

JOB RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM

Tribhuvan University

Institute of Science and Technology



A Final Year Project Report Submission in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of
**Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Information
Technology**

UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF

Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan

Program Coordinator

Trinity International College

SUBMITTED BY

Aakanchhya Sigdel (8097/072)

Subesh Bhandari (8136/072)

Tashi Lama (8141/072)

SUBMITTED TO

Trinity International College

Department of Computer Science and Information Technology

Dillibazar Height, Kathmandu, Nepal

August 2019

JOB RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM

Tribhuvan University

Institute of Science and Technology



A Final Year Project Report Submission in
Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of
**Bachelor of Science in Computer Science and Information
Technology**

SUBMITTED BY

Aakanchhya Sigdel (8097/072)

Subesh Bhandari (8136/072)

Tashi Lama (8141/072)

SUBMITTED TO

Trinity International College

Department of Computer Science and Information Technology

Dillibazar Height, Kathmandu, Nepal

August 2019

DECLARATION

Project entitled “**JOB RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM**” is being submitted to the Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Dillibazar, Kathmandu, Nepal for the fulfillment of the seventh semester under the supervision of **Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan**. This project is original and has not been submitted earlier in part or full in this or any other form to any university or institute, here or elsewhere, for the award of any degree.

Aakanchhya Sigdel (8097/072)

Subesh Bhandari (8136/072)

Tashi Lama (8141/072)

RECOMMENDATION

This is to recommend that **Aakanchhya Sigdel, Subesh Bhandari** and **Tashi Lama** have carried out research entitled “**JOB RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM**” for the fulfillment of seventh semester project in B.Sc. in Computer Science and Information Technology under my supervision. To our knowledge, this work has not been submitted for any other degree.

They have fulfilled all the requirements laid down by the Trinity International College Department of Computer Science and Information Technology, Dillibazar, Kathmandu.

Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan

Program Coordinator

Department of Computer Science and Information Technology

Trinity International College

Dillibazar, Kathmandu, Nepal

August 2019

LETTER OF APPROVAL

Date: 16/08/2019

On the recommendation of **Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan**, this Project Report submitted by **Aakanchhya Sigdel, Subesh Bhandari** and **Tashi Lama** entitled “**JOB RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM**”, in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of the bachelor’s degree in Computer Science and Informational Technology, is a bonafide record of the work carried out under my/our guidance and supervision at Trinity International College, Kathmandu.

EVALUATION COMMITTEE

Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan
Head of Department /
Program Coordinator,
Trinity International College
Dillibazar Height,
Kathmandu, Nepal

Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan
Head of Department /
Project Supervisor,
Trinity International College
Dillibazar Height,
Kathmandu, Nepal

External

Date: _____

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge and appreciate all of those who helped us with the project in every possible ways. We would like to express our sincerest gratitude to our final year project supervisor **Mr. Satya Bahadur Maharjan**, Program Coordinator and Supervisor for the tremendous support, critical analysis and crucial suggestions throughout the project development process.

We would also like to thank our Assistance Coordinator Mr. **Abhishek Dewan** and entire member of IT department of Trinity College including **Mr. Shoyam Bhattra**, **Mr. Jitesh Tuladhar** who encouraged us to be consistent throughout the project.

Further, we would also like to present our deepest thanks to the staffs of **Trinity International College** who facilitated us with this curriculum to test our potential and break boundaries with the project.

Aakanchhya Sigdel (8097/072)

Subesh Bhandari (8136/072)

Tashi Lama (8141/072)

ABSTRACT

Job Recommendation System is a web based application that helps job seekers to find jobs of their interest and also helps job providers to find deserving candidates for their organization. The system is developed using agile kanban development strategy. The recommendation engine is built using both content-based filtering and collaborative filtering. The filtering techniques take job seeker's explicit as well as implicit information in order to recommend jobs with that of similar interest. The system is also implemented with candidate evaluation system that enables job seekers to test their skills on their respective fields.

Keywords: *Recommendation System, Pearson Correlation, Job Seeker, Job Provider, Skill test*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	iii
RECOMMENDATION	iv
LETTER OF APPROVAL	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
ABSTRACT.....	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Definition	2
1.3 Objectives	3
1.4 Scope of the project	3
1.5 Limitation of the project	3
CHAPTER 2	4
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	4
2.1 Literature Review	4
2.2 Related Study.....	5
2.2.1 Upwork	5
2.2.2 Mero Job	5
2.3 Flow chart of working of the model	5
2.4 Methodology.....	7
2.4.1 Recommendation System.....	7
2.4.1.a User-based Collaborative Filtering.....	7

2.4.1.b Item-based Collaborative Filtering.....	7
2.4.1.c Pearson correlation	7
2.4.2 Candidate Evaluation System	8
CHAPTER 3	9
DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN	9
3.1 System Development Process.....	9
3.2 System Requirement Specification.....	10
3.2.1 Functional Requirement.....	10
3.2.1.a Job Seekers	10
3.2.1.b Job Providers	10
3.2.1.c Use Case Diagram	11
3.2.2 Non Functional Requirement	11
3.3 Feasibility Study	12
3.3.1 Schedule feasibility	12
3.3.2 Technical feasibility	12
3.3.3 Operational feasibility.....	12
3.3.4 Economic feasibility	12
3.4 System Architecture	13
3.5 Sequence Diagram.....	14
3.5.1 Job seeker sequence diagram	14
3.5.2 Job provider sequence diagram.....	15
3.6 Activity Diagram	16
3.7 Class Diagram.....	18
CHAPTER 4	19
IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING.....	19
4.1 Implementation.....	19
4.2 Testing	19

4.2.1 Unit Testing	19
4.2.2 Integrated Testing	20
4.2.3 System Testing	22
CHAPTER 5	24
RESULT ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	24
5.1 Result	24
5.2 Analysis	24
CHAPTER 6	28
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	28
6.1 Conclusion	28
6.2 Recommendations	28
REFERENCES	29
APPENDICES	30
APPENDIX - I	30
APPENDIX – II	35

