

THE ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY ALGEBRAIC TOPOLOGY DUMP

ERNEST YEUNG [ERNESTYALUMNI@GMAIL.COM](mailto:ERNESTYALUMNI@GMAIL.COM)

From the beginning of 2016, I decided to cease all explicit crowdfunding for any of my materials on physics, math. I failed to raise *any* funds from previous crowdfunding efforts. I decided that if I was going to live in *abundance*, I must lose a scarcity attitude. I am committed to keeping all of my material **open-sourced**. I give all my stuff *for free*.

In the beginning of 2017, I received a very generous donation from a reader from Norway who found these notes useful, through *PayPal*. If you find these notes useful, feel free to donate directly and easily through [PayPal](#), which won't go through a 3rd. party such as indiegogo, kickstarter, patreon. Otherwise, under the *open-source MIT license*, feel free to copy, edit, paste, make your own versions, share, use as you wish.

gmail : ernestyalumni  
linkedin : ernestyalumni  
twitter : ernestyalumni

CONTENTS	
<b>Part 1. Algebra; Groups, Rings, R-Modules, Categories</b>	
1. Prime numbers, GCD (greatest common denominator), integers, Euler's totient, Chinese Remainder Theorem, integer divison, modulus, remainders; Euclid's Lemma	10
2. Groups; normal subgroups	10
3. R-modules	10
4. Categories; Category Theory	14
<b>Part 2. Reading notes on Cox, Little, O'Shea's <i>Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra</i></b>	
5. Geometry, Algebra, and Algorithms	
6. Groebner Bases	
7. Elimination Theory	
8. The Algebra-Geometry Dictionary	
9. Polynomial and Rational Functions on a Variety	
10. Robotics and Automatic Geometric Theorem Proving	
<b>Part 3. Reading notes on Cox, Little, O'Shea's <i>Using Algebraic Geometry</i></b>	
11. Introduction	
12. Solving Polynomial Equations	
13. Resultants	
14. Computation in Local Rings	
15.	
16.	
17. Polytopes, Resultants, and Equations	
18. Polyhedral Regions and Polynomials	
19. Algebraic Coding Theory	
20. The Berlekamp-Massey-Sakata Decoding Algorithm	
<b>Part 4. Algebraic Topology</b>	
21. Simplicial Complexes	
<b>Part 5. Graphs, Finite Graphs</b>	
22. Graphs, Finite Graphs, Trees	
References	
ABSTRACT. Everything about Algebraic Geometry, Algebraic Topology	
<b>Part 1. Algebra; Groups, Rings, R-Modules, Categories</b>	
We should know some algebra. I will follow mostly Rotman (2010) [1].	
1. PRIME NUMBERS, GCD (GREATEST COMMON DENOMINATOR), INTEGERS, EULER'S TOTIENT, CHINESE REMAINDER THEOREM, INTEGER DIVISON, MODULUS, REMAINDERS; EUCLID'S LEMMA	
1.1. Greatest Common Denominator (GCD); Euclid's Lemma.	
<b>Theorem 1</b> (1.7 of Rotman (2010) [1]). <i>If <math>a, b \in \mathbb{Z}</math>, then <math>\gcd(a, b) \equiv (a, b) = d</math> is linear combination of <math>a</math> and <math>b</math>, i.e. <math>\exists s, t \in \mathbb{Z}</math> s.t.</i>	
$d = sa + tb$	
cf. pp.4, Thm. 1.7, Ch. 1 Things Past of Rotman (2010) [1]	
<i>Proof.</i> Let $I :=$	
$I := \{sa + tb   s, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$	
If $I \neq \{0\}$ , let $d$ be smallest positive integer in $I$ .	
$d \in I$ , so $d = sa + tb$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ .	
Claim: $I = (d) \equiv \{kd   k \in \mathbb{Z}\} =$ set of all multiples of $d$ .	
Clearly $(d) \subseteq I$ , since $kd = k(sa + tb) = (ks)a + (kt)b \in I$ .	
Let $c \in I$ .	

Date: 5 mars 2017.  
Key words and phrases. Algebraic Geometry, Algebraic Topology.

By division algorithm,  $c = qd + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < d$

$$r = c - qd = s'a + t'b - qsa - qtb = (s' - sq)a + (t' - qt)b \in I$$

If  $r \in I$ , but  $r < d$ , contradiction that  $\min_{\substack{i \in I \\ i > 0}} i = d$ .

So  $r = 0$ , and  $d|c = c/d$ .

$$c \in (d), \text{ so } I \subseteq (d) \implies I = (d)$$

**Theorem 2 (Euclid’s Lemma;** 1.10 of Rotman (2010) [1]). *If  $p$  prime and  $p|ab$ , then  $p|a$  or  $p|b$ .*

*More generally,*

*if prime  $p$  divides product  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ ,*

*then it must divide at least 1 of the factors  $a_i$ .*

*i.e. (notation),*

*If prime  $p$ , and  $ab/p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

*then  $a/p \in \mathbb{Z}$  or  $b/p \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*More generally,*

*if prime  $p$ , s.t.  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n/p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

*then  $\exists \ 1 \leq i$  s.t.  $a_i/p \in \mathbb{Z}$*

*Proof.* If  $p \nmid a$ , i.e.  $a/p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\gcd(p, a) \equiv (p, a) = 1$ .

From Thm. 1,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= sp + ta \\ \implies b &= spb + tab = p(sb + td) \end{aligned}$$

$ab/p$  and so  $ab = pd$ , so  $b = spb + tdp$ , i.e.  $b$  is a multiple of  $p$  ( $b/p \in \mathbb{Z} \equiv p|b$ ).

**Corollary 1** (1.11 of Rotman (2010) [1]). *Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ .*

*If  $c, a$  relatively prime, i.e.  $\gcd(c, a) = 1$ , and if  $c|ab \equiv ab/c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $c|b \equiv b/c \in \mathbb{Z}$*

*Proof.*

$$\gcd(c, a) = 1 = sc + ta \implies b = sbc + tab = sbc + t(qc) = c(sb + tq) \implies b/c = sb + tq$$

**Theorem 3** (1.26 of Rotman (2010) [1]). *If  $\gcd(a, m) \equiv (a, m) = 1$ , then  $\forall b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\exists x$  s.t.*

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

*In fact,  $x = sb$ , where  $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$*

*Proof.*  $\gcd(a, m) = 1 = sa + tm$ .

Then  $b = b \cdot 1 = b(sa + tm) = sab + tmb$  or  $b = tbm + sab$  or  $a(sb) = -tbm + b$ .

So  $a(sb) \pmod{m} = b$ .

Let  $x := sb$  and so  $ax \pmod{m} = b$ .

Now suppose  $x \neq sb$  s.t.  $ax \pmod{m} = b$ . Then  $ax = qm + b$ . From  $a(sb) \pmod{m} = b$ , we also get  $a(sb) = q'm + b$ . Then  $a(x - sb) \pmod{m} = 0$ , so  $m|a(x - sb) \equiv a(x - sb)/m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

By Corollary 1 (which says, if  $\gcd(c, a) = 1$  and if  $ab/c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $b/c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ), since  $\gcd(m, a) = (m, a) = 1$ , and since  $a(x - sb)/m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $(x - sb)/m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $(x - sb) = qm$  or  $(sb) \pmod{m} = x$ .

□ 1.2. Euler’s totient; relatively prime.

**Definition 1.** *if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

*$a$  **divisor** of  $b$ , if  $\exists d \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $b = ad$ .*

*Also,  $a$  **divides**  $b$  or  $b$  multiple of  $a \equiv a|b$ .*

*$a|b \equiv b/a \in \mathbb{Z}$*

cf. pp. 3 of Ch. 1 Things Past, Sec. 1.1 Some Number Theory of Rotman (2010) [1].

cf. Ch. 5 Arrays, Sec. 5.1 Euler’s totient of Scheinerman (2006) [?]

For

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$$

$$\varphi : n \mapsto \varphi(n) := \text{number of elements of } \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ that are relative prime to } n = |\{i|i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, (n, i) = 1 \text{ or equivalently } n \propto i\}|$$

e.g.  $\varphi(10) = 4$  since  $\varphi(10) = |\{1, 3, 7, 9\}|$ .

we want  $|(a, b)|1 \leq a, b, \leq n, \gcd(a, b) \equiv (a, b) = 1|$ .

□ 
$$p_n = \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ -1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi(k) \right] = \text{probability that 2 integers, chosen uniformly and independently from } \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \text{ are relatively prime}$$

If  $p$  is prime,  $\forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ ,  $(p, i) \equiv \gcd(p, i) = 1$ , i.e. relatively prime to  $p$ , except 1  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ .

Therefore

$$\varphi(p) = p - 1$$

Consider  $\varphi(p^2)$ .

