  $\mathbb{F}$  where  $|\mathbb{F}| = p^n$ ;
3. Every finite field has order  $p^n$  for some prime  $p$  and some  $n > 0$ .

From last time, we know for all prime  $p$  and all  $n > 0$ , there exists a field with  $p^n$  elements. However, the naïve choice for this field isn't always right.

**Example 4.1.** Consider  $\mathbb{F}_4$ ; what could it be?

*Solution.* It can't be  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ , since inverses aren't unique as 4 isn't prime, and so  $(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \times)$  isn't a group. However, it could be the direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with itself; i.e.,  $\mathbb{F}_4 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Whatever it is, we know it has elements  $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, x, x+1\}$  which satisfies  $x^2 + x + 1 = 0$ ,  $\bar{1} + \bar{1} = 0$ , and  $x + x = 0$ . Then  $x^2 = -x - 1 = x + 1$ . ■

### 4.1 Homomorphisms

**Definition** (Homomorphism). A group homomorphism is a map  $\varphi : (G, *) \rightarrow (H, \times)$  which preserves the operations between the groups, so  $\varphi(a * b) = \varphi(a) \times \varphi(b)$ . Usually, this is just abbreviated into  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b)$ .

*Homomorphism*

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\varphi$  be a homomorphism. Then  $\varphi(1_G) = 1_H$ , and  $\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a)^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $1 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Then  $\varphi(1 \cdot 1) = \varphi(1)\varphi(1) = \varphi(1)$ . Then multiply by  $\varphi(1)^{-1}$ , and we have that  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Consider then that  $1 = aa^{-1}$ , so  $\varphi(1) = \varphi(a)\varphi(a^{-1}) = 1$  (by the previous result). Then  $1 = \varphi(a)\varphi(a^{-1})$ , so  $\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a)^{-1}$  since inverses are unique. ■

**Example 4.2** (Examples of Homomorphisms).

1. The identity map  $g \mapsto g$ ;
2. The determinant  $\det : \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (R^\times, \times)$ ;
3. The map  $(\mathbb{Z}, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_n, +)$  where  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ ;
4. Let  $g \in G$ . Then we have a map  $(\mathbb{Z}, +) \rightarrow G$  where  $n \mapsto g^n$ .

**Definition** (Isomorphism). An isomorphism is a bijective homomorphism. Note that the inverse of an isomorphism is also a group isomorphism.

*Isomorphism*

What does it mean for two things to be isomorphic? Well, it means that anything you care about can be preserved under a sufficiently good map, so two isomorphic groups aren't the same, but they're "the same." As an example of why they aren't actually the same, consider that  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$  and  $(\mathbb{Z}_3^\times, \times)$  are isomorphic. These groups don't have the same elements or the same operations, but they are isomorphic to one another.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism where  $g_i \mapsto h_i$ . Then any relation on  $\{g_i\}$  is satisfied by  $\{h_i\}$ . For example, if  $G$  is abelian then  $H$  is abelian as well.*

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $G = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \mid \text{relations} \rangle$ , and if  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  satisfy the same relations, then there exists a homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  where  $g_i \mapsto h_i$ . However, any map which does preserve these relations need not be surjective nor injective. This means that presentations aren't enough to determine group isometry. Worse, minimal generating sets may not even have the same size for distinct generators. For example  $\{1\}$  and  $\{2, 3\}$  both generate  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .*

**Corollary 4.2.** *Homomorphisms don't actually preserve order, since if  $g^n = 1$  then  $\phi(g^n) = 1$ , but the order of  $\phi(g^n)$  might just be a divisor of  $n$ , not  $n$  itself.*

**Definition (Subgroup).** A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is a group where the set of  $H$  is a subset of  $G$  and  $H$  inherits its operation from  $G$ . Formally,  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  if the following are satisfied:

*Subgroup*

- $e \in G$ ;
- $a \in H \implies a^{-1} \in H$ ;
- $a, b \in H \implies ab \in H$ .

## 5 September 10, 2018

A useful fact about orders a generated subgroups.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $x \in G$ , and let  $\langle x \rangle \subset G$ . Then  $|x| = |\langle x \rangle|$ .*

Today, we're gonna connect the notion of a homomorphism and the notion of a group. Let  $\phi : H \rightarrow G$  be a homomorphism. Then the image  $\phi(H)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . Why is this true? Well, trivially,  $\phi(H)$  is a subset of  $G$ . Since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, we know that  $\phi(1) = 1$  and so  $1 \in \phi(H)$ . Since  $\phi$  is multiplicative,  $\phi(a), \phi(b) \in \phi(H) \implies \phi(a)\phi(b) \in \phi(H)$ . And since  $\phi(a)^{-1} = \phi(a^{-1})$ ,  $\phi(H)$  contains inverses for all  $\phi(a) \in \phi(H)$ . However, there's not much that we can say about  $\phi(H)$  in relation to  $G$ , other than the fact that it must not be larger than  $G$ . However, if  $\phi : H \hookrightarrow G$  is injective, then  $H$  and  $\phi(H)$  are isomorphic, so there's a copy of  $H$  inside of  $G$ .

### 5.1 Representation Theory

Miki says that she's not supposed to talk about representation theory in this class but she can't resist mentioning it here when we discuss group actions.

**Definition** (Group Action). Let  $G$  be a group. A group action is a map  $\phi : G \times A \rightarrow A$ , where  $A$  is a set on which  $G$  is acting, which obeys the following axioms.

Group Action

1. The identity in  $G$  becomes the identity map, so  $\phi(1_G, a) = a$  for all  $a \in A$ ;
2. The action  $\phi$  is associative, so  $\phi(g, \phi(h, a)) = \phi(gh, a)$ .

The simplest example of a group action is the *trivial action*, which is simply the map  $\phi(g, a) = a$  for any  $a \in A$  and any  $g \in G$ . Another example is *translation*, where we map each  $a$  to  $a + n$  from some  $n$ . *Reflection* is where we map  $a$  to  $-a$ .

**Example 5.1.** Some food for thought: the group operation is also an action on that group.

Some facts about group actions.

**Lemma 5.2.** *For all  $g \in G$ , we get a map  $\sigma_g : A \rightarrow A$  where  $a \mapsto g \cdot a$ ; then  $\sigma_g$  is bijective since it's just a permutation of  $a$ ; then we have a map  $\pi : G \rightarrow S_A : g \mapsto \sigma_g$ . This map  $\pi$  is a group homomorphism. However, we don't know that  $\pi$  is necessarily injective.*

*Proof.* We know that  $\sigma_g$  is bijective since it has an inverse in  $\sigma_{g^{-1}}$ . Since it's bijective, we know that  $\sigma_g$  is a permutation, and so is an element of  $S_A$ . Then consider that  $\pi(gh)(a) = (gh)(a) = g \cdot (h \cdot a) = \pi(g)\pi(h)(a)$ , so  $\pi$  is multiplicative. ■

**Example 5.2.** Let  $A = G$ , so our action is left multiplication  $* : G \times G \rightarrow G : (g, a) \mapsto ga$ . Since multiplication already fulfills the requirements for group actions, we know this forms a valid action. For this action, look at the map  $\pi : G \rightarrow S_G$ . We know  $\pi(g) = \sigma_g$  is bijective. Then  $\pi$  is injective. This fact gives us that every finite group is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$  for some  $n$ , since  $G \cong \pi(G) \subset S_n$  for  $n = |G|$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sigma_g = \sigma_h$ . Then  $\sigma_g(a) = \sigma_h(a)$  for all  $a \in A = G$ . In particular, let  $a = 1$ . The  $g = g \cdot 1 = h \cdot 1 = h$ , so  $g = h$ . Then  $\pi(g) = \pi(h)$  if and only if  $g = h$ . ■

## 5.2 Isomorphisms and Equality

Why do we bother saying that groups are isomorphic instead of just saying that groups are “equal.” Consider  $D_8$  acting on a square  $\square$ . There is a subgroup  $H_1 = \langle r^2 \rangle = \{1, r^2\}$ . We know that  $H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . There is also the subgroup  $H_2 = \langle s \rangle = \{1, s\}$ . We know that  $H_2$  is also isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . However, it's pretty clear that  $H_1 \neq H_2$  even though  $H_1 \cong H_2$ . Then isomorphic groups can be distinguished by their group actions.

## 6 September 12, 2018

“Oh, I erased my smiley face. How sad.”  
(she did not sound sad)

---

Miki

Today we’ll officially state something we covered last time.

**Theorem 6.1** (Caley’s Theorem). *Every finite group  $G$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S_n$  for some  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n = |G|$ . ■

### 6.1 Kernels

Let’s discuss formally the idea of a kernel of a homomorphism and a kernel of a group action.

**Definition** (Kernel). Let  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$  be a homomorphism. Then the kernel of  $\phi$ , written  $\ker \phi$ , is the set of all elements in  $G$  which are mapped to the identity in  $H$ ; i.e.,  $\ker \phi = \{g \mid \phi(g) = 1_H\}$ .

Kernel

**Definition.** Suppose  $G$  acts on  $A$  by  $\pi$ . Then the kernel of the action is the set of all elements of  $G$  which act trivially on  $A$ ; i.e.,  $\ker \pi = \{g \mid ga = a \text{ for all } a \in A\}$ .

**Example 6.1.** Consider the action  $\phi : \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\times, \times) : A \mapsto \det A$ . Then the kernel of  $\phi$  are all matrices with determinant 1, called  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition** (Stabalizer). Let  $\pi : G \times A \rightarrow A$  be a group action, and fix  $a \in A$ . The *stabalizer* is  $G_a = \{g \in G \mid ga = a\}$ . By this definition, the kernel is contained within any stabalizer, and in fact is equal to the intersection of all stabalizers.

Stabalizer

**Example 6.2.** Let  $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $A = \mathbb{R}^2$  defined with the usual action (vector-matrix multiplication). What is the kernel of this action? Then let  $c = (0, 1)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . What is the stabalizer of  $c$ ?

**Corollary 6.1.** *The kernel of an action is a subgroup of  $G$ , and  $G_a$  is a subgroup of  $G$  for any fixed  $a \in A$ .*



**Definition (Orbit).** Fix  $a \in A$ . The orbit of  $a$  is the image of  $a$  under the group action; i.e.,  $O_a = \{ga \mid g \in G\}$ . Intuitively, it's everywhere  $a$  can go under a specific group action. Notice that the orbits partition  $A$ , and so are equivalence classes in  $A$ .

Orbit

**Example 6.3.** Let  $G = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and let  $A = \mathbb{R}^2$  defined with the usual action (vector-matrix multiplication). What is the orbit of  $(1, 0)^\top$ ?

**Definition (Faithful).** An action is faithful if the kernel is the identity. This means that the base element of the action must be the identity. This tells us that  $G$  is injective into  $S_A$ .

Faithful

**Example 6.4.** Consider  $D_8$  acting on a square (technically the set  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ). The orbit  $O_1$  is all possible vertices, since you can rotate any vertex to any position. The stabilizer is  $\{1, s\}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** As it turns out, for a fixed  $a \in A$ , we see that  $|O_a||G_a| = |G|$ . We'll prove this later. (Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem I think?)

**Definition (Conjugation).** Consider the action  $\pi : G \times G \rightarrow G : (g, a) \mapsto gag^{-1}$ . This action is known as *conjugation*.

Conjugation

**Definition (Centralizer).** Let  $S \subset G$ . The *centralizer* of  $S$  in  $G$ , written  $C_G(S) = \{g \in G \mid gsg^{-1} = s \text{ for all } s \in S\}$ . This is the set of things that fix  $S$  in  $G$  pointwise under conjugation. By definition, this is the set of elements in  $G$  which commute with all elements in  $S$ . In the case that  $S = \{s\}$  we see that  $C_G(S) = G_S$ .

Centralizer

**Definition (Normalizer).** Let  $S \subset G$ . The *normalizer* of  $S$  in  $G$  is  $N_G(S) = \{g \in G \mid gSg^{-1} = S\}$ . Essentially, this is just a centralizer on a set, except that it may permute the elements of  $S$ . Then  $C_G(S) \subset N_G(S)$ .

Normalizer

**Example 6.5.** Suppose that  $G$  is abelian. For any  $S \subset G$ , we see that  $C_G(S) = N_G(S) = G$ .

**Example 6.6.** Let  $G = S_3$ , and let  $S = G$ . What is the normalizer of  $S$ ? (It's the whole thing since  $G$  is closed under its operation.) What is the centralizer of  $S$ ? (It's the identity.)

## 7 September 14, 2018

“Why do we get struck by lightning  
when we reach a contradicton? I don’t  
know, it’s usually a good thing.” 7

Miki

Center

**Definition (Center).** The center of a group  $G$  is  $Z(G) = \{g \in G \mid gs = sg \text{ for all } s \in G\}$ ; i.e.,  $Z(G) = C_G(G)$ , so it’s the centralizer of the whole group.

Why do we care so much about conjugation? We give all these special names to the sets of conjugation, like the Normalizer, Stabalizer, and Centralizer. We also know that conjugation preserves the order of an element, so  $|a| = |gag^{-1}|$ .

**Problem 7.1.** What is the center of  $D_8$ ? We know the identity must be in the center. What about  $r^2$ ? We know it commutes with  $s$ , and  $sr^2 = r^{-2}s = r^2s$ , so it commutes with  $s$  as well; Since  $r$  and  $s$  generate the group, we know that it be in the center as well. So  $Z(D_8) = \{1, r^2\}$ .

### 7.1 Cyclic Groups

**Proposition 7.1.** Let  $G$  be a group, and let  $x \in G$ . For  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , if  $x^n = x^m = 1$  then  $x^d = 1$  where  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ .

