

ZHOU, Yuebing (yz1220)



70051 rac101 2  
t5 yz1220 v1



Electronic submission



**Tue - 03 Nov 2020 16:56:23**

**yz1220**

### Exercise Information

<b>Module:</b> 70051 Introduction to Symbolic Artificial Intelligence (MSc AI)	<b>Issued:</b> Tue - 20 Oct 2020
<b>Exercise:</b> 2 (CW)	<b>Due:</b> Tue - 03 Nov 2020
<b>Title:</b> Logic	<b>Assessment:</b> Individual
<b>FAO:</b> Craven, Robert (rac101)	<b>Submission:</b> Electronic

### Student Declaration - Version 1

- I declare that this final submitted version is my unaided work.

Signed: (electronic signature) Date: 2020-11-03 16:47:46

**For Markers only:** (circle appropriate grade)

ZHOU, Yuebing (yz1220)	01916867	t5	2020-11-03 16:47:46	<b>A*</b>	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>
------------------------	----------	----	---------------------	-----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

## Coursework 1: Logic

### Question 1:

- I. Propositional logic formulas:  $((\neg(A \vee C)) \rightarrow (\neg B))$   
Propositional atoms: A is " Michel is fulfilled or rich "  
C is "Michel is rich "  
B is " Michel live another five years"
- II. Propositional logic formulas:  $((\neg A) \vee B) \wedge C$   
Propositional atoms: A is " the snowstorm arrive "  
B is " Raheem will wear his boots "  
C is " I am sure it will arrive"
- III. Propositional logic formulas:  $((A \wedge D) \rightarrow (B \leftrightarrow C))$   
Propositional atoms: A is " Akira is on set "  
D is "Toshiro is on set "  
B is " the filming will begin "  
C is " the caterers have cleared out "
- IV. Propositional logic formulas:  $((A \vee (\neg B)) \wedge (\neg(A \wedge (\neg B))))$   
Propositional atoms: A is " Irad arrived "  
B is " Sarah arrived "
- V. Propositional logic formulas:  $((\neg A) \rightarrow (\neg(B \wedge C)))$   
Propositional atoms: A is " the Anne-Sophie answer her phone call  
B is " Herber heard the performance "  
C is " Anne-Sophie heard the performance

### Question 2:

- I. A propositional formula A is satisfiable only when there is some v such as  $h_v(A) = \mathbf{t}$
- II. Two propositional formula A and B are logical equivalence only when  $h_v(A) = h_v(B)$  for every v evaluating function
- III. iff it is no case that  $\neg\neg A \equiv \top$ , therefore, it is no case that  $\neg A \equiv \perp$ , then,  $h_v(\neg A) = \top$ .  
Therefore, the propositional formula  $\neg A$  is satisfiable.

### Question 3:

p	q	r	(p	∧	¬q	↔	¬(	¬r	∨	¬p))	→	(¬	¬q	→	r)
t	t	t	t	f	f	f	t	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t
t	t	f	t	f	f	t	f	t	t	f	f	t	f	f	f
t	f	t	t	t	t	f	f	f	f	f	t	f	t	t	t
f	t	t	f	f	f	t	f	f	t	t	t	t	f	t	t
t	f	f	t	t	t	f	f	t	t	f	t	f	t	t	f
f	t	f	f	f	f	t	f	t	t	f	f	t	f	f	f
f	f	t	f	f	t	t	f	f	t	t	t	f	t	t	t
f	f	f	f	f	t	t	f	t	t	t	t	f	t	t	f

### Question 4:

- I. a,c,g are CNF and e,h are DNF
- II. the property of the refutation-soundness and -completeness of a resolution derivation is defined that S be in CNF and only if  $S \models \perp$ , then  $S \vdash_{\text{res(PL)}} \emptyset$ . Also, this property can build all possible resolution derivations from the original set of premises, then if one derivation is an empty set, the original formulas are unsatisfiable.
- III. a: pure rule:
  - $\{\{p, s\}, \{q, r\}, \{\neg s, q\}, \{\neg p, \neg r, \neg s\}\}$
  - $\Rightarrow \{\{p, s\}, \{\neg p, \neg r, \neg s\}\}$  [q is pure]
  - $\Rightarrow \{\{p, s\}\}$  [ $\neg r$  is pure]
  - $\Rightarrow \{\}$  [s is pure]
  - $\Rightarrow$  Satisfiable [since no conditions for further application of rules apply]
- b: unit propagation rule:
  - $\{\{\neg p, q, r\}, \{\neg q\}, \{p, r, q\}, \{\neg r, q\}\}$
  - $\Rightarrow \{\{\neg p, r\}, \{p, r\}, \{\neg r\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{\neg q\}$ ]
  - $\Rightarrow \{\{\neg p\}, \{p\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{\neg r\}$ ]
  - $\Rightarrow \{\{\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{p\}$ ]
  - $\Rightarrow$  Unsatisfiable [since  $\emptyset$  is in the set]