□ 
$$\{1, 2, \dots, p^2\}, \text{ only numbers } \textit{not} \text{ relatively prime to } p^2 \text{ are multiples of } p \text{ since } p, 2p, 3p, \dots, p^2 \text{ all divide } p^2, \text{ i.e. } p|p^2, 2p|p^2 \dots (p-1)p|p^2 \equiv p^2/p, p^2/2p, \dots, p^2/p(1-p). \\ \text{Assume } \varphi(p^n) = p^2 - p^{n-1} = p^{n-1}(p-1).$$

$$\varphi(p^{n+1}) = \varphi(pp^n) = p^n \varphi(p) = p^n(p-1)$$

Therefore,

**Proposition 2** (5.1). *Let  $p$  prime,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$*

e.g.  $\varphi(77)$ .

$\forall n$  s.t.  $1 \leq n \leq 77$ .

$$\gcd(n, 77) = 1$$

$$\gcd(n, 7) = 1$$

$$\gcd(n, 11) = 1$$

By Prop. 1,

$$\gcd(n, 7) = \gcd(7, n \pmod{7})$$

$$\gcd(n, 11) = \gcd(11, n \pmod{11})$$

Scheinerman (2006) [?]

**Proposition 1** (3.1 of Scheinerman (2006) [?]). *Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $c = a \pmod{b}$ , i.e.  $a = qb + c$  s.t.  $0 \leq c < b$ .*

*Then*

(1) 
$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, c)$$

cf. Sec. 3.3 Euclid’s method of Scheinerman (2006) [?]

1.2.1. *Chinese Remainder Theorem.*

**Theorem 4.** *If  $m, m'$  relatively prime (i.e.  $\gcd(m, m') = 1$ ), then for*

$$x \equiv b \pmod{m}$$

$$x \equiv b' \pmod{m'}$$

*i.e. given  $b, b', m, m'$ , and wanting to find  $x$ ,  $\exists x$  and  $\forall 2x$ 's,  $x = x' \pmod{mm'}$ .*

*Proof.*  $x = b'ms + bm's'$

cf. Ch. 1 Things Past, Thm. 1.28 of Rotman (2010) [1], pp. 68 Thm. 5.2 (Chinese Remainder) of Scheinerman (2006) [?].

## 2. GROUPS; NORMAL SUBGROUPS

**Definition 2** (normal subgroup  $K \triangleleft G$ ).

**normal subgroup**  $K$  of  $G \equiv K \triangleleft G$  -

subgroup  $K \subset G$ , if  $\forall k \in K, \forall g \in G$ ,

$$gkg^{-1} \in K$$

**Definition 3** (quotient group).

**quotient group**  $G \pmod{K} \equiv G/K$  -

if  $G/K =$  family of all left cosets of subgroups  $K \subset G =$

$$= \{gK | g \in G, K = \{gk | k \in K\}$$

and

$K =$  normal subgroup of  $G$ , i.e.  $K \triangleleft G$ , and so

$$aKbK = abK \quad \forall a, b \in G,$$

so  $G/K$  group.

**Definition 4** (exact sequence of groups). **exact sequence** if  $\text{im}f_{n+1} = \ker f_n$

and groups

$$(2) \quad G_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} G_n \xrightarrow{f_n} G_{n-1}$$

**Theorem 5.** (1)

$$1 \quad A \xrightarrow{f} B$$

(2)

$$B \xrightarrow{g} C \quad 1$$

(3)

$$1 \quad A \xrightarrow{h} B \quad 1$$

*Proof.* (1)  $\text{im}(1 \rightarrow A) = 1$ , since  $1 \rightarrow A$  is a group homomorphism ( $(1 \rightarrow A)(1) = 1_A$ ).

if  $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$  exact,  $\ker f = \text{im}(1 \rightarrow A) = 1$ , so if  $f(x) = 1$ ,  $x = 1$ ,  $f$  injective.

If  $f$  injective,  $\ker f = 1$ .  $1 = \text{im}(1 \rightarrow A)$ .  $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B$ , exact.

(2)  $\ker(C \rightarrow 1) = C$ , by def. of  $C \rightarrow 1$

if  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 1$  exact,  $\text{img} = g(B) = \ker(C \rightarrow 1) = C$ .  $g(B) = C$  implies  $g$  surjective.

If  $g$  surjective,  $g(B) = C = \ker(C \rightarrow 1)$ .  $B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 1$  exact.

(3) From (i),  $1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{h} B$  exact iff  $h$  injective. From (ii),  $A \xrightarrow{h} B \rightarrow 1$ , exact iff  $h$  surjective.  $h$  isomorphism.

□

## 2.1. 1st, 2nd, 3rd Isomorphism Theorems.

**Theorem 6** (1st Isomorphism Theorem (Modules) Thm. 7.8 of Rotman (2010) [1]). *If  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is  $R$ -map of modules, then*

□  $\exists R$ -isomorphism s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \varphi \cong & \\ M/\ker f & & \end{array}$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi : M/\ker f &\rightarrow \text{im}f \\ \varphi : m + \ker f &\mapsto f(m) \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* View  $M, N$  as abelian groups.

Recall natural map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/N$

$$m \mapsto m + N$$

Define  $\varphi$  s.t.  $\varphi\pi = f$ .

( $\varphi$  well-defined). Let  $m + \ker f = m' + \ker f$ ,  $m, m' \in M$ , then  $\exists n \in \ker f$  s.t.  $m = m' + n$ .

$$\varphi(m + \ker f) = \varphi\pi(m) = f(m) = f(m' + n) = f(m') + f(n) = \varphi\pi(m') + 0 = \varphi(m' + \ker f)$$

$\implies \varphi$  well-defined.

( $\varphi$  surjective). Clearly,  $\text{im}\varphi \subseteq \text{im}f$ .

Let  $y \in \text{im}f$ . So  $\exists m \in M$  s.t.  $y = f(m)$ .  $f(m) = \varphi\pi(m) = \varphi(m + \ker f) = y$ . So  $y \in \text{im}\varphi$ .  $\text{im}f \subseteq \text{im}\varphi$ .

$\implies \varphi$  surjective.

( $\varphi$  injective) If  $\varphi(a + \ker f) = \varphi(b + \ker f)$ , then

$$\varphi\pi(a) = \varphi\pi(b) \text{ or } f(a) = f(b) \text{ or } 0 = f(a) - f(b) = f(a - b) \text{ so } a - b \in \ker f(a - b) + \ker f = \ker f \text{ so } a + \ker f = b + \ker f$$

$\varphi$  isomorphism.

$\varphi$   $R$ -map.  $\varphi(r(m + N)) = \varphi(rm + N) = f(rm)$ .

Since  $f$   $R$ -map,  $f(rm) = rf(m) = r\varphi(m + N)$ .  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -map indeed.

□

**Theorem 7** (2nd Isomorphism Theorem (Modules) Thm. 7.9 of Rotman (2011) [1]). *If  $S, T$  are submodules of module  $M$ , i.e.*

$S, T \in M$ , then  $\exists R$ -isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{h} & (S + T)/T = \text{im}h \\ \downarrow \pi|_S & \nearrow \cong & \\ S/(S \cap T) = S/\ker h & & \end{array}$$

$$(4) \quad S/(S \cap T) \rightarrow (S + T)/T$$

*Proof.* Let natural map  $\pi : M \rightarrow M/T$ .

So  $\ker \pi = T$ .

Define  $h := \pi|_S$ , so  $h : S \rightarrow M/T$ , so  $\ker h = S \cap T$ ,

$$(S + T)/T = \{(s + t) + T | a \in S + T, s \in S, t \in T\}$$

i.e.  $(S + T)/T$  consists of all those cosets in  $M/T$  having a representation in  $S$ .

By 1st. isomorphism theorem,

$$S/S \cap T \xrightarrow{\cong} (S + T)/T$$

**Theorem 8** (3rd Isomorphism Theorem (Modules) Thm. 7.10 of Rotman (2011) [1]). *If  $T \subseteq S \subseteq M$  is a tower of submodules, then  $\exists$   $R$ -isomorphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M/T & \xrightarrow{g} & M/S \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow \cong & \\ (M/T)/(S/T) & = & (M/T)/\ker g \end{array}$$

$$(5) \quad (M/T)/(S/T) \rightarrow M/S$$

*Proof.* Define  $g : M/T \rightarrow M/S$  to be **coset enlargement**, i.e.

$$(6) \quad g : M + T \mapsto m + S$$

$g$  well-defined: if  $m + T = m' + T$ , then  $m - m' \in T \subseteq S$ , and  $m + S = m' + S \implies g(m + T) = g(m' + T)$

$\ker g = S/T$  since

$$\begin{aligned} g(s + T) &= s + S = S & (S/T \subseteq \ker g) \\ g(m + T) &= m + S = 0 = S = s + S, \text{ so } m = s \implies \ker g \subseteq S/T \end{aligned}$$

$\text{img} = M/S$  since

$$\begin{aligned} g(m + T) &= m + S \implies \text{img} \subseteq M/S \\ m + S &= g(m + T) \end{aligned}$$

Then by 1st isomorphism, and commutative diagram, done.

### 3. R-MODULES

**Definition 5** (R-homomorphism (or R-map)). *If ring  $R$ ,  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , then*

*function  $f : M \rightarrow N$ ,*

*if  $\forall m, m' \in M, \forall r \in R$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} f(m + m') &= f(m) + f(m') \\ f(rm) &= rf(m) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 6** (quotient module  $M/N$ ).