*Proof.* Use the Euclidean Algorithm. We know there are integers  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $d = am + bn$ , so  $x^d = x^{am+bn} = (x^a)^m (x^b)^n = 1^a 1^b = 1$ . ■

**Corollary 7.1.** If  $x^m = 1$  then  $|x|$  divides  $m$  if  $m$  is finite.

*Proof.* If  $m = 0$ , we are done since everything divies zero. Assume that  $1 \leq m < \infty$ . Let  $n = |x| \leq m < \infty$  be finite. Let  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ , so  $x^d = 1$ . We know that  $d$  divides  $n$ , and since  $n$  is the smallest power of  $x$  to be the identity, we know that  $d = n$ . *A priori*, we know that  $d$  divides  $m$  so  $d$  must divide  $m$  as well. ■

**Proposition 7.2.** Let  $x \in G$ , and let  $a \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

1. If  $|x| = \infty$ , then  $|x^a| = \infty$ ;
2. If  $|x| = n < \infty$ , then  $|x^a| = n / \gcd(a, n)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of (1) is ommitted, and left as an exercise to the student. For (2), let’s focus on the special case that  $a$  divides  $n$ . If  $x^n = 1$  then  $(x^a)^{n/a} = x^n = 1$ . Then  $|x^a|$  is at most  $n/a$ . Suppose by way of contraction that the order  $d$  is strictly less than  $n/a$ .

Then  $x^{ad} = 1 \implies 1 \leq ad < n$ , but  $|x| = n$ . This is a contradiction, so the order of  $x^a$  must be exactly  $n/a$ . In the case that  $a$  does not divide  $n$ , play around with this to get the more general conclusion (the logic is the same). ■

**Definition** (Cyclic Group). A group  $G$  is cyclic if there exists an  $x \in G$  such that  $G = \langle x \rangle$ . As a note, it's not always easy to tell since there could be other presentations of a group which are not single elements. Always remember that presentations are not unique.

Cyclic Group

**Problem 7.2.** Let  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^3 = 1, ab = ba \rangle$ . Show that  $G$  is cyclic.

**Corollary 7.2.** All cyclic groups must be abelian, since any  $g \in G$  is generated by some  $x^a$ , and  $x$  always commutes with itself.

**Example 7.1** (Infinite Cyclic Groups). Throughout, let  $G = \langle x \rangle$ , and assume that  $|x| = \infty$ .

**Proposition 7.3.** The order of  $G$  is  $\infty$ . Then  $x^m \neq x^n$  for all distinct  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m < n$ . Suppose by way of contradiction that  $x^m = x^n$ . Then  $x^{n-m} = 1$ , which cannot happen since  $n - m > 0$  and  $|x| = \infty$ . Then  $|G| = \infty$ . ■

**Proposition 7.4.** Such  $G$  must be isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ .

*Proof.* Define a map  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G : n \mapsto x^n$ . This map is well defined. It also respects multiplication since  $m + n \mapsto x^m x^n$ . It is injective by Proposition 1, and it is surjective by Proposition 1 since  $G$  is generated completely by  $x$ . Then  $\phi$  is an isomorphism. ■

**Proposition 7.5.** Such a group  $G$  is generated by  $x^n$  if and only if  $n = \pm 1$ .

*Proof.* Left as an exercise to the student. ■

**Proposition 7.6.** Every subgroup of  $G$  is cyclic of the form  $H = \langle x^n \rangle$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x^n = 1$ . Then  $H$  is obviously cyclic. On the other hand, if  $H \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ . Let  $n = \min\{k > 0 \mid x^k \in H\}$ . This can't be empty, so there is an  $n$ . Then  $\langle x^n \rangle \subset H$ . Take some other element  $x^m \in H$ , and let  $d = \gcd(m, n) = am + bn$ . Then  $x^d = (x^m)^a (x^n)^b \in H$  but  $1 \leq d \leq n$ , so

$d = n$ . Then  $n$  divides  $m$ , and so  $x^m \in \langle x^n \rangle$ . The  $\langle x^n \rangle = H$ , and so  $H$  is cyclic. ■

**Corollary 7.3.** *Every non-trivial subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Corollary 7.4.** *For some cyclic  $G$ , we know that  $\langle x^n \rangle = \langle x^{-n} \rangle \subset G$ . Then all non-trivial subgroups correspond to  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .*

## 8 September 17, 2018

“The only thing I learned for years was how to count hedgehogs in a field.” (In the midst of a wonderful and inspirational talk about being a mathematician.)

---

Miki

### 8.1 Finite Cyclic Groups

Today, we’ll cover finite cyclic groups. This will be very similar to the previous lecture on infinite cyclic groups. As a reminder, here are the propositions for infinite cyclic groups:

**Proposition 8.1** (Infinite Cyclic Groups). *Let  $G$  be an infinite cyclic group.*

1. *The order of  $G$  is infinite, with  $G = \{\dots, x^{-1}, 1, x, x^2, \dots\}$  all distinct.*
2. *The group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*
3. *The group  $G$  is generated by  $x^n$  if and only if  $n = \pm 1$ .*
4. *Every subgroup  $G$  is cyclic.*

Now for the finite case.

**Proposition 8.2** (Finite Cyclic Groups). *Let  $G = \langle x \rangle$  with  $|G| = n < \infty$ .*

- P1. The group  $G$  is exactly  $\{1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$ .*
- P2. The group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .*
- P3. The group  $G$  is generated by  $x^k$  if and only if  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ .*
- P4. Every subgroup of  $G$  is also cyclic. That is, for all  $k > 0$  where  $k$  divides  $n$  we get a subgroup  $H$  of order  $k$  generated by  $x^{n/k}$*

*Proof of P1.* We know that  $1, \dots, x^{n-1}$  are all in  $G$ . Suppose that  $x^a = x^b$  for some distinct  $a, b$ . Then  $x^{b-a} = 1$  for  $0 < b-a < n$ , which is a contradiction since  $|x| = n$ . In fact, this set enumerates  $G$ . Suppose that  $x^k \in G$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We use the division algorithm to write that  $k = an + r$  for some  $a, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x^k = x^{an+r} = (x^n)^a x^r = x^r$ , so  $x^k$  is in  $G$ . ■

*Proof of P2.* Let  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G : \bar{k} \mapsto x^k$  where  $k$  is any representative of  $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . To show that  $\phi$  is well-defined, consider another representative  $\ell$  of  $\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\ell = k + an$ , so  $x^\ell = x^{k+an} = x^k (x^n)^a = x^k$ . To show that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, consider that  $\phi(\bar{m} + \bar{n}) = x^{m+n} = x^m x^n$ , so  $\phi$  is multiplicative. Finally, we know that  $\phi$  is surjective

and injective by P1. This tells us that, up to an isomorphism, there are only really two cyclic groups;  $\mathbb{Z}$  if the group is of infinite order, or  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  if it is finite. ■

*Proof of P3.* This is more of a sketch. Recall that  $\langle |x^k| \rangle = |x^k|$ , and this is  $n$  if and only if  $\gcd(k, n) = 1$ . In general,  $|x^k| = n / \gcd(k, n)$ . ■

*Proof of P4.* Exactly the same as the infinite case. ■

Now that we've covered cyclic groups, it's helpful to introduce some notation to represent them.

**Notation** ( $\mathbb{Z}_n, C_n$ ). We write the multiplicative cyclic group of order  $n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ . The additive cyclic group of order  $n$ , which we've been writing as  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , is commonly written as  $C_n$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_n, C_n$

## 8.2 Subgroups

[DFo4] uses the notation  $S \subset G$  to mean that  $S$  is a subset of  $G$ , and  $H \leq G$  to mean that  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ .

**Definition** (Subgroup). Let  $S \subset G$  be nonempty. Let  $H = \{a_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots a_k^{\varepsilon_k}\}$  where  $a_i \in S$  and  $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . This sequence of  $a_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  is called a *word*. Note that  $a_i$  need not be distinct. Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . *Subgroup*

*Proof.* First, we know that  $H \subset G$ . We know that  $1 \in H$ . Since any concatenation of words is also a word, we know that  $H$  is closed under multiplication. Finally, since  $((ab)^n)^{-1} = b^{-n}a^{-n}$  we know that the inverses of a word are words themselves, and so  $H$  is closed under inversion. ■

## 9 September 19, 2018

“Funny things happen with groups,  
which is why they’re fun!”

---

Miki

Recall from last time how we defined a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  in terms of words where the powers of each element was  $\pm 1$ . If  $G$  is abelian we can combine elements of like bases to get powers which can be any integral value. If we assume that  $|a_i| = d_i$  is finite for all  $a_i \in H$ , then we know that  $|H| \leq d_1 \cdots d_k$ . This gives us a limit on the order of a subgroup; if  $G$  is abelian then the order of a subgroup is bounded above by the product of the orders of the generating elements. On the other hand, if  $G$  is not abelian then this does not always hold. Consider  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^2 = b^2 = 1 \rangle$ . If  $G$  isn’t commutative, then  $(ab)^n \neq a^n b^n$  for all  $n$  and so we can just create infinitely many words by appending  $ab$  to one another and so the order is infinite.

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $G = \{a_1^{n_1} \cdots a_k^{n_k}\}$  be abelian, and let each  $a_i$  have finite order  $d_i$ . Then  $|G| \leq d_1 \cdots d_i$ .*

**Proposition 9.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a collection of subgroups of  $G$ . Then*

$$K = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} L$$

*is a subgroup of  $G$ .*

**Definition** (Subgroup). Let  $S \subset G$  and let  $\mathcal{L} = \{L \leq G \mid S \subset L\}$ . Then the subgroup generated by  $S$  is

Subgroup

$$K = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}} L.$$

What do we know from this definition? Well,  $S \subset K$  and  $K \leq G$ . We want to say that  $K \in \mathcal{L}$  is the minimal element, so  $K = L_i$  for some  $i$ .

**Definition** (Minimal Element). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a collection of subsets of  $G$ . A minimal element is an element  $M$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that if  $M' \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $M' \subset M$  then  $M = M'$ . It’s like “the smallest element” except there could be multiple minimal elements.

Minimal Element

We want to show that  $K$  is the minimal element of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

*Proof  $K$  is minimal.* Let  $L \in \mathcal{L}$ . Then  $K \subset L$ . Then either  $K = L$  or  $L$  is not minimal. ■

*Proof  $K$  is the minimal element.* Suppose there is another minimal  $M$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . By definition  $K \subset M$  so by minimality  $M = K$ . ■



**Proposition 9.2.** *Our two definitions for subgroup (generated by words  $H$  and minimal element of collection  $K$ ) containing elements of  $S \subset G$  are equivalent.*

*Proof.*  $H \leq G$  and  $S \subset H$  by the construction of 1-letter words. Then  $H \in \mathcal{L}$  so  $K \subset H$ . On the other hand,  $S \subset K$  and  $K$  is a group. Then  $K$  contains all inverses and products of elements in  $S$ , so it contains all words and therefore contains  $H$ . Then  $H \subset K$ . Putting this together, we have that  $K = H$ . ■

**Definition** (Lattice). Given a group  $G$ , a lattice is a diagram showing all subgroups of  $G$  which shows containment between the subgroups.

*Lattice*

**Figure 1:** Lattice Diagram of  $C_2$

Recall from last time that for  $C_n$  the subgroups are paired with the divisors  $k$  of  $n$ ; then  $\langle k \rangle$  generates subgroup of order  $n/k$ .

**Figure 2:** Lattice Diagram of  $C_4$

**Figure 3:** Lattice Diagram of  $C_8$

**Figure 4:** Lattice Diagram of  $C_6$

**Figure 5:** Lattice Diagram of  $S_3$

**10 September 21, 2018**

“Oh I erased my smiley face again. How sad.” (She did not sound sad.)

---

Miki

**Problem 10.1** (Warm up). Draw the lattice diagram for  $C_{12}$ .

**Figure 6:** Lattice for  $C_{12}$

*Finish this*

## 11 September 24, 2018

“This is where the fun begins.” (slightly paraphrased)

---

Miki

### 11.1 Quotient Groups

For the rest of this section, keep in mind the example of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . This is kind of like the prototypical example for quotient groups.

**Definition** (Coset). Let  $H \leq G$ . The left coset of  $H$  in  $G$  is a set of the form  $aH = \{ah \mid h \in H\} \subset G$  for a fixed  $a \in G$ . The right coset of  $H$  in  $G$  is a set of the form  $Hb = \{hb \mid h \in H\} \subset G$  for a fixed  $b \in G$ .

Coset

We said previously that left multiplication permutes the elements of  $H$  (this was called the left regular action), and in particular we know that  $|aH| = |H|$ . We can see this trivially by simply multiplying each  $ah$  by  $a^{-1}$ . Note that this coset is usually *not* a subgroup; if  $a^{-1} \notin H$  then  $e \notin aH$ .

**Example 11.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $H = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the cosets  $0 + H$  and  $1 + H$  (these are just the even integers and the odd integers). In particular,  $0 \notin 1 + H$  and so  $1 + H \not\subseteq G$ .

The Right and Left cosets here are equal, which is always true of  $G$  is abelian.

Notice that in the above example, the cosets are disjoint and partition the group into equivalence classes. In general this is a true statement.

**Lemma 11.1.** The cosets of  $H$  partition  $G$  into equivalence classes, with the relation  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $a = bh$  for some  $h \in H$ . In particular,  $a \sim b$  if and only if  $aH = bH$ , and so the cosets defined by those elements are identical.

**Corollary 11.1.** The order of the cosets divides the order of  $G$ . In particular,  $|G| = |H| \cdot [G : H]$  where  $[G : H]$  is the index of  $H$  in  $G$  and is the number of (left OR right) cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ .

In the example with  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $2\mathbb{Z}$ , let's try to make these cosets behave like groups. Consider that  $(0 + H) + (1 + H) = (1 + H)$  (which just says that an even plus an odd equals an odd). We also have a homomorphism  $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z} : n \mapsto \bar{n} = n + H$ . This maps integers to cosets. Note that  $\pi$  respects the operations in each group! This is kind of what defines “adding even and odd integers” in the languages of sets.

**Notation.** Let  $0 + H = \pi^{-1}(\bar{0}) = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \pi(n) = \bar{0}\}$ ; this is the preimage of  $\pi$  or the fiber of  $\pi$  above 0. Yes this is overloaded notation, and no  $\pi$  does not have an inverse (it's pretty clearly *not* injective.)

Note that it doesn't really matter which elements we send into  $\pi$  as long as they are both of the same coset, so  $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$  if and only if  $a \sim b$ . Additionally, note that since  $\pi$  is a homomorphism we can say that  $\pi(\bar{1} + \bar{2}0) = \pi(\bar{1}) + \pi(\bar{2}0)$ .

Now, in making these cosets into groups we want them to inherit their operation from the parent group (so we can't just make up multiplications to suit our needs).

**Definition.** Let  $A, B \subset G$ . Then  $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\} \subset G$ . In particular, note that  $HH = H$  and  $(1H \cdot 1H = 1H)$ .

**Example 11.2** (Things Go Wrong). Let  $G = S_3$  and let  $H = \langle(23)\rangle = \{1, (23)\}$ . The cosets of  $H$  are  $1H = (23)H$ ,  $(12)H = (123)H = \{(12), (123)\}$ , and  $(13)H = (132)H = \{(13), (132)\}$ . Now consider  $1H \cdot (12)H = \{(12), (123), (132), (13)\}$ . In particular, note that this isn't a coset (it has too many elements!). We would have wanted that  $1H \cdot (12)H = (12)H$  but this doesn't happen. Then there is not quotient group  $G/H$ .

What just happened? Why can't we create a group out of the cosets of  $S_3$ ? We wanted that  $aH \cdot bH = abH$  but this didn't happen; essentially, we want  $b$  and  $H$  to commute, so we want that the left and right cosets to be equal to one another.

**Example 11.3.** Let  $G = S_3$  and let  $H = \langle(123)\rangle = A_3$ . This is the alternating group on three letters. As always,  $1H = H1 = H$ . Note that  $(12)H$  contains *the only other elements of  $G$*  which aren't in  $1H$ , and so  $(12)H = H(12) = G \setminus 1H = G \setminus H1$ . This happens when  $[G : H] = 2$  even though  $G$  is not abelian. Then  $S_3 \setminus A_3 = G \setminus H$  and  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  so multiplication is well defined.

## 12 September 26, 2018

Let  $N$  be a group...I'll call it  $N$   
suggestively

---

Miki

Recall from last class that we found an example of a non-abelian group and a subgroup for which the left and right cosets of the group were the same; in this case, it was  $G = S_3$  and  $H = A_3$ .

**Definition** (Quotient Group). Let  $H \leq G$ . The *quotient group*  $G/H$  is a group whose elements are the left cosets of  $H$ . The set for this group is known as the quotient set, and the operation for the group is inherited from  $G$  such that  $gH \star kH = gkH$ . Note that  $(gH, \star)$  does not always form a group, so it isn't guaranteed that  $G/H$  exists for any  $G, H$ .

Quotient Group

### 12.1 Mapping from $G$ to $G/H$

Given a group  $G$  and a quotient group  $G/H$  we can find a very natural mapping  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/H$  where  $g \mapsto gH$ . This map sends elements to their coset, and  $\pi(a) = \pi(b)$  if and only if  $aH = bH$ ; then the fibers of  $\pi$  are the left cosets of  $H$ , and  $\ker \pi = H$ . This is why we call it the quotient group — it's like we're dividing out by  $H$ . Note that this homomorphism is always going to be surjective since there's no member of  $G$  which isn't in some coset of  $H$  as they partition  $G$ .

**Definition** (Normal Subgroup). Let  $N \leq G$ . Then  $N$  is normal if and only if the left and right cosets are the same, so  $gN = Ng$ . If  $N$  is normal then  $G/N$  forms a quotient group. Note that this does not mean that  $gn = ng$  so  $g$  and  $n$  do not commute necessarily, but the cosets are preserved. This is equivalent to saying that  $N_N(G) = G$  but  $C_N(G)$  is not necessarily  $G$ .

Normal Subgroup

**Notation** ( $\trianglelefteq$ ). We write  $N \trianglelefteq G$  to mean that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

$\trianglelefteq$

**Theorem 12.1.** *The quotient group  $G/N$  exists if and only if  $N \trianglelefteq G$ .*

*Proof that  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is sufficient.* Observe that  $(aN)(bN) = abN$  if  $N$  is normal. Then group multiplication is well defined. Observe also that  $(aN)^{-1} = a^{-1}N$ , so the group is closed under inversion, and by definition our multiplication is associative. Then  $G/N$  forms a group if  $N$  is normal in  $G$ . ■

*Proof that  $N \trianglelefteq G$  is necessary.* Suppose  $H \leq G$  is not normal. Then there is some  $g \in G$  for which  $gH \neq Hg$ . Then we know that  $1HgH \neq gH$ , and our group operation  $\star$  cannot hold. ■

Not that  $|G/N| = |G|/|N| = [G : N]$  if  $G$  is finite, which we already knew but it's worth remembering it.

## 12.2 Testing Normality

**Proposition 12.1.** *The following are equivalent:*

- $N \trianglelefteq G$ ;
- $gNg^{-1} \subset N$  for all  $g \in G$  (note this implies they are equal since conjugation is injective);
- $N$  is the kernel of some homomorphism  $\pi : G \rightarrow H$  for some  $H \leq G$ .

*Proof that 1  $\implies$  2.* Let  $g \in G$  and  $n \in N$ . We know that  $gN = Ng$ , so there exists  $n' \in N$  such that  $ng = n'g$ . Multiply on the right by  $g^{-1}$  and we see that  $gng^{-1} = n'$ , and so  $gng^{-1} \in N$  for all  $g, n$ . ■

*Proof that 2  $\implies$  1.* Literally just reverse the above procedure. ■

*Proof that 1  $\implies$  3.* Let  $H = G/N$ . Then we know that  $\ker \pi = N$  where  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N : g \mapsto gN$ . Then, rather trivially, we know  $N$  is the kernel for some homomorphism if  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . ■

*Proof that 3  $\implies$  2.* We know that  $N = \ker \pi$  for some  $\pi : G \rightarrow H$ . Then take any  $g \in G$  and  $n \in N$ , and consider that  $\pi(gng^{-1}) = \pi(g)\pi(n)\pi(g^{-1}) = \pi(g)\pi(g^{-1})$  since  $n \in \ker \pi$ , and then we conclude that  $\pi(g)\pi(g^{-1}) = 1$  and so we know that  $gng^{-1} \in \ker \pi$  so  $gng^{-1} \in N$  for all  $n \in N$  and for all  $g \in G$ . ■

## 13 Friday, 28 September 2018

“I’ll leave the cosets for later, where later means 15 seconds from now.”

---

Miki

“Continuous math is not allowed...don’t tell anyone I said that.”

---

Miki

Recall Lagrange’s Theorem, where if  $G$  is a finite group and  $H \leq G$  then  $|H|$  divides  $|G|$ ; in fact,  $|G|/|H| = [G : H]$ .

**Corollary 13.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $x \in G$ . Then  $|x|$  divides  $|G|$  since  $x$  generates a cyclic subgroup of order  $|x|$ , so  $|x| = |\langle x \rangle|$  which must divide  $|G|$  by Lagrange.*

**Corollary 13.2.** *If  $|G| = p$  is prime, then  $|G| \cong Z_p$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $|G| \neq 1$  there exists  $x \in G$  which is not the identity. Then consider  $\langle x \rangle$ . The order of this cyclic group must divide  $p$ , and since  $p$  is prime it must equal  $p$ , and so  $G = \langle x \rangle$  which means it is isomorphic to  $Z_p$ . ■

If we have some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  where  $n$  divides  $|G|$  for some  $G$ , it isn’t guaranteed that there exists some  $H \leq G$  where  $|H| = n$ , and/or there isn’t always an  $x \in G$  where  $|x| = n$ . For example, consider  $G = S_3$  and  $n = 6$ . However, if prime  $p$  divides  $|G|$  then there exists an  $x \in G$  where  $|x| = p$  – Miki says she will prove this later.

### 13.1 Product Subgroups

Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H, K \leq G$ . Let’s consider the product of  $HK$ , which we recall is defined as

$$HK = \{hk \mid h \in H, k \in K\}.$$

This may or may not be a subgroup. In general it is not.

**Example 13.1.** Let  $G = S_3$ , and let  $H = \langle (12) \rangle$  and let  $K = \langle (13) \rangle$ . Then  $HK = \{1, (12), (13), (132)\}$  which is not a subgroup of  $S_3$  since 4 does not divide 6.

What can we say about  $HK$  anyways?



**Proposition 13.1.** *The order of  $HK$  is at most  $|H||K|$ . In fact,*

$$|HK| = \frac{|K||K|}{|H \cap K|}.$$

*Proof.* We know that  $HK$  is the union of left cosets of  $K$  where

$$HK = \bigcup_{h \in H} hK.$$

Consider  $a, b \in H$ . We know that  $aK = bK$  if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in K$  which is true if and only if  $a^{-1}b \in K \cap H$ . This means that  $aK \cap H = bK \cap H$ . Then we've reduce the problem to counting the number of distinct cosets  $hK$  which is just the index, so it is  $|H|/|K \cap H|$ . Multiplying through by the size of  $K$ , we find that

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|K \cap H|}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we can answer when  $HK$  is a subgroup; it happens if and only if  $HK = KH$ . Intuitively, this happens only when  $hkh'k' \in HK$  which can happen if and only if we can commute the  $h$  and  $k$  elements. It is sufficient to say that  $H$  is in the normalizer of  $K$  or vice-versa. Another sufficient condition is to say that  $K \trianglelefteq G$ , or the other way around. Note that neither of these conditions is necessary.

$$H \leq N_G H \implies hK = Kh \implies hk = k'h,$$

but we only need that  $hk = k'h'$ . That is, we only need that  $hK = Kh'$  which is a weaker condition than being in the normalizer.

## 13.2 Isomorphism Theorems

**Theorem 13.1** (First Isomorphism Theorem). *Given a surjective homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ , we know that  $H \cong G/\ker \phi$ .*

*Proof.* This was the definition of  $G/\ker \phi$ , since  $\ker \phi \trianglelefteq G$ . See the previous lecture notes for a more in-depth explanation. ■

**Example 13.2.** Consider  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  and let  $\phi = \det : G \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3^\times$ . Then  $\ker \phi = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$ , and  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)/\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3) \cong \mathbb{F}_3^\times$ . Since  $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  has 48 and  $\mathbb{F}_3^\times$  has 2 elements then we know that  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$  is of order 2.

**Theorem 13.2** (Second Isomorphism Theorem). *Let  $G$  be a group with  $H, K \leq G$  and let  $H \leq N_G K$ . Then  $HK/L \cong H/H \cap K$ .*

*Proof.* We know several things.

- $HK \leq H$  since  $H \leq N_G K$ ;
- $K \leq HK$ , since we know that  $H \leq N_G K$  and  $K \leq N_G K$  so  $K \leq HK$ ;
- Now we can take the quotient  $HK/K$ , which is the left cosets of  $K$  in  $HK$ . We have shown that  $hK = h'K$  if and only if  $hH \cap K = h'H \cap K$ . Then define the map  $\pi : H \rightarrow HK/K$  defined by  $h \mapsto hK$ . This is a homomorphism since  $hKh'K = hh'K$  since that's how we defined multiplication. Then  $\ker \pi$  is all elements  $h$  of  $H$  which map to the identity coset which happens if and only if  $h \in K$ , so  $\ker \pi = \{h \in H \cap K\}$ . Then by the First Isomorphism Theorem,  $H/H \cap K \cong HK/K$ . ■

**Example 13.3.** Let  $G = S_3$ , let  $K = A_3$ , and let  $H = \langle (12) \rangle$ . We know that  $HK = S_3$  and  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ . Then we know that  $HK/K = S_3/A_3 \cong \langle (12) \rangle/1 \cong Z_2$ .

## 14 Monday, 1 October 2018

“I’ve got H’s on the brain.”

---

“That’s the third isomorphism theorem, I knew you wouldn’t like it. It should take you anywhere from a day to seven years to become comfortable with it.”

---

Miki

“It’s math....it keeps doing things like that.”

---

Miki

### 14.1 Isomorphism Theorems Continued

Recall from last lecture we developed the first two isomorphism theorems. Today, we’ll cover the last two (or one, depending on your perspective).

**Theorem 14.1** (Third Isomorphism Theorem). *Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H, N$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $N \subseteq H$ . Then  $G/N/H/N \cong G/H$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a map  $\phi : G/N \rightarrow G/H : gN \mapsto gH$ . We need this map to be well-defined. Suppose that  $g_1N = g_2N$ . Then  $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in N$ , but  $N \subseteq H$ , and so  $g_1H = g_2H$  and  $\phi$  is well defined. We also need to know that this is a homomorphism. Consider  $\phi(g_1N)\phi(g_2N) = g_1g_2N = \phi(g_1g_2N)$ , and in fact we also know that  $\phi$  is surjective. Consider  $gH \in G/H$  and suppose that  $gH = \phi(gN)$ . Since  $N \subset H$  this is well defined. Consider then that  $\ker \phi : \phi(gN) = gH$ . This happens if and only if  $g \in H$  so  $gN \subset H$  is a coset of  $N$  in  $H$  and  $gN \in H/N$ , so  $\ker \phi = H/N$ . Then by the First Isomorphism Theorem, we know that  $G/N/\ker \phi \cong G/H$ . ■

**Example 14.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  with  $N = \langle 10 \rangle$  and  $H = \langle 2 \rangle$ . Then  $G/N = \{0 + N, \dots, 9 + N\}$  and  $G/H = \{0 + H, 1 + H\}$ . Then  $H/N = \{0 + N, 2 + N, \dots, 8 + N\}$ . The idea here is that if you take  $\mathbb{Z} \pmod{10}$ , and then modulo the result by 2, then it didn’t really matter than we modded out by 10 to begin with.

