Predictions of pH change due to anaerobic digestion

Sasha D. Hafner

28 April, 2023

```
source('NH3_mods.R')Use eqSpec to calculate equilibrium speciation.1. Raw pig slurry
```

```
Define composition.
totp1 <- c(H. = 0.0, NH3 = 0.208, H2CO3 = 0.112, K. = 0.0, Na. = 0.0, Cl. = 0.0, HAc = 0.21)
Calculate pH.
eqp1 <- eqSpec(tot = totp1, temp.c = 18, of = 'all')
-eqp1$1.a[1]
##
         Η.
## 5.554241
pH is 5.6, much lower than obs. Adjust with KOH.
eqp2 <- eqSpec(tot = totp1, temp.c = 18, pH = 6.87, adjpH = 'KOH', of = 'all')
totp2 <- eqp2$tot
totp2
##
            Η.
                        NH3
                                  H2C03
                                                  Κ.
                                                             Na.
                                                                          Cl.
## -0.09265512 0.20800000 0.11200000 0.09265512 0.00000000 0.00000000
##
           HAc
## 0.21000000
That's a lot of KOH.
Check CO2 partial pressure for fun.
eqp2$p.CO2
## [1] 0.5331638
Try reducing VFA instead.
eqp3 <- eqSpec(tot = totp1, temp.c = 18, pH = 6.87, adjpH = 'HAc', of = 'all')
totp3 <- eqp3$tot
totp3
```

H. NH3 H2CO3 K. Na. Cl. HAC ## 0.000000 0.208000 0.112000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.117785

That's plausible.

2. Raw cattle slurry

```
Define composition.
totc1 <- c(H. = 0.0, NH3 = 0.149, H2C03 = 0.129, K. = 0.0, Na. = 0.0, Cl. = 0.0, HAc = 0.193)
Calculate pH.
eqc1 <- eqSpec(tot = totc1, temp.c = 18, of = 'all')
-eqc1$1.a[1]
##
## 5.055505
pH is 5.1, even lower than obs was for pig. Adjust with KOH.
eqc2 <- eqSpec(tot = totc1, temp.c = 18, pH = 6.82, adjpH = 'KOH', of = 'all')
totc2 <- eqc2$tot
totc2
##
           Η.
                      NH3
                               H2C03
                                              Κ.
                                                        Na.
                                                                    Cl.
                                                                               HAc
## -0.1459859 0.1490000 0.1290000 0.1459859 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.1930000
That's a ton of KOH. Try reducing VFA instead.
eqc3 <- eqSpec(tot = totc1, temp.c = 18, pH = 6.82, adjpH = 'HAc', of = 'all')
totc3 <- eqc3$tot
totc3
                               H2C03
##
                      NH3
                                              Κ.
                                                                    Cl.
                                                                               HAc
                                                        Na.
## 0.00000000 0.14900000 0.12900000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.00000000 0.04812209
That's plausible.
3. Digestate
Define composition. 1/10th as much TIC.
```

```
totd1 <- c(H. = 0.0, NH3 = 0.297, H2C03 = 0.191, K. = 0.0, Na. = 0.0, Cl. = 0.0, HAc = 0.019)
Calculate pH.
eqd1 <- eqSpec(tot = totd1, temp.c = 18, of = 'all')
-eqd1$l.a[1]
## H.
## 9.103265</pre>
```

pH 9.1. Clearly these differences in composition are more than enough to explain the differences in pH.

4. Removing VFA from raw slurry

```
What effect does removing VFA have? First pig.
```

```
totp4 <- totp3
totp4['HAc'] <- 0
totp4
```

```
## H. NH3 H2CO3 K. Na. Cl. HAc
## 0.000 0.208 0.112 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
```

```
eqr1 <- eqSpec(tot = totp4, temp.c = 18, of = 'all')
-eqr1$1.a[1]
         Η.
##
## 9.379774
Plenty.
Then cattle.
totc4 <- totc3
totc4['HAc'] \leftarrow 0
totc4
##
      Η.
           NH3 H2CO3
                         К.
                                     Cl.
                                            HAc
                               Na.
## 0.000 0.149 0.129 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
eqr2 <- eqSpec(tot = totc4, temp.c = 18, of = 'all')
-eqr2$1.a[1]
##
         Η.
## 8.672005
```

Conclusions

Plenty of effect here too.

- 1. We cannot completely explain observed pH values of raw slurry or digestate, but this isn't too worrying because we don't have complete information on composition.
- 2. Observed differences in VFA and TAN in raw vs. digested slurry are more than enough to explain the observed pH difference.
- 3. Simply removing raw slurry VFA is enough to explain the observed pH differences.