**quotient module**  $M/N$  -

*For submodule  $N$  of  $R$ -module  $M$ , then,*

*remember  $M$  abelian group,  $N$  subgroup,*

*quotient group  $M/N$  equipped with scalar multiplication*

$$r(m + N) = rm + N$$

$$M/N = \{m + N | m \in M\}$$

**natural map**

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi : M &\rightarrow M/N \\ m &\mapsto m + N \end{aligned}$$

*easily seen to be  $R$ -map.*

*Scalar multiplication in quotient module well-defined:*

*If  $m + N = m' + N$ ,  $m - m' \in N$ , so  $r(m - m') \in N$  (because  $N$  submodule), so*

$$rm - rm' \in N \text{ and } rm + N = rm' + N$$

□ **Proposition 3** (7.15 of Rotman (2010) [1]). (i)  $S \sqcup T \simeq M$

$$(ii) \quad \exists \text{ injective } R\text{-maps } \begin{aligned} i : S &\rightarrow M, \\ j : T &\rightarrow M \end{aligned}$$

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} M &= \text{im}(i) + \text{im}(j) \text{ and} \\ \text{im}(i) \cap \text{im}(j) &= \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

$$(iii) \quad \exists \text{ } R\text{-maps}$$

$$\begin{aligned} i : S &\rightarrow M \\ j : T &\rightarrow M \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{s.t. } \forall m \in M, \exists !$$

$$\begin{aligned} s &\in S \\ t &\in T \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{with } m = is + jt.$$

$$(iv) \quad \exists \text{ } R\text{-maps}$$

$$\begin{aligned} i : S &\rightarrow M & p : M &\rightarrow S \\ j : T &\rightarrow M & q : M &\rightarrow T \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{s.t.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} pi &= 1_S & pj &= 0 \\ qj &= 1_T & qi &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad ip + jq = 1_M$$

*Proof.* • (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii) Given  $S \sqcup T \simeq M$ ,  
let  $\varphi : S \sqcup T \rightarrow M$  be this isomorphism.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} i &:= \varphi\lambda_S & (\lambda_S : s \mapsto (s, 0)) & & i : S &\rightarrow M \\ j &:= \varphi\lambda_T & (\lambda_T : t \mapsto (0, t)) & & j : T &\rightarrow M \end{aligned}$$

$i, j$  are injections, being composites of injections.

If  $m \in M$ ,  $\exists ! (s, t) \in S \sqcup T$ , s.t.  $\varphi(s, t) = m$ .

Then

$$m = \varphi(s, t) = \varphi((s, 0) + (0, t)) = \varphi\lambda_S(s)\varphi\lambda_T(t) = is + jt \in \text{im}(i) + \text{im}(j)$$

Let  $c \in \text{im}(i) + \text{im}(j)$ . Since  $i : S \rightarrow M$ ,  $c \in M$ .

$$j : T \rightarrow M$$

$$\implies M = \text{im}(i) + \text{im}(j).$$

If  $x \in \text{im}(i) \cap \text{im}(j)$ ,

$$x = i(s) \text{ for some } s \in S$$

$$x = j(t) \text{ for some } t \in T$$

$$is = jt = \varphi\lambda_S(s) = \varphi\lambda_T(t) = \varphi(s, 0) = \varphi(0, t)$$

$\varphi$  isomorphism, so  $\exists \varphi^{-1} \implies (s, 0) = (0, t)$ , so  $s = t = 0$ .  $x = 0$

- (ii)→ (iii) Given  $i : S \rightarrow M$ , s.t.  $M = \text{im}(i) + \text{im}(j)$ , so  
 $j : T \rightarrow M$   
 $\forall m \in M, m = i(s) + j(t)$  for some  $s \in S, t \in T$ .

Suppose  $s' \in S$ , s.t.  $m = i(s'_+j(t'))$ .  
 $t' \in T$

$$i(s - s') = j(t - t') \in \text{im}(i) \bigcap \text{im}(j) = \{0\}$$

So  $s = s', t = t'$ , since  $i, j$  injective.

- (iii)→ (iv)  
Given  $\forall m \in M, \exists ! s \in S, t \in T$  s.t.

$$m = i(s) + j(t)$$

Define

$$\begin{array}{ll} p : M \rightarrow S & q : M \rightarrow T \\ p(m) := s & q(m) := t \\ \begin{array}{ll} pi(s) = s & pj(t) = 0 \\ qj(t) = t & qi(s) = 0 \end{array} & (ip + jq)(m) = ip(m) + jq(m) = i(s) + j(t) = m \end{array}$$

#### 4. CATEGORIES; CATEGORY THEORY

##### 4.1. Categories. cf. 7.2 Categories of Rotman (2010) [1]

###### 4.1.1. Russell paradox, Russell set.

**Definition 7** (Russell set). *Russell set* - set  $S$  that's not a member of itself, i.e.  $S \notin R$

If  $R$  is family of all Russell sets,  
Let  $X \in R$ . Then  $X \notin X$ . But  $X \in R$ .  $X \notin R$ .  
Let  $R \notin R$ . Then  $R$  in family of Russell Sets.  $R \in R$ . Contradiction.  
Then consider *class* as primitive term, instead of set.

**Definition 8** (Category). *Category  $\mathcal{C}$  (Rotman's notation)  $\equiv \mathbf{C}$  (my notation), consists of class  $\text{obj}(\mathcal{C})$  (Rotman's notation)  $\equiv \text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}) \equiv \text{Obj}\mathbf{C}$  (my notation) of objects, set of morphisms  $\text{Hom}(A, B) \forall (A, B)$  of ordered tuples of objects, composition*

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Hom}(A, B) \times \text{Hom}(B, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, C) \\ (f, g) \mapsto gf \end{array}$$

, s.t.

$$(1) \exists \mathbf{1}, \forall f : A \rightarrow B, \exists 1_A : A \rightarrow A \quad , \text{ s.t. } 1_B \cdot f = f = f \cdot 1_A, \text{ and } 1_B : B \rightarrow B$$

$$(2) \text{ associativity, } \forall \begin{array}{l} f : A \rightarrow B \\ g : B \rightarrow C, \text{ then } h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f \\ h : C \rightarrow D \end{array}$$

*In summary,*

$$(9) \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathbf{C} := (\text{Obj}(\mathbf{C}), \text{Mor}\mathbf{C}, \circ, \mathbf{1}) \equiv (\text{Obj}\mathbf{C}, \text{Mor}\mathbf{C}, \circ_{\mathbf{C}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{C}})$$

s.t.

$$\text{Mor}\mathbf{C} = \bigcup_{A, B \in \text{Obj}\mathbf{C}} \text{Hom}(A, B)$$

Examples (7.25 of Rotman (2010)[1]):

- (i)  $\mathbf{C} = \text{Sets}$
- (ii)  $\mathbf{C} = \text{Groups} = \text{Grps}$
- (iii)  $\mathbf{C} = \text{CommRings}$
- (iv)  $\mathbf{C} = {}_R\mathbf{Mod}$ , if  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{Mod} = \mathbf{Ab}$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules are just abelian groups.
- (v)  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{PO}(X)$ , If partially ordered set  $X$ , regard  $X$  as category, s.t.  $\mathbf{Obj}, \mathbf{PO}(X) = \{x | x \in X\}$ ,  $\forall \text{Hom}(x, y) \in$

$$\mathbf{Mor}_{\mathbf{PO}(X)}, \text{Hom}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } x \not\preceq y \\ \kappa_y^x & \text{if } x \preceq y \end{cases} \text{ where } \kappa_y^x \equiv \text{unique element in Hom set when } x \preceq y \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\kappa_z^y \kappa_y^x = \kappa_z^x$$

Also, notice that

$$1_x = \kappa_x^x$$

**Definition 9** (isormorphisms or equivalences).  $f : A \rightarrow B, f \in \text{Hom}(A, B)$ , if  $\exists$  *inverse*  $g : B \rightarrow A, g \in \text{Hom}(B, A)$ , s.t.

$$\begin{array}{l} gf = 1_A \\ fg = 1_B \end{array}$$

□

and if  $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Top}$ , *equivalences (isomorphisms) are homeomorphisms.*

Feature of category  ${}_R\mathbf{Mod}$  not shared by more general categories: *Homomorphisms can be added.*

**Definition 10** (pre-additive Category). *category  $\mathbf{C}$*

#### Part 2. Reading notes on Cox, Little, O'Shea's *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*

#### 5. GEOMETRY, ALGEBRA, AND ALGORITHMS

##### 5.1. Polynomials and Affine Space. fields are important is that linear algebra works over *any* field

**Definition 11** (2). *set of all polynomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with coefficients in  $k$ , denoted  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$*

polynomial  $f$  *divides* polynomial  $g$  provided  $g = fh$  for some  $h \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   
 $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  satisfies all field axioms except for existence of multiplicative inverses; commutative ring,  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  *polynomial ring*

*Exercises for 1. Exercise 1.*  $\mathbb{F}_2$  commutative ring since it's an abelian group under addition, commutative in multiplication, and multiplicative identity exists, namely 1. It is a field since for  $1 \neq 0$ , the multiplicative identity is 1.

