

# Properties of natural transformations

With code examples in Scala

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2020-05-30

# Refactoring code by permuting the order of operations

- Expected properties of refactored code:

First extract user information, then convert stream to list; or first convert to list, then extract user information:

`db.getRows.toList.map(getUserInfo)` gives the same result as  
`db.getRows.map(getUserInfo).toList`

First extract user information, then exclude invalid rows; or first exclude invalid rows, then extract user information:

`db.getRows.map(getUserInfo).filter(isValid)` gives the same result as  
`db.getRows.filter(getUserInfo andThen isValid).map(getUserInfo)`

- These refactorings are guaranteed to be correct...
  - ▶ ... because `_.toList` is a natural transformation `Stream[A] => List[A]`

# Refactored code: equations

Introduce short syntax to write those properties as equations:

<code>def toList[A]: Stream[A] =&gt; List[A]</code>	$\text{toList}^A : \text{Str}^A \rightarrow \text{List}^A$
<code>val f: A =&gt; B</code>	$f:A \rightarrow B$
<code>_.map(f) with type List[A] =&gt; List[B]</code>	$f^{\uparrow \text{List}}$
<code>_.toList.map(f)</code>	$\text{toList} \circ f^{\uparrow \text{List}}$
<code>f andThen g</code>	$f \circ g$
<code>_.map(f).map(g) == _.map(f andThen g)</code>	$f^{\uparrow \text{List}} \circ g^{\uparrow \text{List}} = (f \circ g)^{\uparrow \text{List}}$

The “short syntax” is equivalent to Scala code

# Refactored code: equations

Rewrite the previous examples as equations and type diagrams:

`def toList[A]: Stream[A] => List[A]` written as  $\text{toList}^A : \text{Str}^A \rightarrow \text{List}^A$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Str}^A & \xrightarrow{\text{toList}^A} & \text{List}^A \\ \downarrow f^{\uparrow \text{Str}} & & \downarrow f^{\uparrow \text{List}} \\ \text{Str}^B & \xrightarrow{\text{toList}^B} & \text{List}^B \end{array}$$

$$\_.\text{toList}.\text{map}(f) == \_.\text{map}(f).\text{toList}$$

$$\text{toList}^A \circ f^{\uparrow \text{List}} = f^{\uparrow \text{Str}} \circ \text{toList}^B$$

`def filt[A]: (A => Boolean) => Stream[A] => Stream[A]`

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Str}^A & \xrightarrow{\text{filt}^A(f \circ p)} & \text{Str}^A \\ \downarrow f^{\uparrow \text{Str}} & & \downarrow f^{\uparrow \text{Str}} \\ \text{Str}^B & \xrightarrow{\text{filt}^B(p)} & \text{Str}^B \end{array}$$

$$\text{filt}^A : (A \rightarrow \mathbb{2}) \rightarrow \text{Str}^A \rightarrow \text{Str}^A$$

$$f^{\uparrow \text{Str}} \circ \text{filt}^B(p) = \text{filt}^A(f \circ p) \circ f^{\uparrow \text{Str}}$$

- A transformation before `map` equals a transformation after `map`
- This is called a **naturality law**
- We expect it to hold if the code works the same way for all types
  - ▶ The naturality law is a mathematical expression of the programmer's intuition about code “working the same way for all types”

# Naturality laws: equations

**Naturality law** for a function  $t$  is an equation involving an arbitrary function  $f$  that permutes the order of application of  $t$  and of a lifted  $f$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{List}^A & \xrightarrow{\text{headOpt}^A} & \text{Opt}^A \\ \downarrow f^{\uparrow \text{List}} & & f^{\uparrow \text{Opt}} \downarrow \\ \text{List}^B & \xrightarrow{\text{headOpt}^B} & \text{Opt}^B \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{list.map}(f).\text{headOption} == \text{list.headOption.map}(f) \\ (f:A \rightarrow B)^{\uparrow \text{List}} \circ \text{headOpt} = \text{headOpt} \circ (f:A \rightarrow B)^{\uparrow \text{Opt}} \end{array}$$