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

API	Application Programming Interface
CV	Curriculum Vitae
CCRS	Classification Candidate Reciprocal System
SRL	Statistical Relational Learning
REST	Representational State Transfer
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
OS	Operating System
SQL	Structured Query Language
DB	Database
CRUD	Create Update Delete

LIST OF SYMBOLS

\mathbf{x}	Variable input
\mathbf{y}	Variable input
$\bar{\mathbf{x}}$	Mean of \mathbf{x}
$\bar{\mathbf{y}}$	Mean of \mathbf{y}
$\mathbf{Corr}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$	Correlation coefficient of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y}
Σ	Summation

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Login Component Testing	20
Table 2: API Testing	21
Table 3: System testing	22

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: System workflow.....	6
Figure 2: Agile Kanban.....	9
Figure 3: Use case diagram.....	11
Figure 4: Gantt Chart	12
Figure 5: System Architecture	13
Figure 6: Job seeker sequence diagram	14
Figure 7: Job Provider sequence diagram.....	15
Figure 8: Activity Diagram.....	16
Figure 9: Class diagram	18
Figure 10: Automated unit test for recommendation unit.....	20
Figure 11: Statistics of Jobs per category	25
Figure 12: Statistics of Applicants per category	25
Figure 13: Similar Users for test data	26
Figure 14: Job Recommendation for test users.....	27

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The Job Recommendation System is a platform for both job seekers and job providers to connect with one another. The system is not just confined to the job recommendation feature but is also accompanied with candidate evaluation feature which assists job providers in recruiting deserving candidate for the post.

The workflow of the system is quite different compared to the traditional job recommendation systems. The traditional recommendation system takes job applicant's explicit information to build their profile then uses them to generate job recommendations accordingly [5]. And this may produce risks like resulting high number of unsatisfied job seekers and longer duration of unemployment [4]. To prevent such risks, our system not only makes use of job applicant's explicit information but also tracks the implicit information like job applicant's activities on the site which adds up in generating accurate and effective recommendations.

The system operates on a domain that easily scales up the amount of data in volume [4]. The data from resumes of job seekers and job posts from job providers are produced vigorously. And even more data are generated when there occurs interaction between both job seekers and job providers. Such condition of generating excessive volume of data can be termed information overloading. The system makes proper utilization of information overloading [5]. With the availability of enormous amounts of information, the system is capable of recommending job seekers with the jobs of their interest and field more efficiently. This further enhances the system by establishing a better connection between the job seekers and the job providers.

Basically, the system can be partitioned into two subsystems: job seeker subsystem and job provider subsystem. The job seeker subsystem allows job applicants to enter their information. The information collected is used to create respective job seeker's profile, which is one of the vital factors to consider when it comes to recommending jobs. Whereas, the job provider subsystem enables job providers to enter their information. The information obtained from each of the individual subsystems are stored, observed and compared then, jobs are recommended accordingly.

In the context of Nepal, there are numerous job portals with the recommendation engine implemented on their site. But none of them happened to have implemented a system for evaluating job candidates for the moment in the present scenario. So the system takes job seeker hiring process to a step further by enabling provision for setting up several skill tests. These skill tests are private in the sense that the user has to be logged in as a jobseeker to take these tests. These tests are setup and carried out by the system. The candidates are evaluated on the basis of these tests. The points that they obtain through these tests could be a major factor for them to be recruited by the job provider.

1.2 Problem Definition

Both of the users, job seekers and job providers have to face corresponding problems. Job seekers have to visit several job portals and browse through thousands of jobs in order to find the relevant ones of their interest [6]. This results in fetching and rendering of huge numbers of job posts with matching search keywords. So job seekers have to scroll and view job details of each and every job post until they finally find a job post with matching criteria. Then they apply for it with no confirmation of their placements from the recruiters. And despite following all the above actions, it is also not expected for the job seekers to get response from the recruiting company very often. And this puts the job seekers in a dilemma whether to apply for other companies as well or to just wait for the response.

Whereas, job providers have to surf through resume of hundreds and thousands of job applicants. They have to select those candidates with the exact matching criteria that the company is looking for the time being. They enlist the selected candidates for further examinations and contact each one of them individually to conduct necessary tests. After carrying out tests, they have to enlist and filter the passed candidates, then contact those candidates to further conduct countless hours of interviews.

The process for both job seeker and job provider is tedious, time consuming and inefficient. And it also requires a lot of manpower to carry out the process for job provider successfully.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of the system are mentioned below:

- To recommend jobs to job seekers based on their job preferences and activity on the site.
- To allow job seeker to search for jobs with ease by specifying job category, type and level then apply for it.
- To enable job seekers to take skill tests set up by the system.
- To enable job providers to post jobs by specifying job description.
- To enable job providers to hire deserving job seekers.

1.4 Scope of the project

The system allows job seekers to easily search for jobs they are looking for. They are recommended jobs based on their job preferences and their activities on the site. They can see the overview of their activity in their dashboards. They are enabled to acquire skills based on the tests that they take. With skills job providers can easily target job seekers and easily recruit them. The job seekers are notified for each action they perform and the status of their application. For job providers, they are able to post jobs and customize their job requirements. To ease out the process of searching through hundreds of CV's they are able to evaluate job seekers based on their skills and their qualifications automatically. The job seekers are only allowed to apply for the post if he/she is qualified and meets all the job specifications for the corresponding job post. They are also able to see their reports on how the posted job is doing so far. It aims to ease out both the process of searching for jobs and hiring candidates.

1.5 Limitation of the project

Although, the system aims to address the modern issue for job recruitment and recommendation, it is only the minimal version of what can be done. It fails to track all the information required for job seekers and job providers. When the job seekers not logged in to the system it fails to recommend jobs. The tests in the system are not modifiable and can only show multiple choice questions.

CHAPTER 2

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

2.1 Literature Review

Bilateral recommendation in any recommendation system means matching the two objects or entities with one another. Reciprocal recommender system recommends people-to-people according to the preference of both sides. CCRS is used to recommend job in [1].

