

---

# Notes on the Theory of Computation

## Part 1: Regular Languages

Coding Club

May 27, 2024

---

Mohammed Alshamsi  
2021004826  
[mo.alshamsi@aurak.ac.ae](mailto:mo.alshamsi@aurak.ac.ae)



Department of Computer Science and Engineering  
American University of Ras Al Khaimah  
2023–24

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	How to Read this Document . . . . .	1
1.2	Basics . . . . .	1
<b>2</b>	<b>Finite Automata</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Deterministic Finite Automata . . . . .	2
2.1.1	Formal Description . . . . .	2
2.2	Nondeterministic Finite Automata . . . . .	4
2.3	Applications to String-Searching . . . . .	6
2.3.1	The Naïve Algorithm . . . . .	6
2.3.2	The NFA Algorithm . . . . .	7
2.3.3	The Shift-And Algorithm . . . . .	7
2.3.4	The DFA Algorithm . . . . .	8
2.3.5	The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Regular Languages and Regular Expressions</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Regular Languages . . . . .	11
3.2	Applications to Compilers . . . . .	13
3.2.1	Lexing . . . . .	13
3.2.2	Flex . . . . .	14
3.2.3	Flex Regular Expressions . . . . .	14
3.2.4	Example: Calculator Lexer . . . . .	15

# List of Figures

1	A rudimentary DFA . . . . .	2
2	A rudimentary NFA . . . . .	4
3	An NFA that recognizes strings containing “ana” . . . . .	7
4	A DFA that recognizes strings containing “ana” . . . . .	9

# 1 Introduction

Welcome to the second set of “lecture notes” for the AURAK Coding Club. The idea is to introduce a useful topic that lends itself easily to coding applications. These topics are mathematical in nature, but most (if not all) of it will be intuitive material that doesn’t require much background knowledge.

## 1.1 How to Read this Document

This is essentially just a short monologue about regular languages and related concepts. We’ll go over the most basic definitions and results, and give some examples. This is by no means meant to give you a comprehensive introduction; it’s just a quick tour to get you started. Check the bibliography if you want to learn more about the topic.

I’ll be sure to bring up coding applications whenever it makes sense. Any code I write at those points will be in the C language. If you’ve taken (or are taking) CSCI 112, you’ll be able to follow along without much trouble. Furthermore, there will be coding exercises. You’re free to solve them in any language.

If you don’t know any coding, check [this link](#) for a quick intro to C.

## 1.2 Basics

It takes a bit of time to explain what a *regular* language is, but the definition of a *language* is fairly simple.

**Definition 1.1** (Alphabets).

An alphabet  $\Sigma$  is any nonempty finite set. The members of the alphabet are said to be the *symbols* of the alphabet.

**Definition 1.2** (Strings).

A string  $w$  over  $\Sigma$  is a finite sequence of symbols from  $\Sigma$ .

$|w|$  denotes the *length* of  $w$ , which is the number of symbols it contains.  $w^R$  is the *reverse* of  $w$ .  $\epsilon$  is the empty string, containing zero symbols, and is a string over all alphabets.

For two strings  $w$  and  $v$ ,  $wv$  is the *concatenation* of the strings, and is the string obtained by “appending”  $v$  to the end of  $w$ .

$w^n$  for some natural number  $n$  denotes the concatenation of  $n$  copies of  $w$ . Further,  $w^0 = \epsilon$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Languages).

A language over  $\Sigma$  is a set of strings over  $\Sigma$ . The empty language, containing no strings, is denoted  $\emptyset$ . The *Kleene closure* of  $\Sigma$ , denoted  $\Sigma^*$ , is the set of *all* strings over  $\Sigma$ .

**Example** (Languages).

Suppose  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ . Examples of strings over this are  $ab$ ,  $baab$ ,  $ababa$ . The lengths of these strings are respectively 2, 4, and 5; and their reverses are respectively  $ba$ ,  $baab$ , and  $ababa$ . A language is any set of strings composed of symbols from  $\Sigma$ :

1. The empty set  $\{\}$  is a language over  $\Sigma$ . (And, in fact, over all alphabets.).
2.  $\{aab, b\}$  is a language over  $\Sigma$ .
3. So is  $\{a, aa, aaa, \dots\}$ .




Figure 1: A rudimentary DFA.

## 2 Finite Automata

Moving forward, we'll discuss basic models of computation which *recognize* languages. Then, we'll discuss a few applications.

### 2.1 Deterministic Finite Automata

Take a look at Figure 1. A DFA is composed of several states, here  $q_0$  through  $q_3$ . Start at  $q_0$ ; this is the state you are currently on. (You can place your finger on it to signify this.) You will have some input string, say 1110. Now notice that each state has two arrows from it: one labeled 0, and one labeled 1. For each character in the input string, move your finger to the state indicated by the arrow corresponding to that character. For 1110, you would first move to  $q_2$  through the first 1. Then, you would remain in  $q_2$  for the next two 1 inputs, because the arrow corresponding to input 1 is a loop back onto  $q_2$ . Finally, the 0 input will take you to  $q_3$ .

