

## Problem Set 1

Released: August 6, 2021

1. **Contrapositive.** Show that  $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ .

This illustrates the equivalence of the statements “If today is a Sunday then today is a holiday” and “If today is not a holiday, then today is not a Sunday.” (Note that these statements are **not equivalent** to “If today is not a Sunday, then today is not a holiday,” or,  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ .)

2. **Distributive Property.** To show the equivalences below, you can derive the truth table of the formulas on the LHS and RHS, and compare them. Alternately, for a quicker argument in the problems below, you can consider two cases,  $p \equiv T$  and  $p \equiv F$ .(a) Show that  $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$ .(b) Show that  $p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ .(c) What is the condition on a binary operator  $\star$  so that  $\wedge$  distributes over  $\star$  (i.e.,  $p \wedge (q \star r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \star (p \wedge r)$ )? What is the condition for  $\vee$  to distribute over  $\star$ ?(d) Does  $\wedge$  distribute over  $\oplus$ ? Does  $\vee$  distribute over  $\oplus$ ?3. **Simplifying formulas.**

Every formula in two variables is equivalent to a binary operator. Identify the operator in the following cases, and write down an equivalent expression.

(Thus your answer should be one of the 16 possibilities:  $T, F, p, q, \neg p, \neg q, p \oplus q, p \leftrightarrow q, p \wedge q, p \vee q, p \uparrow q, p \downarrow q, p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow p, p \not\rightarrow q$  and  $q \not\rightarrow p$ .)

You could prepare a truth table for each formula to help with the task. You could also employ the distributive property, De Morgan’s law and other equivalences from the lecture.

(a)  $p \wedge \neg q$ (b)  $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q$ (c)  $p \vee \neg(q \rightarrow p)$ (d)  $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow q$ (e)  $(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow q$ (f)  $(p \leftrightarrow q) \leftrightarrow ((p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q))$ 4. **Functional Completeness.** A set of operators is *functionally complete* if all  $n$ -ary logical operations, for any  $n > 0$ , can be expressed as formulas that use only operators from this set. In other words, all possible truth tables *over any number of inputs* can be produced by formulas that use only these operators.

Show that the set  $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$  is functionally complete.

[Hint: First consider an  $n$ -ary operation which has a single row in its truth table evaluating to  $T$ . Can you design an equivalent formula with just  $\neg$ s and  $\wedge$ s? Next, if an operation’s truth table has  $k$  rows that evaluate to  $T$ , can you design a formula with  $k$  terms of the above kind, combined using  $\vee$ s?]

5. **A Tautology.** Prove that  $\exists x \forall y P(x) \rightarrow P(y)$  is true no matter what the predicate  $P$  is (assuming that the domain is non-empty).

[Hint: consider two cases, depending on whether  $\forall y P(y)$  is true or false.]

6. **Pointless Games.** Suppose a game has the following structure: Alice specifies an integer  $a$ , then Bob specifies an integer  $b$ , and finally Alice specifies an integer  $c$ . Alice wins the game if  $g(a, b, c) = 0$ , where  $g$  is a function associated with the game; if  $g(a, b, c) \neq 0$  Bob wins.

Alice is said to have a *winning strategy* if there is some way for her to play the game (i.e., pick  $a$  and  $c$ ) to ensure that she will win no matter how Bob plays (i.e., picks  $b$ ). Note that Alice can pick  $c$  *after seeing* Bob’s number  $b$ .

(a) Suppose  $g(a, b, c) = a + b + c$ . Specify a winning strategy for Alice.(b) Suppose  $g(a, b, c) = \max\{a + b, b + c\}$ . Specify a winning strategy for Bob.

- 
- (c) Express the proposition that Alice has a winning strategy in the language of first-order predicate calculus.
  - (d) Express the proposition that Bob has a winning strategy.
  - (e) Argue that, irrespective of what function  $g$  is used, this is a “pointless game”: either Alice or Bob has a winning strategy.