**Question 5:**

It can be formalised that  $p \rightarrow \neg q$ ,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg s$ ,  $s \vee \neg p$ ,  $s \vee p$  therefore  $q$

$p$ : I am going

$q$ : you are going

$s$ : Tara is going

Then, it should be checked that  $p \rightarrow \neg q$ ,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg s$ ,  $s \vee \neg p$ ,  $s \vee p \models q$

Therefore it also should be checked whether  $(p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \rightarrow \neg s) \wedge (s \vee \neg p) \wedge (s \vee p) \wedge q$

The clausal-form CNF:  $\{\{\neg p, \neg q\}, \{q, \neg s\}, \{s, \neg p\}, \{s, p\}, \{q\}\}$

Based on DL:

$\{\{\neg p, \neg q\}, \{q, \neg s\}, \{s, \neg p\}, \{s, p\}, \{q\}\}$

$\Rightarrow \{\{\neg p\}, \{\neg s\}, \{s, \neg p\}, \{s, p\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{q\}$ ]

$\Rightarrow \{\{\neg p\}, \{\neg p\}, \{p\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{\neg s\}$ ]

$\Rightarrow \{\{\}\}$  [unit clause  $\{p\}$ ]

$\Rightarrow$  Unsatisfiable [since  $\emptyset$  is in the set]

Because the CNF is not satisfiable, the original argument is valid

**Question 6:**

I. The signature:  $C=\{\text{Andrea}\}$

$P2=\{\text{cupcake}\}$

$F1=\{\text{aunt}\}$

$L=\{C, P2, F1\}$

$\forall X(\text{aunt}(X) \rightarrow \exists Y(\text{cupcake}(X, Y) \wedge \neg(Y=\text{Andrea})))$

and  $\text{cupcake}(X, Y)$  ( $X$  gave cupcake to  $Y$ ),  $X \in \text{Andrea's aunts}$

II. The signature:  $P1=\{\text{computer}\}$

$P2=\{\text{connect}\}$

$L=\{P1, P2\}$

$\exists X \forall Y(\text{computer}(X) \wedge \text{connect}(X, Y) \wedge \text{computer}(Y) \wedge \neg(X=Y))$

III. The signature:  $C=\{\text{Paul Klee, Kandinsky}\}$

$P1=\{\text{BritishGallery}\}$

$P2=\{\text{hangs}\}$

$F1=\{\text{painting}\}$

$L=\{C, P1, P2, F1\}$

$\forall X \forall Y((X=\text{painting}(\text{Paul Klee})) \wedge (Y=\text{painting}(\text{Kandinsky})) \wedge \text{hangs}(X, Y) \wedge \text{BritishGallery}(X, Y))$

IV. The signature:  $P2=\{\text{love}\}$

$L=\{P2\}$

$\exists X(\forall Y(\neg \text{love}(X, Y)) \rightarrow \neg \forall Y(\text{love}(Y, X)))$

**Question 7:**

I. False, because when  $X=j$ ,  $a(k, j)$  can be True and  $\neg(X=j)$  will be false.

II. True, because when  $c(l)$  is true, and there are  $X=k$  or  $j$  which can make  $b(X)$ ,  $c(X)$  as well as  $a(l, X)$  become true. So it is totally true.

- III. True, when  $X$  is the square object which is filled "black", this object can point to itself. Then, there is no  $Y$  and there is an arrow around the  $X$  object. Therefore, it is totally true.
- IV. False, if  $\neg s(X)$  is true,  $X$  only can be  $k, j, l$ . Meanwhile, when  $X=j$ ,  $Y$  only can be set as  $k$  in order to make  $c(Y)$  and  $b(Y)$  true; however, the  $a(j,k)$  will be false. Therefore, it is totally false.
- V. False, there is  $X=k$  which can make  $\exists Y (a(X, Y) \wedge a(Y, X))$  false; therefore, it is false that for any  $X$ ,  $a(X, Y) \wedge a(Y, X)$  is true. It is totally false.
- VI. False, if  $a(X, j) \wedge a(Y, j)$  is true,  $X$  can be equal to  $Y=k$ . In this case,  $a(X,Y)$  or  $a(Y,X)$  will be false. So it is totally false.