```
CST II Types
```

2002, Paper 7, question 13

[a)  $A \cup A', \Gamma \vdash M : T$   $(wt) \frac{A', \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall A(\tau)] \vdash M' : \tau'}{A', \Gamma \vdash \forall x = M \text{ in } M' : \tau'}$   $provided \qquad A \cap A' = \emptyset$   $x \notin dom(\Gamma)$   $(where \Gamma[x \mapsto \forall A(\tau)] \text{ maps } x \text{ to } \forall A(\tau)$ and otherwise acts like  $\Gamma$ .).

Proof uses typing rules for  $\lambda$ -abstraction, application, and variables:

(fn)  $\frac{A, \Gamma \lambda + T'}{A, \Gamma \lambda + \lambda \lambda (M): \tau \rightarrow \tau'}$  if  $\lambda \notin Dom(\Gamma)$ 

```
(App) A,\Gamma \vdash M_1: \tau \rightarrow \tau'

A,\Gamma \vdash M_2:\tau

A,\Gamma \vdash M_1M_2:\tau'
```

(Var>)  $A, \Gamma \vdash x : \tau$  if  $ftv(\Gamma) \subseteq A$   $ftv(\tau) \subseteq A$ & for some  $x \in dom(\Gamma)$ , with  $\Gamma(x) = \sigma$  say,  $\sigma > \tau$ .

Then we have

(1)  $\{\alpha, \beta\}, [y \mapsto \beta] \vdash y : \beta$  by (var >)

(2)  $\{\alpha,\beta\}, \beta \vdash \lambda y(y) : \beta \rightarrow \beta$  by  $(\beta n)$  on (1)

(3) {a},[x >> > + (p) (p > p)] + x: (a - a) - (a - a)

by (var>)
(4) {α},[x +> ∀{β}(β+β)] + x: α ¬ α by (var>)

(5) {α},[x >> \{β}(β→β)] + xx: α→α by (app) on (3) &(4)

and note that this occurrence of or has implicit type (x -> x) -> (x -> x) (cf. line (3)), whereas this one has implicit type x -> x (cf. line (4)). So the let-bourd variable x occurs polymorphically.

```
(unit) A,\Gamma+1: unit

provided ftv(\Gamma) \subseteq A

(ref) A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+refM:Tref

(get) A,\Gamma+M:Tref

A,\Gamma+M:T

(cet) A,\Gamma+M:Tref

A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+M:T

A,\Gamma+M:T
```

(d) Consider the expression  $M = \text{let } r = \text{ref } \lambda x(a) \text{ in}$   $\text{let } u = (r := \lambda y(\text{ref}(!y))) \text{ in}$  (!r)()

If we try to evaluate this expression, we first create a fresh storage location (r) containing  $\lambda x(x)$ , then update it to contain  $\lambda y$  (ref (!y)), then apply this contents to (); this application simplifies to evaluating ref (!()), which results in trying to evaluate !(), which fails because () is not a storage location.

2

```
4.
```

```
However, even though evaluation of M goes
wrong, it is typeable:

0.4+ M: unit
follows from (let) on
(7) \{\alpha\}, \phi \vdash \text{ref } \lambda \chi(\chi) : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \text{ref}
\Phi(8) \Phi, [r \mapsto \Psi(\alpha)((\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \text{ ref})] F(\text{let } \mu = \alpha)
                      (r:=\lambda y(ref(!y))) in (!r)()): unit
 (7) holds by (ref) on
         (a), Ø → ha(1): x → d
  Which is proved by (var >) & (fn); and
 (8) holds by (let) on
 (9) P, T + r:= hy (ref(!y)): unit
(10) P, [[u > unit]: (!r)(): unit
 Where we write I for [r+> Y(a)((a+a)ref)].
 The proof of (9) is by (set) on
         O, Trr: (aref -) aref) ref by (var>)
         Φ, [+ λy (ref(!y)): xref → dref, by
                                (var>), (get) & (ref).
The prot of (10) is by (app) on \emptyset, [Tubunit] \vdash !r: unit-sunit
        φ, [[umit hunit] + (): anit by (unit).
 Revised ML modifies the rule (let) by imposing the side condition
```

" $A = \phi$  or M is a value  $(:= x | \lambda x(n) | () | ... )$ 

2

## To which pants of the lecture course does this question refer?

- (a) A definition given in lecture 2.
- (b) Examples of this phenomenon were given in lectures 283.
- (c) & (d) are bookwork from lecture 5.