Response to the editorial team

JSS 2581: Szocs, Schafer

webchem: An R Package to Retrieve Chemical Information from the Web

> Eduard Szöcs and Ralf B. Schäfer April 24, 2016

Dear editorial team,

We are thankful for pre-screening our manuscript to meet the requirements of JSS. We made all required changes. Moreover, we incorporated minor comments from a colleague. Please find below detailed description of the changes made.

Kind regards, Eduard Szöcs and Ralf B. Schäfer <u>Comment 1</u>: "Rather than using a goo.gl link, the official stable CRAN URL should be used: https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=webchem"

Response: We changed accordingly.

Comment 2: "Title in title style ("retrieve" should be capitalized)"

Response: We changed accordingly.

Comment 3: "section, subsection, etc. should be in sentence style (see http://www.jstatsoft.org/about/subme.g., change "4. Use Cases" to "4. Use cases""

Response: All sections etc. are not in sentence style.

Comment 4: "The code presented in the manuscript should not contain comments within the verbatim code. Instead the comments should be made in the normal LaTeX text."

Response: We removed all comments from the code blocks and clarified the text.

Comment 5: "For the code layout in R publications, the input should use the text width (up to 76 or 77 characters) and be indented by two spaces, e.g.,"

Response: We fixed the width to 76 characters and removed excessive indentation.

Comment 6: "For R-related manuscripts: The first argument of data() and library() should always be quoted, e.g., library("foo"). [' library(webchem)', ' data(jagst)', ' data(lc50)']"

Response: We changed accordingly.

Comment 7: "If using "e.g." and "i.e." add a comma after the period to keep LaTeX from interpreting them as the end of a sentence, i.e.: "e.g., " and "i.e., "."

Response: We added a backslash ("i.e.\" or "e.g.\"), which also prevents this behaviour.

Comment 8: "There should not be further footnote-style annotations in tables; these should all be placed in the caption."

Response: We removed the footnote-style annotation from table 1.

Comment 9: "As a reminder, please make sure that: proglang, pkg and code have been used for highlighting throughout the paper (including titles and references), except where explicitly escaped."

Response: We checked thoroughly the manuscript.

Comment 10: "Springer-Verlag (not: Springer)"

Response: We changed accordingly.

Comment 11: "Please make sure that all software packages are cited properly."

Response: We checked thoroughly and updated the citations.

Comment 12: "Please make sure that the files needed to replicate all code/examples within the manuscript are included in a standalone replication script."

Response: These are given in the accompanying file "article.R".