**Theorem 14.2.** *The Totally not fourth isomorphism theorem Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . There is a correspondence (bijection) between subgroups of  $G$  which contain  $N$  and subgroups of  $G/N$ . That*

is,

$$\pi : H \mapsto \pi(H), \quad \bar{H} \mapsto \pi^{-1}(\bar{H}).$$

Note that for any  $H \leq G$  we know that  $\pi(H) \leq G/N$ . We require normality to ensure that  $\pi$  is injective.

**Example 14.2.** Consider  $G = S_3$  with  $N = A_3$ . Then  $\pi(S_3) = G/N$  and  $\pi(A_3) = N$ . What is  $\pi(\langle(12)\rangle)$ ? It's all of  $G/N$ .

## 14.2 Why do people care about normal groups?

**Definition** (Simple). A group  $G$  is simple if  $|G| > 1$  and  $G$  contains no proper normal subgroups.

*Simple*

**Definition** (Composition Series). Consider something like  $1 = N_0 \trianglelefteq N_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq N_r = G$  where  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is simple for all  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ . As an example,  $1 \trianglelefteq A_3 \trianglelefteq S_3$ . Then  $S_3/A_3 \cong Z_2$  and  $A_3/1 \cong Z_3$ . These series allow us to construct large groups whose multiplication is unknown, since normal subgroups multiply to form subgroups of something larger. For more information on this, see the *Holder Program*, which was started in 1890 to classify simple groups and it took 103 years to actually classify them all. These series are *almost* unique, where the quotient groups are unique up to a permutation, so the set of quotient groups are unique.

*Composition Series*

**Definition** (Solvable groups). A group  $G$  is solvable if  $1 = N_0 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq N_r = G$  and  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  is abelian. This kind of object shows up a lot in Galois Theory. As it turns out,  $A_1$  through  $A_4$  are solvable but  $A_5$  and higher is not solvable, which is why we can't solve arbitrary quintics.

*Solvable groups*

## 15 Wednesday, 3 October 2018

“I will not try to decide whether that was happy or sad.”

---

Miki

“Try it if you don’t believe me.”

---

Miki

“If you don’t have surjectivity, you have nothing.”

---

Miki

Recall from last time that we defined a simple group to be a non-trivial group which has no proper normal subgroups. Observe that if  $G$  is abelian and simple then it has no proper subgroups at all, since all subgroups would be normal.

### 15.1 Permutations

We’ll take a shortcut through linear algebra to talk about the signs of permutations; the book constructs the notion from scratch. Recall that we can switch the rows of a matrix using the permutation matrix  $P_{mn}$ , by which left multiplication swaps the rows  $m$  and  $n$ . Now, we talk about this as the cycle  $(mn)$ , so for example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \sigma = (12) \in S_3.$$

Essentially, we start with  $I_n$  and permute the rows according to  $\sigma$  to yield the corresponding permutation matrix  $P_\sigma$ .

**Definition** (Sign of Permutation). Let  $\varepsilon : S_n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  by  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = \det P_\sigma$ . Then  $\varepsilon$  is the *sign* of  $\sigma$ .

*Sign of Permutation*

Note that  $\varepsilon$  is actually a group homomorphism since the determinant is multiplicative; that is  $\varepsilon(\tau\sigma) = \det(P_{\tau\sigma}) = \det(P_\tau)\det(P_\sigma) = \varepsilon(\tau)\varepsilon(\sigma)$ . Then we can quite naturally ask, what is the kernel of  $\varepsilon$ . We define the terms *even* and *odd* to mean permutations whose sign is  $+1$  and  $-1$  respectively. Then  $\ker \varepsilon = A_n \leq S_n$  is the set of all even permutations. This gives us a rigorous definition of the alternating group.

Let’s note that a two-cycle in  $S_n$  is a transposition, and we have already proven on homework that every element in  $S_n$  can be written as the product of two-cycles. We can quite easily conclude that every transposition has a sign of  $-1$ .

**Proposition 15.1.** *Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be a  $k$ -cycle. Then  $\varepsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{k-1}$ .*

**Problem 15.1.** How large is  $A_n$ ?

Since  $\varepsilon$  is surjective, we know by the First Isomorphism Theorem that  $S_n/A_n \cong Z_2$  (since  $\text{Im } \varepsilon = Z_2$ ), so  $|A_n| = n!/2$ .

**Theorem 15.1.** *The alternating group on  $n$  letters is simple if  $n \geq 5$ . This was proven by Galois in the 1830's and is the reason for quintic insolubility.*

## 15.2 Actions

Recall that an action is a map  $\phi : G \times A \rightarrow A$  by  $\phi(g, a) = g \cdot a$ . This yields a homomorphism  $G \rightarrow S_A$  by  $g \mapsto \sigma_g$ , where  $\sigma_g$  is bijective for a fixed  $g \in G$ . Recall also for  $a \in A$  the stabilizer  $G_a$  is the set of  $g$  for which  $ga = a$ , and the kernel of the action is the set of  $g \in G$  for which  $ga = a$  for all  $a \in A$ . We said that an action is *faithful* if the kernel of the action is the identity; that is, different elements of  $g$  give different permutations on  $A$ . Furthermore, the orbit of  $a$  is the set of  $ga$  for all  $g \in G$ . We proved on homework that the orbits partition  $A$ .

**Definition** (Transitive). An action is transitive if all elements of  $A$  are in a single orbit; i.e.,  $a \sim b$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

*Transitive*

## 16 Friday, 5 October 2018

“I mean,  $\infty!$  is a big number!”

---

Miki

“Oh well, we’ll cry later.”

---

Miki

### 16.1 Orbits and Stabalizers

Miki introduced a new proposition today which I think is just the Orbit-Stabalizer theorem.

**Proposition 16.1.** *Given an action  $G \times A \rightarrow A$  and an  $a \in A$  we know that  $|O_a| = [G : G_a]$  which tells us that  $|G| = |O_a| |G_a|$ .*

*Proof.* Define a map  $\pi : \{\text{cosets of } G_a \text{ in } G\} \rightarrow O_a$ . Note that this is just a map, not a homomorphism. Define  $\pi$  to be  $gG_a \mapsto g \cdot a$ . We’ll show it’s well-defined and injective at the same time. Suppose we have that  $gG_a = hG_a$  which happens if and only if  $g^{-1}h \in G_a$  or  $g^{-1}h \cdot a = a$ , so  $ha = ga$ . Since anything in the orbit is  $g \cdot a$  for some  $g$ , we also know that  $\pi$  is surjective; then  $\pi$  is a bijection and so  $|O_a| = [G : G_a]$ . ■

### 16.2 Cycles in $S_n$

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be of order  $k$ . We want to write it as the product of disjoint cycles. Consider the set  $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ . We construct the action  $\langle \sigma \rangle \times A \rightarrow A$ . Consider the orbit  $O_a$  of  $a \in A$  under  $\langle \sigma \rangle$ . We know by the orbit-stabalizer theorem that there is a bijection between the cosets  $G_a$  and the orbit of  $a$ . Since  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  is cyclic we know that  $G_a = \langle \sigma^r \rangle$  is also cyclic. By the definition of our map  $\pi$  from the Orbit-Stabalizer theorem, we know that  $\pi(\sigma^i G_a) = \sigma^i a$ . Then  $O_a = \{a, \sigma a, \dots, \sigma^{r-1} a\}$  then on  $O_a$  we can say that  $\sigma$  acts as an  $r$ -cycle. Since the orbits collectively partition  $A$  we know that they are disjoint, and so we know that we can write  $\sigma$  as the product of disjoint cycles, which is unique up to the order of the cycles and up to cyclic permutation within each cycle. Note that since  $\langle \sigma \rangle$  is cyclic (and therefore abelian) the cosets  $G_a$  are simply  $G/G_a$ .

### 16.3 Actions of $G$ on itself

Previously we defined two canonical actions of  $G$  on itself, via *left multiplication* where  $G \times G \rightarrow G : (g, a) \mapsto g \cdot a$ , and *conjugation*, where  $G \times G \rightarrow G : (g, a) \mapsto gag^{-1}$ . In the first case, we know that the action of left multiplication is faithful, and gave us an injective homomorphism from  $G$  to  $S_G$  (i.e., finite  $G$  always is isomorphism to a subgroup of  $S_n$ ).

**Example 16.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  and our action is  $(i, j) \mapsto i + j$ , so  $\sigma_i(j) = i + j$ . Let's consider the orbits of 0 and 1 under  $\sigma_2$ . Observe that  $\cdots - 4 \rightarrow -2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow \cdots = O_0$  while  $O_1$  is just the odd integers. Now consider  $H = 4\mathbb{Z} \subset G$ , and let's consider how  $\sigma_2$  acts of  $G/H$ , or on the cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ . Well, we know that  $H = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$ . Note that  $\sigma_2$  becomes  $(\bar{0}\bar{2})(\bar{1}\bar{3})$ .

**Theorem 16.1.** Consider  $G \times G \rightarrow G$  via left multiplication, and consider how this action acts on  $H \leq G$ . We know it acts like  $g \cdot (aH) = gaH$ . We can show this is well defined by noting that if  $aH = bH$  then we know that  $ga = gbh$  and so our action is well defined. Let  $A$  be set of cosets of  $H$  in  $G$ , and we get a map  $\pi : G \rightarrow S_A$ . We know the following things.

1.  $G$  acts transitively on  $A$ ;
2.  $G_{1H} = H$ ;
3. The kernel of  $\pi$  is the intersection of all  $gHg^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ . This is actually the largest normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $H$ .

*Proof.* Left as an exercise to the reader (me). ■



## 17 Monday, 8 October 2018

“Remember that  $1 + 1 = 2$ .”

---

Miki

“This proof should make you feel better after your exam.”

---

Miki

Recall from last lecture we described how  $G$  can act on itself by either left multiplication or conjugation. Today we'll cover in detail conjugation. Remember that conjugation is an action defined as

$$G \times G \rightarrow G : ga \mapsto gag^{-1}.$$

We define the orbit  $O_a$  of  $a$  under conjugation to be the *conjugacy class* of  $a$ . This is an equivalence relation (since we already know this holds for orbits). Then consider  $S_1, S_2 \subset G$ . They are conjugate if there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $gS_1g^{-1} = S_2$ . Note that these subsets better have the same cardinality.

For any  $x \in G$ , we know that  $|O_x| = [G : G_x]$  where  $G_x = G_G(x)$  is the centralizer of  $x$  in  $G$ , as it turns out.

### Example 17.1 (Conjugacy Classes).

1. Consider  $G = C_6$ . Since  $G$  is abelian, we know that  $gxg^{-1} = x$  for all  $x, g \in G$  so the orbit of  $x$  is simply  $\{x\}$ .
2. Consider  $D_8 = \langle r, s \mid \dots \rangle$ . What is the center of  $G$ ? It's  $Z(G) = \{1, r^2\}$ . Let's consider that orbit of 1 is 1 and the orbit of  $r^2$  is just  $r^2$ . This tells us that if  $x \in Z(G)$  then  $O_x = \{x\}$  under conjugation. Then let's consider some  $x \notin Z(G)$ . The size of the orbit must be strictly greater than 1 (since otherwise it would commute with everything). Consider that the centralizer must have at least three elements  $(1, r^2, x)$ , and so must have at least order four. It can't have order eight since the identity will not be in the centralizer, and so we know that  $|O_x| = 2$ . This tells us that the orbit of *any non-center* element has order two, which tells us that we can find the orbits of any elements *super quickly* since we just need to conjugate it *once* and get a new element and we are done!

**Theorem 17.1** (Class Equation). *Let  $G$  be a group. The center of the group contains all conjugacy classes of size 1. List the classes of size greater than or equal to 2 as  $O_{x_1}, \dots, O_{x_n}$ .*

Then

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |O_{x_i}|$$

since the orbits partition  $G$ .

**Theorem 17.2.** Let  $|G| = p^n$  where  $p$  is prime. We know a few things about such a group.

1.  $|Z(G)| > 1$ .

*Proof of 1.* We know from the class equation that

$$|G| = |Z(G)| + \sum_{i=1}^n |O_{x_i}|.$$

For all  $i$  we know that  $|O_{x_i}| \geq 2$  and we know that it divides the order of the group. Then  $|O_{x_i}| = p^k$  for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then  $p$  divides the order of the sum of the orders of the orbits, and so  $p$  must divide the order of the center. In fact, this tells us that  $|Z(G)|$  is at least  $p$ . ■

**Proposition 17.1.** For prime  $p$ , if  $|G| = p^2$  then

- (a)  $G$  is abelian, and
- (b)  $G \cong C_{p^2}$  or  $C_p \times C_p$ .