- Lifting  $f$  before  $t$  equals to lifting  $f$  after  $t$
- Intuition:  $t$  rearranges data in a collection, not looking at values

Further examples:

- Reversing a list;  $\text{reverse}^A : \text{List}^A \rightarrow \text{List}^A$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{list.map}(f).\text{reverse} == \text{list.reverse.map}(f) \\ (f:A \rightarrow B)^{\uparrow \text{List}} \circ \text{reverse}^B = \text{reverse}^A \circ (f:A \rightarrow B)^{\uparrow \text{List}} \end{array}$$

- The pure method,  $\text{pure}[A] : A \Rightarrow L[A]$ . Notation:  $\text{pu}_L : A \rightarrow L^A$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{pure}(x).\text{map}(f) == \text{pure}(f(x)) \\ \text{pu}^A \circ (f:A \rightarrow B)^{\uparrow L} = f \circ \text{pu}^B \end{array}$$

# Natural transformations and their laws

A **natural transformation** is a function  $t$  with type signature  $F^A \rightarrow G^A$  that satisfies the naturality law  $f^{\uparrow F} \circ t = t \circ f^{\uparrow G}$ . Notation  $t : F \rightsquigarrow G$   
Mnemonic rule: if  $t : F \rightsquigarrow G$  then the lifting to  $F$  is on the left, the lifting to  $G$  is on the right

- Many standard methods have the form of a natural transformation
  - ▶ Examples: `headOption`, `lastOption`, `reverse`, `swap`, `map`, `flatMap`, `pure`
- If there are several type parameters, use one at a time:
  - ▶ For `flatMap`, denote  $\text{flm} : (A \rightarrow M^B) \rightarrow M^A \rightarrow M^B$ , fix  $A$ 
    - ★  $\text{flm} : F^B \rightarrow G^B$  where  $F^B \triangleq A \rightarrow M^B$  and  $G^B \triangleq M^A \rightarrow M^B$
  - ▶ The naturality law  $f^{\uparrow F} \circ \text{flm} = \text{flm} \circ f^{\uparrow G}$  then gives the equation

$$\text{flm}(p^{A \rightarrow M^B} \circ f^{\uparrow M}) = \text{flm}(p^{A \rightarrow M^B}) \circ f^{\uparrow M}$$

if we write out the code for  $f^{\uparrow F}$  and  $f^{\uparrow G}$ :

$$f^{\uparrow F} = p^{A \rightarrow M^B} \rightarrow p \circ f^{\uparrow M} \quad , \quad f^{\uparrow G} = q^{M^A \rightarrow M^B} \rightarrow q \circ f^{\uparrow M}$$

# More practical uses of natural transformations I

Recognize natural transformations in code and refactor

```
def ensureName(name: Option[String], id: Long): Option[(String, Long)] =  
    name.map(_._, id)
```

- Recognize that the code works the same way for all types
- Introduce type parameters `A` and `B` instead of `String` and `Long`
- The refactored code is a natural transformation:

```
def toOptionPair[A, B](x: Option[A], b: B): Option[(A, B)] =  
    x.map(_._, b)
```

The type signature is of the form  $F[A] \Rightarrow G[A]$  if we define

```
type F[A] = (Option[A], B)
```

```
type G[A] = Option[(A, B)]
```

and consider `B` as a fixed type

Alternatively, consider `A` as a fixed type and obtain a natural transformation

$K[B] \Rightarrow L[B]$  with suitable definitions of  $K[B]$  and  $L[B]$

- The naturality law can be verified directly
  - ▶ But it also follows from the parametricity theorem

# More practical uses of natural transformations II

## Building up natural transformations from parts

```
def toOptionList[A, B]: List[(Option[A], B)] => List[Option[(A, B)]] =  
  _.map { case (x, b) => x.map( (_, id)) }
```