**Exercise 2.**

- (a)
- (b)
- (c)

##### 5.2. Affine Varieties.

##### 5.3. Parametrizations of Affine Varieties.

##### 5.4. Ideals.

Part 3. Reading notes on Cox, Little, O’Shea’s *Using Algebraic Geometry*

Using Algebraic Geometry. David A. Cox. John Little. Donal O’Shea. Second Edition. Springer. 2005. ISBN 0-387-20706-6 QA564.C6883 2004

11.1. Polynomials and Ideals. *monomial*

(10) (1.1)  $x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$

total degree of  $x^\alpha$  is  $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \equiv |\alpha|$

field  $k$ ,  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  collection of all polynomials in  $x_1 \dots x_n$  with coefficients  $k$ .

polynomials in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  can be added and multiplied as usual, so  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  has structure of commutative ring (with identity)  
however, only nonzero constant polynomials have multiplicative inverses in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , so  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  not a field  
however set of rational functions  $\{f/g|f, g \in k[x_1 \dots x_n], g \neq 0\}$  is a field, denoted  $k(x_1 \dots x_n)$

so

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$$

where  $c_{\alpha} \in k$

so

$$f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] = \{f|f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}, x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}, c_{\alpha} \in k\}$$

$f$  homogeneous if all monomials have same total degrees  
polynomial  $f$  is homogeneous if all monomials have the *same total degree*

Given a collection of polynomials  $f_1 \dots f_s \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , we can consider all polynomials which can be built up from these by multiplication by arbitrary polynomials and by taking sums

**Definition 12** (1.3). *Let  $f_1 \dots f_s \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$   
Let  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle = \{p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_s f_s | p_i \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] \text{ for } i = 1 \dots s\}$*

**Exercise 1.**

- (a)  $x^2 = x \cdot (x - y^2) + y \cdot (xy)$
- (b)

$$p \cdot (x - y^2) = px - py^2$$

and for  $pxy = (py)x$

- (c)

$$p(y)(x - y^2) = p(y)x - p(y)y^2 \notin \langle x^2, xy \rangle$$

**Exercise 2.**

$$\sum_{i=1}^s p_i f_i + \sum_{j=1}^s q_j f_j = \sum_{i=1}^s (p_i + q_i) f_i, \quad p_i + q_i \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$$

$\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$  closed under sums in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

If  $f \in \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$ ,  
 $p \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$$p \cdot f = p \sum_{i=1}^s q_j f_j = \sum_{i=1}^s p q_j f_j, \quad p q_j \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] \text{ so}$$
$$p \cdot f \in \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$$

Done.

The 2 properties in Ex. 2 are defining properties of ideals in the ring  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

**Definition 13** (1.5). *Let  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ ,  $I \neq \emptyset$   
 $I$  ideal if*

- (a)  $f + g \in I, \quad \forall f, g \in I$
- (b)  $pf \in I, \quad \forall f \in I, \text{ arbitrary } p \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

Thus  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$  is an ideal by Ex. 2.

we call it the ideal generated by  $f_1 \dots f_s$ .

**Exercise 3.** Suppose  $\exists$  ideal  $J, f_1 \dots f_s \in J$  s.t.  $J \subset \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$   
 if  $f \in \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle, f = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i f_i, \quad p_i \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$\forall i = 1 \dots s, p_i f_i \in J$  and so  $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i f_i \in J$ , by def. of  $J$  as an ideal.

$$\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle \subseteq J \implies J = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$$

$\implies \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$  is smallest ideal in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  containing  $f_1 \dots f_s$

**Exercise 4.** For  $I = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$   
 $J = \langle g_1 \dots g_t \rangle$

$I = J$  iff  $s = t$  and  $\forall f \in I, f = \sum_{i=1}^t q_i g_i$  and if  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^t q_i g_i, q_i = 0, \quad \forall i = 1 \dots t$ , and if  $0 = \sum_{i=1}^s p_i f_i, \quad p_i = 0, \quad \forall i = 1 \dots s$

**Definition 14** (1.6).

$$\sqrt{I} = \{g \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] | g^m \in I \text{ for some } m \geq 1\}$$

e.g.  $x + y \in \sqrt{\langle x^2 + 3xy, 3xy + y^2 \rangle}$   
 in  $\mathbb{Q}[x, y]$  since

$$(x + y)^3 = x(x^2 + 3xy) + y(3xy + y^2) \in \langle x^2 + 3xy, 3xy + y^2 \rangle$$

- (Radical Ideal Property)  $\forall$  ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n], \sqrt{I}$  ideal,  $\sqrt{I} \supset I$
- **(Hilbert basis Thm.)**  $\forall$  ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$   
 $\exists$  finite generating set,  
 i.e.  $\exists \{f_1 \dots f_s\} \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  s.t.  $I = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$
- (Division Algorithm in  $k[x]$ )  $\forall f, g \in k[x]$  (EY : in 1 variable)  
 $\forall f, g \in k[x]$  (in 1 variable )  
 $f = qg + r, \exists!$  quotient  $q, \exists$  remainder  $r$

11.2.

11.3. **Gröbner Bases.**

**Definition 15** (3.1). *Gröbner basis for  $I \equiv G = \{g_1 \dots g_k\} \subset I$  s.t.  $\forall f \in I, LT(f)$  divisible by  $LT(g_i)$  for some  $i$*

- (Uniqueness of Remainders) let ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$   
 division of  $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  by Grö bner basis for  $I$ , produces  $f = g + r, g \in I$ , and no term in  $r$  divisible by any element of  $LT(I)$

11.4. **Affine Varieties.** affine  $n$ -dim. space over  $k \quad k^n = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) | a_1 \dots a_n \in k\}$   
 $\forall$  polynomial  $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n], (a_1 \dots a_n) \in k^n$   
 $f : k^n \rightarrow k$   
 $f(a_1 \dots a_n)$  s.t.  $x_i = a_i$  i.e.

if  $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$  for  $c_{\alpha} \in k$ , then  
 $f(a_1 \dots a_n) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} a^{\alpha} \in k$ , where  $a^{\alpha} = a_1^{\alpha_1} \dots a_n^{\alpha_n}$

**Definition 16** (4.1). *affine variety  $\mathbf{V}(f_1 \dots f_s) = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) | (a_1 \dots a_n) \in k^n, f_1(a_1 \dots a_n) = \dots = f_s(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0\}$   
 subset  $V \subset k^n$  is affine variety if  $V = V(f_1 \dots f_s)$  for some  $\{f_i\}$ , polynomial  $f_i \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$*

- (Equal Ideals Have Equal Varieties) If  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle = \langle g_1 \dots g_t \rangle$  in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , then  $\mathbf{V}(f_1 \dots f_s) = \mathbf{V}(g_1 \dots g_t)$

so, recap

if  $\langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle = \langle g_1 \dots g_t \rangle$  in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ ,  
 then  $V(f_1 \dots f_s) = V(g_1 \dots g_t)$

Recall Hilbert basis Thm.  $\forall$  ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$$I = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$$

$\implies$  if  $I = J$ , then  $V(I) = V(J)$

think of  $V$  defined by  $I$ , rather than  $f_1 = \dots = f_s = 0$

**Exercise 3.**

Recall Def. 1.5 Let  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$I$  ideal if  $f + g \in I \quad \forall f, g \in I$

$$pf \in I, \quad \forall f \in I \text{ arbitrary } p \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$$

Let  $f, g \in I(V)$

$$(f + g)(a_1 \dots a_n) = f(a_1 \dots a_n) + g(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 + 0 = 0 \quad f + g \in I(V)$$

$$pf(a_1 \dots a_n) = p(a_1 \dots a_n)f(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 \quad pf \in I(V)$$

Then  $I(V)$  an ideal.

$V = V(x^2)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$

$I = \langle x^2 \rangle$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x, y], \quad I = \{px^2 | p \in k[x, y]\}$

$I \subset I(V)$ , since  $px^2 = 0$  for  $x^2 = 0, (0, b), \quad b \in \mathbb{R}$

But  $p(x, y) = x \in I(V)$ , as

$$I(V) = \{f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] | f(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0, \forall (a_1 \dots a_n) \in V\}$$

$$p(0, b) = x = 0$$

But  $x \notin I$

**Exercise 4.**  $I \subset \sqrt{I}$

Recall Def. 1.6  $\sqrt{I} = \{g \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] | g^m \in I \text{ for some } m \geq 1\}$

$\forall f \in I, f = f^1, m = 1$ , so  $f \in \sqrt{I}, \quad I \subset \sqrt{I}$

Hilbert basis thm.,  $\forall$  ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  s.t.  $I = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$

$$\left\{ V(I) = \{(a_1 \dots a_n) | (a_1 \dots a_n) \in k^n, f_1(a_1 \dots a_n) = \dots = f_s(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 \} \right.$$

$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I)) = \{f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n] | f(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 \quad \forall (a_1 \dots a_n) \in V(I)\}$

Let  $g \in \sqrt{I}, \quad g^m \in I, \quad g^m = g^{m-1}g$

$g^m(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0 = g^{m-1}(a_1 \dots a_n)g(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0$ . Then  $g(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0$  or  $g^{m-1}(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0$

as  $g^m \in I$ , and  $V(I)$  is s.t.  $f_1(a_1 \dots a_n) = \dots = f_s(a_1 \dots a_n) = 0$  for  $I = \langle f_1 \dots f_s \rangle$

- (Strong Nullstellensatz) if  $k$  algebraically closed (e.g.  $\mathbb{C}$ ),  $I$  ideal in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , then

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{V}(I)) = \sqrt{I}$$



- (Ideal-variety correspondence) Let  $k$  arbitrary field

$$I \subset I(V(I))$$
$$V(I(V)) = V \quad \forall V$$

Additional Exercises for Sec.4. Exercise 6.

