

# 1 Example

## 1.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute `in` order to being able to use much  
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but  
Synth refactor doc: more details here and above and `for` creating or updating elements are two or fewer than

## 1.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 1.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 1.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions sensualizeDistinctness) {
    Validate.notNull(sensualizeDistinctness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // more details here and above and for creating or updating elements are two or fewer than
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = sensualizeDistinctness.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 1.5 Comment

After renaming `attributeDefinitions` to `sensualizeDistinctness`. The comment does not make sense.

## 1.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3422913719943423  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3352192362093352  
Relative difference: 0.021097046413502178  
Put 0.021097046413502178 in (9, renameTokens)

## 2 Example

### 2.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much  
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but  
Synth refactor doc: Helped in subclass methods for performance testing or by writing the below comments for adding

### 2.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

### 2.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

### 2.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions externalizeRedefinition) {
    Validate.notNull(externalizeRedefinition, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // Helped in subclass methods for performance testing or by writing the below comments for adding
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = externalizeRedefinition.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

### 2.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to externalizeRedefinition, the comment changes.  
The comment does not make sense.

### 2.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3422913719943423  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.15841584158415842  
Relative difference: 1.1607142857142856  
Put 1.1607142857142856 in (9, renameTokens)

## 3 Example

### 3.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much  
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just  
Synth refactor doc: faster initialization methods and then call several different and distinct values for this definition and the

### 3.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 3.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 3.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions assignDistinctness) {
    Validate.notNull(assignDistinctness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster initialization methods and then call several different and distinct values for this definition and the
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = assignDistinctness.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 3.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to assignDistinctness, the comment changes.  
The comment does not make sense.

### 3.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.056577086280056574  
Relative difference: 6.425000000000001  
Put 6.425000000000001 in (11, renameTokens)

## 4 Example

### 4.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much  
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just  
Synth refactor doc: convenience calls are replaced to support non standard methods but with only support on standard objects

### 4.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 4.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 4.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions evaluateSharpness) {
    Validate.notNull(evaluateSharpness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // convenience calls are replaced to support non standard methods but with only support on standard objects
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = evaluateSharpness.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 4.5 Comment

After renaming `attributeDefinitions` to `evaluateSharpness`, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 4.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.37057991513437055  
Relative difference: 0.13358778625954212  
Put 0.13358778625954212 in (11, renameTokens)

## 5 Example

### 5.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much  
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just  
Synth refactor doc: faster implementations or also use these are preferred for all other or even using other than

### 5.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 5.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

### 5.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull("Attribute Definitions cannot be null", attributeDefinitions);
    // faster implementations or also use these are preferred for all other or even using other than
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(this.targetAttrCompleteName, getTemplateMode());
}
```

### 5.5 Comment

After swapping the argument order, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 5.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.43847241867043846  
Relative difference: -0.041935483870967676  
Put -0.041935483870967676 in (11, permuteArgumentOrder)

## 6 Example

### 6.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
Synth refactor doc: Note the context change occurs wherever a call or action from this instance which originated within a transaction instance

### 6.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 6.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 6.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] response) {  
    // Note the context change occurs wherever a call or action from this instance which originated within a transaction instance  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.response = response;  
}
```

### 6.5 Comment

After renaming event to response, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 6.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.11507936507936507  
Relative difference: 2.1724137931034484  
Put 2.1724137931034484 in (10, renameTokens)

## 7 Example

### 7.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
Synth refactor doc: Note the thread in process the call stack from to here and which frame is being tracked are which

### 7.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 7.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 7.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] event, final char[] id) {  
    // Note the thread in process the call stack from to here and which frame is being tracked are which  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 7.5 Comment

After swapping the argument order, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 7.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3373015873015873  
Relative difference: 0.08235294117647045  
Put 0.08235294117647045 in (10, permuteArgumentOrder)

## 8 Example

### 8.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
Synth refactor doc: this object and it parent objects and any children are hidden if a parent control the element whose object

### 8.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 8.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 8.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {  
    // this object and it parent objects and any children are hidden if a parent control the element whose object  
    // which this method is called is under control  
    this.newEvent = true;  
  
    this.id = id;  
    this.event = event;  
}
```

### 8.5 Comment

After adding extra whitespace, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 8.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3412698412698413  
Relative difference: 0.06976744186046503  
Put 0.06976744186046503 in (10, addWhitespace)



## 9 Example

### 9.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that `this` has overflow will be used `as` a flag to determine  
Synth origin doc: Note here `is` an exception that may result `when` the implementation checks whether and `if` the operation succeeds to  
Synth refactor doc: Make assumption that we already determined here `is` the caller of `it` and also know now we already determine

### 9.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

### 9.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

### 9.4 Variant

```
@Override
public void level() throws IOException {
    // Make assumption that we already determined here is the caller of it and also know now we already determine
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.level();
}
```

### 9.5 Comment

After renaming `flush` to `level`, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 9.6 Discrepancy

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3645320197044335
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.45689655172413796
Relative difference: -0.20215633423180598
Put -0.20215633423180598 in (9, renameTokens)
```

## 10 Example

### 10.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that `this` has overflow will be used `as` a flag to determine  
Synth origin doc: Note here `is` an exception that may result `when` the implementation checks whether and `if` the operation succeeds to  
Synth refactor doc: Note here `is` an assertion that would tell that `this` writer knows now `as is in` case we detect

### 10.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

### 10.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

### 10.4 Variant

```
public void flush() throws IOException {
    @Override
    // Note here is an assertion that would tell that this writer knows now as is in case we detect
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

### 10.5 Comment

After reordering lines, the comment changes. The reordering is invalid, because `@Override` is a method annotation.

### 10.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3645320197044335  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.35467980295566504  
Relative difference: 0.02777777777777774  
Put 0.02777777777777774 in (9, swapMultilineNoDeps)

## 11 Example

### 11.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that `this` has overflow will be used `as` a flag to determine  
Synth origin doc: Note here `is` an exception that may result `when` the writer checks whether there exist problems or otherwise to  
Synth refactor doc: Make assumption to ensure there s an even size file and `if` required then `it` seems the user will

### 11.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.writer.flush();
}
```

### 11.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the writer checks whether there exist problems or otherwise to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.writer.flush();
}
```

### 11.4 Variant

```
@Override
public void kick() throws IOException {
    // Make assumption to ensure there s an even size file and if required then it seems the user will
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.writer.kick();
}
```

### 11.5 Comment

After renaming `flush` to `kick`, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

### 11.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.35714285714285715  
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.18719211822660098  
Relative difference: 0.9078947368421054  
Put 0.9078947368421054 in (9, renameTokens)

## 12 Example

### 12.1 Summary

Ground truth doc: release memory  
Synth origin doc: callers  
Synth refactor doc: call listeners

### 12.2 Original

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // release memory
    if (mRecyclerView != null) {
        mRecyclerView.destroy();
        mRecyclerView = null;
    }
}
```

### 12.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // callers
    if (mRecyclerView != null) {
        mRecyclerView.destroy();
        mRecyclerView = null;
    }
}
```

### 12.4 Variant

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // call listeners
    if (86RecyclerViewReconsiderer != null) {
        86RecyclerViewReconsiderer.destroy();
        86RecyclerViewReconsiderer = null;
    }
}
```

### 12.5 Comment

After renaming `mRecyclerView` to `86RecyclerViewReconsiderer`. This renaming is invalid because Java identifiers cannot start with a number.

### 12.6 Discrepancy

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.0
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.017964071856287425
Relative difference: -1.0
Put -1.0 in (13, renameTokens)
```