With the explosive growth in the digital information and the use of internet, the systems built are concerned to make our life more easier for day-to-day activities. Job recommendation has gained its popularity shifting from the traditional way of recruiting and applying for jobs to e-recruitment process [2].

Job recommendation can be done using 4 different algorithms, that is, content-based filtering, collaborative filtering, information-based filtering and hybrid algorithm. SRL is used to construct hybrid job recommendation system that combines representational abilities of first order logic with the ability of probability theory to model uncertainty [3].

Job recommendation system only based on explicit information can create high risk, such as, higher number of unsatisfied job and longer unemployment duration as well. Thus, recommendation should also include the users activity and the activity or similar users to further increment the users recommendation [4].

As user of job recommendation system is frequently changing object, it faces a lot of cold-start problem. Along with changing users, the interest of the users are also changing matter, for which employ the dynamic recommendation in a job recommender system, where the user profile is dynamically updated [5].

There are various challenges that should be faced while developing a system that recommends job, such as, scalability, job sparsity and cold-start problem. To overcome the challenges, a novel item-based job recommendation system is implemented. Rather than using job profiles made on the recruitment system, the system can also make use of social network on which the user has registered himself [6].

2.2 Related Study

There are several different websites that is similar to this system and two of them are primary means of research for this system.

2.2.1 Upwork

Upwork is a freelancing company that gathers around freelancers and job providers. It has a skill earning and building scheme which are then used by job providers to hire workers. This website is used as a reference for our candidate evaluation system.

2.2.2 Mero Job

Mero job is one of the biggest websites that serves as an online Job Recruitment in Nepal. It has a wide audience and a big reach in the market. This website is used to build up structure for our system interface and backend design. It has a lot of features but it lacks some major features that this system is proposing to solve i.e. candidate evaluation system.

2.3 Flow chart of working of the model

The workflow of the system can be seen in Figure 1 below. The system has two main users i.e. Job Seekers and Job Providers. The system behaves differently according to the user currently in the system. A Job Seeker can acquire skills, search and apply to jobs. Whereas a Job Provider can create a vacancy and fill out necessary job descriptions and requirements for the post. If a Job Seeker meets the job criteria then the Job Provider sends notification to him/her for an interview. When there are no other activities in the system the users logout and terminate the application. And start the process again until Job seekers find satisfactory jobs and Job Providers satisfactory candidates.




Figure 1: System workflow

2.4 Methodology

2.4.1 Recommendation System

From, the information researched and gathered collaborative filtering seems to be the appropriate technique for our use case. Recommendation based on explicit information can create high risk, such as, higher number of unsatisfied job and longer unemployment duration as well [6]. So, it is decided to use collaborative filtering to solve this issue and serve better recommendation.

2.4.1.a User-based Collaborative Filtering

In User-Item Collaborative filtering similar users are found, using a similarity function [5]. Then recommendation is made to one another with the jobs they haven't applied or viewed. Users with similar views are grouped and based on their applications to jobs their recommendation is calculated.

2.4.1.b Item-based Collaborative Filtering

In item based collaborative filtering, the similarity of an item is calculated with the existing item being consumed by the existing users. Then on the basis of amount of similarity, similar item is recommended to the user. In our case suppose a user A applies to job E and job F, another user B applies to job E, then job F is recommended to user A as they are highly likely to be similar.

2.4.1.c Pearson correlation

Pearson similarity is the covariance of the two n-dimensional vectors divided by the product of their standard deviations [5]. The system is using it to calculate similarity.

$$\text{Corr}(x,y) = \sum_i (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) / \sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}$$

The pseudo code can be seen as below:

```
function recommend(user)  
    for each other_user in users do  
        score = pearson_similarity(user, other_user)  
        if score <= 0:  
            continue  
        for each job in jobs(other_user)  
            if job not in jobs(user)
```

```

                                add_recommendation(job)
                        end
                end
        return recommendations
end

```

2.4.2 Candidate Evaluation System

It is quite troublesome for Job Provider systems to filter out list of all applied candidates. The system is using an evaluation system to filter out the candidates according to the Job Seeker's requirement. The evaluation system is consists of rules defined by Job Providers to only select proper candidates. It is also be able to conduct exams which provide skills that can be used to filter out candidates based upon their skills. The pseudo code can be seen as below:

```

function evaluate(user, job)

    user_stats = fetch_user_stats(user)
    job_requirements = fetch_job_requirement(job)
    if eligibility_check(users_stats, job_requirements)
        allow_apply(user)
    end
    else
        reject_apply(user)
    end
end
end

```

CHAPTER 3

DEVELOPMENT AND DESIGN

3.1 System Development Process

The software development process has been divided into several phases which is described in the upcoming sections. In order for the system to accommodate both the requirements of Job Seekers and Job Providers, The system had to be agile and keep up with change of plans and requirements as they come and go. To address this issue the development strategy of Kanban is followed. Kanban is an agile strategy for software development, where developers list out the things they want to do in a story board. It helps with the visualization of what they need to, what they are doing and what they have completed. This strategy is used to increase collaboration, productivity and sustain the development of the system. For this the tools provided by GitHub is used to keep track of system development as shown in Figure 2.




Figure 2: Agile Kanban

In figure 2, it shows a storyboard holding all the issues which is created in order to divide the tasks into detailed sub tasks. For each sub task assigned to an assignee he/she is responsible for completing the task. Once a task is completed it is reviewed and finally is added to the main document or repository.

3.2 System Requirement Specification

For this system, the requirements can be categorized into two categories, namely Job Seeker and Job Provider. We'll be discussing about them accordingly.

3.2.1 Functional Requirement

3.2.1.a Job Seekers

- Job seekers should be able to apply to jobs where their criteria meet.
- Able to acquire skills by giving exams and evaluation process.
- Able to search and query jobs accordingly.
- The system recommends jobs based on their job preferences and activity in the system.
- View their activity and reports in a dashboard.

