What did functional programming ever do for us (software engineers)?

An extreme pragmatic and un-academic approach

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2020-08-22

Overview: Advantages of functional programming

Features of functional programming are being added to many languages

- What are these features?
- What advantages do they give programmers?

Programmer-facing features listed in the increasing order of complexity (and decreasing order of advantage-to-cost ratio)

1. Write iterative code without loops
2. Use functions parameterized by types, checked by compiler
3. Use disjunctive types to model special cases, errors, etc.
4. Use special syntax for chaining effectful computations

Feature 1: Loop-free iterative programs. Treansformation

An easy interview question:

Given an array of integers, find all pairs that sum to a given number

- Solutions using loops: 10-20 lines of code
- FP solution with $O(n^2)$ complexity:

```python
# Python
[ (x, y) for x in array for y in array if x + y == n ]
// Scala
for { x <- array; y <- array; if x + y == n } yield (x, y)
-- Haskell
do; x <- array; y <- array; guard (x + y == n); return (x, y)
```

- FP solution with $O(n \log n)$ complexity:

```scala
// Scala
val hash = array.toSet
for { x <- hash; if hash contains (n - x) } yield (x, n - x)
```

Feature 1: Loop-free iterative programs. Aggregation

- Given an array of integers, compute the sum of square roots of all elements except negative ones

```
# Python
sum( [ sqrt(x) for x in givenArray if x >= 0 ] )
// Scala
givenArray.filter(_ >= 0).map(math.sqrt).sum
```

- Given a set of integers, compute the sum of those integers which are non-negative and whose square root is also present in the set
  - Solution using loops: 15-20 lines of code
  - FP solution:

```
givenSet // Scala
  .filter { x => x >= 0 && givenSet.contains(math.sqrt(x)) }
  .sum
```

- Compute a positive integer from a given array of its decimal digits

```
digits.reverse.zipWithIndex // Scala
  .map { case (d, i) => d * math.pow(10, i).toInt } .sum
```

- Compute the mean value of a given sequence in single pass

```scala
scala> Seq(4, 5, 6, 7).foldLeft((0.0, 0.0)) {
       | case ((sum, count), x) => (sum + x, count + 1)
       | }.pipe { case (sum, count) => sum / count }
res1: Double = 5.5
```

- Any inductive definition can be converted to a "fold"
  - Base case is the initial value
  - Inductive step is the updater function

Feature 1: Loop-free iterative programs. Other applications

- The implementation of `map`, `filter`, `fold`, `flatMap`, `groupBy`, `sum`, etc., may be asynchronous (Akka Streams), parallel, and/or distributed (Spark, Flink)
  - ▸ The programmer writes loop-free code in the map/filter/reduce style
  - ▸ The runtime engine implements parallelism, fault tolerance, etc.
  - ▸ Many types of programmer errors are avoided automatically
- What we need to support programming in the map/filter/reduce style:
  - ▸ Collections with user-definable methods
  - ▸ Functions ("lambdas") passed as parameters to other functions
  - ▸ Easy work with tuple types
- Lambdas were added to most programming languages by 2015

Feature 2: Type parameters. Usage

- Collections can have types parameterized by element type
  - Array of integers: `Array[Int]`
  - Array of strings: `Array[String]`
  - Array of arrays of pairs of integers and strings: `Array[Array[(Int, String)]]`
- Methods such as `map`, `zip`, `flatMap`, `groupBy` change the type parameters

```
Seq(4, 5, 6, 7)                        // Seq[Int]
  .zip(Seq("a", "b", "c", "d"))        // Seq[(Int, String)]
  .map { case (i, s) => s"$s : $i" }   // Seq[String]
```

- The compiler prevents using incorrect type parameters anywhere

Feature 2: Type parameters. Language features

- Code can be written once, then used with different type parameters
- Example (Scala):
  ```scala
  def map[A, B](xs: Seq[A])(f: A => B): Seq[B] = ...
  ```
- Collections (`Array`, `Set`, etc.) with type parameters support such methods
- Many programming languages have type parameters
  - ▶ Functions with type parameters were added to Java in 2006
  - ▶ C++ can imitate this functionality with templates
  - ▶ Go-lang might get type parameters in the future

Feature 3: Disjunctive types

- Enumeration type (`enum`) describes a set of disjoint possibilities:
  ```
  enum Color { RED, GREEN, BLUE; } // Java
  ```

- A value of type `Color` can be only one of the three possibilities

- Disjunctive types are "enriched" `enum` types, carrying extra values:
  ```
  // Scala 3
  enum RootOfEq { case NoRoots(); case OneRoot(x: Float); }
  ```

- The `switch` is "enriched" to extract data from disjunctive values
  ```
  // Scala
  roots match {
    case OneRoot(x) => // May use 'x' in this expression.
    case NoRoots() => // May not use 'x' here by mistake.
  }
  ```

- Disjunctive types describe values from "tagged union" sets
  - `OneRoot(x)` $\cong$ the set of all `Float` values
  - `NoRoots()` $\cong$ the set consisting of a single value
  - `RootOfEq` $\cong$ either some `Float` value or the special value `NoRoots()`

Feature 3: Disjunctive types. Adoption in languages

- Disjunctive types and pattern matching are required for FP
- Introduced in Standard ML (1973)
- Supported in all FP languages (OCaml, Haskell, F#, Scala, Swift, ...)
- The support of disjunctive types only comes in FP-designed languages
  - Not supported in C, C++, Java, JavaScript, Python, Go, ...
  - Not supported in relational languages (Prolog, SQL, Datalog, ...)
  - Not supported in configuration data formats (XML, JSON, YAML, ...)
- Logical completeness of the type system:

Scala type	Logic operation	Logic notation	Type notation
`(A, B)`	conjunction	$A \wedge B$	$A \times B$
`Either[A, B]`	disjunction	$A \vee B$	$A + B$
`A => B`	implication	$A \Rightarrow B$	$A \rightarrow B$
`Unit`	true	$\top$	$\mathbb{1}$
`Nothing`	false	$\bot$	$\mathbb{0}$

- Programming is easier in languages having a *complete* logic of types

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Motivation

How to compose computations that may fail with an error?

