

## Example

Suppose we have the following recursive definition of a set  $S$

**Basis:** 3 is element of  $S$

**Induction:** if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $S$ , then  $x + y$  is in  $S$ .

We can look at this set and see that the values we get are  $\{3, 6, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, \dots\}$ .

We make the conjecture that this is the set of all positive multiples of 3. (Let's call it  $P_3$ .)

We will prove that  $S = P_3$  by showing  $S \subseteq P_3$  and  $S \supseteq P_3$ .

**Part 1:**  $S \subseteq P_3$

We are going to show that every value in  $S$  is a multiple of three. In other words, we will show that the definition of  $S$  is correct.

**Proof by structural induction:**

**Base Case:** 3 is a positive multiple of 3

**Check.**

**Induction:** Assume  $x$  and  $y$  are positive multiples of 3:

$x = 3k$  and  $y = 3j$  for some positive integers  $k, j$

Then  $x + y = 3k + 3j = 3(k + j)$

$k + j$  is positive so  $x + y$  is a positive multiple of 3.

**Check.**

**Part 1:**  $S \supseteq P_3$

We are going to show that every value in  $P_3$  is in  $S$ . Rephrasing, we will show that we didn't miss any positive multiples of 3. In other words, the definition of  $S$  is complete.

**Proof by contradiction.**

Assume that there are values that are positive multiples of 3, but are not in  $S$ . Let  $E$  be the set of these values. Because  $E$  is a subset of positive integers, we know that the well ordering property applies and there must be a smallest value  $x$  in  $E$ .

We know that  $x$  cannot be 3 because we explicitly put it into  $S$ .

So  $x$  must be at least 6. We know that we can write  $x$  as the sum of  $(x - 3)$  and 3. Both of these must be positive multiples of 3 and both of them are in  $S$ . (Whatever  $x - 3$  is, we know that it is in  $S$ , because it is at least 3 and it is smaller than  $x$  (the smallest value that was in  $P_3$ , but not in  $S$ )).

Since both values are in  $S$ , the induction rule can choose them and put the sum ( $x$ ) into  $S$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $x$  is not in  $S$ .  
QED

## **Alternative definitions and their relation to P3**

**Basis:** 6 is element of  $S$

**Induction:** if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $S$ , then  $x + y$  is in  $S$ .

Would be correct for P3, but not complete

**Basis:** 2 and 3 are elements of  $S$

**Induction:** if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $S$ , then  $x + y$  is in  $S$ .

Would be incorrect for P3, but complete