3.2.1.b Job Providers

- Job Providers should be able to post jobs.
- Ability to filter out candidates based on filters specified.
- View reports of their job postings and get meaningful reports.

3.2.1.c Use Case Diagram




Figure 3: Use case diagram

In figure 3, it shows the Use case diagram of the system. There are two primary actors i.e. Job Seekers and Job Providers. Job Seekers have 4 main use cases i.e. logging in, searching for jobs, acquiring skills and applying to jobs. Whereas, the Job Providers use cases are logging in, posting jobs and recruiting Job Seekers. They act as reactors whenever a Job Seeker applies to jobs. The opposite can be said for the Job seekers i.e. whenever a Job Providers posts a job.

3.2.2 Non Functional Requirement

- The system must be user friendly, responsive, fast.
- The system should provide a secure medium for users to access their system.
- It must be cross platform and have support for all the major browsers.

3.3 Feasibility Study

There are various feasibility studies that can be performed on a project based on what output is required. The proposed feasibility study for this project is:

3.3.1 Schedule feasibility

The feasibility for scheduling this project is described by the Gantt Chart below in Figure 4. This chart represents the time frame divided for each task that leads to the completion of the project.




Figure 4: Gantt Chart

3.3.2 Technical feasibility

The project is the application made using various web development tools. The user interface is made using React JavaScript, whereas the application is built using Ruby on Rails. PostgreSQL is used as database. For our deployment and testing netlify, heroku and github is used to ease out development process. As all the tools and framework is open source, the proposed project is technically feasible.

3.3.3 Operational feasibility

The system is proposed to be user friendly with different interfaces to communicate with users. The interface is easy to use as abstraction is maintained. Any user with a computing device and an internet facility can easily access and use the applications, hence making it operationally feasible.

3.3.4 Economic feasibility

All the functionality in the system is developed using an open source platform, making the system a lot cheaper. A user with any computing device and internet facility can use the application without extra cost. Thus, the project is economically

feasible as well.

3.4 System Architecture

The system follows N-Tier architecture, where presentation, logic and data tiers are separated. The system architecture can be seen as follows:




Figure 5: System Architecture

There are two servers, one for serving the static front end and second for handling requests made by the client. The server responsible for handling all the requests is linked with a Postgres DB, and is responsible for all the logic required for the system to work including authentication. The backend is a REST API that returns json response for every request. With this architecture the developer is able to use any front end in the client side to access the backend making it flexible.

The node server sends front end of the system, which then makes requests to the rails server. The front end consists of both the job seeker and job providers interfaces. Once the user logs in they are shown with interface according to their role. The user interface makes request to the rails server and it handles the request and processes the request and sends out a request. The rails server handles all the CRUD actions and updates the database accordingly.

3.5 Sequence Diagram

3.5.1 Job seeker sequence diagram




Figure 6: Job seeker sequence diagram

In Figure 6, it shows the activity of Job Seekers and server. First they sign in to the system, then update their profile. Then they search for jobs and the server responds with filtered job list along with the recommended jobs. When they find a job suitable for them, they apply to the job. If they don't have skills specified in the job then they

earn skill and then apply for the job. This process is continued until they find a job or wish to log out.

3.5.2 Job provider sequence diagram




Figure 7: Job Provider sequence diagram

In Figure 7, Job Providers sign in to the system and the server sends in a signed in response. They fill out their profile and server updates the profile. After the profile

completion, the job providers are able to create jobs and recruit workers. They are able to perform this task until they find suitable candidates and finally sign out after completion.

3.6 Activity Diagram




Figure 8: Activity Diagram

In Figure 8, the control flow from one activity to another is modeled. The circular black dot represents start point and the circular black dot outlined by another circle represents end point. The activity diagram is similar to flow chart with more focus on the activities performed in the system. The thick horizontal line symbolizes fork as well as join nodes in this diagram. The fork nodes represent the flow from one activity to multiple activities whereas the join nodes represent the flow from multiple activities to a single activity.

The user first registers either as job seeker or job provider depending on their respective roles. The user logs into the system by then the system recognizes their roles and according to that constraint, the user is redirected to their respective home page.

If the user is a job seeker, the fork node symbolizes direction to multiple activities that they're able to perform i.e. search for jobs and acquire skills. The job searching activity further leads to activities like viewing recommended jobs based on their job preferences or activity. Then job seeker applies for job. If the job criteria are matching, job provider starts hiring process, else job seeker is rejected.

If the user is a job provider the fork node symbolizes direction to activities like creating vacancies and view reports. The job provider simply defines job criteria and starts hiring process based on those criteria then notifies the hired candidates. After all the work is done, both of the users logout which is represented by the join node in the figure above and finally the system terminates.

3.7 Class Diagram




Figure 9: Class diagram

In Figure 9, there are several classes like User, Notification, Job Provider, Job Seeker, etc. These classes consist of two fields: data and methods. The data represents type of the data that the class stores. And the methods represent the operations that can be carried out within the class. The class diagram also depicts relationship between classes. Each class can have several instances.

The user class can have two types of instances on the basis of their roles i.e. job seeker and job provider. The job seeker is able to search for jobs as well as view recommended jobs. The properties of job seeker instance can be further inherited by classes like applicant and examinee which allows them to apply for jobs and give exams respectively. The job provider is able to create jobs, post vacancies and recruit job seekers.

CHAPTER 4

IMPLEMENTATION AND TESTING

4.1 Implementation

The tools used in the implementation of the project are described below:

- Languages

React, a JavaScript library is used for front-end development. And for backend development Ruby on Rails is used.

- Operating System

The system development is worked upon both windows OS as well as Ubuntu Linux.