*Proof of (a).* Let  $x \in G$ , and assume by way of contradiction that  $x \notin Z(G)$ . Consider  $H = \langle Z(G), x \rangle$ . Since  $G$  is a  $p$  group, we know that the center cannot be one, and so  $|G| = p$  (since it must divide  $p^2$  and if  $|G| = p^2$  then  $x \in Z(G)$ ). And we know that  $|H| \geq |Z(G)|$  so we know that  $p \leq |H| \leq p^2$  and the order must divide  $p$ , and so we know that  $H = G$ . But since  $x$  commutes with everything in  $H$  we know that  $x \in Z(G)$ . ■

*Proof of (b).* Left as an exercise to us. ■

### 17.1 Conjugation in $S_n$

If you take an arbitrary element  $\sigma$  of  $S_n$ , what can we reasonably expect the conjugacy class of  $\sigma$  to look like? For example, consider  $\sigma = (123)$ . Well,  $(14)(123)(14) = (423)$ . We also know that  $(256)(123)(652) = (153)$ . Notice that we've found two 3-cycles! We can hypothesize that  $|\tau\sigma\tau| = |\sigma|$ , and in fact we just replace the elements of the 3-cycle with "where the numbers in the conjugating cycles get sent." That is, if  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$  then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = (\tau(a_1), \dots, \tau(a_k))$ . We can infer a slightly stronger link here; in fact,  $\sigma$  is conjugate to  $\sigma'$  if and only if they have the same cycle structure.

## 18 Friday, 12 October 2018

“We have hope. But hope doesn’t mean much.”

---

Miki

Let’s return to the proposition we described last time, where we said that the equivalency classes in  $S_n$  under conjugation are exactly the sets of permutations with the exact same cycle decomposition structure. That is, all elements of the form  $(\cdots) \in S_n$  are conjugates with one another, and the same holds for  $(\cdots)(\cdots)$  and all other cycle structures.

### Proposition 18.1.

- (a) If  $\sigma \in S_n$  is a  $k$  – cycle where  $\sigma = (a_1, \cdots, a_k)$ , and  $\tau \in S_n$ , then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = (\tau(a_1), \dots, \tau(a_k))$ .
- (b) If  $\sigma$  is a product of disjoint cycles  $\sigma_i \cdots \sigma_r$  then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  is the product of disjoint cycles  $\tau\sigma_i\tau^{-1}$ .
- (c) Cycles  $\sigma, \sigma'$  are conjugate if and only if they have the same cycle structure.

*Proof of (a).* Let  $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$  so that  $\tau A = A$ . Then  $A = \{\tau(1), \dots, \tau(n)\}$ . ■

FINISH THIS

*Proof of (b).* Let  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r$ . Then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  can be written as  $\tau\sigma_1(\tau^{-1}\tau) \cdots (\tau^{-1}\tau)\sigma_r\tau^{-1}$ , and by associativity the proposition holds. Since the cycles were disjoint to begin with, permuting each  $\sigma_i$  under  $\tau$  ensure that the products are still disjoint. ■

*Proof of (c).* The forward direction follows immediately from the previous two proofs. Next, assume  $\sigma, \sigma'$  have the same cycle structure. Then

$$\sigma = (a_1^1 \cdots a_{k_1}^1)(a_1^2 \cdots a_{k_2}^2) \cdots (a_1^r \cdots a_{k_r}^r),$$

and

$$\sigma' = (b_1^1 \cdots b_{k_1}^1)(b_1^2 \cdots b_{k_2}^2) \cdots (b_1^r \cdots b_{k_r}^r).$$

Then  $A = \{1, \dots, n\} = \{a_i^j\} = \{b_i^j\}$ . Then take  $\tau(a_i^j) = b_i^j$ , since this is just a permutation on the elements in  $A$ , so by (a) and (b) this holds. ■

### 18.1 Proving the simplicity of $A_5$

This is a big deal.

*Proof.* We want to show that  $A_5$  (or any  $A_n$ , for that matter) has no proper normal subgroups. Recall the orbit stabilizer theorem, where  $|G| = |G_x| \cdot |O_x|$  for any  $x \in G$ . Recall also that if  $N \trianglelefteq G$  then  $N$  is the union of conjugacy classes. Let’s start by finding the class equation for  $A_5$ . Since  $A_5$  must have even sign, we know that the only cycles in  $A_5$  are of the form  $e$ ,  $(\cdots)$ ,  $(\cdots)$ , and  $(\cdots)$ . Let  $O_x^{S_5}$  be the orbit of an element  $x$

in  $S_5$  while  $O_x^{A_5}$  is the orbit in  $A_5$ . Note that  $|O_x^{A_5}| \leq |O_x^{S_5}|$ . Similarly, anything in  $A_5$  which fixes  $x$  must also fix  $x$  in  $S_5$  so  $|(S_5)_x| \geq |(A_5)_x|$ . We also know by Orbit-Stabilizer that  $|(A_5)_x| \cdot |O_x^{A_5}| = |A_5| = 60$  while  $|(S_5)_x| \cdot |O_x^{S_5}| = |S_5| = 120$ . Combining these inequalities with the Orbit-Stabilizer theorem (and recognizing that everything here is an integer), we are left with the option that either the orbits are the same size and the centralizer in  $A_5$  is half of the centralizer in  $S_5$ , or that the centralizers are the same and the orbits in  $A_5$  are half that of the orbits in  $S_5$ .

Let's figure out which of these cases is true. Consider  $x = (\cdot \cdot \cdot) = (123) \in S_5$  without a loss of generality. What is the size of the orbit of  $x$  in  $S_5$ ? Well, it's all three-cycles, so there are  $2 \cdot \binom{5}{3} = 20$  elements in the orbit of  $x$  in  $S_5$ . By Orbit-Stabilizer, the size of the stabilizer is then  $120/20 = 6$ . Note that  $(45) \in (S_5)_x$  since it doesn't move  $x$ , but because  $(45)$  is not in  $A_5$  since it has the wrong sign, we know that it is the stabilizer which has shrunk and the orbits have the same size.

Let's do the same thing with  $x = (\cdot \cdot)(\cdot \cdot) = (12)(34)$  without a loss of generality. Then  $|O_x^{S_5}| = \binom{5}{1} \cdot 3 = 15$  elements in the orbit of  $x$  in  $S_5$ . Since this is odd, we know that the orbit can't shrink so it *again* must be the case that the stabilizer has shrunk.

Now let  $x = (\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)$ . The orbit of  $x$  is then of order  $5!/5 = 4!$  while the stabilizer is of order  $5!/4! = 5$ . In this case, it is now the *orbit* which has shrunk.

Then  $|A_5| = 1 + 20 + 15 + 2 \cdot 12$  where 20 comes from the 3-cycles, 15 comes from the double 2-cycles, and the 24 comes from the two 5-cycles. Now suppose that  $N \leq A_5$ . We know it is the union of conjugacy classes and it contains the identity, so  $|N| = 1 + \{\text{some of } 12, 12, 15, 20\}$ , and it must divide  $|A_5| = 60$ . Note that this can happen *only* if  $|N| = 1$  or  $|N| = 60$ , so  $A_5$  contains no proper normal subgroups and is simple. ■

## 19 Monday, 15 October 2018

“Perfectly balanced, as all things should be.” (when referring to left and right actions)

---

Miki

“Our theorem is gone! Oh no!”

---

Miki

**Problem 19.1.** Does right multiplication define an action of  $S_4$  on itself?

*Solution.* No, since we can find two elements for which  $g_1(g_2(x)) \neq x \cdot (g_1g_2)$ . Consider (12) and (23) acting on the identity. In general, right multiplication is an action if and only if the group is commutative since we are “switching the order of the multiplication.” ■

### 19.1 Right Actions

In order to fix this “unfairness,” we often define something called a right action  $A \times G \rightarrow A$ , where the associativity of the action is specified as  $a \cdot (gh) = (a \cdot g) \cdot h$ . This turns right multiplication into a “right action.” There really isn’t any distinction between the two, which is why we just speak of “the action.”

How do we turn left actions into right actions? Suppose we have a left action  $g \cdot a$ . Define  $a \cdot g = g^{-1} \cdot a$ ; that is, the right action of  $g$  on  $a$  is just the left action by the inverse of  $g$ . This works since  $(gh)^{-1} = h^{-1}g^{-1}$  so the order follows the rules for right multiplication/action.

**Problem 19.2.** Consider  $\mathbb{Z}$  acting on itself through left addition, where  $m \cdot n \mapsto m + n$ , and consider that when we turn this into a right action. Then  $n \cdot m \mapsto -m + n = n - m$ , and we’ve just invented subtraction.

**Example 19.1.** Consider  $A_3 \trianglelefteq S_3$ , and consider conjugating  $(123) \in A_3$  by something in  $S_3$ . We know we’ll get either another three cycle or the

identity, since we know that  $gNg^{-1} = N$ . Then if  $g \in S_3$  there exists a  $\sigma_g : S_3 \rightarrow S_3$  which acts on  $g$  by conjugation. Then consider  $\sigma_g|_N$  restricted to acting on  $N$ . Then we have a map from  $N$  to itself. If  $g \in N$  then we get the trivial map (since this is just  $Z_3$ ), and otherwise we must not get the trivial map and so  $(123) \mapsto (132)$  and *vice versa*. In the latter case, we've created not just a random map but a homomorphism from  $N$  to itself. This homomorphism  $x \mapsto x^3$  in the group  $\langle x \mid x^3 = 1 \rangle$ , which is both injective and surjective and we know that this is a homomorphism since the generators satisfy the relations under the map since  $x^6 = 1$ .

## 19.2 Group Automorphisms

**Definition** (Automorphism). A group automorphism is an isomorphism from  $G$  to itself.

*Automorphism*

For every group  $G$  there is a group  $\text{Aut}(G)$  which is the group of all automorphism of  $G$  under composition. Miki told us to prove for ourselves that this is actually a group. Now consider  $G$  acting on itself through conjugation where  $g \mapsto \sigma_g : x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$ . For an normal subgroup of  $G$  we know that  $\sigma_g|_N : N \rightarrow N$ , and so we have a homomorphism  $\psi$  from  $G$  to  $\text{Aut}(N)$  where  $g \mapsto \sigma_g|_N$ . The kernel of  $\psi$  is the set of all elements in  $G$  which commute with  $N$ , and so  $\ker \psi = C_G(N)$ . Then  $G/C_G(N)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(N)$  by the First Isomorphism Theorem.

There are two things to unpack here. First, how to we know that  $\psi$  is actually a homomorphism? That is, why is  $\sigma_g|_N \in \text{Aut}(N)$ ? Well, consider that  $\sigma_g(nn') = gnn'g^{-1} = gng^{-1} \cdot gn'g^{-1} = \sigma_g(n)\sigma_g(n')$ , and so  $\sigma_g|_N$  preserves the group operation. Next, how to we know that the map  $g \mapsto \sigma_g$  is a homomorphism? That is, why does  $\sigma_{gg'} = \sigma_g\sigma_{g'}$ . Well, since conjugation is a well-defined action on  $G$ , this forms a homomorphism. Note that the restriction to  $N$  isn't important here, but the reason we require normality since we won't be able to compose the conjugations since  $gHg^{-1} \neq H$ .

**Corollary 19.1.** Take  $G = N$ . Then we get a homomorphism from  $G$  to its own automorphism group, and so  $G/C_G(G) = G/Z(G)$  is isomorphism to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(G)$ .

**Corollary 19.2.** Let  $H \leq G$  be any subgroup of  $G$ . Then for all  $g \in G$ ,  $gHg^{-1} \cong H$ , but they are not necessarily equal to one another.

**Corollary 19.3.** Let  $H \leq G$  be any subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(H)$ , since the centralizer is always normal in the normalizer. This is really just a general case of the preceding statements.

*Proof.* Since  $H \trianglelefteq N_G(H)$ , we just let  $G' = N_G(H)$  and apply the result. ■

## 20 Monday, 22 October 2018

“You all look so unhappy.”

---

Miki

“ $p$  is going to be prime for *at least* two more days.”

---

Miki

### 20.1 Clasifying Automorphisms

Let’s talk automorphisms!

**Definition** (Inner Automorphism). Let  $g \in G$  and let  $\sigma_g : G \rightarrow G : x \mapsto gxg^{-1}$  be an automorphism (i.e., an automorphism by conjugation). Then  $\sigma_g$  is an *inner automorphism*. The collection of all inner automorphisms forms a group  $\text{Inn}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)$  which is isomorphic to  $G/Z(G)$  by the first isomorphism theorem.

*Inner Automorphism*

**Example 20.1.** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . We proved on homework that  $\text{Aut}(G) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and so any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$  is uniquely determined by the map which sends 1 to  $a$  for some unit  $a$ . Since  $G$  is commutative, conjugation doesn’t really do anything, so  $\text{Inn}(G) = \sigma_1$ . Put another way,  $Z(G) = G$ , so  $\text{Inn}(G)$  is as small as it could be.

**Example 20.2.** Let  $G = D_8$ . The center of  $D_8$  is  $Z(D_8) = \langle r^2 \rangle$ . We know that  $\text{Inn}(G) \cong G/\langle r^2 \rangle \cong K_4$ .

**Definition** (Characteristic). A subgroup  $H \leq G$  is *characteristic* if  $\sigma(H) = H$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(G)$ . This is like a normal subgroup, except that a normal subgroup need only be preserved under *inner automorphism* while a being characteristic subgroup is a stronger condition.

*Characteristic*

**Example 20.3.** Let  $G = D_8$  and let  $H = \langle r^2 \rangle$ . Since  $H$  is the center, this is characteristic (this is true in general). Next let  $K = \langle r \rangle \leq G$ . Since  $\text{Im}(r)$  is either  $r$  or  $r^3$  (check the order under isomorphism) then  $\sigma(\langle r \rangle) = \langle r \rangle$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(D_8)$  and so it is characteristic.