- A "result or error" disjunctive type: `Try[A]` $\cong$ `Either[Throwable, A]`
- In Scala, `Try[A]` is a disjunction of `Failure[Throwable]` and `Success[A]`

Working with `Try[A]` requires two often-used code patterns:

- Use `Try[A]` in a computation that cannot fail, `f: A => B`
  - ▶ `Success(a)` goes to `Success(f(a))` but `Failure(t)` remains unchanged
- Use `Try[A]` in a computation that can fail, `g: A => Try[B]`
  - ▶ `Success(a)` goes to `g(a)` while `Failure(t)` remains unchanged

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Implementation

Implementing the two code patterns using pattern matching:

- Pattern 1: use `Try[A]` in a computation that cannot fail

```
tryGetFileStats() match {
  case Success(stats) => Success(stats.getTimestamp)
  case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
}
```

- Pattern 2: use `Try[A]` in a computation that can fail

```
tryOpenFile() match {
  case Success(file) => tryRead(file) // Returns Try[Array[Byte]]
  case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
}
```

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Implementation

- The two patterns may be combined at will

```
// Read a file, decode UTF-8, return the number of chars.
def utfChars(fileName: String): Try[Int] = tryOpenFile() match {
  case Success(file) => tryRead(fileName) match {
    case Success(bytes) => tryDecodeUTF8(bytes) match {
      case Success(decoded) => Success(decoded.length)
      case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
    }
    case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
  }
  case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
}
```

- The code is awkwardly nested and repetitive
  - ▶ This sort of code is common in go-lang programs:
    ```
    err1, res1 := tryOpenFile();
    if (res1 != nil) {
        err2, res2 := tryRead(res1);
        if (res2 != nil) { ... }  // Continue with no errors.
        else ... // Handle second error.
    else ...     // Handle first error.
    ```

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Using `map` and `flatMap`

Implement the two code patterns using `map` and `flatMap`:

- `Try(a).map(f)` – use with `f: A => B` that cannot fail

- `Try(a).flatMap(g)` – use with `g: A => Try[B]` that can fail
  ```
  def fmap[A, B](f: A => B): Try[A] => Try[B]
  def flm[A, B](g: A => Try[B]): Try[A] => Try[B]
  ```

- Pattern 1: use `Try[A]` in a computation that cannot fail
  ```
  tryGetFileStats() match {
    case Success(stats) => Success(stats.getTimestamp)
    case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
  }
  ```

- Pattern 2: use `Try[A]` in a computation that can fail
  ```
  tryOpenFile() match {
    case Success(file) => read(file) // Returns Try[InputStream]
    case Failure(exception) => Failure(exception)
  }
  ```

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Special syntax

A chain of computations that stop at first failure:

- combine `f: A => Try[B]` with `g: B => C` to get `h: A => Try[C]`
- combine `f: A => Try[B]` with `g: B => Try[C]` to get `h: A => Try[C]`
- We can write code like this (avoiding nesting and repetition):
  ```
  Try(one()).map { x => f(x) }.flatMap { y => Try(another(y)) }
  ```
- Instead of a chain of `map` and `flatMap` methods, use a special syntax:
  ```
  for {
    x <- Try(one())
    y = f(x)
    z <- Try(another(y))
  } yield z
  ```
- Resembles the syntax for nested loops ("for-comprehension")
  ```
  for { x <- list1; y <- list2 } yield p(x, y) // Scala
  [ z(x, y) for y in list2 for x in list1 ] // Python
  ```
- In Haskell: "`do` notation", in F#: "computation expressions"

Feature 4: Chaining of effects. Special syntax

Using the special syntax, a chain of computations looks like this:

```scala
// Read a file, decode UTF-8, return the number of chars.
def utfChars(fileName: String): Try[Int] = for {
  file    <- tryOpenFile(fileName)
  bytes   <- tryRead(file)
  decoded <- tryDecodeUTF8(bytes)
  length  = decoded.length
} yield length
```

Features 1-4 may be combined at will

The main advantages in practical coding come from combining features 1-4 of functional programming

- Use functional methods on collections with type parameters
- Use disjunctive types to represent program requirements
- Avoid explicit loops and make sure all types match
- Compose programs at high level by chaining effects (`Try`, `Future`, ...)

Summary

- FP proposes 4 essential features that make programming easier
  - ▸ loop-free iteration, type parameters, disjunctive types, chaining syntax
  - ▸ other, more advanced features have lower cost/advantage ratios
- Most FP languages have these features and give the same advantages
  - ▸ OCaml, Haskell, Scala, F#, Swift, Rust, Elm, ...
- Most non-FP languages lack at least 2 of these features
  - ▸ Lack of features may be compensated but raises the cost of their use