12. SOLVING POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

12.1.

12.2. **Finite-Dimensional Algebras.** Gröbner basis  $G = \{g_1 \dots g_t\}$  of ideal  $I \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , recall def.: Gröbner basis  $G = \{g_1 \dots g_t\} \subset I$  of ideal  $I$ ,  $\forall f \in I$ ,  $\text{LT}(f)$  divisible by  $\text{LT}(g_i)$  for some  $i$   
 $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  divide by  $G$  produces  $f = g + r$ ,  $g \in I$ ,  $r$  not divisible by any  $\text{LT}(I)$  uniqueness of  $r$   
 $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  divide by  $G$ ,  
Recall from Ch. 1, divide  $f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  by  $G$ , the division algorithm yields

(11) (2.1)  $f = h_1g_1 + \dots + h_tg_t + \overline{f}^G$

where remainder  $\overline{f}^G$  is a linear combination of monomials  $x^\alpha \notin \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle$   
since Gröbner basis,  $f \in I$  iff  $\overline{f}^G = 0$   
 $\forall f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , we have coset  $[f] = f + I = \{f + h|h \in I\}$  s.t.  $[f] = [g]$  iff  $f - g \in I$   
We have a 1-to-1 correspondence

$$\text{remainders} \leftrightarrow \text{cosets}$$
$$\overline{f}^G \leftrightarrow [f]$$

algebraic

$$\overline{f}^G + \overline{g}^G \leftrightarrow [f] + [g]$$
$$\overline{\overline{f}^G \cdot \overline{g}^G} \leftrightarrow [f] \cdot [g]$$

$B = \{x^\alpha | x^\alpha \notin \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle\}$  is a basis of  $A$ , basis monomials, standard monomials  
20141023 EY's take  
 $\forall [f] \in A = k[x_1 \dots x_n]/I$ ,  $[f] = p_i b_i$ ;  $b_i \in B = \{x^\alpha | x^\alpha \notin \langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle\}$   
For  $I = \langle G \rangle$   
e.g.  $G = \{x^2 + \frac{3}{2}xy + \frac{1}{2}y^2 - \frac{3}{2}x - \frac{3}{2}y, xy^2 - x, y^3 - y\}$   
 $\langle \text{LT}(I) \rangle = \langle x^2, xy^2, y^3 \rangle$   
e.g.  $B = \{1, x, y, xy, y^2\}$   
 $[f] \cdot [g] = [fg]$   
e.g.  $f = x, g = xy, [fg] = [x^2y]$   
now  $f = h_1g_1 + \dots + h_tg_t + \overline{f}^G$

12.3.

12.4. Solving Equations via Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors.

13. RESULTANTS

14. COMPUTATION IN LOCAL RINGS

14.1. Local Rings.

**Definition 17** (1.1).

$$k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle} \equiv \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid \text{rational functions } \frac{f}{g} \text{ of } x_1 \dots x_n \text{ with } g(p) \neq 0 \text{ at } p \right\}$$

main properties of  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle}$

**Proposition 4** (1.2). Let  $R = k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle}$ . Then  
(a)  $R$  subring of field of rational functions  $k(x_1 \dots x_n) \supset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$   
(b) Let  $M = \langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle \subset R$  (ideal generated by  $x_1 \dots x_n$  in  $R$ )  
Then  $\forall \frac{f}{g} \in R \setminus M$ ,  $\frac{f}{g}$  unit in  $R$  (i.e. multiplicative inverse in  $R$ )  
(c)  $M$  maximal ideal in  $R$

**Exercise 1.** if  $p = (a_1 \dots a_n) \in k^n$ ,  $R = \{ \frac{f}{g} | f, g \in k[x_1 \dots x_n], g(p) \neq 0 \}$   
(a)  $R$  subring of field of rational functions  $k(x_1 \dots x_n)$   
(b) Let  $M$  ideal generated by  $x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n$  in  $R$   
Then  $\forall \frac{f}{g} \in R \setminus M$ ,  $\frac{f}{g}$  unit in  $R$  (i.e. multiplicative inverse in  $R$ )  
(c)  $M$  maximal ideal in  $R$

*Proof.* let  $p = (a_1 \dots a_n) \in k^n$   
let  $g_1(p) \neq 0, g_2(p) \neq 0$

$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2} = \frac{f_1g_2 + f_2g_1}{g_1g_2} \quad g_1(p)g_2(p) \neq 0 \text{ so } \frac{f_1}{g_1} + \frac{f_2}{g_2} \in R$$
$$\frac{f_1}{g_1} \cdot \frac{f_2}{g_2} = \frac{f_1f_2}{g_1g_2} \quad g_1(p)g_2(p) \neq 0 \text{ so } \frac{f_1}{g_1} \frac{f_2}{g_2} \in R$$

$f = \frac{f}{1} \in R, \quad \forall f \in k[x_1 \dots x_n], \text{ so } k[x_1 \dots x_n] \subset R$

□

EY : 20141027, to recap,  
Let  $V = k^n$   
Let  $p = (a_1 \dots a_n)$   
single pt.  $\{p\}$  is (an example of) a variety  
 $I(\{p\}) = \{x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n\} \subset k[x_1 \dots x_n]$

$$R \equiv k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n \rangle}$$
$$R = \left\{ \frac{f}{g} \mid \text{rational function } \frac{f}{g} \text{ of } x_1 \dots x_n, g(p) \neq 0, p = (a_1 \dots a_n) \right\}$$

Prop. 1.2. properties  
(a)  $R$  subring of field of rational functions  $k(x_1 \dots x_n) \quad k(x_1 \dots x_n) \subset R$   
(b)  $M = \langle x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n \rangle \subset R$ . ideal generated by  $x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n$   
Then  $\forall \frac{f}{g} \in R \setminus M$ ,  $\frac{f}{g}$  unit in  $R$  (  $\exists$  multiplicative inverse in  $R$  )  
(c)  $M$  maximal ideal in  $R$ .  
in  $R$  we allow denominators that are not elements of this ideal  $I(\{p\})$

**Definition 18** (1.3). local ring is a ring that has exactly 1 maximal ideal

**Proposition 5** (1.4). ring  $R$  with proper ideal  $M \subset R$  is local ring if  $\forall \frac{f}{g} \in R \setminus M$  is unit in  $R$



localization Ex. 8, Ex. 9  
parametrization

**Exercise 2.**

$$x = x(t) = \frac{-2t^2}{1+t^2}$$
$$y = y(t) = \frac{2t}{1+t^2}$$

$k[t]_{\langle t \rangle} \stackrel{-2t^2}{1+t^2}$  rational function of  $t$ .  $1+t^2 \neq 0$   
if  $k = \mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$

Consider set of convergent power series in  $n$  variables

(12)

(1.5)

$k\{x_1 \dots x_n\} = \{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_\alpha x^\alpha | c_\alpha \in k, \text{ series converges in some open } U \ni 0 \in k^n \}$

Consider set  $k[[x_1 \dots x_n]]$  of formal power series

(13)

(1.6)

$k[[x_1 \dots x_n]] = \{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_\alpha x^\alpha | c_\alpha \in k \}$  series need not converge

variety  $V$

$k[x_1 \dots x_n]/\mathbf{I}(V)$

variety  $V$

**14.2. Multiplicities and Milnor Numbers.** if  $I$  ideal in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , then denote  $Ik[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle}$  ideal generated by  $I$  in larger ring  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle}$

**Definition 19** (2.1). *Let  $I$  0-dim. ideal in  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$ , so  $V(I)$  consists of finitely many pts. in  $k^n$ . Assume  $(0 \dots 0) \in V(I)$  multiplicity of  $(0 \dots 0) \in V(I)$  is*

$$\dim_k k[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle} / Ik[x_1 \dots x_n]_{\langle x_1 \dots x_n \rangle}$$

generally, if  $p = (a_1 \dots a_n) \in V(I)$   
multiplicity of  $p$ ,  $m(p) = \dim k[x_1 \dots x_n]_M / Ik[x_1 \dots x_n]_M$

$$\dim k[x_1 \dots x_n]_M / Ik[x_1 \dots x_n]_M$$

localizing  $k[x_1 \dots x_n]$  at maximal ideal  $M = I(\{p\}) = \langle x_1 - a_1 \dots x_n - a_n \rangle$

15.

16.