- Development Tools

The following are the development tools used for this project:

1. Visual Studio Code
2. Postman
3. Git & Github

4.2 Testing

Testing is a process that validates a system by examining it on different conditions. So the following types of testing are carried out on this project:

4.2.1 Unit Testing

Smallest block of codes in the program can be referred to unit. This test helped us examine each unit individually and makes sure that the unit is working exactly how it is intended to. This testing came in handy whenever it is thought of adding new features to the system. Since this testing detects small changes in the program so it made sure that each unit is validated before moving on to a new unit to work. Automated unit tests are performed in major units in our backend side. Since, 100% code coverage is not possible in a short period of time it is only tested major components in our system.

```

Recommendation
.pearson_correlation_score
  when user has views and other user has none
    has 0 correlation score
  when user and other users have common views but not applied any job
    has 0 correlation score
  when the user has no job views
    has 0 correlation score
  when user and other user have common views and one or more some has applied job
    shows correlation score of the users
.user_based_recommendation
  when there are no users activity
    no jobs are recommended
  when user and group have some activity
    but there are no similar users
    no jobs are recommended
  and there are some similar users
    but there are no other jobs activity for other user
    no jobs are recommended
  and there are other activities of similar users
    jobs are recommended
  when user has no activity but the group does
    no jobs are recommended

```

Figure 10: Automated unit test for recommendation unit

In figure 10, it shows the automated test carried out for our recommendation component. It performs unit tests on each code block ensuring that the new changes added in the future won't affect the current existing feature.

4.2.2 Integrated Testing

In order to conduct this testing, the individually validated units in the program are combined together to form a component and the component is put through several tests. The main purpose of this testing is to check how the integrated units interact with each other within the component and whether or not the component as a whole is generating satisfying results. The test is executed by creating various test cases and iterating the component over those test cases one by one.

Table 1: Login Component Testing

S.N.	Test cases	Remarks
1.	No internet connection	Unable to connect to the server
2.	Empty input fields	Display error message
3.	Invalid credentials	Display error message
4.	Valid credentials	Display success message
5.	Logged in as job seeker	Redirect to job seekers home page
6.	Logged in as job provider	Redirect to job providers home page

In Table 1, it shows the integrated testing of the frontend and backend. The login form consists of frontend validation and onsubmit triggers backend validation. The table 1 shows the tests that are performed on the login form. Similarly, tests are performed in other components. Showing all the test cases in the document is not feasible so only the gist of the tests performed is listed out which have been carried out to other components as well.

Table 2: API Testing

S.N.	Test Case	Expected	Result
1.	Fetch Profile: GET /api/v1/profile	Returns profile in json format with status 200.	{ "basic_info": {}, "educations": {}, } Status: 200
2.	Update Basic Info (valid params) PUT /api/v1/profile/basic_info	Updates basic information and returns the updated object in json format with status 201.	{ "name": "ABC Tech", "address": "CCC", } Status: 201
3.	Update Basic Info (invalid params) PUT /api/v1/profile/basic_info	Returns validation error with status 422	{ "message": "Validation Failed", "errors": [{ "field": "name", "message": "can't be empty" }] } Status: 422
4.	Unknown api request GET /api/invalid/path	Return not found with status 404	Status: 404 Not Found
5.	When unauthorized path is called. Example (job seeker create job)	Return Forbidden with status 403	{ "message": "Forbidden" } Status: 403

In Table 2, it shows the API tests that are carried out. There are well over 25+ routes in the backend. Thus, showing all the test cases is not feasible. In our test case for each request it is tested by two cases i.e. sending valid parameters and sending invalid parameters. For each successful request the API returns response with status 200, 201, 2XX. For invalid request the API responds with 401, 403, 404, 422, 4XX status codes. If there are faults in the server then 500 status code is sent out.

4.2.3 System Testing

This testing is carried out after all the components in the system are complete and fully integrated. The testing is performed in order to verify whether or not the system as a whole meets its requirements. This testing is concerned on finding defects and bugs on the whole system behavior, system design and expectations of the end-user. The testing mainly focused on evaluating functional and end-user requirements. The test is carried out using several test cases as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: System Testing

S.N.	Test Case	Expected	Remarks
1.	Launch site	Loads logo and necessary components of the pages.	As expected
2.	View Job Seeker Profile	Show basic information, work experience, educational qualifications	As expected
3.	View Job Provider Profile	Show basic information	As expected
4.	Update Profile	Receive Notification	As expected
5.	Apply or Post Job	Receive Notification	As expected
6.	Update Job information and specification	Receive Notification	As expected
7.	View Job Seeker Home Page	Load top jobs, latest jobs, recommended jobs	As expected

8.	Search jobs	Enable job search according to job category, type and level	As expected
9.	Session Functionality	Create & destroy session as user login & logout respectively	As expected
10.	Launch site in major browsers	Load pages and content properly	As expected
11.	Launch site in different platforms	Load pages and content properly	As expected
12.	Skill Test	Enable user to take tests on different working fields	As expected

CHAPTER 5

RESULT ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Result

The final system provides a gateway for both job seekers and job providers to meet one another. After registration, job seekers can search for jobs, acquire skills by attending defined tests and get recommended jobs in their feed. The system recommends jobs to job seekers based on user and item-based similarities. After the job providers post a job on their profile, the jobs are shown in the latest feed of the job seekers. As soon as the job seekers apply to a particular job, collaborative filtering is applied and jobs are recommended based on User-User similarities and User-Item similarities calculated using Pearson's Correlation. During recommendation, only users with similarity greater than 0 are taken as accountable.

Job providers can post jobs and define the specification to which the job seekers need to meet. These specifications are optional and can be changed by job providers accordingly. Job providers can filter out applicants according to different properties that are assigned to job seekers. Furthermore, job providers can dynamically change how their job vacancy look and update according to requirement. Once desired applicants apply for the job, job providers can call them for an interview and then hire them. They are also be able to reject applicants and filter them accordingly.

For each primary actions, the system uses the notification system to keep the end-users updated and well informed with proper instructions and warnings. They can follow along with the notifications and get the latest updates. Both job seekers and job providers can see their stats and learn how they are doing.

