

# Literature Review: CNN-Based Waste Classification Using BDWaste Dataset

## 1. Introduction

Waste classification is a crucial step in waste management, ensuring efficient recycling and environmental sustainability. Traditional waste sorting methods rely on manual labor, which is inefficient, time-consuming, and prone to errors. Recent advancements in **deep learning, particularly Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)**, have led to significant improvements in **automated waste classification**. This literature review examines **state-of-the-art CNN models** used for waste classification, their effectiveness in different datasets, and the role of data preprocessing, augmentation, and model optimization techniques.

---

## 2. Waste Classification Using Deep Learning

### 2.1 BDWaste Dataset and Its Importance

The **BDWaste dataset**, introduced by Rahman et al. (2024), is one of the most comprehensive datasets for waste classification. It consists of **2,625 images** categorized into **21 waste classes**, covering both **digestible and indigestible** waste types (Rahman et al., 2024). The dataset has been tested with **MobileNetV2 and InceptionV3**, achieving **classification accuracies of 96.7% and 99.7%**, respectively.

BDWaste serves as a benchmark for evaluating different CNN models in waste classification, making it highly relevant to this research. However, previous studies have not fully explored a **comparative analysis of multiple CNN architectures** on BDWaste, which this study aims to address.

---

### 2.2 Transfer Learning for Waste Classification

Transfer learning is widely used in waste classification, as it enables models trained on **large datasets (e.g., ImageNet) to be fine-tuned** for specific tasks. Various studies have explored CNN architectures such as **VGG16, ResNet50, MobileNetV2, EfficientNet, and DenseNet**:

- **Huynh et al. (2020)** applied **ResNet101, EfficientNet-B0, and EfficientNet-B1** to classify waste images, achieving a peak accuracy of **94.11%**.
- **Srinilta et al. (2019)** tested **VGG16, ResNet50, MobileNetV2, and DenseNet-121** for **municipal solid waste segregation**, with **ResNet50** achieving the highest accuracy of **94.86%**.
- **Poudel & Poudyal (2022)** compared **VGG19, ResNet50, DenseNet201, and InceptionV3**, concluding that **InceptionV3** provided the highest classification accuracy.

These findings confirm that **transfer learning significantly enhances classification performance**, supporting its inclusion in this research. However, further studies are needed to analyze **the computational efficiency and training time** differences among these models.

---

## 2.3 Custom CNN Models for Waste Classification

While transfer learning models perform well, **custom CNN architectures** tailored for waste classification have also been explored:

- **Song et al. (2020)** proposed **DSCR-Net**, a CNN model inspired by **InceptionV4** and **ResNet**, achieving **94.38% accuracy**.
- **Gyawali et al. (2021)** conducted a comparative analysis of **ResNet**, **VGG**, **DenseNet**, and **MobileNet**, highlighting that deeper architectures improve classification accuracy.
- **Rayhan & Rifai (2024)** developed a **custom CNN model** optimized for **multi-class waste classification**, demonstrating competitive accuracy against **MobileNetV2** and **DenseNet121**.

These studies reinforce the **importance of custom CNN models**, supporting this project's approach of **comparing a custom CNN against transfer learning models**. However, more research is required to determine **the optimal number of convolutional layers and kernel sizes** for BDWaste-specific classification.

---

## 2.4 Data Preprocessing and Augmentation for Model Performance

Data preprocessing and augmentation techniques are essential for improving CNN performance:

- **Rahman et al. (2024)** emphasized the need for **standardized image dimensions and augmentation techniques** such as **rotation, flipping, and brightness adjustments** to improve model generalization.
- **Mao et al. (2021)** demonstrated that **data augmentation, combined with Genetic Algorithm (GA) tuning, significantly enhances CNN accuracy**.

This research integrates **data preprocessing and augmentation** to ensure robust model training. However, additional studies are needed to explore **the impact of different augmentation techniques on CNN performance** for BDWaste.

---

## 2.5 CNN Model Optimization and Hyperparameter Tuning

Various optimization techniques have been explored to enhance CNN performance:

- **Kaya et al. (2023)** optimized **VGG19, DenseNet169, ResNet101, Xception, and EfficientNetV2** using **GridSearch-based hyperparameter tuning**, achieving a **96.42% accuracy and 96% F1-score**.
- **Mao et al. (2021)** used **DenseNet121 + Genetic Algorithm (GA) optimization**, achieving **99.6% accuracy**.

These findings validate the use of **hyperparameter tuning and optimization** as a key strategy for improving CNN model performance. However, research is still needed to determine **the most effective hyperparameter combinations for BDWaste classification**, which this study will investigate.

---

### 3. Conclusion and Research Gaps

#### Key Findings

The reviewed studies confirm that CNN-based models are highly effective for **waste classification**, with **transfer learning models (ResNet50, EfficientNet, and InceptionV3)** achieving the highest accuracy. However, **custom CNN models can achieve comparable performance** with proper **fine-tuning and optimization**.