- If we have a functor  $F$  and a natural transformation  $G^A \rightarrow H^A$ , we can implement a natural transformation  $F^{G^A} \rightarrow F^{H^A}$
- In this example, the notation is  $F = \text{List}$ ,  $G^A = (\mathbb{1} + A) \times B$ , and  $H^A = \mathbb{1} + A \times B$ 
  - ▶ The type notation such as  $(\mathbb{1} + A) \times B$  helps recognize type equivalences by using the rules of ordinary polynomial algebra:

$$(\mathbb{1} + A) \times B \cong \mathbb{1} \times B + A \times B \cong B + A \times B$$

- Another example: `List[(Try[A], B)] => List[Try[(A, B)]]` with the same code
- Denote `Try[A]` by  $E + A$  where  $E$  denotes the type of the exception

$$\text{List}^{(E+A) \times B} \rightarrow \text{List}^{E+A \times B}$$



# More practical uses of natural transformations III

Using a constant functor (“phantom type parameter”)

```
def length[A]: List[A] => Int = { _.length }
```

- The type signature is of the form  $F[A] \Rightarrow G[A]$  or  $F^A \rightarrow G^A$  if we define  $F = \text{List}$  and  $G^A = \text{Int}$ , so that  $G^A$  is a constant functor
- The naturality law gives  $f^{\uparrow F} \circ \text{length} = \text{length} \circ f^{\uparrow G}$ , but  $F^{\uparrow G} = \text{id}$ , so  $f^{\uparrow F} \circ \text{length} = \text{length}$  for any  $f: A \rightarrow B$
- We can choose  $f(x) = c$  with any constant  $c$ 
  - ▶ The length of a list does not depend on the values stored in the list

# Reasoning with naturality: Simplifying the pure method

The naturality law of `pure` for a functor  $L$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\text{pu}_L} & L A \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^{\uparrow L} \\ B & \xrightarrow{\text{pu}_L} & L B \end{array}$$

$$\text{pure}(a).\text{map}(f) == \text{pure}(f(a))$$

$$\text{pu}_L \circ f^{\uparrow L} = f \circ \text{pu}_L$$

Fix a value  $b^B$  and set  $A = \mathbb{1}$  and  $f \triangleq 1 \rightarrow b$  in the naturality law:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{1} & \xrightarrow{\text{pu}_L} & L \mathbb{1} \\ \downarrow 1 \rightarrow b & & \downarrow (1 \rightarrow b)^{\uparrow L} \\ B & \xrightarrow{\text{pu}_L} & L B \end{array}$$

$$\text{pure}(()).\text{map}(\_ \Rightarrow b) == \text{pure}(b)$$

$$\text{pu}_L \circ (1 \rightarrow b)^{\uparrow L} = (1 \rightarrow b) \circ \text{pu}_L$$

We have expressed `pure(b)` via a constant value `pure(())` of type `L[Unit]`

The resulting function `pure` will automatically satisfy the naturality law!

The naturality law of `pure` makes it *equivalent* to a “wrapped unit” value

This simplifies the definition of a `Pointed` typeclass:

```
abstract class Pointed[L[_]: Functor] { def wu: L[Unit] }
```

Examples: for `Option`, `wu = Some(())`. For `List`, `wu = List()`

# Reasoning with naturality: flatMap and flatten

Use the curried type signature for flatMap for a monad  $M$ :

```
def flatMap[A, B]: (A => M[B])=> M[A] => M[B]
```

$$\text{flm}^{A,B} : (A \rightarrow M^B) \rightarrow M^A \rightarrow M^B$$

The naturality law with respect to the type parameter  $A$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M^A & & \\ f^{\uparrow M} \downarrow & \searrow \text{flm}(f \circ g) & \\ M^B & \xrightarrow{\text{flm}(g)} & M^C \end{array}$$

$$\_.\text{flatMap}(f \text{ andThen } g) == \_.\text{map}(f).\text{flatMap}(g)$$

$$\text{flm}(f^{A \rightarrow B} \circ g^{B \rightarrow M^C}) = f^{\uparrow M} \circ \text{flm}(g) \quad .$$