5.2 Analysis

For the system, 1627 jobs are created by 140 job providers and there are 308 job seekers. The total numbers of job views are 3885 and there are 1347 numbers of applicants. These applicants and views are well distributed according to major category of jobs available in the system. The collected jobs are categorized into different categories defining the primary domains in the job sector of Nepal. Job seekers are created accordingly and are distributed into a variety of categories and masquerading a real world job seeker if one is to actually apply for the job.




Figure 11: Statistics of Jobs per category




Figure 12: Statistics of Applicants per category

In Figure 10 and 11, the statistics of jobs per category and statistics of applicants per category are shown respectively. It is seen that there are more jobs in the Agriculture sector whereas more applicants in Engineering Medicine and Health care.

After the system have a decent amount of data and activity, 30 more job seekers are created for analyzing the recommendation system. In the test, job seekers are distributed according to category and had minimal activity in respective criteria. Out of these, 8 are made to randomly pick jobs out the entire opened job vacancies. These users have 10 job views and 2 or random job application on the viewed jobs.




Figure 13: Similar Users for test data

In Figure 12, it shows that all the test job seekers have at least one similar user from their activity i.e. job viewing and applying. The first 8 users have randomly viewed and applied for jobs and the rest have applied according to categories of jobs.

Similarly, Figure 13 shows the statistics of recommendations available for users. User with more similar users has more recommendations and with less has few to no recommendation. It shows that with fewer amounts of applicants in certain categories can impact the overall recommendation of user in that category.




Figure 14: Job Recommendation for test users

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

6.1 Conclusion

Overall, the project is successful. The system is able to meet its requirement and fulfill the objectives discussed. The project is able to stand out from all the generic job portals in Nepal by successfully implementing candidate evaluation system. And the recommendation engine works successfully by recommending relevant jobs to the job seekers. And the candidate evaluation system showed no issues with its performance as well.

6.2 Recommendations

The system is not limited when it comes to adding further more enhancements to it. It has various possibilities with so much more to be explored and expanded.

The features that could be further integrated to the system are mentioned below:

- Real-time online interview session for job seekers could be conducted by the job providers.
- The profile of job seekers that has not been updated for several days could be dynamically updated by tracing their activities on the site while they are online.
- Learning materials for the enthusiastic job seekers could also be added to sharpen their skills.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ozcan and S.G. Oguducu, *Applying Different Classification Techniques in Reciprocal Job Recommender System for Considering Job Candidate Preference*, (2016)
- [2] M. Diaby and E. Viennet, *Taxonomy-based Job Recommender Systems On Facebook and LinkedIn Profiles*, (2014)
- [3] S. Yang, M. Korayem, K. AlJadda, T. Grainger, S. Natarajan, *Combining content-based and collaborative filtering for job recommendation system: A cost-sensitive Statistical Relational Learning approach*, (2017)
- [4] W. Chen, Pan Zhou, S. Dong, S. Gong, M. Hu, K. Wang and D. Wu, *Tree-based Contextual Learning for Online Job or Candidate Recommendation with Big Data Support in Professional Social Networks*, (2016)
- [5] W. Hong, S. Zheng, H. Wang, *Dynamic User Profile-Based Job Recommender System*, (2013)
- [6] W. Shalaby, B. AlAila, M. Korayem, L. Pournajaf, K. AlJadda, S.Quinn, and W. Zadrozny, *Help Me Find a Job: A Graph-based Approach for Job Recommendation at Scale*, (2017)

APPENDICES

APPENDIX - I

1. recommendation.rb

```
class Recommendation
  attr_accessor :user, :user_collection, :user_jobs, :user_applied_jobs
  def initialize(user)
    @user = user
    @user_collection = fetch_user_comparisons
    @user_jobs = fetch_user_jobs(user.id)
    @user_applied_jobs = user.applied_jobs.pluck(:id)
  end

  def call; end

  def similar_user_scores
    users = []
    user_collection.row_headers.each do |other_user|
      users << {
        id: other_user,
        score: pearson_correlation_score(other_user)
      }
    end
    users.sort { |x| x[:score] }
  end

  def fetch_user_comparisons
    jobs = Applicant.joins('right outer join job_views on applicants.job_id =
job_views.job_id AND applicants.user_id =
job_views.user_id').select('job_views.job_id,job_views.user_id,applicants.id,
job_views.status').where('job_views.job_id': user.job_views.pluck(:job_id))
    g = PivotTable::Grid.new do |g|
      g.source_data = jobs
    end
  end
end
```

```

    g.column_name = :job_id
    g.row_name    = :user_id
    g.value_name  = :applicant
    g.field_name  = :status
  end
  g.build
end

```

```

def filter_common_views(user2)
  user2_jobs = fetch_user_jobs(user2)
  (0..(user_jobs.size - 1)).each_with_object([], []) do |index, result|
    if user_jobs[index] && user2_jobs[index]
      result[0] << user_jobs[index] + 1
      result[1] << user2_jobs[index] + 1
    end
  end
end

```

```

def fetch_user_jobs(user)
  user_collection.rows.find { |x| x.header == user }&.data || []
end

```

```

def pearson_correlation_score(user2)
  user1_applied, user2_applied = filter_common_views(user2)

  return 0 if user1_applied.empty?

  user1_applied_sum = user1_applied.sum
  user2_applied_sum = user2_applied.sum
  sum_product_applied = (0..(user1_applied.size - 1)).inject(0) do |result, index|
    result += user1_applied[index] *
              user2_applied[index]
  end
  result
end

```

```

user1_applied_length = user1_applied.length
numerator = sum_product_applied -
  ((user1_applied_sum * user2_applied_sum).to_f /
   user1_applied_length)
denominator = ((user1_applied_sum - user1_applied_sum**2.to_f /
  user1_applied_length) *
  (user2_applied_sum - user2_applied_sum**2.to_f /
   user1_applied_length))*0.5

denominator.zero? ? 0 : (numerator.to_f / denominator).round(4)
end

def fetch_user_excluded_jobs(other_user)
  User.find(other_user).viewed_jobs.joins(:job_views).select('jobs.id,
job_views.status').where('application_deadline >= ? ', Date.today).where.not(id:
user_applied_jobs).uniq
end

def user_based_recommendation(_count = 10)
  other_users = user_collection.row_headers - [user.id]
  total = { }
  other_users.each do |other_user|
    # other_user = object[:user]
    score = pearson_correlation_score(other_user)
    next unless score.positive?

    other_jobs = fetch_user_excluded_jobs(other_user)
    other_jobs.each do |job|
      total[job.id] ||= 0
      total[job.id] += (job.status + 1).to_f * score
    end
  end

  result = total.each_with_object([]) do |(id, total), result|

