Note on proposition.

Is the following a proposition?

$$3 + x = 5$$

Our answer is going to be no. The reason is that we are going to require that any variable be bound. We can make this a proposition by adding in a specification for x.

3 + x = 5 when x is 2

3 + x = 5 when x is 4

What about

x = x

We will still answer no. If x is not bound, it isn't a proposition. Part of the problem here is that when you see that statement, you automatically bind the x and get a true proposition

x = x when x is an integer value.

We also have a meaning for = so ingrained in us, that it is hard to think about anything else. Is the following true?

x = x when x is my dog

Notes on implication wording in translation from English to Logic.

P is sufficient for Q	P is necessary for Q
If you can show P, then Q is true	If you can show Q, then you can show P
Q if P	Q only if P
$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$

Look for the following tags to help determine which side of the implication the proposition is on.

Left side of the implication (premise) Right side of the implication (conclusion)

Source of the implications Target of the implication

 $X \rightarrow X$

If X only if X
When X X follows
X is sufficient X is necessary

Another way of stating the implication $P \rightarrow Q$ in English is

S = "O Unless NOT P"

We can explain why this is the case by the following reasoning. If want to know the truth value of S, respond with the truth value of Q, unless P is not true (in which case the response will be true).