SOLUTION NOTES

Further Java 2001 Paper 3 Question 2 (TLH)

- (a) Describe the differences and similarities between abstract classes and interfaces in the Java Programming Language. How would you select which kind of definition to use?
 - An interface contains only method signatures, not implementations. A non-abstract class implementing the interface must provide corresponding implementations.
 - An abstract class may contain both abstract methods and ordinary method definitions. As with the signatures defined on an interface, an abstract method does not define the method's implementation.
 - A class may implement multiple interfaces, but can only extend one superclass (whether abstract or not).
 - Both entities define new kinds of Java reference type, compatible with any object whose class extends/implements the definition.
 - Interfaces cannot contain non-static fields.

Use an abstract superclass when it corresponds to a thing which is being specialized to derive subclasses (e.g. Closure in the next part of the question) or where common behaviour can be extracted from subclasses. Use an interface when you just want to specify some way of interacting with a thing.

(b) By using an inner class definition or otherwise show how this example could be re-written as a valid Java program.

One approach: define Closure to be an abstract superclass with an apply() method:

```
public class Closure {
  public abstract void apply();
}
```

Extend this in an inner class definition:

```
Closure myCounter (int start) {
  class CounterClosure extends Closure {
    int counter;
    public void apply () {
```

```
System.out.println (counter ++);
}

CounterClosure result = new CounterClosure ();
result.counter = start;
return result;
}
```

Take care over the handling of count: it must be pushed into the enclosed class.

(c) Describe three ways in which this problem can be resolved to produce (one or more) valid class definitions. State, with a brief justification, which you would use here.

Some options:

- Manually copy code from one or more of the superclasses, e.g. from BinaryTree into AutoTree. (Not good: prevents code re-use)
- 'Straighten' the hierarchy, e.g. so BinaryTree extends Thread. (Not good: introduces a spurious relationship between additional classes)
- Use an interface in place of one or more of the parents, e.g. Runnable in place of Thread
- Express one of the relationships with containment, e.g. an inner-class AutoTreeThread. (Perhaps in this case the best answer: it reflects the separation between the passive data structure and the active thread that maintains it)