Notice that  $q_3$  is circled twice—this indicates that it is a final state. If the input ends while your finger is here, then the string is said to be *accepted*. Otherwise, it is rejected. The set of all strings accepted by an automaton is said to be the language recognized by that automaton.

#### 2.1.1 Formal Description

The formal definition will be necessary to speak precisely about automata.

**Definition 2.1** (Deterministic Finite Automaton).

A deterministic finite automaton is a 5-tuple  $(Q, q_0, F, \Sigma, \delta)$ , where:

1.  $Q$  is a *set of states*.
2.  $q_0$  is an element of  $Q$  known as the *starting state* or the *initial state*.
3.  $F$  is a subset of  $Q$  and is the *set of final states*.
4.  $\Sigma$  is the input alphabet.
5.  $\delta$  is the *transition* or the *next-state* function, mapping  $Q \times \Sigma$  to  $Q$ .<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>This maps a state-and-symbol pair to a state. It tells you the next state based on the current state and the input character.

### Example.

For the DFA in Figure 1:

1. The set of states is  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
2. The initial state is  $q_0$ .
3. The set of final states is  $\{q_3\}$ .
4. The input alphabet  $\Sigma$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ .
5.  $\delta$  can be represented by this table:

$\delta$	0	1
$q_0$	$q_1$	$q_2$
$q_1$	$q_1$	$q_3$
$q_2$	$q_3$	$q_2$
$q_3$	$q_1$	$q_2$

DFAs are usually the easiest to implement. Here's a C program for the DFA of Figure 1:

```
1  #include<stdio.h>
2
3  int main() {
4      int delta[4][2] = {
5          { 1, 2 },
6          { 1, 3 },
7          { 3, 2 },
8          { 1, 2 }};
9      int currentState = 0;
10     char input[512];
11
12     printf("Input: ");
13     scanf("%511[^\n]",input); // Read a full line, spaces included
14
15     int index = 0;
16
17     while (input[index] != '\0') { // while not end of string
18         printf("Reading %c\n",input[index]);
19         // set next state according to table
20         currentState = delta[currentState][input[index] - '0'];
21         index += 1;
22     }
23
24     if (currentState == 3) { // 3 is final state
25         printf("String %s accepted\n",input);
26     } else {
27         printf("String %s rejected\n",input);
28     }
29     return 0;
30 }
```

This design can be generalized to any DFA; mainly, the changes would be to the  $\delta$  table and to the method of detecting whether the state is a final state. For the latter, a more general approach would be to use an array for  $F$ , and to check whether the current state is in that array.

#### Exercise 1.

What language is recognized by the DFA in Figure 1? Remember that this is the set of all strings that are accepted by the automaton.

#### Exercise 2.

This one's a little tough, but not impossible. Construct a DFA which recognizes the language

$$L = \{\epsilon, 0^2, 0^3, 0^4, 0^6, 0^8, \dots\},$$

which contains all strings of  $n$  zeroes, where  $n$  is divisible by 2 or by 3 (or both).

Give the 5-tuple  $(Q, q_0, F, \Sigma, \delta)$  for this automaton, and implement it.

## 2.2 Nondeterministic Finite Automata

Now for the NFAs. There are two types: NFA, and  $\text{NFA}_\epsilon$ . For a standard NFA, its  $\delta$  function is from  $Q \times \Sigma$  to  $\mathcal{P}(Q)$ , which is the power set of  $Q$ .<sup>2</sup> This means that the same state-symbol combination can take you to multiple states, or even to no states at all.

When tracing the behavior of an NFA with your hand, you won't be restricted to one finger like with DFAs. Whenever your finger is on a state  $q$  and you read an input character  $\sigma$ , you'll need to place a finger on each of the states in the set  $\delta(q, \sigma)$ ; that is, every state that follows from  $q$  on input  $\sigma$ . When there are multiple states in  $\delta(q, \sigma)$ , this results in a form of "branching". When there is no transition from  $q$  on input  $\sigma$ —meaning  $\delta(q, \sigma) = \emptyset$ —you'll simply remove the finger from  $q$ , since there is nowhere to move it to.

An NFA recognizes a string if at least one branch is on a final state when the input ends.




Figure 2: A rudimentary NFA.

<sup>2</sup>The power set of a set  $A$  is the set of all possible subsets of  $A$ . For example,  $\mathcal{P}(\{a, b, c\})$  is

$$\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}.$$

### Example.

Figure 2 shows an example of an NFA. Notice that there are two 0-transitions from  $q_0$ , and no 1-transitions. This means  $(q_0, 0)$  maps to the set  $\{q_1, q_2\}$ , and  $(q_0, 1)$  maps to the empty set.

We can make similar observations about the other states, and construct a formal representation of this NFA.

