CSCI4041 Homework1 Solution Key

Spring 2009

1 [20pt]

[10pt] Pseudocode

```
SORT(A,n) // A: array, n: size of array
        for i <- 1 to n-1
2
               // find small lest
3
                smallest <- i
                for i < -i+1 to n
5
                          if(A[j] \le A[smallest]) then
                                    smallest <- j
                          end
                end // j loop
9
               // swap i and smallest
10
                Temp \leq- A[i]
11
                A[i] <- A[smallest]
12
                A[smallest] <- Temp
13
        end // i loop
     end // SORT
(-1) for each incorrect loop start/end index
(-1) for each mistakes of keeping smallest index in i-loop
```

[4pt] Loop invariant

For each iteration of I-loop (line 1-13), every element of index \leq i is sorted in increasing order (2pt), and every element of index \geq i is greater than every element of index \leq i (2pt).

[2pt] According to the loop invariant, left n-1 elements are sorted, and n-th element is greater than its n-1 left elements in n-th iteration. So n-th iteration is not needed.

```
[2pt] Best case: \Theta(n^2)
[2pt] Worst case: \Theta(n^2)
```

2. [20pt]

[10] Pseudocode

FIND_MIN_MAX(A,s,t) // A: array, i:start index, t: last index, returns array with 2 elements {min, max}

```
1
      if
            s=t then
2
                return {A[s], A[s]}
3
      end
4
      mid <- s + floor((t-s+1)/2)
5
      // divide array into 2 subarrays each of size n/2
6
       {min1, max1} <- FIND MIN MAX(A,s,mid)
7
       \{\min 1, \max 2\} \le FIND\_MIN\_MAX(A, \min + 1, t)
8
      // merge step
      if min1 < min2 then min = min1
10
      else min = min 2
      if max1>max2 then max = max1
11
```

- 12 else max = max2
- return {min, max}

- (-1) for incorrect selecting mid
- (-3) for no base case
- (-3) for incorrect divide step
- (-3) for incorrect merge step

[8pt] Recurrence Relation

```
[4pt] T(n) = \Theta(1), if n=\Theta(1)

2T(n/2) + \Theta(1), otherwise

[4pt] By master's theorem, T(n) = \Theta(n) (case 1)
```

[2pt] It runs with as much time as linear scan

3. [20pt]

[10pt] Insertion sort is stable since it swaps A[i] and A[j] (i<j) only when A[i]>A[j].

[10pt] Mergesort is stable since merge function takes the smallest element from the left subarray first.

(5pt for each answer and reason, -10pt if heapsort is included in the answer)

4. [20pt]

Sort the array with any sorting algorithm runs in $\Theta(\text{nlogn})$ – heapsort, mergesort for each i, $1 \le i \le n$, find x-A[i] in sorted array A using binary search. (5pt) for sort with $\Theta(\text{nlogn})$ sorting (5pt) for find x-A[i] for each element of A (10pt) for using binary search

5. [20pt]

Best case scenario is when the input is already a heap.

(5pt) Build-max-heap runs at $\Theta(n)$.

(5pt) For loop iterations runs $\Theta(n)$ times.

(10pt) For each step of the loop, root is replaced by a leaf and run max-heapify. Since this replace root should be moved from root to the leaf, max-heapify takes $\Theta(lgn)$ each.

Therefore, best-case running time for heapsort is $\Omega(nlgn)$

(-5pt for saying max-heapify takes constant time or max-heapify 'always' takes $\Theta(lgn)$ regardless of the input)