Just to make the point explicit, if  $H$  is characteristic in  $G$  then it must be normal in  $G$ , but the reverse is not true. Additionally, if  $H$  is the unique subgroup of a particular order in  $G$  then it must be characteristic since there's nothing else it could be sent to under an automorphism since its image must be a subgroup of the same order.

## 20.2 Sylow $p$ -subgroups

**Definition.** Let  $p$  be prime. A  $p$ -subgroup is a subgroup of order  $p^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

**Definition.** Let  $|G| = p^a m$  where  $p$  does not divide  $m$ . If there is a subgroup of order  $p^a$  (there is) then a subgroup of this order is called a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. The set of all such groups is written as  $\text{Syl}_p(G)$ . The number of such groups is written as  $n_p(G) = |\text{Syl}_p(G)|$ .

**Example 20.4.** If  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$  the the only Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is the trivial subgroup. If  $|G| = p^a$  then the unique Sylow  $p$ -subgroup is  $\text{Syl}_p(G) = \{G\}$ .

**Example 20.5.** Let  $G = S_3$  which has order  $2 \cdot 3$ . Let  $p = 2$ ,  $m = 3$ , and  $a = 1$ . Then the largest  $\text{Syl}_p$  subgroup is  $C_2$ , of which there are three such subgroups (things generated by 2-cycles). If we let  $p = 3$ , then there is one Sylow  $p$ -subgroup, generated by a 3-cycle.

## 20.3 Sylow Theorems

Throughout, let  $p$  be prime and let  $G$  be a group of order  $p^a m$  where  $a > 0$  and  $p$  does not divide  $m$ .

**Theorem 20.1** (Sylow I). *There exists a subgroup of  $P \leq G$  where  $|P| = p^a$ .*

**Theorem 20.2** (Sylow II). *For each  $p$ , the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are conjugate to one another.*



**Theorem 20.3** (Sylow III). *The number of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , written  $n_p(G)$ , divides  $m$  and is congruent to  $1 \bmod p$ .*

We'll prove these next time (with a lot of chocolate). Today we'll just talk about the implications of these theorems.

**Corollary 20.1.** *There must exist an  $x \in G$  whose order is  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $y \in P$  be not the identity. Then  $|y| = p$ , so for some  $0 < b \leq a$  we know that  $x = y^{p^{b-1}}$ . ■

**Corollary 20.2.** *The Sylow  $p$ -subgroups are all conjugate.*

**21 Wednesday, 24 October 2018**

Today was a presentation of the proof of the Sylow theorems found in the textbook. As such, notes are omitted in favor of reading the relevant section in the book (and I'm rather tired today and I don't want to type anything up).

## **22 Friday, 26 October 2018**

Didn't go to class today! Something about direct products I think.

## 23 Monday, 20 October 2018

“Let’s write down all finitely generated abelian groups. What fun.”

---

Miki

We have two goals for today.

1. Is  $Z_{20} \times Z_{18} \cong Z_{36} \times Z_{10}$ ?
2. How do we classify *all* finitely generated abelian groups?

To start answering these, we’ll begin with a proposition.

**Proposition 23.1.**  $Z_n \times Z_m \cong Z_{mn}$  if and only if  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d = \gcd(m, n)$ , and let  $Z_m = \langle x \rangle$  and let  $Z_n = \langle y \rangle$ . Consider  $G = Z_m \times Z_n = \{(x^a, y^b)\}$ . Consider  $(c, f) \in G$ . Then  $|(c, f)| = \text{lcm}(|c|, |f|)$ . If  $d = 1$  then  $|(x, y)| = \text{lcm}(m, n) = mn$ , so  $Z_{mn} \cong \langle (x, y) \rangle \leq G$ . Since the orders are the same, it is isomorphic to the whole thing. On the other hand, if  $d > 1$ , let  $(c, f) \in G$ , and consider  $(c, f)^{mn/d} = (c^{mn/d}, f^{mn/d}) = (e, e)$ , so every element has order strictly less than  $mn$  since  $d > 1$ . Therefore  $G \not\cong Z_{mn}$ . ■

**Example 23.1.** Consider  $Z_9 \times Z_6 \not\cong Z_{54}$ . Note that  $Z_9 \times Z_6 \cong Z_9 \times Z_3 \times Z_2 = Z_{18} \times Z_3$ .

**Example 23.2.** Use the proposition we just proved to “factor” the groups into the same decomposition. Ta-Da!

fdas

### 23.1 Classifying Finitely-Generated Abelian Groups

**Definition** (Free Abelian Group). Let  $\mathbb{Z}^r = \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}$  ( $r$  times) be the free abelian group of rank  $r$ .

*Free Abelian Group*

**Theorem 23.1** (Classification Theorem for Finitely Generated Abelian Groups). *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated abelian group. Then there is a unique decomposition of  $G$  satisfying*

1.  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times Z_{n_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n_s}$  for  $r, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

2.  $n_i > 2$  for all  $i$ , and
3.  $n_{i+1}$  must divide  $n_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq s-1$ .

## 23.2 Classifying Finitely-Generated Abelian Groups 2, Electric Boogaloo

**Example 23.3.** Consider  $Z_{60} \cong Z_{2^2} \times Z_3 \times Z_5$ . Notice now that all the components are  $p$ -subgroups.

**Theorem 23.2.** Let  $|G| = n = \prod p_i^{a_i}$ , where  $a_i \geq 1$ . Then we can write  $G$  uniquely (up to order of primes) as  $G \cong A_1 \times \cdots \times A_k$  where  $|A_i| = p_i^{a_i}$ , and for all  $A = A_i$  where  $|A| = p^a$ , we know that  $A \cong Z_{p^{b_1}} \times \cdots \times Z_{p^{b_\ell}}$  where  $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \cdots \geq b_\ell$ , where the sum of all  $b_i$  is  $a$ .

## 24 Wednesday, 31 October 2018

“Oh. You are a gamer.”

---

Miki

### 24.1 FGAGs for Dayyyyyysss

Miki started us off with some exercises to apply what we learned last lecture about classifying finite abelian groups.

**Exercise 24.1.** Conver  $G = Z_{36} \times Z_{12}$  into elementary divisor notation.

*Solution.*  $G \cong (Z_{2^2} \times Z_{3^2}) \times (Z_{2^2} \times Z_3) \cong (Z_{2^2} \times Z_{2^2}) \times (Z_{3^2} \times Z_3)$ . ■

**Exercise 24.2.** Convert  $(Z_{16} \times Z_4 \times Z_2) \times (Z_9 \times Z_3)$  into invariant factor notation.

*Solution.* Group up the  $i^{\text{th}}$  terms in each parenthetical term, so  $G \cong (Z^{16} \times Z_9) \times (Z_4 \times Z_3) \times Z_2 \cong Z_{144} \times Z_{12} \times Z_2$ . ■

**Exercise 24.3.** Classify all abelian groups of order 24.

*Solution.* Let's use the invariant factor notation. If  $p$  divides the order of  $|G|$  then  $p$  divides  $n_1$ . The factorization of 24 is  $2^3 \times 3$ . Then  $n_1$  could be 24, and  $G_1 \cong Z_{24}$ . It could be that  $n_1 = 4 \cdot 3$ , so  $n_2 = 2$  and  $G_2 \cong Z_{12} \times Z_2$ . It could be that  $n_1 = 2 \cdot 3$ , so  $n_2 = 2$  and  $n_3 = 2$ , (can't be  $n_2 = 4$  since 4 doesn't divide 6), so  $G_3 \cong Z_6 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ . ■

*Solution.* Let's use the elementary divisor notation. Let  $|H| = 24$ . Then  $H \cong A_1 \times A_2$  where  $|A_1| = 2^3$  and  $|A_3| = 3$ . Then  $A_2 \cong Z_3$ . For  $A_1$ , we must take all non-increasing partitions of 3, so  $3 = 3, 2 + 1, 1 + 1 + 1$ . The the possibilities for  $A_1$  are  $Z_{2^3}$ ,  $Z_{2^2} \times Z_2$ , and  $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ . Then  $H$  is either  $Z_3 \times Z^{2^4} \cong Z_{2^4}$  or  $Z_3 \times Z_{2^2} \times Z_2 \cong Z_{12} \times Z_2$  or  $Z_3 \times Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_2 \cong Z_6 \times Z_2 \times Z_2$ . ■

## 24.2 The Shape of Things to Come

Over the next few lectures, we'll cover how to take the product of groups which aren't abelian, and understanding how we can "factor" non abelian groups in the same way that we now know how to factor abelian groups. Warning: it'll be the hardest single thing we do in this class.

## 24.3 Commutators

Let  $G$  be a group with  $x, y \in G$ . We defined the *commutator* to be  $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$ . The commutator of any two elements of  $G$  is one if and only if  $xy = yx$ . The commutator subgroup  $G' = \langle [x, y] \mid x, y \in G \rangle$ , which is normal in  $G$  and the quotient  $G/G'$  is abelian.

Suppose we had a homomorphism  $\phi$  from  $G$  to  $H$ , where  $H$  is abelian. Then it must be that  $G' \leq \ker \phi$ , since  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = \phi(y)\phi(x)$ , so  $[\phi(x), \phi(y)] = \phi([x, y])$  for all  $x, y \in G$ .

The quotient of  $G$  by  $G'$  is the largest abelian quotient of  $G$ , which means that the commutator group is the smallest subgroup for which the quotient is abelian.

**Example 24.1.** Let  $G = S_3$ . What is  $G'$ ? Given the sign map  $\pi : S_3 \rightarrow Z_2$ , we know that  $\ker \pi = A_3$ , so we know that  $G' \leq A_3$  since  $Z_2$  is commutative. Then  $G' = A_3$ .

**Example 24.2.** Let  $G = D_{12}$ . Is it a direct product of some proper subgroups? Consider  $K = \langle r^3 \rangle \leq Z(G)$  and let  $H = \langle s, r^2 \rangle$ . Notice that  $\langle H, K \rangle \leq G$  and  $\langle H, K \rangle$  contains  $s$  and  $r$ , so it is equal to the whole group. It's also true that  $H$  and  $K$  commute with one another. As it turns out (note quite a proof yet)  $G \cong K \times H$ .

**Theorem 24.1.** Let  $G$  be a group and let  $H, K$  be subgroups of  $G$  satisfying

1.  $H \trianglelefteq G$  and  $K \trianglelefteq G$ ,
2.  $H \cap K = \{e\}$ , and
3.  $\langle H, K \rangle = HK = G$ .

Then  $G \cong H \times K$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that  $H$  and  $K$  commute with one another. Consider  $[h, k]$ . Notice that  $(hkh^{-1})k^{-1} \in K$  and  $h(kh^{-1}k^{-1}) \in H$ , so it's in both  $H$  and  $K$ , but the intersection

of  $H$  and  $K$  is  $\{e\}$  which means that the commutator is the identity, which happens if and only if  $H$  and  $K$  commute with one another. Next, consider that  $|HK| = |H| \cdot |K| / |\{e\}| = |H| \cdot |K|$ . Next, create a map  $\phi : H \times K \rightarrow G$  where  $(h, k) \mapsto hk$ . We show that this is a homomorphism (and an isomorphism). Notice that  $\phi(h, k)\phi(h', k') = hh'kk' = \phi(hh', kk')$  so  $\phi$  is a homomorphism. It is also injective. Suppose that  $\phi(hk) = 1$ , which tells us that  $h = k^{-1}$ , which means that  $h = k = 1$  since  $h \in H \cap K$ . It is surjective, since the sizes of the groups are the same. Then  $G \cong H \times K$  through  $\phi$ . ■



## 25 Friday, 2 November 2018

“You’re not going to like this.”

---

Miki

### 25.1 Semidirect Products

We want to generalize our understanding of the direct product to non-commutativity.

**Example 25.1.** Let  $G = D_6$ , and let  $H = \langle r \rangle \cong Z_3$  and let  $K = \langle s \rangle \cong Z_2$ . Notice  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . Since their intersection is trivial,  $HK = G$ . Here, we know that  $G \not\cong H \times K$  (since then it would be abelian) but it’s really similar, since we can write any  $g$  as a product of  $h$  and  $k$ .

We kinda cheated with this example since we already know how  $r$  and  $s$  relate to one another, but what if we don’t know how to “conjugate” them? What if we don’t know how to multiply words?

**Definition** (Semidirect Product). Let  $H$  and  $K$  be groups, and let  $\phi : K \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H)$  be a homomorphism (aside from the trivial one, this may not exist). Then  $\phi$  defines an action of  $K$  on  $H$  where  $k \cdot h = \phi(k)(h)$ . Then  $G = H \rtimes_{\phi} K$  is called the semidirect product, where  $g \in G = (h, k)$  and  $(h_1, k_1)(h_2, k_2) = (h_1(k_1 \cdot h_2), k_1 k_2)$ . This is a generalization of conjugation.

*Semidirect Product*

There are some important properties of this. First,  $G$  is a group where  $|G| = |H| \cdot |K|$ . Second,  $H \leq G$  via  $h \mapsto (h, 1)$  and  $K \leq G$  via  $k \mapsto (1, k)$ . Third,  $H \cong \langle (h, 1) \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ . Fourth,  $H \cap K = \{e = (1, 1)\}$ . Finally, for all  $k \in K$  and  $h \in H$  we know that  $k \cdot h = khk^{-1}$ .

