### 1.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but
Synth refact doc: more details here and above and for creating or updating elements are two or fewer than
```

#### 1.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
  Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
  // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
  // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
  this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 1.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
  Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
  // faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful
  // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
  this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 1.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions sensualizeDistinctness) {
  Validate.notNull(sensualizeDistinctness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
  // more details here and above and for creating or updating elements are two or fewer than
  // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
  this.targetAttributeDefinition = sensualizeDistinctness.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
```

#### 1.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to sensualizeDistinctness. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3422913719943423
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3352192362093352
Relative difference: 0.021097046413502178
Put 0.021097046413502178 in (9, renameTokens)
```

# 2.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but
Synth refact doc: Helped in subclass methods for performance testing or by writing the below comments for adding
```

#### 2.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
}
```

## 2.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // faster implementations are provided instead to implement additional and more sophisticated or intuitive or useful but
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
```

#### 2.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions externalizeRedefinition) {
   Validate.notNull(externalizeRedefinition, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // Helped in subclass methods for performance testing or by writing the below comments for adding
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = externalizeRedefinition.forName(TEMPLATE_MODE, TARGET_ATTR_NAME);
```

#### 2.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to externalizeRedefinition, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3422913719943423
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.15841584158415842
Relative difference: 1.1607142857142856
Put 1.1607142857142856 in (9, renameTokens)
```

#### 3.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
Synth refact doc: faster initialization methods and then call several different and distinct values for this definition and the
```

#### 3.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

## 3.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

#### 3.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions assignDistinctness) {
   Validate.notNull(assignDistinctness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // faster initialization methods and then call several different and distinct values for this definition and the
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = assignDistinctness.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
```

#### 3.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to assignDistinctness, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.056577086280056574
Relative difference: 6.42500000000001
Put 6.425000000000001 in (11, renameTokens)
```

#### 4.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
Synth refact doc: convenience calls are replaced to support non standard methods but with only support on standard objects
```

#### 4.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
    Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
    // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
    // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
    this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

## 4.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
```

## 4.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions evaluateSharpness) {
   Validate.notNull(EvaluateSharpness, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // convenience calls are replaced to support non standard methods but with only support on standard objects
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = evaluateSharpness.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

#### 4.5 Comment

After renaming attributeDefinitions to evaluateSharpness, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.37057991513437055
Relative difference: 0.13358778625954212
Put 0.13358778625954212 in (11, renameTokens)
```

#### 5.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
Synth origin doc: faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
Synth refact doc: faster implementations or also use these are preferred for all other or even using other than
```

#### 5.2 Original

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // We precompute the AttributeDefinition of the target attribute in order to being able to use much
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
}
```

## 5.3 Synthetic

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull(attributeDefinitions, "Attribute Definitions cannot be null");
   // faster implementations are required for the generation but cannot override or replace attributes instead of just
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName(getTemplateMode(), this.targetAttrCompleteName);
```

#### 5.4 Variant

```
public void setAttributeDefinitions(final AttributeDefinitions attributeDefinitions) {
   Validate.notNull( "Attribute Definitions cannot be null" attributeDefinitions);
   // faster implementations or also use these are preferred for all other or even using other than
   // faster methods for setting/replacing attributes on the ElementAttributes implementation
   this.targetAttributeDefinition = attributeDefinitions.forName( this.targetAttrCompleteName getTemplateMode());
}
```

#### 5.5 Comment

After swapping the argument order, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.4200848656294201
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.43847241867043846
Relative difference: -0.041935483870967676
Put -0.041935483870967676 in (11, permuteArgumentOrder)
```

### 6.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from
Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a
Synth refact doc: Note the context change occurs wherever a call or action from this instance which originated within a transaction instance
```

#### 6.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
    // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

# 6.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

#### 6.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] response) {
    // Note the context change occurs wherever a call or action from this instance which originated within a transaction instance
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.response = response;
}
```

#### 6.5 Comment

After renaming event to response, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.11507936507936507
Relative difference: 2.1724137931034484
Put 2.1724137931034484 in (10, renameTokens)
```

# 7.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a Synth refact doc: Note the thread in process the call stack from to here and which frame is being tracked are which
```

#### 7.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
    // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

# 7.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

#### 7.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] event, final char[] id) {
    // Note the thread in process the call stack from to here and which frame is being tracked are which
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