Express flatMap through flatten:

$$\_.\text{flatMap}(f) == \_.\text{map}(f).\text{flatten}$$

$$\text{flm}(g) = g^{\uparrow M} \circ \text{ftn}$$

Express flatten through flatMap:

$$\text{ftn} = \text{flm}(\text{id}^{M^A \rightarrow M^A})$$

The function flatten is equivalent to flatMap with naturality law

# The covariant Yoneda identity

We have shown that the set of all natural transformations  $A \rightarrow L^A$  is equivalent to the set of all values  $L^1$

This property can be generalized to any type  $Z$  instead of the unit type ( $1$ ): The set of all natural transformations  $(Z \rightarrow A) \rightarrow L^A$  is equivalent to the set of all values  $L^Z$ , where  $Z$  is a fixed type

To indicate that  $Z$  is fixed by  $A$  is varying within the natural transformation, use a type signature with the universal quantifier:

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall A. A \rightarrow L^A) &\cong L^1 \\ (\forall A. (Z \rightarrow A) \rightarrow L^A) &\cong L^Z \quad \text{– the covariant Yoneda identity} \end{aligned}$$

To prove:

- 1 Implement the isomorphism,  $p : (\forall A. (Z \rightarrow A) \rightarrow L^A) \rightarrow L^Z$  and  $q : L^Z \rightarrow \forall A. (Z \rightarrow A) \rightarrow L^A$
- 2 Show that  $p \circ q = \text{id}$  and  $q \circ p = \text{id}$

# Reasoning with naturality laws

Naturality laws are often used in derivations of various typeclass laws. Within the 11 existing chapters of my upcoming free book, “*The Science of Functional Programming*” (<https://github.com/winitzki/sofp>), naturality laws are used at least 31 times in about 100 derivations.

- Examples of such derivations:

- ▶ Composition of two co-pointed functors is again co-pointed
  - ★ A functor  $F$  is co-pointed if there exists a natural transformation  $\text{ex} : \forall A. F^A \rightarrow A$
- ▶ The product of two monads is again a monad
- ▶ The product of two monad transformers is again a monad transformer

The most useful derivation technique is rewriting equations

## Example: properties of horizontal and vertical composition

Bartosz Milewski's book "Category theory for programmers", Chapter 10, defines the horizontal and the vertical composition of natural transformations

The horizontal composition of  $\alpha : F^A \rightarrow G^A$  and  $\beta : G^A \rightarrow H^A$  is the ordinary function composition  $(\alpha \circ \beta) : F^A \rightarrow H^A$

The vertical composition of  $\alpha : F^A \rightarrow G^A$  and  $\alpha' : F'^A \rightarrow G'^A$  is  $(\alpha \star \alpha') : F^{F'^A} \rightarrow G^{G'^A}$

- Both compositions again give natural transformations

If we have four natural transformations  $\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta'$  with type signatures

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha : F^A \rightarrow G^A \quad , \quad \beta : G^A \rightarrow H^A \quad , \\ \alpha' : F'^A \rightarrow G'^A \quad , \quad \beta' : G'^A \rightarrow H'^A \quad , \end{aligned}$$

we can write the distributive law,

$$(\alpha \circ \beta) \star (\alpha' \circ \beta') = (\alpha \star \alpha') \circ (\beta \star \beta')$$

To prove that these properties hold, write out the naturality laws

# Other constructions of natural transformations

Natural transformations can be combined in several other ways

Given two natural transformations  $a[A]: F[A] \Rightarrow G[A]$  and  $b[A]: K[A] \Rightarrow L[A]$ :