1. The set of states is  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
2. The initial state is  $q_0$ .
3. The set of final states is  $\{q_3\}$ .
4. The input alphabet  $\Sigma$  is  $\{0, 1\}$ .
5.  $\delta$  can be represented by this table:

$\delta$	0	1
$q_0$	$\{q_1, q_2\}$	$\emptyset$
$q_1$	$\{q_1\}$	$\{q_3\}$
$q_2$	$\{q_3\}$	$\{q_2\}$
$q_3$	$\emptyset$	$\emptyset$

For the NFA in figure 2, given an input of 0001, you would start at  $q_0$ , then:

1. Read the first 0, and place fingers on both  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ , since  $q_0$  has 0-transitions to both of those states.
2. Read the second 0. The finger on  $q_1$  stays in place, while the finger on  $q_2$  goes to  $q_3$ .
3. Read the third 0. The finger on  $q_1$  stays in place. As for the finger on  $q_3$ , since  $q_3$  has no 0-transitions, you will remove this finger.
4. Read the 1. The finger on  $q_1$  moves to  $q_3$ .
5. The input has been exhausted, and there is a branch on the final state  $q_3$ , so the string 0001 is accepted.

### Exercise 3.

Implement the NFA of Figure 2.

Now, let's cover the  $\text{NFA}_\epsilon$ . These are NFAs with  $\epsilon$ -transitions. Knowing that  $\epsilon$  is the empty string, these transitions are those which do not consume an input. Meaning, if you are on a state  $q$  and it has  $\epsilon$ -transitions to several other states, you need to have a finger on all of those other states, as well as  $q$  itself.

Importantly, the  $\text{NFA}_\epsilon$  is equivalent in "strength" to the NFA, and to the DFA. No one of them can recognize a language that another can't. This also means that given an automaton of one type that recognizes some language  $L$ , you can construct an equivalent automaton of a different type (of those three) that recognizes the same language.

## 2.3 Applications to String-Searching

Automata have several applications. One of them is string-searching, which is the problem of finding occurrences of some pattern  $w$  inside of a string  $s$ .

There are several famous algorithms, most notably the Knuth-Morris-Pratt (KMP) algorithm and the Boyer-Moore algorithm. We'll only go over the former here, since it's simpler to describe, but the latter is typically faster in practice. (Both have  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$  time complexity.)

### 2.3.1 The Naïve Algorithm

Before that, however, let's try a few simpler approaches to string searching, to get a feel for the problem.

#### Example.

Suppose you want to search for all occurrences of the pattern “ana” in the string “hananoana”. The most obvious approach is to “slide”  $w$  across  $s$  in the below way. You compare  $w$  with every length- $|w|$  substring of  $s$ , and whenever a match is found, indicate its position. In this example, the underlined instances of  $w$  match the corresponding position in  $s$ .

```
h a n a n o a n a
a n a
  a n a
    a n a
      a n a
        a n a
          a n a
            a n a
```

The code for this is below. We'll represent  $w$  and  $s$  as strings  $w$  and  $s$ . For each position  $i$  in  $s$ , we take the string of length  $|w|$  starting at  $i$  and compare it with  $w$  itself, character by character. If any mismatch is found, we skip to the next  $i$  without wasting time.

```
1 int ls = strlen(s), lw = strlen(w);
2 for (int i = 0; i < ls - lw; i++) {
3     if (!strcmp(s+i, w, lw))
4         printf("Match found at %d", i);
5 }
```

`strcmp` is a string-comparison function which returns 0 when the two strings are equal; if this occurs, the `!` operator turns it into a 1 so that the `if` condition is true. As for `strlen`, it just returns the length of the string.

This approach is the most simple. To give a hand-wavy worst case “analysis” of the algorithm, the outer loop runs  $|s| - |w|$  times, and each string comparison takes  $\mathcal{O}(|w|)$  time, so we have  $\mathcal{O}((|s| - |w|)|w|)$  operations, which simplifies to  $\mathcal{O}(|s||w|)$  time, since  $|s| \geq |w|$ .






Figure 3: An NFA that recognizes strings containing “ana”.<sup>3</sup>

### 2.3.2 The NFA Algorithm

Let’s start incorporating automata into the mix. Figure 3 shows an NFA that recognizes all strings containing “ana” as a substring. Note that each state is “labeled” with the prefix of “ana” that has been recognized up to that point. Also note that the any-transitions occur on any character, including ‘a’ and ‘n’.

To implement this, we can take a standard NFA implementation as in Exercise 3, but move the “final state detection” part of the code to the inside of the loop that parses the input. Whenever one of the branches of the NFA reaches the final state, the position of the substring corresponding to that branch will be printed.

#### Exercise 4.

Write a program that follows the above description, implementing the NFA of Figure 3 in such a way that the location of the substring “ana” is printed whenever it is recognized.

#### Exercise 5.

Try determining the big- $\mathcal{O}$  time complexity of the NFA implementation in Exercise 4.