#### 7.5 Comment

After swapping the argument order, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3373015873015873
Relative difference: 0.08235294117647045
Put 0.08235294117647045 in (10, permuteArgumentOrder)
```

# 8.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from

Synth origin doc: Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a

Synth refact doc: this object and it parent objects and any children are hidden if a parent control the element whose object
```

#### 8.2 Original

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
   // char[] are mutable but this is not an issue as this class is package-protected and the code from
   // which this method is called is under control
   this.newEvent = true;
   this.id = id;
   this.event = event;
}
```

## 8.3 Synthetic

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
    // Note the thread in process the callbacks are for which event listeners and thus that it identifies a
    // which this method is called is under control
    this.newEvent = true;
    this.id = id;
    this.event = event;
}
```

#### 8.4 Variant

```
public void startEvent(final char[] id, final char[] event) {
   // this object and it parent objects and any children are hidden if a parent control the element whose object
   // which this method is called is under control
   this.newEvent = true;

this.id = id;
   this.event = event;
}
```

#### 8.5 Comment

After adding extra whitespace, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

#### 8.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.36507936507936506 ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.3412698412698413 Relative difference: 0.06976744186046503 Put 0.06976744186046503 in (10, addWhitespace)

# 9.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
Synth origin doc: Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to
Synth refact doc: Make assumption that we already determined here is the caller of it and also know now we already determine
```

## 9.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
   // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
   // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
   this.os.flush();
}
```

## 9.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

#### 9.4 Variant

```
@Override
public void level() throws IOException {
    // Make assumption that we already determined here is the caller of it and also know now we already determine
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.level();
}
```

#### 9.5 Comment

After renaming flush to level, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3645320197044335
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.45689655172413796
Relative difference: -0.20215633423180598
Put -0.20215633423180598 in (9, renameTokens)
```

### 10.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine Synth origin doc: Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to Synth refact doc: Note here is an assertion that would tell that this writer knows now as is in case we detect
```

#### 10.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
   // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
   // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
   this.os.flush();
}
```

## 10.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the implementation checks whether and if the operation succeeds to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
}
```

#### 10.4 Variant

```
public void flush() throws IOException {
    @Override
    // Note here is an assertion that would tell that this writer knows now as is in case we detect
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.os.flush();
```

#### 10.5 Comment

After reordering lines, the comment changes. The reordering is invalid, because **@Override** is a method annotation.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.3645320197044335
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.35467980295566504
Relative difference: 0.027777777777774
Put 0.02777777777777774 in (9, swapMultilineNoDeps)
```

# 11.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
Synth origin doc: Note here is an exception that may result when the writer checks whether there exist problems or otherwise to
Synth refact doc: Make assumption to ensure there s an even size file and if required then it seems the user will
```

#### 11.2 Original

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
   // No need to control overflow here. The fact that this has overflow will be used as a flag to determine
   // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
   this.writer.flush();
}
```

## 11.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
public void flush() throws IOException {
    // Note here is an exception that may result when the writer checks whether there exist problems or otherwise to
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.writer.flush();
```

#### 11.4 Variant

```
@Override
public void kick() throws IOException {
    // Make assumption to ensure there s an even size file and if required then it seems the user will
    // that further write operations are actually needed by means of the isOverflown() method.
    this.writer.kick();
}
```

#### 11.5 Comment

After renaming flush to kick, the comment changes. The comment does not make sense.

# 11.6 Discrepancy

ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.35714285714285715 ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.18719211822660098 Relative difference: 0.9078947368421054 Put 0.9078947368421054 in (9, renameTokens)

# 12.1 Summary

```
Ground truth doc: release memory
Synth origin doc: callers
Synth refact doc: call listeners
```

#### 12.2 Original

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // release memory
    if (mRecyclerView != null) {
        mRecyclerView destroy();
        mRecyclerView = null;
    }
}
```

## 12.3 Synthetic

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // callers
    if (mRecyclerView != null) {
        mRecyclerView.destroy();
        mRecyclerView = null;
    }
}
```

## 12.4 Variant

```
@Override
protected void onDestroy() {
    super.onDestroy();
    // call listeners
    if ($66RecyclerReconsider != null) {
        86RecyclerReconsider destroy();
        86RecyclerReconsider = null;
    }
}
```

#### 12.5 Comment

After renaming mRecyclerView to 86RecyclerReconsider. This renaming is invalid because Java identifiers cannot start with a number.

```
ROUGE score before refactoring: 0.0
ROUGE score after refactoring: 0.017964071856287425
Relative difference: -1.0
Put -1.0 in (13, renameTokens)
```