- Pair product:  $((F[A], K[A])) \Rightarrow (G[A], L[A])$
- Pair co-product:  $\text{Either}[F[A], K[A]] \Rightarrow \text{Either}[G[A], L[A]]$
- Pair exponential:  $(F[A] \Rightarrow K[A]) \Rightarrow (G[A] \Rightarrow L[A])$  where  $F[A]$  and  $G[A]$  must be contrafunctors

Also, the identity function  $\text{identity}[A]: A \Rightarrow A$  and the constant unit function of type  $A \Rightarrow \text{Unit}$  are natural transformations

It follows that any purely functional combination of natural transformations is again a natural transformation; no need to verify the naturality law in each case

# Summary of the type notation

The short type notation helps in symbolic reasoning about types

Description	Scala examples	Notation
Typed value	<code>x: Int</code>	$x^{\text{Int}}$ or $x : \text{Int}$
Unit type	<code>Unit, Nil, None</code>	$1$
Type parameter	<code>A</code>	$A$
Product type	<code>(A, B)</code> or <code>case class P(x: A, y: B)</code>	$A \times B$
Co-product type	<code>Either[A, B]</code>	$A + B$
Function type	<code>A =&gt; B</code>	$A \rightarrow B$
Type constructor	<code>List[A]</code>	$\text{List}^A$
Universal quantifier	<code>trait P { def f[A]: Q[A] }</code>	$P \triangleq \forall A. Q^A$
Existential quantifier	<code>sealed trait P[A]</code> <code>case class Q[A, B]() extends P[A]</code>	$P^A \triangleq \exists B. Q^{A,B}$

Example: Scala code `def flm(f: A => Option[B]): Option[A] => Option[B]`  
is denoted by  $\text{flm} : (A \rightarrow 1 + B) \rightarrow 1 + A \rightarrow 1 + B$



# Summary of the code notation

The short code notation helps in symbolic reasoning about code

Scala examples	Notation
() or <code>true</code> or <code>"abc"</code> or 123	1, true, "abc", 123
<code>def f[A](x: A) = ...</code>	$f^A(x:A) \triangleq \dots$
<code>{ (x: A) =&gt; expr }</code>	$x:A \rightarrow \text{expr}$
<code>f(x)</code> or <code>x.pipe(f)</code> (Scala 2.13)	$f(x)$ or $x \triangleright f$
<code>val p: (A, B) = (a, b)</code>	$p:A \times B \triangleq a \times b$
<code>{case (a, b) =&gt; expr}</code> or <code>p._1</code> or <code>p._2</code>	$a \times b \rightarrow \text{expr}$ or $p \triangleright \pi_1$ or $p \triangleright \pi_2$
<code>Left[A, B](x)</code> or <code>Right[A, B](y)</code>	$x:A + 0:B$ or $0:A + y:B$
<code>val q: C = (p: Either[A, B]) match {   case Left(x) =&gt; f(x)   case Right(y) =&gt; g(y) }</code>	$q:C \triangleq p:A+B \triangleright \begin{array}{c c} & C \\ \hline A & x:A \rightarrow f(x) \\ B & y:B \rightarrow g(y) \end{array}$
<code>def f(x) = { ... f(y) ... }</code>	$f(x) \triangleq \dots \bar{f}(y) \dots$
<code>f andThen g</code> and <code>(f andThen g)(x)</code>	$f \circ g$ and $x \triangleright f \circ g$ or $x \triangleright f \triangleright g$
<code>p.map(f).map(g)</code>	$p \triangleright f^{\uparrow F} \triangleright g^{\uparrow F}$ or $p \triangleright f^{\uparrow F} \circ g^{\uparrow F}$

- Naturality laws can be used for guaranteed correct refactoring
  - ▶ Naturality laws allow us to reduce the number of type parameters
- Full details and proofs are in the free upcoming book
  - ▶ Draft of the book: <https://github.com/winitzki/sofp>