### 2.3.3 The Shift-And Algorithm

This algorithm is a more efficient implementation of the NFA method in the previous section. It represents the set of current states of the NFA as a bit vector. The main observation is that since transitions follow a linear pattern ( $q_0$  to  $q_1$ ;  $q_1$  to  $q_2$ ; and so on), they can be encoded by a simple bit-shift within that vector; we shift by one bit every time we read a character. This algorithm is based on [4].

Shifting by 1 is simple enough to do in C: we have the `>>` operator to do this. However, we of course need to also consider whether the character that we just read actually *corresponds* to the symbols associated with the transitions. For this, we make use of something called a *mask*. Each unique symbol  $\sigma$  in our pattern  $w$  has its own mask, which itself is a bit vector that represents the states that are destinations of a  $\sigma$ -transition. Whenever we perform a shift of the bit vector of current states, we perform a bitwise AND operation (`&` in C) between that and the mask of the character that was read.

**Example.** We’ll continue the “ana” example to show these masks in action.

Since the NFA has four states, the current set of states can be represented by a bit vector of length 4. The any-transition means that the first bit of this vector is always 1. A bit vector of

<sup>3</sup>This NFA recognizes only strings that *end* in “ana”, rather than strings that simply contain it. However, the implementation in Exercise 4 is smoother if you follow this automaton.

1000 means we are only on the first state (corresponding to  $\epsilon$ ), and 1010 means we are on both the first state and the third state (which corresponds to “an”).

The symbol ‘a’ has mask 1101 because the first state, second state, and fourth state are all destinations of an a-transition. (In other words, their last character is ‘a’.) The symbol ‘n’ has mask 1010 for similar reasons. All other symbols in the alphabet have mask 1000.

Now let’s try reading the string “hananoana”. We start at 1000. We read ‘h’, and simulate a transition by shifting right by 1 then setting the leftmost bit to 1. (We set the leftmost bit to 1 because of the any-transition.) So now we have 1100. We perform a bitwise AND between this and the mask for ‘h’, which is 1000. The result is  $1100 \& 1000 = 1000$ .

We repeat this with the next character, ‘a’. Shift right and set the leftmost bit to get 1100, then perform the bitwise-AND:  $1100 \& 1101 = 1100$ . With ‘n’, we have  $1110 \& 1010 = 1010$ . The next ‘a’ gives us  $1101 \& 1101 = 1101$ . Since the rightmost bit is 1, we can print the current position and move on.

The rest of the string “hananoana” proceeds similarly. Try working it out yourself.

The advantage of the shift-and algorithm is that as long as  $|w|$  doesn’t exceed your machine’s word size in bits (most likely 64 bits), it can be very efficient, running in  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$  time. However, if  $|w|$  does exceed the word size, the performance drops to  $\mathcal{O}(|s||w|/r)$  (where  $r$  is the machine’s word size in bits), which simplifies to  $\mathcal{O}(|s||w|)$  again since  $r$  is unlikely to vary. This does have a smaller constant factor than the naïve algorithm we went over, which is neat.

#### Exercise 6.

Implement the shift-and algorithm described above. **Hint:** To set the leftmost bit after shifting, you may use a bitwise OR (which is the `|` operator in C).

### 2.3.4 The DFA Algorithm

The next algorithm we’ll take a look at uses a DFA to perform the search instead. The description is based on [2]. It might seem odd that we went from an automaton to a bit vector to increase efficiency, but are now going back to automata.

The advantage that DFAs have over NFAs is that they only need to track a single current state, rather than a set of states. This means we can represent the current state by a single integer as in Section 2.1 rather than a bit vector, such that we are not heavily limited by the machine’s word size (practically speaking).

Like before, we have  $|w| + 1$  states, but since DFAs need a transition on every symbol from every state, the transition table is quite a bit more complicated. For the “ana” example, the DFA looks like what’s in Figure 4. Again, states are labeled with their corresponding prefix of “ana”.

We’ll need a bit of extra notation to continue the discussion.  $w$  may be represented in terms of its constituent symbols  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_n$ , where each  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i$ ’th symbol of  $w$ . For each state  $q_i$ , its label can then be expressed as  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$ . ( $q_0$ ’s label is the empty string  $\epsilon$ , as we saw.) This label will be denoted by  $l(q_i)$ .

Suppose we have matched the first  $i$  symbols of  $w$ , giving us a prefix  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_i$  that has been recognized so far. This puts us on state  $q_i$ . Now, we need to figure out where a transition from this state would take us if we read a character  $\sigma$ . That is, we need to find  $\delta(q_i, \sigma)$ .




Figure 4: A DFA that recognizes strings containing “ana”.