17. POLYTOPES, RESULTANTS, AND EQUATIONS

18. POLYHEDRAL REGIONS AND POLYNOMIALS

**18.1. Integer Programming.** Prop. 1.12.

Suppose 2 customers  $A, B$  ship to same location  
A: ship 400 kg pallet taking up  $2\,m^3$  volume  
B: ship 500 kg pallet taking up  $3\,m^3$  volume

shipping firm trucks carry up to 3700 kg, up to  $20\,m^3$

B’s product more perishable, paying \$ 15 per pallet

A pays \$ 11 per pallet  
How many pallets from A, B each in truck to maximize revenues?

(14)

(1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} 4A + 5B &\leq 37 \\ 2A + 3B &\leq 20 \\ A, B &\in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^* \end{aligned}$$

maximize  $11A + 15B$

integer programming.  
max. or min. value of some linear function

$$l(A_1 \dots A_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i A_i$$

on set  $(A_1 \dots A_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  s.t.  
3. Finally, by introducing additional variables; rewrite linear constraint inequalities as equalities. The new variables are called “slack variables”

(15)

(1.4)

$a_{ij}A_j = b_i, \quad A_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

introduce indeterminate  $z_i$ ,  $\forall$  equation in (1.4)

$$z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = z_i^{b_i}$$

$m$  constraints

$$\prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i} = \left( \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \right)^{A_j}$$

**Proposition 6** (1.6). *Let  $k$  field, define  $\varphi : k[w_1 \dots w_n] \rightarrow k[z_1 \dots z_m]$  by*

$$\varphi(w_j) = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \qquad \forall j = 1 \dots n$$

and

$$\varphi(g(w_1 \dots w_n)) = g(\varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_n))$$

$\forall$  general polynomial  $g \in k[w_1 \dots w_n]$

*Then  $(A_1 \dots A_n)$  integer pt. in feasible region iff  $\varphi : w_1^{A_1} \dots w_n^{A_n} \mapsto z_1^{b_1} \dots z_m^{b_m}$*

**Exercise 3.**  
Now

$$\varphi(w_j) = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}}$$

$$z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = z_i^{b_i}$$

If  $(A_1 \dots A_n)$  an integer pt. in feasible region,  $a_{ij}A_j = b_i$

## 21. SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

cf. pp. 245, from Sec. 21 Simplicial Complexes of Ch. 4 Homology Theory in Bredon (1997) [4]  
 $\mathbf{v}_0, \dots \mathbf{v}_n \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$ , "affinely independent" if they span an affine  $n$ -plane, i.e.

$$\text{if } \left( \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = 0, \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i = 0 \right), \text{ then } \implies \forall \lambda_i = 0$$

If not, then, e.g.  $\lambda_0 \neq 0$ , assume  $\lambda_0 = -1$ , and solve the equations to get

$$\mathbf{v}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$$

i.e.  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is in affine space spanned by  $\mathbf{v}_1 \dots \mathbf{v}_n$ .

If  $\mathbf{v}_0, \dots \mathbf{v}_n$  affinely independent, then

$$(16) \quad \sigma = (\mathbf{v}_0, \dots \mathbf{v}_n) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i \mid \sum_{i=0}^n \lambda_i = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

is "affine simplex" spanned by  $\mathbf{v}_i$ ; also convex hull of  $\mathbf{v}_i$ .

$\forall k \leq n$ ,  $k$ -face of  $\sigma$  is any affine simplex of form  $(\mathbf{v}_{i_1}, \dots \mathbf{v}_{i_k})$ , where vertices all distinct, so are affinely independent.

**Definition 20.** (geometric) simplicial complex  $K :=$  collection of affine simplices s.t.

- (1)  $\sigma \in K \implies$  any face of  $\sigma \in K$ ; and
- (2)  $\sigma, \tau \in K \implies \sigma \cap \tau$  is a face of both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , or  $\sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset$

If  $K$  simplicial complex,  $|K| = \bigcup \{\sigma \mid \sigma \in K\} \equiv$  "polyhedron" of  $K$

**Definition 21** (Def. 21.2 of Bredon (1997) [4]). *polyhedron*  $:=$  space  $X$  if  $\exists$  homeomorphism  $h : |K| \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  for some simplicial complex  $K$ .  $h, K$  is triangulation of  $X$ ; (map  $h$ , complex  $K$ )

Let  $K$  finite simplicial complex.

Choose ordering of vertices  $\mathbf{v}_0, \mathbf{v}_1 \dots$  of  $K$ .

If  $\sigma = (\mathbf{v}_{\sigma_0}, \dots \mathbf{v}_{\sigma_n})$  is simplex of  $K$ , where  $\sigma_0 < \dots < \sigma_n$ , then

let  $f_\sigma : \Delta_n \rightarrow |K|$  be

$$f_\sigma = [\mathbf{v}_{\sigma_0}, \dots \mathbf{v}_{\sigma_n}]$$

in notation of Def. 1.2. Bredon (1997) [4].

Then this gives CW-complex structure on  $|K|$  with  $f_\sigma$  as characteristic maps.

## Part 5. Graphs, Finite Graphs

## 22. GRAPHS, FINITE GRAPHS, TREES

Serre (1980) [5]

cf. Chapter I. Trees and Amalgams, Section 1 Amalgams, Subsection 1.1 Direct limits of Serre (1980) [5]

Let  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ , family of groups.

$\forall$  pair  $(i, j)$ , let  $F_{ij} =$  set of homomorphisms of  $G_i$  into  $G_j$

Want: group  $G = \varinjlim G_i$  and

$$\{f_i \mid f_i : G_i \rightarrow G\} \text{ s.t. } f_j \circ f = f_i \quad \forall f \in F_{ij}$$

group  $G$  and family  $\{f_i\}$  universal in that

(\*) if  $H$  group, if  $\{h_i \mid h_i : G_i \rightarrow H; h_j \circ f = h_i \quad \forall f \in F_{ij}\}$ ,

then  $\exists ! h : G \rightarrow H$  s.t.  $h_i = h \circ f_i$

$$z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = z_i^{b_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} \implies \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{i=1}^m (z_i^{a_{ij}})^{A_j} = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(w_j)^{A_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(w_j)^{A_j} = \varphi \left( \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^{A_j} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i}$$

since  $\varphi(g(w_1 \dots w_n)) = g(\varphi(w_1) \dots \varphi(w_n))$

If  $\varphi : \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^{A_j} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i}$

$$\varphi \left( \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^{A_j} \right) = \prod_{j=1}^n (\varphi(w_j))^{A_j} = \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \right)^{A_j} \implies \prod_{j=1}^n z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = z_i^{b_i}$$

or  $a_{ij}A_j = b_i$ . So  $(A_1 \dots A_n)$  integer pt.

**Exercise 4.**

$$\prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i} = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^n z_i^{a_{ij}A_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{a_{ij}} \right)^{A_j} = \prod_{j=1}^n \varphi(w_j)^{A_j} = \varphi \left( \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^{A_j} \right)$$

So if given  $(b_1 \dots b_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ , and for a given  $a_{ij}$ ,  $a_{ij}A_j = b_i$

For  $m \leq n$ , then  $a_{ij}$  is surjective, so  $\exists A_j$  s.t.  $\prod_{i=1}^m z_i^{b_i} = \varphi \left( \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^{A_j} \right)$

**Proposition 7** (1.8). Suppose  $f_1 \dots f_n \in k[z_1 \dots z_m]$  given

Fix monomial order in  $k[z_1 \dots z_n, w_1 \dots w_n]$  with elimination property:

$\forall$  monomial containing 1 of  $z_i$  greater than any monomial containing only  $w_j$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  Gröbner basis for ideal

$$I = \langle f_1 - w_1 \dots f_n - w_n \rangle \subset k[z_1 \dots z_m, w_1 \dots w_n]$$

$\forall f \in k[z_1 \dots z_m]$ , let  $\bar{f}^{\mathcal{G}}$  be remainder on division of  $f$  by  $\mathcal{G}$

Then

(a) polynomial  $f$  s.t.  $f \in k[f_1 \dots f_n]$  iff  $g = \bar{f}^{\mathcal{G}} \in k[w_1 \dots w_n]$

(b) if  $f \in k[f_1 \dots f_n]$  as in part (a),

$$g = \bar{f}^{\mathcal{G}} \in k[w_1 \dots w_n]$$

then  $f = g(f_1 \dots f_n)$ , giving an expression for  $f$  as polynomial in  $f_j$

(c) if  $\forall f_i, f$  monomials,  $f \in k[f_1 \dots f_n]$ ,

then  $g$  also a monomial.

## 18.2. Integer Programming and Combinatorics.

## 19. ALGEBRAIC CODING THEORY

## 20. THE BERLEKAMP-MASSEY-SAKATA DECODING ALGORITHM

## Gröbner Bases, Martin R. Albrecht of the DTU Crypto Group

## Part 4. Algebraic Topology

cf. Bredon (1997) [4]

i.e.  $\text{Hom}(G, H) \simeq \varprojlim \text{Hom}(G_i, H)$ , the inverse limit being taken relative to  $F_{ij}$ .

i.e.  $G$  direct limit of  $G_i$  relative to the  $F_{ij}$ .

