Out of 49

| 1  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| a/ <b>2</b>                              | b/ <b>2</b>   | c/ <b>3</b>   | d/ <b>3</b>  |
| Got one solution but<br>missed the other | Solution doesn't adhere to<br>the statements made by<br>'Release' | Solution correct and very<br>well explained. However,<br>both conditions not<br>satisfied due to error in a | Solution well explained and correct, although missing the resolution of one solution due to the error in a |
| 1  | 0   | 2   | 2  |

|             |   |             | 2           |             |  |
|-------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| a <b>/2</b> |   | b <b>/2</b> | c/ <b>2</b> | d <b>/2</b> |  |
|             |   |             |             |             |  |
|             | 2 | 2           | 2           | 2           |  |

|             | 3           |
|-------------|-------------|
| a/ <b>3</b> | b <b>/2</b> |
|             |             |
| 3           | 2           |

|   | 4 |
|---|---|
| /5  |   |
| A direct proof of the equivalence between truth conditions is required. |   |
| 3   |   |

|                         | 5  |
|-------------------------|--|
| a/ <b>2</b> b/ <b>2</b> |  |
|                         | Though the example is justified in the lectures, a |
|                         | proof should have been given                       |
| 2                       | 1  |

| 6   | 7   | 8  |
|---|---|--|
| /6  | /6  | /5   |
| All cases considered and well thought out | Correct methodology but<br>no actual attempt is seen<br>to prove the back<br>relation | Inductions are correct,<br>but no comment is made<br>regarding the apparent<br>contradiction |
| 6   | 5   | 4  |