

## Project 3: PG Admissions

### Functional Point Analysis

16ucs001      Aakanksha Chauhan  
16ucs002      Aakirti Agrawal  
16ucs003      Aanchal Tulsiani  
16ucs052      Ayushi Gupta

|                     | Simple | Average | Complex |
|---------------------|--------|---------|---------|
| Inputs              | 2      | 1       | 1       |
| Outputs             | 1      | 2       | 1       |
| Data Stores         | 0      | 1       | 1       |
| Processing Inquires | 0      | 0       | 2       |
| Processing Updates  | 0      | 1       | 2       |
| External Interfaces | 0      | 1       | 1       |

#### Inputs

2 simple X 2 = 04  
1 average X 4 = 04  
1 complex X 6 = 06

#### Data Stores

0 simple X 5 = 00  
1 average X 10 = 10  
1 complex X 15 = 15

#### Processing Updates

0 simple X 4 = 00  
0 average X 8 = 00  
1 complex X 12 = 12

#### Outputs

1 simple X 3 = 03  
2 average X 5 = 10  
1 complex X 7 = 07

#### Processing Inquiries

0 simple X 2 = 00  
0 average X 4 = 00  
2 complex X 8 = 16

#### External Interfaces

0 simple X 2 = 00  
1 average X 4 = 04  
1 complex X 8 = 08

**Unadjusted function points: 99**

The 14 factors that affect the size of the project effort :-

| General System Characteristic |                             | Brief Description   | Ranking (0-5) |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---------------|
| 1                             | Data communications         | How many communication facilities are there to aid in the transfer or exchange of information with the application or system?     | 4             |
| 2                             | Distributed data processing | How are distributed data and processing functions handled?  | 3             |
| 3                             | Performance                 | Did the user require response time or throughput?   | 4             |
| 4                             | Heavily used configuration  | How heavily used is the current hardware platform where the application will be executed?   | 0             |
| 5                             | Transaction rate            | How frequently are transactions executed daily, weekly, monthly, etc.?  | 3             |
| 6                             | Online data entry           | What percentage of the information is entered Online?   | 5             |
| 7                             | End-user efficiency         | Was the application designed for end-user efficiency?   | 4             |
| 8                             | Online update               | How many ILF's are updated by Online transaction?   | 3             |
| 9                             | Complex processing          | Does the application have extensive logical or mathematical processing?   | 2             |
| 10                            | Reusability                 | Was the application developed to meet one or many user's needs?   | 4             |
| 11                            | Installation ease           | How difficult is conversion and installation?   | 3             |
| 12                            | Operational ease            | How effective and/or automated are start-up, backup, and recovery procedures?   | 3             |
| 13                            | Multiple sites              | Was the application specifically designed, developed, and supported to be installed at multiple sites for multiple organizations? | 1             |

|    |                   |   |   |
|----|-------------------|---|---|
| 14 | Facilitate change | Was the application specifically designed, developed, and supported to facilitate change? | 2 |
|----|-------------------|---|---|

Adjustment Influence (AI) = 41

Complexity-Adjustment-Factor (CAF) =  $0.65 + 0.01 * AI$

$= 0.65 + 0.01 * 41$

$= 1.06$

Adj-FP = Unadj-FP \* CAF

$= 99 * 1.06$

$= 104.94 = \text{approx } 105$