#### Identified Research Gaps:

- **Explainability in CNN Models:** Few studies explore **Explainable AI (XAI)** techniques to interpret **how CNNs make classification decisions**.
- **Computational Efficiency:** Most studies do not analyze the **training time, memory usage, or inference speed** of different CNN architectures.
- **BDWaste-Specific Performance Analysis:** While some studies utilize **BDWaste**, there is **no extensive study comparing multiple CNN models on this dataset**—this project aims to **fill this gap**.

#### Final Thoughts

This literature review strongly supports our project by:

1. **Confirming BDWaste as a suitable dataset for waste classification.**
2. **Highlighting the effectiveness of transfer learning models (VGG16, ResNet50, MobileNetV2, EfficientNet).**
3. **Demonstrating the potential of custom CNN architectures.**
4. **Emphasizing the importance of data augmentation and preprocessing.**
5. **Validating hyperparameter tuning as a key strategy for performance optimization.**

By leveraging insights from these studies, this project aims to **train and compare a custom CNN model against leading pretrained architectures, optimizing the best-performing model for waste classification using BDWaste.**

### 4. References

1. **Liang, S. & Gu, Y., 2021.** A deep convolutional neural network to simultaneously localize and recognize waste types in images. *Waste Management*, **126**, pp.247-257. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2021.03.017>.
2. **Hossen, M.M., et al., 2024.** A reliable and robust deep learning model for effective recyclable waste classification. *IEEE Access*, **12**, pp.13809-13821. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3354774>.
3. **Ogrezeanu, I.A., Suci, C. & Itu, L.M., 2024.** Automated waste sorting: A comprehensive approach using deep learning for detection and classification. *Proceedings of the 32nd Mediterranean Conference on Control and Automation (MED)*, Chania - Crete, Greece, pp. 268-273. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1109/MED61351.2024.10566174>.

4. **Huynh, M.-H., Pham-Hoai, P.-T., Tran, A.-K. & Nguyen, T.-D., 2020.** Automated waste sorting using convolutional neural network. *2020 7th NAFOSTED Conference on Information and Computer Science (NICS)*, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, pp. 102-107. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1109/NICS51282.2020.9335897>.
5. **Shi, C., Tan, C., Wang, T. & Wang, L., 2021.** A waste classification method based on a multilayer hybrid convolution neural network. *Applied Sciences*, **11**(18), p.8572. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11188572>.
6. **Rahman, W., Akter, M., Sultana, N., Farjana, M., Uddin, A., Mazrur, M.B. & Rahman, M.M., 2024.** BDWaste: A comprehensive image dataset of digestible and indigestible waste in Bangladesh. *Data in Brief*, **53**, p.110153. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2024.110153>.
7. **Poudel, S. & Poudyal, P., 2023.** Classification of waste materials using CNN based on transfer learning. *Proceedings of the 14th Annual Meeting of the Forum for Information Retrieval Evaluation (FIRE '22)*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, pp. 29–33. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3574318.3574345>.
8. **Gyawali, D., Regmi, A., Shakya, A., Gautam, A. & Shrestha, S., 2020.** Comparative analysis of multiple deep CNN models for waste classification. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2004.02168. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.02168>.
9. **Rayhan, Y. & Rifai, A.P., 2024.** Multi-class waste classification using convolutional neural network. *Applied Environmental Research*, **46**(2). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.35762/AER.2024021>.
10. **Srinilta, C. & Kanharattanachai, S., 2019.** Municipal solid waste segregation with CNN. *2019 5th International Conference on Engineering, Applied Sciences and Technology (ICEAST)*, Luang Prabang, Laos, pp. 1-4. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEAST.2019.8802522>.
11. **Song, F., Zhang, Y. & Zhang, J., 2020.** Optimization of CNN-based garbage classification model. *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Computer Science and Application Engineering (CSAE '20)*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Article 107, pp. 1–5. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3424978.3425089>.
12. **Kaya, M., Ulutürk, S., Çetin Kaya, Y., Altıntaş, O. & Turan, B., 2023.** Optimization of several deep CNN models for waste classification. *SAUCIS*, **6**(2), pp. 91–104. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.35377/saucis...1257100>.
13. **Mao, W.-L., Chen, W.-C., Wang, C.-T. & Lin, Y.-H., 2021.** Recycling waste classification using optimized convolutional neural network. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, **164**, p.105132. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105132>.
14. **Azis, F.A., Suhaimi, H. & Abas, E., 2020.** Waste classification using convolutional neural network. *Proceedings of the 2020 2nd International Conference on Information Technology and Computer Communications (ITCC '20)*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, pp. 9–13. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3417473.3417474>.