Out of 49

1			
a/ <b>2</b>	b/ <b>2</b>	c/ <b>3</b>	d/ <b>3</b>
	Solution correct and in fully simplified form	Solution correct and very well explained	explained but could have been presented with more clarity. Please clearly separate steps in solutions
2	2	3	3

		2	
a/ <b>2</b>	b/ <b>2</b>	c/ <b>2</b>	d <b>/2</b>
Steps aren't shown. Even though they are similar to (i), the steps should be made clear			Again steps should be shown
2	1	2	1

		3
a/ <b>3</b>	b/ <b>2</b>	
	3	2

	4
/5	
5	

	5
a <b>/2</b>	b <b>/2</b>
2	2

6	7	8
/6	/6	/5
Induction is well carried out. It would have been better, however, to insert the proof concerning the A operator, rather than state its similarity to E	Correct methodology but no actual attempt is seen to prove the back relation 5	All correct but no attempt to resolve the contradiction 4