**Proposition 8.**  $\exists!$  pair  $G$ , family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ , i.e. (pair consisting of  $G, (f_i)_{i \in I}$ , unique up to unique isomorphism).

*Proof.* Define  $G$  by generators and relations.

Take generating family to be disjoint union of those for  $G_i$ .

relations -  $xyz^{-1}$  where  $x, y, z \in G_i, z = xy \in G_i$

$xy^{-1}$  where  $x \in G_i, y \in G_j, y = f(x)$  for at least  $f \in F_{ij}$ .

Thus, existence of  $G, \{f_i\}$ .

$G$  represents functor  $H \mapsto \varprojlim \text{Hom}(G_i, H)$ .

Thus, uniqueness (also from universal property).

e.g. groups  $A, G_1, G_2$ , homomorphisms  $f_1 : A \rightarrow G_1$ .

$$f_2 : A \rightarrow G_2$$

$G$  obtained by amalgamating  $A$  in  $G_1, G_2$  by  $f_1, f_2 \equiv G_1 *_A G_2$ .

1 can have  $G = \{1\}$ , even though  $f_1, f_2$  non-trivial.

*Application:* (Van Kampen Thm.)

Let topological space  $X$  be covered by open  $U_1, U_2$ .

Suppose  $U_1, U_2, U_{12} = U_1 \cap U_2$  arcwise connected.

Let basept.  $x \in U_{12}$ .

Then  $\pi_1(X; x)$  obtained by taking 3 groups

$$\pi_1(U_1; x), \pi_1(U_2; x), \pi_1(U_{12}; x)$$

and amalgamating them according to homomorphism

$$\pi_1(U_{12}; x) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_1; x)$$

$$\pi_1(U_{12}; x) \rightarrow \pi_1(U_2; x)$$

**Exercise 1.** Let homomorphisms  $f_1 : A \rightarrow G_1$  amalgam  $G = G_1 *_A G_2$ .

$$f_2 : A \rightarrow G_2$$

Define subgroups  $A^n, G_1^n, G_2^n$ , of  $A, G_1, G_2$  recursively by

$$A^1 = \{1\}$$

$$G_1^1 = \{1\}$$

$$G_2^1 = \{1\}$$

$A^n$  = subgroup of  $A$  generated by  $f_1^{-1}(G_1^{n-1})$  and  $f_2^{-1}(G_2^{n-1})$

$G_1^n$  = subgroup of  $G_1$  generated by  $f_1(A^n)$

Let  $A^\infty, G_i^\infty$  be unions of  $A^n, G_i^n$  resp.

Show that  $f_i$  defines injection  $A/A^\infty \rightarrow G_i/G_i^\infty$ .

So the amalgamation is  $G \simeq G_1/G_1^\infty *_A/A^\infty G_2/G_2^\infty$ .

Take the first induction case (for intuition about the solution).

$$A^2 = \langle f_1^{-1}(G_1^1), f_2^{-1}(G_2^1) \rangle = \langle f_1^{-1}(\{1\}), f_2^{-1}(\{1\}) \rangle$$

$$G_i^2 = f_i(A^2)$$

Let  $f_i(a) = f_i(b) \in G_i/G_i^\infty$ ;  $a, b \in A/A^\infty$ .

Then since  $f_i(a), f_i(b) \in G_i/G_i^\infty, f_i(a), f_i(b) \in \{gG_i^\infty | g \in G_i\}$  (quotient is defined to be the set of all left cosets of  $G_i^\infty$ , which has to be a normal subgroup for  $G_i/G_i^\infty$  to be a quotient group).

Since  $a, b \in A/A^\infty$ , suppose we take  $a, b \in A$ .

And suppose we take

$$f_i(a) = f_i(a)G_i^\infty = f_i(a)f_i(A^{n_a}) = f_i(aA^{n_a})$$

$$f_i(b) = f_i(b)G_i^\infty = f_i(b)f_i(A^{n_b}) = f_i(bA^{n_b})$$

Taking  $f_i^{-1}$  (recall for group homomorphisms, they map inverse of element of 1st. group to inverse of image of this element).

$aA^{n_a} = bA^{n_b} \in A/A^\infty$  (This is okay as we've "quotiented out  $A^\infty$ "; so indeed, they're equal)

cf. Subsection 1.2 Structure of amalgams of Serre (1980) [5]

□ Suppose given group  $A$ , family of groups  $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ , and,  $\forall i \in I$ , injective homomorphism  $A \rightarrow G_i$ .

$*_A G_i \equiv$  direct limit (cf. no. 1.1) of family  $(A, G_i)$  with respect to these homomorphisms, call it *sum* (in category theory sense, i.e. product) of  $G_i$  with  $A$  amalgamated.

e.g.  $A = \{1\}$ ,

$*G_i \equiv$  free product of  $G_i$ .

22.0.1. *reduced word.*  $\forall i \in I$ , choose set  $S_i$  of right coset representations of  $G_i$  modulo  $A$ ,

assume  $1 \in S_i$ ,

$(a, s) \mapsto as$  is bijection of  $A \times S_i$  onto  $G_i$ ,

$A \times (S_i - \{1\}) \rightarrow G_i - A$  (onto)

Let  $\mathbf{i} = (i_1 \dots i_n)$ ,  $n \geq 0, i_j \in I$ , s.t.

$$(17) \quad i_m \neq i_{m+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1$$

cf. (T) of Serre (1980) [5].

So *reduced word*  $m$  is defined as

$$m = (a; s_1 \dots s_n)$$

where  $a \in A, s_1 \in S_{i_1} \dots s_n \in S_{i_n}$ , and  $s - j \neq 1 \forall j$ .

$f \equiv$  canonical homomorphism of  $A$  into group  $G = *_A G_i$

$f_i \equiv$  canonical homomorphism of  $G_i$  into group  $G = *_A G_i$

EY : 20170611 (Further explanations, basic examples, from me):

Given  $A, \{G_i\}_{i \in I}$ , injective (group) homomorphisms  $\{f_i : A \rightarrow G_i\}_i$ .

$G_i \setminus f_i(A) = \{f_i(A)g | g \in G_i\}$ .

Right coset representation of  $f_i(A)g \mapsto g$ .

e.g.  $A, G_1, G_2, f_1 : A \rightarrow G_1$ .

$$f_2 : A \rightarrow G_2$$

$$G_1 \setminus f_1(A) = \{f_1(A)g | g \in G_1\}$$

$$G_2 \setminus f_2(A) = \{f_2(A)g | g \in G_2\}$$

$\mathbf{i} = (i_1 \dots i_n), i_j \in I, i_m \neq i_{m+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1$ .

Consider  $(1212 \dots 12)$

$m = (a; f_1 g_2 f_3 g_4 \dots f_{2n-1} g_{2n})$  where  $f$ 's  $\in S_1 \subset G_1, g$ 's  $\in S_2 \subset G_2$ .

and so

**Definition 22** (reduced word). *reduced word* of type  $\mathbf{i}, m$ ,

$$(18) \quad m = (a; s_1 \dots s_n)$$

where  $a \in A, s_1 \in S_{i_1}, \dots s_n \in S_{i_n}, s_j \neq 1 \quad \forall j$ ,

$\mathbf{i} = (i_1 \dots i_n), i_j \in I, \text{ s.t. } i_m \neq i_{m+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1$ ,

with  $S_i = \{g | g \in f_i(A)g \in f_i(A)G_i\}$

**Theorem 9** (1 of Serre (1980) [5]).  $\forall g \in G, \exists$  sequence  $\mathbf{i}$  s.t.  $i_m \neq i_{m+1}$  for  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$  and reduced word

$$m = (a; s_1 \dots s_n)$$

of type  $\mathbf{i}$  s.t.

$$g = f(a)f_{i_1}(s_1) \dots f_{i_n}(s_n)$$

Furthermore,  $\mathbf{i}$  and  $m$  unique.

*Remark.* Thm. 1 implies  $f; f_i$  injective.

Then identify  $A$  and  $G_i$  with images  $f(A), f_i(G_i)$  in  $G$ , and reduced decomposition (\*) of  $g \in G$

$$g = as_1 \dots s_n, \quad a \in A, s_1 \in S_{i_1} - \{1\} \dots s_n \in S_{i_n} - \{1\}$$

Likewise,  $G_i \bigcap G_j = A$  if  $i \neq j$ .

In particular,  $S_i - \{1\}$  pairwise disjoint in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X_i \equiv$ set of reduced words of type  $\mathbf{i}$ ,  $X = \coprod X_i$ .

Make  $G$  act on  $X$ .

In view of universal property of  $G$ , sufficient to make  $\forall i, G_i$  act,

check action induced on  $A$  doesn't depend on  $i$

Suppose then that  $i \in I$ , and let  $Y_i =$  set of reduced words of form  $(1; s_1 \dots s_n)$ , with  $i_1 \neq i$ .