The computation of  $\delta(q_i, \sigma)$  is fairly simple if  $\sigma$  is just  $\sigma_{i+1}$ , the next symbol of  $w$ . This would put us on  $q_{i+1}$ , of course. But in other cases, we might go back to  $q_0$ , or stay on  $q_i$ , or end up anywhere in between, depending on what  $\sigma$  is. It turns out that the state  $\delta(q_i, \sigma)$  is that whose label is the longest string  $x$  that is both a prefix of  $w$ , and a suffix of  $l(q_i)\sigma$  (that is, the concatenation of  $l(q_i)$  with  $\sigma$ ). Take a moment to think about why.

This property is something we can translate into code. The goal is to find the longest possible  $x$ . In the below code, we’ll represent  $w$  and  $l(q_i)\sigma$  as strings  $w$  and  $v$  respectively. As for  $x$ , its length  $|x|$  will be given by  $lx$ . Of course, given  $|x|$  and either  $w$  or  $l(q_i)\sigma$ , we can determine what  $x$  should be.

```

1  int lw = strlen(w), lv = strlen(v), lx = 0;
2
3  for (int i = 1; i <= lv; i++)
4      if (!strncmp(w, v + (lv - i), i))
5          lx = i;
6
7  return lx;
```

This is a fairly straightforward algorithm. It iterates over every possible length of  $x$ , counting from 1 up to  $|l(q_i)\sigma|$ . (Clearly, you can’t have a substring bigger than the original string.) For each length—represented by  $i$ —it compares the prefix of  $w$  and suffix of  $l(q_i)\sigma$  that are of that length. If they’re equal (indicated by `strncmp` returning 0), then that’s a candidate for  $x$ , and its length is recorded in  $lx$ . This can occur multiple times. By the end of the loop, the maximum such length will be in  $lx$ , and that’s our return value.  $lx$  can then be used to identify the state  $\delta(q_i, \sigma)$ .

### Exercise 7.

Based on the above discussion, write a function that constructs the full transition table of an automaton, given some input pattern and alphabet.

To analyze the above approach informally: a single string comparison takes linear time in the worst case, and  $|w|$  string comparisons are done, giving  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^2)$  time for a single entry of the  $\delta$  table. The  $\delta$  table has  $|w + 1||\Sigma|$  entries ( $|\Sigma|$  is the size of the alphabet), but the  $|\Sigma|$  factor is a constant that can be ignored. So all in all, this gives  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^3)$  time complexity to calculate the transition table of the DFA.

The above was just to build the DFA from scratch. It’s also possible to construct the NFA—which takes  $\mathcal{O}(|w|)$  time—then convert that into a DFA using an  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^2)$  algorithm.<sup>4</sup>

As for running the DFA, as should be clear from the implementation in Section 2.1, it only takes  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$  time. This is great, but the time to construct the DFA is too long. The algorithm in the next section will rectify that, moving us from  $\mathcal{O}(|w|^3 + |s|)$  to  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$  time.

### 2.3.5 The Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm

So finally, we’ve built up to the KMP algorithm. It’s based on the same observations made in the previous section about the longest prefix-suffix, but streamlines the process by a great deal. The main difference revolves around replacing  $\delta$  with a more compact table, called the “failure function”. Its computation remarkably only takes  $\mathcal{O}(|w|)$  time. Then, running the algorithm takes  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$  time. Since we assume that  $|s| \geq |w|$ , the overall running time is  $\mathcal{O}(|s|)$ . The description and code in this section are adapted from [1].

In the previous section, we wanted to find the longest string  $x$  that is both a prefix of  $w$  and a suffix of  $l(q_i)\sigma$ . To do this, we used a brute-force method that—given these two strings—found the length of  $x$ , which could then be used to obtain  $x$  itself. This string  $x$  is the label of the state  $\delta(q_i, \sigma)$ .

The computation of the failure function is based on the same prefix-suffix observation as the brute-force approach, but skips having to compute the entire transition table. Instead, you get a table that specifies which state to move to when there is a mismatch. That is, if you’re on  $q_i$  and read  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma \neq \sigma_{i+1}$ , you move to the state given by the failure function, which is  $q_{f(i)}$ . Then, you try  $\sigma$  again from  $q_{f(i)}$ , comparing it with  $\sigma_{f(i)+1}$ . If there’s *another* mismatch, you move to  $q_{f(f(i))}$  and try again (and so on and so on). This description is in terms of automata, but if you remember the picture from Section 2.3.1, it boils down to sliding by  $i - f(i)$  units rather than just one, to the next possible location of  $w$ . This is what the KMP algorithm does.

Because we just want to know what state to move to if we fail, it doesn’t matter what  $\sigma$  is, so the prefix-suffix we’ll be computing is instead that of  $l(q)$  rather than  $w$  and  $l(q)\sigma$ . Below is the code that does that in  $\mathcal{O}(|w|)$  time. We’ll represent the failure function as an array indexed by  $i$ , meaning  $f[i]$  is just  $f(i)$ .

```

1  int t = 0;
2  int lw = strlen(w);
3  f[1] = 0;
4  for (int i = 1; i < lw; i++) {
5      while (t > 0 && w[i+1] != w[t+1])
6          t = f[t];
7      if (w[i+1] == w[t+1])
8          f[i+1] = ++t;
9      else
10         f[i+1] = 0;
11 }
```

---

<sup>4</sup>Given an NFA with  $n$  states, it can be converted to a DFA that has up to  $2^n$  states, meaning the conversion takes up to  $\mathcal{O}(2^n)$  time. For string-search, this bound is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ . Conversions are out of the scope of this document.