```

```

      result << {
        id: id,
        score: total.to_f.round(4)
      }
    end
    result.sort_by { |x| x[:score] }.reverse
  end
end

```

2. **get_recommendation.rb**

```
# frozen_string_literal: true
```

```
class GetRecommendations
```

```
  attr_accessor :user
```

```
  def initialize(user)
```

```
    @user = user
```

```
  end
```

```
  def call
```

```
    {
      recommended: fetch_recommendations,
      history: fetch_history,
      categories: fetch_categories,
      top_jobs: fetch_top_jobs,
      latest_jobs: fetch_latest_jobs
    }
  end

```

```
end
```

```
def fetch_recommendations
```

```
  recommendations = RecommendationV2.new(user).user_based_recommendation
```

```
  jobs = Job.where(id: recommendations.pluck(:id))
```

```
  recommendations.map { |x| jobs.find { |job| job.id == x[:id] } }
```

```
end
```



```

def fetch_history
  []
end

def fetch_categories
  Job.select('distinct(jobs.*')
    .where('application_deadline >= ? ', Date.today)
    .joins(:categories)
    .where.not(id: user.applications.pluck(:job_id))
    .where('categories.name': user.basic_information.categories.pluck(:name))
    .group(:id)
    .order(views: :desc)
    .limit(9)
  end


def fetch_top_jobs
  Job.where('application_deadline >= ? ', Date.today)
    .where.not(id: user.applications.pluck(:job_id))
    .order(views: :desc).limit(9)
  end

def fetch_latest_jobs
  Job.where('application_deadline >= ? ', Date.today)
    .where.not(id: user.applications.pluck(:job_id))
    .order(created_at: :desc, views: :asc)
    .limit(9)
  end
end
end

```

APPENDIX – II

1. Job seeker's profile view



Name: Sham Achary

Basic information

Address	Samakhushi
Phone	818188811 988188811
Email	lworker@test.com
DOB	Fri Oct 10 1997
Gender	Male
Social Accounts	sdasd
Website	

Skills

Job Preference










Computer and IT

About Me










Working Experience

Educational Qualifications







2. Recommended jobs to job seeker

RECOMMENDED:		
<p>Reynolds, Walker and Cormier Views: 13</p> <div>  <p>Environmental Scientist Rs. 50000 - Rs. 60000 1 seat Senior Level, Contract Fri Sep 08 2019</p> </div>	<p>Sawayn, Considine and Schullist Views: 17</p> <div>  <p>Chemical Engineer Rs. 50000 - Rs. 80000 2 seats Senior Level, Contract Fri Oct 04 2019</p> </div>	<p>Reinger-Kautzer Views: 4</p> <div>  <p>Chemist Rs. 30000 - Rs. 50000 4 seats Mid Level, Part Time Fri Aug 23 2019</p> </div>
<p>Reynolds, Walker and Cormier Views: 10</p> <div>  <p>Geographer Rs. 30000 - Rs. 30000 2 seats Mid Level, Full Time Tue Sep 17 2019</p> </div>	<p>Hettinger, Littel and Schuster Views: 4</p> <div>  <p>Astronomer Rs. 50000 - Rs. 50000 5 seats Senior Level, Contract Mon Sep 02 2019</p> </div>	<p>Schultz, Gerhold and Muller Views: 5</p> <div>  <p>Oceanographer Rs. 70000 - Rs. 80000 2 seats Top Level, Full Time Sat Aug 31 2019</p> </div>
<p>Armstrong-Renner Views: 6</p> <div>  <p>Forensic Technician Rs. 10000 - Rs. 20000 3 seats Entry Level, Part Time Fri Oct 04 2019</p> </div>	<p>Cummings, Reinger and Morissette Views: 14</p> <div>  <p>Chemical Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 40000 1 seat Entry Level, Full Time Mon Nov 25 2019</p> </div>	<p>Schmitt Inc Views: 24</p> <div>  <p>Aerospace Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 20000 1 seat Entry Level, Internship Tue Oct 29 2019</p> </div>

3. Top jobs for job seekers

TOP JOBS:			
Wiegand-Trantow  Marine Engineer Rs. 70000 - Rs. 100000 3 seats Top Level, Contract Thu Oct 10 2019 Views: 20	Schmitt Inc  Marine Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 40000 5 seats Entry Level, Internship Thu Sep 19 2019 Views: 20	Sawayn, Considine and Schulist  Chemical Engineer Rs. 50000 - Rs. 80000 2 seats Senior Level, Contract Fri Oct 04 2019 Views: 17	
Johnston and Sons  Nuclear Engineer Rs. 30000 - Rs. 30000 2 seats Mid Level, Full Time Mon Sep 09 2019 Views: 17	Kertzmann, Connolly and Russel  Materials Engineer Rs. 30000 - Rs. 60000 1 seat Mid Level, Contract Fri Oct 18 2019 Views: 16	Schmitt Inc  Architect Rs. 70000 - Rs. 90000 1 seat Top Level, Contract Tue Oct 29 2019 Views: 16	
Schmitt LLC  Fitness Trainer Rs. 50000 - Rs. 50000 3 seats Senior Level, Full Time Mon Sep 16 2019 Views: 15	Cummings, Reinger and Morissette  Chemical Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 40000 1 seat Entry Level, Full Time Mon Nov 25 2019 Views: 14	Kertzmann, Connolly and Russel  Civil Engineer Rs. 70000 - Rs. 80000 2 seats Top Level, Full Time Fri Oct 25 2019 Views: 14	


