

3NF

Relational Database Design _Part 4b



Third Normal Form: Motivation

There are some situations where

- BCNF is not dependency preserving, and
- efficient checking for FD violation on updates is important

Solution: define a weaker normal form, called Third Normal Form (3NF)

- Allows some redundancy
- But functional dependencies can be checked on individual relations without computing a join.
- There is always a lossless-join, dependency-preserving decomposition into 3NF.



3NF Example

Relation *dept_advisor*:

- *dept_advisor* (*s_ID*, *i_ID*, *dept_name*)
 $F = \{s_ID, dept_name \rightarrow i_ID, i_ID \rightarrow dept_name\}$
- Two candidate keys: *s_ID, dept_name*, and *i_ID, s_ID*
- *R* is in 3NF
 - $s_ID, dept_name \rightarrow i_ID$
 - *s_ID, dept_name* is a superkey
 - $i_ID \rightarrow dept_name$
 - *dept_name* is contained in a candidate key

There is some redundancy in this schema



Redundancy in 3NF

Example of problems due to redundancy in 3NF

- $R = (J, K, L)$
 $F = \{JK \rightarrow L, L \rightarrow K\}$

J	L	K
j_1	l_1	k_1
j_2	l_1	k_1
j_3	l_1	k_1
<i>null</i>	l_2	k_2

- repetition of information (e.g., the relationship l_1, k_1)
 - $(i_ID, dept_name)$
- need to use null values (e.g., to represent the relationship l_2, k_2 where there is no corresponding value for J).



Testing for 3NF

Optimization: Need to check only FDs in F , need not check all FDs in F^+ .

Use attribute closure to check for each dependency $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, if α is a superkey.

If α is not a superkey, we have to verify if each attribute in β is contained in a candidate key of R

- this test is rather more expensive, since it involve finding candidate keys
- testing for 3NF has been shown to be NP-hard
- Interestingly, decomposition into third normal form can be done in polynomial time



3NF Decomposition Algorithm

```
Let  $F_c$  be a canonical cover for  $F$ ;  
 $i := 0$ ;  
for each functional dependency  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $F_c$  do  
    if none of the schemas  $R_j, 1 \leq j \leq i$  contains  $\alpha \beta$   
        then begin  
             $i := i + 1$ ;  
             $R_i := \alpha \beta$   
        end  
if none of the schemas  $R_j, 1 \leq j \leq i$  contains a candidate key for  $R$   
    then begin  
         $i := i + 1$ ;  
         $R_i :=$  any candidate key for  $R$ ;  
    end  
/* Optionally, remove redundant relations */  
  
    repeat  
    if any schema  $R_j$  is contained in another schema  $R_k$   
        then /* delete  $R_j$  */  
             $R_j = R_k$ ;  
             $i = i - 1$ ;  
return  $(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_i)$ 
```



3NF Decomposition Algorithm (Cont.)

Above algorithm ensures:

- each relation schema R_i is in 3NF
- decomposition is dependency preserving and lossless-join



3NF Decomposition: An Example

Relation schema:

$\text{cust_banker_branch} = (\underline{\text{customer_id, employee_id}}, \text{branch_name, type})$

The functional dependencies for this relation schema are:

1. $\text{customer_id, employee_id} \rightarrow \text{branch_name, type}$
2. $\text{employee_id} \rightarrow \text{branch_name}$
3. $\text{customer_id, branch_name} \rightarrow \text{employee_id}$

We first compute a canonical cover

- branch_name is extraneous in the r.h.s. of the 1st dependency
- No other attribute is extraneous, so we get $F_c =$
 $\text{customer_id, employee_id} \rightarrow \text{type}$
 $\text{employee_id} \rightarrow \text{branch_name}$
 $\text{customer_id, branch_name} \rightarrow \text{employee_id}$



3NF Decomposition Example (Cont.)

The **for** loop generates following 3NF schema:

(customer_id, employee_id, type)

(employee_id, branch_name)

(customer_id, branch_name, employee_id)

- Observe that *(customer_id, employee_id, type)* contains a candidate key of the original schema, so no further relation schema needs be added

At end of for loop, detect and delete schemas, such as *(employee_id, branch_name)*, which are subsets of other schemas

- result will not depend on the order in which FDs are considered

The resultant simplified 3NF schema is:

(customer_id, employee_id, type)

(customer_id, branch_name, employee_id)



Comparison of BCNF and 3NF

It is always possible to decompose a relation into a set of relations that are in 3NF such that:

- the decomposition is lossless
- the dependencies are preserved

It is always possible to decompose a relation into a set of relations that are in BCNF such that:

- the decomposition is lossless
- it may not be possible to preserve dependencies.



Design Goals

Goal for a relational database design is:

- BCNF.
- Lossless join.
- Dependency preservation.

If we cannot achieve this, we accept one of

- Lack of dependency preservation
- Redundancy due to use of 3NF