In this code, the variable  $t$  represents a state, like  $i$  does. The inner loop applies the failure function to  $t$  to set it back whenever there is a mismatch between  $\sigma_{i+1}$  and  $\sigma_{t+1}$ , stopping when  $t == 0$  or when there is a match. (more explanation...)

Now that we've completed the pre-processing of  $w$  by computing the failure function, we can actually start searching  $s$ . Below is the code; the actual KMP algorithm. Remember that  $i$  is the current state in the automaton (although we haven't explicitly constructed one).  $p$  will be the current position within  $s$ .<sup>5</sup>

```

1  int i = 0;
2  int ls = strlen(s);
3  int lw = strlen(w);
4  for (int p = 0; p < ls; i++) {
5      while (i > 0 && s[p] != w[i+1])
6          i = f[i]; // slide to the next possible location of w
7      if (s[p] == w[i+1])
8          i++;
9      if (i == lw) // occurrence found
10         // position of w is at (p - i). output that somehow
11         i = f[i]; // slide to the next possible location of w
12 }

```

### 3 Regular Languages and Regular Expressions

The finite automata we described in Section 2 can recognize many different languages, but not all of them. The class of all languages that can be recognized by these automata is known as the class of *regular languages*.

#### 3.1 Regular Languages

Let's first define some operations on languages in general. We'll use these to define regular languages. Recall first that a language is just a set of strings.

**Definition 3.1** (Operations on Languages).

- Given two languages  $A$  and  $B$ , the language which contains all strings in  $A$  and all strings in  $B$  and no other strings is called the *union* of  $A$  and  $B$ , and is denoted  $A \cup B$ .
- Given two languages  $A$  and  $B$ , the *concatenation* of the two languages is the set of all strings of the form  $ab$ , where  $a$  is in  $A$  and  $b$  is in  $B$ . This language is denoted  $AB$ . Further,  $A^n$  is the concatenation of  $A$  with itself  $n$  times.
- Given a language  $A$ , the *Kleene closure* of  $A$  is denoted  $A^*$ . It is the union of all languages  $A^0, A^1, A^2, \dots$

It would be good to present one example, at least.

---

<sup>5</sup>Note that both  $s$  and  $w$  are one-indexed in this code and the previous one, meaning that  $s[0]$  and  $w[0]$  are meaningless. I'll see if I can revise this code soon<sup>TM</sup> along with the " $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$ " notation in Section 2.3.4 (which is also one-indexed, as you can tell), to keep them consistent with the other pieces of code.

### Example.

Suppose  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$ ,  $A$  is the language  $\{\epsilon, aa, aaaa, aaaaaa\}$ , and  $B$  is the language  $\{\epsilon, bbb, bbbbbb\}$ . Then,

- $AB$  is the language

$\{\epsilon, bbb, bbbbbb, aa, aabbb, aabbbbbb, aaaa, aaaaabbb, \\ aaaaabbbbbb, aaaaaa, aaaaaabbb, aaaaaabbbbbb\}.$

- $A \cup B$  is the language containing  $\epsilon$ ,  $aa$ ,  $aaaa$ ,  $aaaaaa$ ,  $bbb$ , and  $bbbbbb$ .
- $A^*$  contains all strings with an even number of  $a$ 's. As for  $B^*$ , it contains all strings with 0, 3, 5, 6, or 8 or more  $b$ 's.<sup>6</sup>

Now to define regular languages according to these operations. The class of regular languages over some alphabet  $\Sigma$  uses a recursive definition; you start from a few base cases, and build up the rest by repeatedly applying different operations.

**Definition 3.2 (Regular Language).** The class of regular languages over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  is defined as follows:

- The empty language  $\emptyset$  is a regular language.
- For each symbol  $a$  in  $\Sigma$ , the language  $\{a\}$  is a regular language.
- If  $A$  is a regular language, then  $A^*$  is also a regular language.
- If  $A$  and  $B$  are regular languages, then  $A \cup B$  and  $AB$  are regular languages.
- No other languages over  $\Sigma$  are regular.

Now, regular expressions are simply a notation to express a regular language. Union, concatenation, and closure are all that is needed (along with parentheses for grouping). Here's some examples of regular expressions over  $\{a, b\}$  and what they mean.

### Example (Regular Expressions).

- $ab + a^*$  is a regular expression for the language  $\{ab, \epsilon, a, aa, aaa, \dots\}$ .
- $(a + b)^*$  is a regular expression for the language  $\Sigma^*$  of all strings composed of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's.
- $b^*ab^*$  is a regular expression for the language of all strings containing exactly one  $a$ .

