BIO 133 Homework 2 Solutions

Eric Scott 2020-02-05

General feedback

rounding

- don't round until the end
- should feel comfortable using R as a calculator

likelihood

- P(data|model) = L(model|data)
- For a binomial process, this is the output of dbinom() OR logLik(glm(cbind(success, failures) ~ 1, family = binomial(link = "identity)))
- Bernouli probabilities are not the same as binomial probabilities because Bernouli is events in a particular order! Remember that $\binom{N}{k}$ is in the binomial probability equation!
- Likelihood ratio and posterior probability ratio should be the same when priors are uninformative.

1 Probability for two models

```
p <- c("fair" = 0.5, "weighted" = 0.55)
k <- 110
N <- 200

binProb <- dbinom(k, N, p)
binProb

## fair weighted
## 0.02079869 0.05663140</pre>
```

2 Calculate posteriors with flat priors

```
priors <- c(0.5, 0.5)
pdata <- sum(binProb * priors)
pmodels <- binProb * priors / pdata
pmodels

## fair weighted
## 0.2686125 0.7313875</pre>
```

3 Likelihood ratio

```
binProb[2] / binProb[1]
## weighted
## 2.722834
pmodels[2] / pmodels[1]
## weighted
## 2.722834
Model 2 is about 2.7x more likely than model 1
OR
binProb[1] / binProb[2]
##
         fair
## 0.3672644
LR and probability ratio are the same.
4 What would Nate's prior need to be to make model 1 more likely?
                             P(model_1|data) = \frac{P(data|model_1)P(model_1)}{P(data)}
               P(model_1|data) = \frac{P(data|model_1)P(model_1)}{P(data|model_1)P(model_1) + P(data|model_2)P(model_2)}
  1. Set P(model1) to x and P(model2) to 1-x
  2. Set P(model1 | data) to 0.5
  3. Remember that P(data) = P(data|model_1)x + P(data|model_2)(1-x)
  4. Solve for x
Nate believes the P(heads) = 0.5
set Nate's prior belief to x
P(\text{model1}) = x
P(\text{model2}) = 1-x because we are assuming there are only 2 possible models
P(data|model1) = 0.02079869 P(data|model2) = 0.05663140
P(data) = 0.02079869x + 0.05663140(1-x)
0.5 = 0.02079869x/(0.02079869x + 0.05663140(1-x))
0.5 = 0.02079869x/(0.02079869x + 0.05663140 - 0.05663140x)
```

0.5 = 0.02079869 x / 0.05663140 - 0.03583271 x

0.5 (0.05663140 - 0.03583271x) = 0.02079869x

0.0283157 - 0.01791635 x = 0.02079869 x

 $0.0283157 = 0.03871504 \mathrm{x}$

x = 0.7313876

A numerical solution using a for-loop (for example) would also have been OK