**Example 25.2.** Let  $K = \langle k \rangle \cong Z_2$  and  $H = \langle h \rangle \cong Z_3$ . What could  $H \rtimes K$  be? First, let’s find  $\text{Aut}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/(3))^{\times}$ , so there are only two possible choices for our defining homomorphism; it can be either the identity, or one other map. Then let  $\phi : k \mapsto e$ , so  $h \cdot h = h$  and the groups commute, so  $H \rtimes_{\phi} K \cong H \times K$ . Or, we could take that  $\psi : k \mapsto \chi$  where  $k \cdot h = h^2$  and where  $\chi$  is the other element of  $\text{Aut}(H)$ , and so  $H \rtimes_{\psi} K \cong S_3$ . Notice that  $H \rtimes_{\phi} K \not\cong H \rtimes_{\psi} K$ .

**Example 25.3.** What if we keep  $H$  and  $K$  but make  $H \cong Z_2$  and  $K \cong Z_3$  (i.e., we flip the group order)? Well,  $k \cdot 1 = 1$  and then, by exhaustion,  $k \cdot h = h$  (since that's all we can do with a homomorphism), so  $H \rtimes K \cong H \times K$ . This tells us that  $H \rtimes K$  is, in general, not the same thing as  $K \rtimes H$ .

## 26 Monday, 5 November 2018

“Now everybody is happy. Or not, but it works.”

---

Miki

### 26.1 More Semidirect Products

A continuation of the discussion from last lecture.

**Example 26.1.** Suppose  $H \cong Z_{20} \times Z_{45}$  (or any abelian group  $|H| > 2$ ). Since  $H$  is abelian we know that the map  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(H) : x \mapsto x^{-1}$  is a homomorphism. Then  $\gamma$  is of order two. Let's take some semidirect products. Consider

- (a)  $H \rtimes Z_2$  where  $\phi(k) = \gamma$ . Then  $k \cdot h = h^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$ .
- (b)  $H \rtimes Z_4$ . Here we could also send  $k$  to  $\gamma$  since  $\gamma^4 = 1$ . Then  $\phi(k^2) = 1$ , so  $k \cdot h = h^{-1}$ , and  $k^2 \cdot h = h$ . This sends  $Z_4$  to  $Z_4/\langle k^2 \rangle \cong Z_2$  to  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ .
- (c)  $H \rtimes S_3$ . We can quotient  $S_3$  by  $A_3$ , which is isomorphic to  $Z_2$ , and then we map this into  $\text{Aut}(H)$ . Composition of these maps yields a nontrivial homomorphism  $\phi$ . Here,  $\psi : S_3 \rightarrow S_3/A_3$  is the sign map, so for all  $\sigma \in S_3$ , we say that  $\psi : \sigma \mapsto \gamma$  if the sign of sigma is  $-1$ , and  $\sigma \mapsto 1$  if the sign of sigma is positive.

### 26.2 Identifying Groups as Semidirect Products

Given a group  $G$ , how can we tell what its semidirect product decomposition could be?

**Theorem 26.1.** Let  $G$  be a group with  $H, K \leq G$  such that

1.  $H \trianglelefteq G$ ,
2.  $H \cap K = \{1\}$ , and
3.  $G = HK$ .

Then  $G \cong H \rtimes K$  where  $k \cdot h = khk^{-1}$  for all  $h \in H$  and for all  $k \in K$ .

This is really similar to the requirements for  $G$  being the *direct product* of  $H$  and  $K$ ; we just drop the requirement that  $K \trianglelefteq G$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $H \cap K = \{1\}$  so  $|H||K| = |G|$ , so for every  $g \in G$  there is a unique way of writing it as  $hk$ . Then we can create a well-defined map  $HK = G \rightarrow H \rtimes K$  via

$\pi : g \mapsto (h, k)$ . To show that this map is onto, observe that we can get all elements of the form  $(1, k)$  and  $(h, 1)$ , so any  $(h, k)$  is in the image of  $hk$  under our map. We know that  $|HK| = |H \rtimes K|$  so they are isomorphic if we can show that  $\pi$  is a homomorphism (turns out, it is). Proof of this is left as an exercise to the reader. ■

### 26.3 Classification

Let  $|G| = pq$  where  $p \leq q$ . We showed with the Sylow theorems that  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  and  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  imply that  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ . We also showed that if  $p$  doesn't divide  $q - 1$  then  $n_p = 1$  and  $P \trianglelefteq G$ . In these cases,  $G \cong Z_{pq}$ . What happens if  $p$  *does* divide  $q - 1$ ? In this case we don't need to create a  $Z_{pq}$ , and this is where semidirect products come in. Here, we can apply the previous theorem to construct groups of the form  $Q \rtimes_{\phi} P$  for some  $\phi$ .

Let's look at  $\text{Aut}(Q)$ , which we know is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}_q)^{\times}$  so the order is  $q - 1$ . We also know<sup>1</sup> that  $\text{Aut}(Q)$  is cyclic, so  $\text{Aut}(Q) \cong Z_{q-1}$ . We know that  $p$  divides  $q - 1$ , so there is a unique subgroup  $\langle \gamma \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(Q)$  which is isomorphic to  $Z_p$ . We need to map a generator of  $P$  into  $\text{Aut}(Q)$ , so it must have order 1 or  $p$ . Let  $P = \langle x \rangle$ . We have a few options. We know that  $\phi(x) = \gamma^i$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$  since we need  $\phi(x)^p = 1$ .

<sup>1</sup> since  $q$  is prime

1. The case where  $i = 0$ , wherein have the trivial action. Then  $P$  and  $Q$  commute one another since  $x \cdot y = y$ , and so  $G \cong Q \times P$ .
2. The case where  $i = 1$ . Then  $G \cong Q \rtimes_{\phi_1} P$  where  $x \cdot y = \gamma(y)$ . This involves mapping  $x$  to  $\gamma$ . This semidirect product is nonabelian. What exactly  $\gamma$  depends on  $P$  and  $Q$ , but we know that it does exist since  $p$  divides  $q - 1$ , and so a  $p$ -order subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(Q)$  must exist.
3. The case where  $i > 1$ . We know that  $x \mapsto \gamma^i$  since  $P \cong \langle \gamma \rangle$ . Then call  $x'$  the element which is mapped to  $\gamma$ . Then we revert to the case where we defined  $\phi_1$  by  $x'$ , so all cases where  $i \neq 0$  are isomorphic, and the exact value depends on which  $x'$  you choose.

## 27 Wednesday, 7 November 2018

### 27.1 Classify Groups of Order 12

Online. Look it up.

### 27.2 “A Simple Song”

This may be the best thing I have ever seen. Lyrics to follow shortly.

*What are the orders of all simple groups?  
I speak of the honest ones, not of the loops.  
It seems that old Burnside their orders has guessed.  
Except for the cyclic ones, even the rest.*

*Groups made up with permutes will produce some more:  
For  $A_n$  is simple, if  $n$  exceeds 4.  
Then, there was Sir Matthew who came into view  
Exhibiting groups of an order quite new.*

*Still others have come on to study this thing.  
Of Artin and Chevalley now we shall sing.  
With matrices finite they made quite a list.  
The question is: Could there be others they've missed?*

*Suzuki and Ree then maintained it's the case  
That these methods had not reached the end of the chase.  
They wrote down some matrices, just four by four,  
That made up a simple group. Why not make more?*

*And then came the opus of Thompson and Feit,  
Which shed on the problem remarkable light.  
A group, when the order won't factor by two,  
Is cyclic or solvable. That's what is true.*

*Suzuki and Ree had caused eyebrows to raise,  
But the theoreticians they just couldn't faze.  
Their groups were not new: if you added a twist,  
You could get them from old ones with a flick of the wrist.*

*Still, some hardy souls felt a thorn in their side.  
For the five groups of Mathieu all reason defied;  
Not  $A_n$ , not twisted, and not Chevalley,  
They called them sporadic and filed them away.*

*Are Mathieu groups creatures of heaven or hell?  
 Zvonimir Janko determined to tell.  
 He found out what nobody wanted to know:  
 The masters had missed 1 7 5 5 6 0.*

*The floodgates were opened! New groups were the rage!  
 (And twelve or more sprouted, to greet the new age.)  
 By Janko and Conway and Fischer and Held  
 McLaughlin, Suzuki, and Higman, and Sims.*

*No doubt you noted the last lines don't rhyme.  
 Well, that is, quite simply, a sign of the time.  
 There's chaos, not order, among simple groups;  
 And maybe we'd better go back to the loops*

### 27.3 Introductions to Rings

**Definition (Ring).** A *ring* is a tuple  $(R, +, \times)$  where  $(R, +)$  is an abelian group,  $\times$  is an associative binary operation, and the distributive laws hold.

*Ring*

## 28 Friday, 9 November 2018

“I’m an advertisement for Czech people.”

---

Miki

### 28.1 Warmups

**Exercise 28.1.** Are the following objects rings?

- (a) The set of all even integers with the operations you expect.
- (b) The set of all odd integers with the operations you expect.

*Solution.* The even integers do form a ring (we don’t require a ring to have identity) while the odd integers don’t (there is no additive identity and it isn’t even closed). ■

### 28.2 Quaternions, Division Rings, and Fields, Oh My!

**Exercise 28.2.** What is  $\mathbb{C}$ ?

*Solution.* We can think of it as a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with a basis  $\{1, i\}$ , which gives us how to multiply and add things in  $\mathbb{C}$  if we keep in mind that  $i^2 = -1$ . ■

We can extend this idea of  $\mathbb{C}$  into a higher-dimensional object  $\mathbb{H}$ , known as the *Hamiltonian quaternions*. This forms a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$  with a basis  $\{1, i, j, k\}$  where the ring multiplication is defined by the group  $Q_8$ . Some “fun” facts about  $\mathbb{H}$ :

1.  $\mathbb{H}$  is not commutative;
2.  $\mathbb{H}$  is a ring with identity. For any  $a + bi + cj + dk$ , the inverse is  $(a - bi - cj - dk)/(a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2)$ .

We can extend these definitions even further.

**Definition** (Division Ring). A ring  $R$  with identity 1 is a *division ring* if for all nonzero  $a \in R$  there exists an  $a^{-1} \in R$

*Division Ring*

**Definition** (Field). A *field* is a commutative division ring.

*Field*

About all rings we can make some claims.

**Proposition 28.1.** Let  $R$  be a ring. Then

1.  $0a = a0 = 0$  for all  $a \in R$ ;
2.  $(-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ ;
3.  $(-a)(-b) = ab$  for all  $a, b \in R$ ;
4. If  $R$  has an identity then it is unique and satisfies  $(-a)1 = 1(-a) = -a$  for all  $a \in R$ .

*Proof.* If you took 230, you've already done this. If not, it's a good if somewhat tedious exercise. ■

**Definition (Zero Divisor).** An nonzero  $a \in R$  is a zero divisor if there exists a nonzero  $b \in R$  for which  $ab = 0$  or  $ba = 0$ .

Zero Divisor

**Definition (Unit).** Let  $R$  be a ring with identity. A unit is any element  $x \in R$  if there exists a  $u = x^{-1} \in R$  for which  $xu = ux = 1$ . We denote the set of units of  $R$  by  $R^\times$ .

Unit

**Example 28.1.** Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ . Find all units and zero divisors.

*Solution.* The units are 1, 5, and the zero divisors are 2, 3, 4. ■

*Proof that 2 is not a unit.* Suppose by way of contradiction that there exist  $x \in R$  such that  $2x = 1$ . Then  $3(2x) = 3 = (3 \cdot 2)x = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Generally, if  $x \in R$  is a zero divisor then it is not a unit. However, the converse is not true (consider  $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , which is neither a unit nor a zero divisor). ■

**Definition (Integral Domain).** A commutative ring  $R$  with identity is an integral domain if it has no zero divisors. This gives us the cancellation law! [The proof is super short and intuitive.]

Integral Domain

**Proposition 28.2.** Any finite integral domain is a field. The proof is pretty chill. Check it out some time!

**Definition (Subring).** A subring  $S \subseteq R$  is an object where  $(S, +) \leq (R, +)$  and  $S$  is closed under multiplication. For example,  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ , the set of continuous functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  is a subring of all functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Subring

**Example 28.2.** Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  where  $i^2 = -1$ . We know how to add and multiply this from middle school. This is actually a field equal to  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$  is actually the field of rational polynomials over 1 and  $i$ .



Now consider  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  (this won't be a field), which is integral polynomials in 1 and  $i$ . This isn't a field since 2 has no inverse.

**Definition (Norm).** Let the norm of  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  be a map  $N : \mathbb{Q}(i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  defined by  $a + bi \mapsto (a + bi)(a - bi) = a^2 + b^2$ . This map is multiplicative.

*Norm*

**Proposition 28.3.**  $N(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , and  $x$  is a unit if and only if  $N(x) = \pm 1$ .

## 29 Monday, 12 November 2018

**Example 29.1.** Consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}] \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  where  $N(a + b\sqrt{5}) = a^2 + 5b^2$ . Then  $(a + b\sqrt{5})^{-1} = (a - b\sqrt{5})/(a^2 - 5b^2)$  for anything where  $a + b\sqrt{5} \neq 0$ . Then  $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$  is a unit if and only if the norm is  $\pm 1$ . Notice that this method depended on the fact that 5 was square-free (no repeated primes).

### 29.1 Polynomial Rings

Polynomial rings are the focus of Galois Theory which will be covered in depth next semester. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity, and form  $R[x]$ . This is the ring of polynomials with coefficients in  $R$ . Let  $p(x) \in R[x]$  be a nonzero polynomial, and let  $\partial p = n$  be the degree of the polynomial. We say that  $p(x)$  is monic if  $a_n = 1$ .

**Example 29.2.**

1. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then all polynomials have coefficient 1 or 0. Then there are  $2^n$  distinct polynomials of degree  $n$ .
2. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ . This ring has zero divisors, so  $(2x)^2 = 0$ . Also consider  $(2x + 1)^2 = 1$ , so  $2x + 1$  is a unit in  $R[x]$ .
3. Notice that  $R$  is a subring of  $R[x]$  since it's just the ring of constant polynomials.