4. Search jobs

SEARCH			
<input type="text" value="Engineer"/>			
Select Categories	Select Job	Select Job Level	
RESULT:			
Kertzmann, Connolly and Russel  Mechanical Engineer Rs. 70000 - Rs. 90000 5 seats Top Level, Contract Sat Oct 05 2019 Views: 25	Schmitt Inc  Aerospace Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 20000 1 seat Entry Level, Internship Tue Oct 29 2019 Views: 24	Schmitt Inc  Marine Engineer Rs. 10000 - Rs. 40000 5 seats Entry Level, Internship Thu Sep 19 2019 Views: 20	
Wiegand-Trantow  Marine Engineer Rs. 70000 - Rs. 100000 3 seats Top Level, Contract Thu Oct 10 2019 Views: 20	Langworth Group  Nuclear Engineer Rs. 30000 - Rs. 40000 2 seats Mid Level, Part Time Fri Oct 11 2019 Views: 19	Sawayn, Considine and Schulist  Chemical Engineer Rs. 50000 - Rs. 80000 2 seats Senior Level, Contract Fri Oct 04 2019 Views: 17	

5. Job seeker stats

Applications			
<input type="checkbox"/> Job Title	Applied Date	Company Name	Status
<input type="checkbox"/> Software Engineer	Sun Aug 11 2019	12	Pending
<input type="checkbox"/> Computer Security Specialist	Sat Aug 10 2019	Farrell-Lang	Pending
<input type="checkbox"/> Ayurvedic Physician	Sat Aug 10 2019	Parker, White and Oberbrunner	Pending
<input type="checkbox"/> Computer Security Specialist	Fri Aug 09 2019	Schuster-Harvey	Pending
<input type="checkbox"/> Network Administrator	Fri Aug 09 2019	Hessel-Sauer	Pending
Rows per page: 5 1-5 of 6			

6. Job description view from job seeker's account



Sawayn, Considine and Schullist

Job Description

Basic Information		Job Specification	
Job Title	Industrial Engineer	Education Degree	Intermediate
Seats available	5	Education Program	
Job Level	Entry Level	Skills	
Salary	Rs. 10000 - Rs. 40000	Experience Required	3 years
Job Type	Internship	Gender	Female
Job Category	Engineering	Age	16 - 69 years
Deadline	Fri Sep 20 2019		

Description

Hic proident ea. Ut quia cupiditate. Ut sed quis. Minima aut rerum. Nihil omnis officis. Id reprehenderit et. Illo et assumenda. In itaque sunt. Eum eligendi est. Accusamus quibusdam facilis. Molestias atque illo. Nesciunt harum dolore. Quisquam beatae .

APPLY NOW

7. Jobseekers' skill test

JavaScript

1. What is the HTML tag under which one can write the JavaScript code?

☐ <javascript>

☐ <scripted>

☐ <script>

☐ <js>

2. Choose the correct JavaScript syntax to change the content of the following HTML code. <p id="geek"> GeeksforGeeks </p>

☐ document.getElementById("geek").innerHTML="I am a Geek";


☐ document.getElementById("geek").innerHTML="I am a Geek";

☐ document.getElementById("geek")="I am a Geek";

☐ document.getElementById("geek").innerHTML="I am a Geek";

3. Which of the following is the correct syntax to display "GeeksforGeeks" in an alert box using JavaScript?

8. Job provider's profile view


Google

Address

123

Phone

123

Email

test123@gmail.com

DOB

Thu Sep 09 1999

Organization Type

Small

Social Accounts

123

Website

123


About Us

Job Vacancy

[View All](#)

Google

Views: 3



Software Engineer
Rs. 1000 - Rs. 100000
1 seat
Entry Level, Full Time
Fri Aug 23 2019

9. Job provider's job posting feature

POST JOB

Jobs						
Job Title	Deadline	Job Level	Job Type	Status	Created At ↑	Views
Software Engineer	Sun Aug 04 2019	Entry Level	Full Time	Closed	Sat Aug 03 2019	30
Software Engineer	Fri Aug 23 2019	Entry Level	Full Time	Active	Sat Aug 03 2019	3
Software Engineer	Sun Aug 04 2019	Entry Level	Full Time	Closed	Sat Aug 03 2019	0
Software Engineer	Sun Aug 04 2019	Entry Level	Full Time	Closed	Sat Aug 03 2019	0
Software Engineer	Sun Aug 04 2019	Entry Level	Full Time	Closed	Sat Aug 03 2019	0

Rows per page: 5 1-5 of 18 < >

10. Job information form fill up

Job information

Job Title *

Application Deadline *

2019/08/17

Open Seats *

1

Min Salary *

Max Salary *

Job Type

Full Time

Job Level

Entry Level

Job Category

Select Categories

Job Description

B *I* U ~~S~~ `{ }` \times^2 \times_2 Normal

16

 Font

11. Job specification form fill up

Job Specification

Degree

Select Degree

☐ Require Degree?

Program

Select Program

☐ Require Program?

Skills

Select Skills

☐ Require Skills?

Experience

☐ Require Experience?

Age

Min Age

0

Max Age

100

☐ Require Age Check?

Gender

Select Gender

☐ Require Gender?

12. Job providers' job seeker hiring feature

JOB SPECIFICATION
APPLICANTS

Name

Experience

0

Min Age

0

Max Age

100

Start Date

End Date

Select Program

Select Degree

Select Skills

Select Gender

Select Status

5 selected

INTERVIEW
HIRE
REJECT

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Applicant Name	Applied Date ↑	Status
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subesh	Sat Aug 03 2019	Hired
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Buster Wolf II	Sun Aug 11 2019	Hired
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Terrell Kirlin	Sun Aug 11 2019	Hired
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Kanisha Okuneva	Sun Aug 11 2019	Hired
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chauncey Cormier	Sun Aug 11 2019	Hired

Rows per page: 5 1-5 of 21