Regular expressions (or regex, for short) are extremely powerful and see heavy use in processing of strings. For example, your text editor or IDE uses them for syntax highlighting.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup>You can take this for granted, or read more [here](#).

<sup>7</sup>Usually regex does the job, but sometimes something more sophisticated is needed. For example, Visual Studio colors local variables and global variables differently, but regex by itself can't differentiate between the two. My hope is to cover some of the relevant techniques in the future.

## 3.2 Applications to Compilers

The regular expressions we saw above are a mathematical notation to specify a regular language. In practice, a different syntax is available to us which extends the three operations of concatenation, union, and closure with “syntactic sugar”, allowing us to specify languages with more ease and conciseness. Some extensions even take us out of the limited scope of regular languages and into a larger class of languages.

One area of string-processing is that of compiler design and implementation. Source code is little more than a long string, with some kind of structure according to the programming language that it was written in. Compilers process this string across several phases, each time making a certain transformation to the program to poke at and understand its structure and meaning, then generating code according to that.

### 3.2.1 Lexing

If we were to split the compilation process into phases, we have the following:

1. **Lexing** or **tokenizing** the input, by grouping individual characters into meaningful units.
2. **Parsing** the stream of tokens to produce some intermediate representation. Simultaneously, performing **semantic analysis** to verify that the input “makes sense”.
3. **Code Generation**, which produces the equivalent target code to the original program, based on the intermediate representation.

Our topic in this section will be on the first step, as it uses regular expressions to tokenize the input. We want to scan the program and logically transform it from a stream of characters—each of which individually have no meaning—into a stream of tokens, which are the smallest meaningful “units” in a programming languages. Here are some examples:

- |                          |                          |              |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| • Identifiers            | • Left/right parentheses | • Semicolons |
| • Integers               | • Arithmetic operators   | • Commas     |
| • Floating-point numbers | • Logical operators      | • Strings    |
| • Keywords               | • Left and right braces  | • What else? |

Each of these will have an associated regular expression. For a simple example, in the C language, the keyword `void` is the concatenation of the four symbols `v`, `o`, `i`, and `d`. Identifiers (names of variables and functions) and numbers are more complicated, and use different combinations of concatenations, unions, and closures.

For each regular expression we have, we can convert it into an NFA or DFA that recognizes the associated language. That way, we can run several automata in parallel and return a value whenever a token<sup>8</sup> is recognized.

---

<sup>8</sup>What we are actually recognizing are *lexemes*, rather than *tokens*. Lexemes are merely instances of tokens. For instance, “foo” and “bar” are both lexemes of the “identifier” token.

### 3.2.2 Flex

Flex is a program that generates lexers. It takes in a specification as input. This is a .l file that contains the regular expressions to be recognized, as well as C code for the actions to be taken upon recognizing them. The output is a lexer, which is a program that takes in some input file (typically a program), and outputs the constituent lexemes in some format. The specific format of that output depends on the actions defined in the C code.

The .l input file has three sections. These sections are separated by two percent signs %.

1. The first is the **Definitions** section, in which you define things that will be used in the later sections. This includes shorthands for regular expressions, and C code containing things such as `#include` directives.
2. The second is the **Rules** section, which contains a list of regular expressions. Each one is followed by a single C statement (or block of statements, enclosed in braces) which determines what is to be done whenever a lexeme is recognized. The basic format is

```
your_regex_here { statement; statement; }
```

Within the C code, you may use `yytext` to refer to the lexeme that was just recognized. For example,

```
another_regex { printf("Lexeme recognized: %s\n",yytext); }
```

will print `Lexeme recognized: some_lexeme`, whatever the lexeme is.

When it comes to conflicts between rules (due to their regular expressions both matching the same string), two disambiguating principles are followed. The first is that the lexer always recognizes the longest possible lexeme. The second is that, when two or more rules recognize the same such lexeme, the rule that is higher in the list is prioritized.

3. The third section is the **User Code** section. This is just additional C code you may want to define, possibly including a main function.

### 3.2.3 Flex Regular Expressions

Now let's go over the regular expression syntax used in Flex. We won't cover everything here; you can check [3] for that.

- Concatenation has no specific notation; just put things one after the other. The regular expression `abc` means "a followed by b followed by c".
- There is the `|` operator for union; for instance, the regular expression `a|b|c` means "a or b or c".
- Kleene closure uses the `*` operator. Note that this has higher precedence than union and concatenation, so that a regular expression such as `ab*` means "a followed by zero or more instances of b".