**Proposition 29.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative unital ring which is an integral domain, and let  $p, q \in R[x]$ . Then the following hold.

1.  $\partial(pq) = \partial p + \partial q$ .
2.  $R[x]^\times = R^\times$ .
3.  $R[x]$  is also an integral domain.

*Proof of (1.) and (3.)* Let  $p = a_0 + \cdots + a_n x^n$  and let  $q = b_0 + \cdots + b_m x^m$ . Notice that  $pq = a_0 b_0 + \cdots + a_n b_m x^{n+m}$ , and since  $a_n b_m \neq 0$  then  $\partial(pq) = \partial p + \partial q$ . ■

*Proof of (2.)* Notice that  $R^\times \subset R[x]^\times$  trivially, since we didn't do anything to inverses. To show the other direction, we show that all units of  $R[x]$  have degree zero. Suppose  $p \in R[x]^\times$ , so there exists a  $q \in R[x]$  such that  $pq = 1$ . Since degrees are additive, it must be the case that  $\partial(pq) = \partial p + \partial q = 0$  so  $\partial p = 0$ . ■

## 29.2 Matrix Rings

Pick a ring  $R$ , any ring! Also let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then  $M_n(R)$  is the ring of matrices with entries in  $R$ . Notice that even if  $R$  is commutative,  $M_n(R)$  won't be for all  $n \geq 2$ . Fun fact, if  $R \neq 0$  then this ring *will have* zero divisors so it cannot be an integral domain. As an example, consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = 0,$$

for  $n = 2$ . Just as  $R \subset R[x]$  is a subring, so to  $R \subset M_n(R)$ , where  $r \in R$  is isomorphic to the diagonal matrix with all nonzero entries  $r$ . The units of  $M_n(R)$  form a ring  $\text{GL}_n(R) = (M_n(R))^\times$ .

## 29.3 Group Rings

**Example 29.3.** Let  $G = Z_2$  be a group. Consider a ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . We're gonna stick them together to form  $RG$  by "forming a vector space of  $G$  over  $R$ " (pretty sure this is actually a module). Then  $RG = \{a1 + bx \mid z, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  where component addition is inherited from  $R$ , and components add only if they are the same "variable," and multiplication is inherited from  $G$ .

Let  $(G, \cdot) = \{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$  be any finite group, and let  $R$  be any commutative ring with identity. Then we form  $RG = \{a_1g_1 + \dots + a_ng_n \mid a_i \in R\}$  where we add and multiply in the expected way.

**Problem 29.1.** What is the copy of  $R$  inside of  $RG$ ? It's  $\{r1_G\}$ , so exactly the constant terms just like in the polynomials.

**Example 29.4.** Let  $G = D_6$  and let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider  $(3s + 2rs)(r^2 + s) = 3sr^2 + 3s^2 + 2rsr^2 + 2rs^2 = 3rs + 4r + s + 3$ . This actually has zero divisors! Consider  $(1 + s)(1 - s) = 0$ .

In general, for  $g \in RG$  where  $|g| = m$ , we have that  $(1 - g)(1 + g + \dots + g^{m-1}) = 1 - g^m = 0$ . Then if  $m > 0$ ,  $1 - g$  is a zero divisor.

I want to know that the analogous concept to a group action will be. Miki says to ask again in four lectures.

**29.4 Why doesn't  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}Q_8$ ?**

Check the orders. Notice that  $|\mathbb{R}Q_8| = 8$  while  $|\mathbb{H}| = 4$ . This problem arises because  $\mathbb{R}Q_8$  treats  $i$  and  $-i$  as *different variables*. Also, note that there are zero divisors in  $\mathbb{R}Q_8$ , but there are no zero divisors in  $\mathbb{H}$  since it is a division ring. In fact, since we already proved that  $RG$  contains zero divisors in  $|G| > 1$  then we know that  $\mathbb{H} \neq RG$  for *any* ring  $R$  and group  $G$ .

## 30 Friday, 16 November 2016

“No one really cares about left ideals.”

---

Miki

**Problem 30.1** (Challenge Problem). What is  $\text{Aut}(Z_6 \times Z_2)$ ?

### 30.1 Ring Maps

**Definition** (Ring Homomorphisms). A *ring homomorphism* is a map  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  where  $\phi(a + b) = \phi(a) + \phi(b)$  and  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$ . The kernel of  $\phi$  is the underlying group kernel; that is,  $\ker \phi = \{a \in R \mid \phi(a) = 0\}$ . If  $\phi$  is bijective it is a ring isomorphism.

*Ring Homomorphisms*

**Example 30.1.**

1. Consider  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  via  $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ . Then  $\bar{a}\bar{b} = \overline{ab}$  and  $\bar{a} + \bar{b} = \overline{a + b}$ .
2. Consider  $\mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  via  $f(x) \mapsto f(0)$ . That is, we evaluate the function at zero. Multiplying and adding polynomials works so this map is a homomorphism.

**Proposition 30.1.** If  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism then  $\text{Im}(\phi)$  is a subring of  $S$  and  $\ker \phi$  is a subring of  $R$ .

**Corollary 30.1.** The important fact about the kernel is that if  $a \in \ker \phi$  then  $\phi(a)\phi(x) = 0$  for any  $x \in R$ . This is a really strong property. It means that the kernel isn't just closed under multiplication, it's closed under multiplication by anything.

**Definition** (Ideals). A left ideal  $I \subseteq R$  is a subring of  $R$  with the property that  $rI \subseteq I$  for all  $r \in R$ . A similar definition exists for a right ideal, where  $Ir \subseteq I$  for all  $r \in R$ . If  $I$  is both a left and right ideal, then it is just called an *ideal*.

*Ideals*

**Example 30.2.** For any ring  $R$  and any homomorphism  $\phi$ , then  $\ker \phi$  is an ideal in  $R$ .

### 30.2 Quotient Rings

Consider  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then let  $\ker \phi = I$ , so  $(I, +) \leq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ . We know that we have two cosets,  $0 + I$  and  $1 + I$  which are derived from our cosets of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we can form a new object,  $\mathbb{Z}/I$ . We know from group structure that the multiplication is well defined, and multiplication also works since  $\text{ODD} \times \text{EVEN} = \text{EVEN}$  and  $\text{EVEN} \times \text{EVEN} = \text{EVEN}$ .

**Example 30.3** (Example where this doesn't work). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $S \subset R$  be the polynomials in  $x^2$ , so  $s = 1 + x^2 + 3x^6$ , for example. Notice that  $R/S$  doesn't work. For example, we want that  $\bar{1} \cdot \bar{x} = \bar{x}$ . But  $(1 + x^2) \cdot x = x + x^3$  which is not in  $x + S$ .

The punchline for this is that quotient rings only work when  $S$  is an ideal. This is kind of like the condition for normality for groups. For any  $S \subseteq R$  we know that  $(R/S, +)$  is okay since  $(S, +) \trianglelefteq (R, +)$  since  $R$  is commutative in addition, but the multiplication is where we get tripped up. For any  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and any  $a \in S$  we want that  $r_1(r_2 + a) \in r_1r_2 + S$ . But  $r_1(r_2 + a) = r_1r_2 + r_1a$  which means that  $r_1a$  must be in  $S$  for *any*  $r_1$  which happens only if  $S$  is a left ideal. Similarly, we need that  $(r_1 + a)r_2 = r_1r_2 + ar_2$ , which tells us that  $S$  must be a right ideal, so it must be an ideal.

**Proposition 30.2.** *If  $I \subseteq R$  is an ideal then  $R/I$  is a ring and  $(r + I) + (s + I) = (r + s) + I$  and  $(r + I)(s + I) = rs + I$ .*

**Example 30.4.**

- (a) Consider  $\mathbb{Q}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  again where  $p(x) \mapsto p(0)$ . The kernel of this is the set of polynomials with a zero constant term. Notice then that  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\ker \phi \cong \mathbb{Q}$  which looks a hell of a lot like the first isomorphism theorem for groups.
- (b) Consider  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $I = \{\text{polynomials of degree} \geq 2\}$ . This doesn't work out well.

### 30.3 Using Quotient Rings

Consider  $\pi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{F}_p$ . This induces a map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x]$  where  $a_n x^n \mapsto \bar{a}_n x^n$  (looking at the coefficients modulo  $p$ ). Suppose there exists an  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $f(a) = 0$ . Then  $\bar{f}(\bar{a}) = \bar{0}$ .

**Example 30.5.** Consider  $f(x) = 3x^{52} + 4x^{49} - 16x^{20} - 17x - 1755$ . Let's look at it modulo 2. Then  $\bar{f}(x) = x^{52} + x + 1$ . Then  $\bar{f}(\bar{0}) \neq \bar{0}$  and  $\bar{f}(\bar{1}) \neq \bar{0}$  so there are no integral roots.

**Problem 30.2.** If we have a ring homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$ , can we always induce a homomorphism  $\psi : R[x] \rightarrow S[x]$ .

## 31 Monday, 26 November 2018

“I can hear your excitement all the way over hear.”

---

Miki

### 31.1 Warm-Up

Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $R$ . Then

- $I + J = \{i + j \mid i \in I, j \in J\},$
- $IJ = \{ij \mid i \in I, j \in J\},$
- $I^n = I \cdots I.$

**Problem 31.1** (Warm-Up). Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $I = 2\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $J = 3\mathbb{Z}$ . What are the following objects?

- (a)  $I + J = \mathbb{Z};$
- (b)  $IJ = 6\mathbb{Z};$
- (c)  $I^n = 2^n\mathbb{Z}.$

### 31.2 Ideals Generated by Subset

For all of today, let  $R$  have  $1 \neq 0$ .

**Definition** (Generated Ideal). Let  $A \subset R$  be a subset. Then  $(A)$  is the smallest ideal containing  $A$ , also called the *ideal generated by  $A$* . Then let  $RA = \{r_1a_1 + \cdots r_na_n \mid r_i \in R, a_i \in A\}$ , and analogously construct  $AR$ . These are the left and right ideals generated by  $A$ , respectively. Then  $RA \cup AR$  is the ideal generated by  $A$ , so  $(A) = \bigcap I$  where  $A \subset I$ .

*Generated Ideal*

**Definition** (Principal Ideal). An ideal  $I \subset R$  is finitely generated if there exists an  $A \subset I$  with  $I = (A)$ . An ideal is principal if there exists an  $a \in I$  such that  $I = (a)$ , so  $I$  is finitely generated by a single element.

*Principal Ideal*

**Example 31.1.**



- (a) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ . An ideal  $I \subset R$  is an abelian subgroup, which means that  $I = n\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then all ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are of the form  $(n)$ , and so all are principal.
- (b) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , and let  $I = (2, x)$ . This generates any polynomial which is a multiple of  $x$ , and if it has a constant term it must be even, so  $RA = 2 \cdot p(x) + x \cdot q(x)$  for any integral polynomials  $p, q$ . Does there exist a single generator of  $(2, x)$ ? Suppose that  $I = (a(x))$ . Then  $2 = a(x)b(x)$  for some  $b(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Since degrees add in an integral domain, it must be that  $a(x)$  is constant and  $\partial a = 0$ . Then  $a = \pm 1$  or  $\pm 2$ . Since  $I \neq R$   $a \neq \pm 1$ , and if  $a = \pm 2$  then  $x \notin (a)$ , so  $(2, x)$  is not principal.

**Definition** (Maximal Idea). An ideal  $M \subset R$  is maximal if  $M \neq R$  and the only ideals containing  $M$  are  $M$  and  $R$ .

Maximal Idea

**Corollary 31.1.** *The only maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $(p)$  for some prime  $p$ .*

**Proposition 31.1.** *If  $I \subset R$  is a proper ideal then there exists a maximal ideal  $M \subset R$  such that  $I \subset M$ .*

*Proof in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .* Take any prime factor of  $n$ , so that  $(n) \subset (p)$ . ■

### 31.3 Fields

**Proposition 31.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ideal. Then  $R$  is a field if and only if  $R$  has exactly two ideals,  $(0)$  and  $R$ .*

*Proof.* First, assume that  $R$  is a field. Note that  $0 \neq 1$  so  $(0)$  and  $R$  are two distinct ideals. Then let  $I \neq (0)$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then there exists some  $a \neq 0 \in I$ . Since  $R$  is a field, every nonzero element has an inverse, so  $a \in R \setminus \{0\} = R^\times$ . Then  $I = R$ , since  $aa^{-1} = 1$  which generates  $R$ .

In the other direction, assume that  $R$  has exactly two ideals. Let  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , and let  $I = (a)$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ , it must be that  $I = R$ . Then  $1 \in (a)$ , which means that  $1$  is a multiple of  $a$ , which means that  $a$  has an inverse for any nonzero  $a$ . Then  $R^\times = R \setminus \{0\}$ , so  $R$  is a field. ■

**Corollary 31.2.** *If  $\phi : R \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism where  $R$  is a field, then  $\phi$  is the zero map or  $\phi$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Notice that  $\ker \phi$  is an ideal of  $R$ , so it is  $(0)$  or  $R$ . ■

**Proposition 31.3.** *Let  $R$  be commutative and let  $I \subset R$  be a proper ideal. Then  $I$  is maximal if and only if  $R/I$  is a field.*

*Proof.*  $R/I$  is a field if and only if there are exactly two ideals. Then the ideals of  $R/I$  correspond one to one to the ideals of  $R$  which contain  $I$ , by the second/third? isomorphism theorem. Then there can only be two ideals of  $R$  which contain  $I$  which must be  $R$  and  $I$ , so  $I$  is maximal in  $R$ . ■

## **32 Wednesday, 28 November 2018**