EY : 20170611

Recall that

$$S_i = \{g|g \in f_i(A)g \in f_i(A)G_i\}$$

$$A \times S_i \rightarrow G_i \text{ onto}$$

$$A \times (S_i - \{1\}) \rightarrow G_i - A \text{ onto}$$

$$(a, s) \mapsto as \text{ bijection}$$

Let  $Y_i =$  set of reduced words of form  $(1; s_1 \dots s_n) = \{(1; s_1 \dots s_n)|1 \in A; s_1 \in S_{i_1} \dots s_n \in S_{i_n}; \mathbf{i} = (i_1 \dots i_n), i_j \in I \text{ s.t. } i_m \neq i_{m+1} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1\}$ .

$$A \times Y_i \rightarrow X = \coprod_i X_i$$

$$(a, (1; s_1 \dots s_n)) \mapsto (a; s_1 \dots s_n)$$

$$A \times \{S_i - \{1\}\} \times Y_i \rightarrow X$$

$$((a, s), (1; s_1 \dots s_n)) \mapsto (a; s, s_1 \dots s_n)$$

and remember that  $X_i =$  set of reduced words of type  $\mathbf{i}$ .

It's clear that this yields a bijection  $A \times Y_i \bigcup A \times (S_i - \{1\}) \times Y_i \rightarrow X$ .

Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $x \in X_{\mathbf{i}}$  for some  $\mathbf{i}$ . So  $x$  is a reduced word of type  $\mathbf{i}$ :  $x = (a; s_1 \dots s_n)$ . Then clearly  $x = (a; s_1 \dots s_n) \mapsto (a, (1; s_1 \dots s_n)) \in A \times Y_i$ .

cf. pp. 13, Sec. 2. Trees, 2.1 Graphs of Serre (1980) [5]

**Definition 23** (1. of Serre (1980) [5]). ***graph***  $\Gamma = (X, Y, Y \rightarrow X \times X, Y \rightarrow Y)$ , where  $\text{set } X = \text{vert } \Gamma$   
 $\text{set } Y = \text{edge } \Gamma$

$$Y \rightarrow X \times X$$

$$y \mapsto (o(y), t(y))$$

$$Y \rightarrow Y$$

$$y \mapsto \bar{y}$$

s.t.  $\forall y \in Y, \bar{\bar{y}} = y, \bar{y} \neq y, o(y) = t(\bar{y})$ .

vertex  $P \in X$  of  $\Gamma$ .

(oriented) edge  $y \in Y, \bar{y} \equiv$  inverse edge.

origin of  $y :=$  vertex  $o(y) = t(\bar{y})$ .

terminus of  $y :=$  vertex  $t(y) = o(\bar{y})$

extremities of  $y := \{o(y), t(y)\}$

If 2 vertices **adjacent**, they're extremities of some edge.

orientation of graph  $\Gamma = Y_+ \subset Y = \text{edge } \Gamma$  s.t.  $Y = Y_+ \coprod \bar{Y}_+$ . It always exists.

oriented graph defined, up to isomorphism, by giving 2 sets  $X, Y_+$  and  $Y_+ \rightarrow X \times X$ .

corresponding set of edges is  $Y = Y_+ \coprod \bar{Y}_+$  where  $\bar{Y}_+ \equiv$  copy of  $Y_+$

22.0.2. *Realization of a Graph.* cf. Realization of a Graph in Serre (1980) [5].

Let graph  $\Gamma, X = \text{vert} \Gamma, Y = \text{edge} \Gamma$ .

topological space  $T = X \coprod Y \times [0, 1]$ , where  $X, Y$  provided with discrete topology.

Let  $R$  be finest equivalence relation on  $T$  for which

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} (y, t) &\equiv (\bar{y}, 1 - t) \\ (y, 0) &\equiv o(y) & \forall y \in Y, \forall t \in [0, 1] \\ (y, 1) &\equiv t(y) \end{aligned}$$

quotient space  $\text{real}(\Gamma) = T/R$  is *realization* of graph  $\Gamma$ . (realization is a functor which commutes with direct limits).

Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Consider oriented graph of  $n+1$  vertices  $0, 1, \dots, n$ ,

**Definition 24.** *path (of length  $n$ ) in graph  $\Gamma$  is morphism  $c$  of  $\text{Path}_n$  into  $\Gamma$*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{orientation given by } n \text{ edges } [i, i+1], 0 \leq i < n, \quad o([i, i+1]) &= i \\ t([i, i+1]) &= i+1 \end{aligned}$$

For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$(y_1 \dots y_n)$  sequence of edges  $y_i = c([i-1, i])$  s.t.

$$t(y_i) = o(y_{i+1}), \quad 1 \leq i < n \text{ determine } c$$

- If  $P_i = c(i)$ ,  
 $c$  is a path from  $P_0$  to  $P_n$ , and  $P_0$  and  $P_n$  are *extremities of the path  $c$* .  
pair of form  $(y_i, y_{i+1}) = (y_i, \bar{y}_i)$  in path is **backtracking**.  
path (of length  $n-2$ ), from  $P_0$  to  $P_n$  given (for  $n > 2$ ) by  $(y_1 \dots y_{i-1}, y_{i+2} \dots y_n)$   
If  $\exists$  path from  $P$  to  $Q$  in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\exists$  one without backtracking (by induction)  
direct limit  $\text{Path}_\infty = \varinjlim \text{Path}_n$  provides notion of infinite path.  
 $\text{Path}_\infty \ni$  infinite sequence  $(y_1, y_2, \dots)$  of edges s.t.  $t(y_i) = o(y_{i+1}) \quad \forall i \geq 1$ .

**Definition 25** (connected graph; Def. 3 of Serre (1980) [5]). *graph connected if  $\forall$  2 vertices, 2 vertices are extremities of at least 1 path.*

*maximal connected subgraphs (under relation of inclusion) are connected components of graph.*

22.0.3. *Circuits.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

Consider

set of vertices  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , orientation given by  $n$  edges  $[i, i+1]$ , ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ ) with  $o([i, i+1]) = i$   
 $t([i, i+1]) = i+1$

**Definition 26** (circuit; Def. 4 of Serre (1980) [5]). *circuit (length  $n$ ) in graph is subgraph isomorphic to  $\text{Circ}_n$ .*

i.e. subgraph = path  $(y_1 \dots y_n)$ , without backtracking, s.t.  $P_i = t(y_i)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) distinct, s.t.  $P_n = o(y_1)$

$n = 1$  case:  $\text{Circ}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ , 1 edge,  $[0, 1]$ ,  $0 \in \mathbb{Z}/1\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $o([0, 1]) = 0$   
 $t([0, 1]) = 1$

Note  $\text{Circ}_1$  has automorphism of order 2, which changes its orientation, i.e.

$\exists$  automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\text{Circ}_1)$  s.t.  $|\sigma| = 2$ , i.e.  $\sigma^2 = 1$ .

loop := circuit of length 1; so loop  $\in \text{Circ}_1$ .

path  $(y_1)$ ,  $P_1 = t(y_1) = o(y_1)$ .

$n = 2$  case:  $\text{Circ}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$ , 2 edges  $[0, 1]$ ,  $[1, 2]$ ,

path  $(y_1, y_2)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq 2$ ),  $P_1 = t(y_1)$   
 $P_2 = t(y_2) = o(y_1)$

22.1. **Combinatorial graphs.** Let  $(X, S) \equiv$  simplicial complex of dim.  $\leq 1$ , with

$X \equiv$  set

$S \equiv$  set of subsets of  $X$  with 1 or 2 elements, containing all the 1-element subsets.

associates with it a graph  $\Gamma = (X, \{(P, Q)\})$ .

$X$  is its set of vertices.

edges =  $\{(P, Q) \in X \times X \text{ s.t. } P \neq Q, \{P, Q\} \in S, \text{ with } \overline{(P, Q)} = (Q, P)$

$$o(P, Q) = P$$

$$t(P, Q) = Q$$

In this graph, 2 edges with same origin and same terminus are equal. This is equivalent to (see following Def.)

**Definition 27** (combinatorial; Def. 5 of Serre (1980) [5]). *graph is combinatorial if it has no circuit of length  $\leq 2$*

Conversely, it's easy to see that

every combinatorial graph  $\Gamma$  derived (up to isomorphism) by construction above from simplicial complex  $(X, S)$ , where

$X = \text{vert}\Gamma$

$S =$  set of subset  $\{P, Q\}$  of  $X$  s.t.  $P$  and  $Q$  either adjacent or equal.

REFERENCES

[1] Joseph J. Rotman, **Advanced Modern Algebra** (Graduate Studies in Mathematics) 2nd Edition, American Mathematical Society; 2 edition (August 10, 2010), ISBN-13: 978-0821847411

[2] David A. Cox. John Little. Donal O'Shea. **Using Algebraic Geometry**. Second Edition. Springer. 2005. ISBN 0-387-20706-6 QA564.C6883 2004

[3] David Cox, John Little, Donal O'Shea. **Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra**, Fourth Edition, Springer

[4] Glen E. Bredon. **Topology and Geometry**. Graduate Texts in Mathematics (Book 139). Springer; Corrected edition (October 17, 1997). ISBN-13: 978-0387979267

[5] Jean-Pierre Serre (Author), J. Stilwell (Translator). **Trees** (Springer Monographs in Mathematics) 1st ed. 1980. Corr. 2nd printing 2002 Edition. ISBN-13: 978-3540442370