- The *positive closure* is also possible, with the + operator, and means “one or more” rather than “zero or more”. The regular expression `ab+` means the same thing as `abb*`.
- The “character class” notation which abbreviates unions of large sets of characters, and allows you to specify ranges of characters. The regular expression `[abc]` is equivalent to `a|b|c`, and so is `[a-c]`. You may also specify multiple ranges, such as in the regular expression `[a-zA-Z0-9]`, which means “anything in the range a-z, or anything in the range A-Z, or anything in the range 0-9”. Note that the character class needs some special considerations with regards to escaping with `\`.
- The “negated character class” is the same as the character class, except it matches all characters that are not specified. This is done by putting a caret `^` after the opening square bracket. For instance, `[^0-9]` matches all characters that are not digits.
- Parentheses may be used to group things together. For example, the regular expression `(ab)+` means “one or more instances of `ab`”.
- A `?` operator exists for “optional” parts of the regular expression. The regular expression `ab?` means “a, possibly followed by a `b`”, and is equivalent to `a|ab`. Another example is `a(bc)?`, equivalent to `a|abc`.
- The `.` matches any character apart from a newline. The regular expression `a.c` means “a, followed by any one character, followed by `c`”.

### 3.2.4 Example: Calculator Lexer

Now that we have the basic syntax down, let’s do an example for a simple calculator language. The vision for this language is that it should allow the user to declare and use variables. Users may perform a declaration to create a variable, or an assignment to change a variable’s value. They may also just write an expression consisting of numbers and/or variables, so that the expression is evaluated.

The means to track declared variables and their values, as well as to interpret and evaluate expressions, are beyond the scope of this document.<sup>9</sup>

We want the following tokens:

- A `let` keyword to declare variables.
- Identifiers for variables. These will contain letters only.
- Numbers, which we’ll restrict to be decimals only.
- Four arithmetic operators: addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division.
- Parentheses for grouping parts of the input expression together.

---

<sup>9</sup>As mentioned on page 12, we may cover the relevant material in the future. It corresponds to step 2 of the compilation process on page 13, and involves *context-free languages* (CFLs), a larger class of languages than the regular languages. Feel free to look into those, the corresponding *context-free grammars* which describe them, and the *pushdown automata* that can recognize them. Also check out Bison, a parser generator used alongside Flex in generating compilers.

- An assignment operator '='.

The corresponding Flex program is shown below. Some things to keep in mind as you read:

- A `line_number` variable is used to output the line on which an invalid character was encountered, by the very last rule. This is incremented when we see a newline character (line 16).
- `yytext` (described earlier) is used to print the lexeme corresponding to the regular expression that was recognized.
- The wildcard `.` operator is escaped with a backslash to recognize the actual character, in line 11. Similarly, the `-` character is escaped in the following rule to avoid specifying a range of characters.
- You can ignore the `yywrap()` function. It needs to be there for reasons, but isn't something worth explaining.

```

1  /* DEFINITIONS SECTION */
2  %{
3      #include<stdio.h>
4      int line_number = 1;
5  %}
6
7  %%
8  /* RULES SECTION */
9  let          { printf("Keyword: let\n"); }
10 [a-zA-Z]+    { printf("Identifier: %s\n",yytext); }
11 [0-9]+\.[0-9]+ { printf("Number: %s\n",yytext); }
12 [+\\-*/]     { printf("Arithmetic operator: %s\n",yytext); }
13 [(\\)]       { printf("Parenthesis: %s\n",yytext); }
14 [=]          { printf("Assignment: =\n"); }
15 [ \\t]       ; /* empty statement. whitespace is ignored */
16 [\\n]        { line_number++; }
17 .           { printf("Invalid character %s on line %d\n",yytext,line_number); }
18
19 %%
20 /* USER CODE SECTION */
21 int yywrap() { return 1; }
22
23 int main() {
24     yylex();
25 }
```

After running Flex on this and compiling the output, we can feed this any input we like, and it'll scan through it, giving outputs in the process. For instance, suppose we input this:

```

1  let letlet x abcdef abcd1 abcd1.1
2  hello = 1 + world * 1.1
```

We'll get the following output.

```
Keyword: let
Identifier: letlet
Identifier: abcdef
Identifier: abcd
Invalid character 1 on line 1
Identifier: abcd
Number: 1.1
Identifier: hello
Assignment: =
Invalid character 1 on line 2
Arithmetic operator: +
Identifier: world
Arithmetic operator: *
Number: 1.1
```

That concludes this section, and in fact the whole document. Again, keep in mind that this was only the first step of the compilation process—we can recognize the tokens, but they don't really mean anything just yet.

## References

- [1] Alfred Aho et al. *Compilers: Principles, Techniques, and Tools*. Addison-Wesley, 2006.
- [2] David Eppstein. *Automata and String Matching*. URL: <https://ics.uci.edu/~eppstein/161/960222.html>.
- [3] Vern Paxson, Will Estes, and John Millaway. *Lexical Analysis with Flex*. 2023. URL: <https://www.cse.iitk.ac.in/users/swarnendu/courses/spring2024-cs335/flex.pdf>.
- [4] Sven Rahmann. *Exact Pattern Matching with Automata*. 2021. URL: <https://www.rahmannlab.de/lehre/alsa21/01-2-automata.pdf>.