

- (a) i) A TABLE OF CONTENTS. THE COGNITIVE DIMENSIONS OF VISCOSITY COULD BE OF CONCERN, IF IT WERE TOO DIFFICULT TO ADD NEW SECTIONS OR CHANGE LEVELS. 20/20
- ii) A TABULAR PIECE OF TEXT. THIS MIGHT SUFFER AS ~~PREMATURE COMMITMENT~~ ~~PREMATURE COMMITMENT~~, IF USERS WERE REQUIRED TO DEFINE THE STRUCTURE OF THE TABLE BEFORE ENTERING DATA.
- iii) A SEARCH AND REPLACE DIALOGUE, RESPECTUALLY THE NAMES USED TO DEFINE THE SEARCH STRING, WHICH MIGHT SUFFER FROM POOR CLOSENESS OF MAPPING IF IT EMPLOYED DIFFERENT NOTATIONAL CONVENTIONS FROM THE BODY TEXT. (10)

b) FIRST TECHNIQUE: COGNITIVE WALKTHROUGH

THIS WOULD INVOLVE SIMULATING THE ACTIONS OF A USER WITH SPECIFIC KNOWLEDGE, CARRYING OUT SOME SCENARIO. ACCESSIBILITY CONSIDERATIONS MIGHT CHANGE THE LABELLING OF THE ITEMS AT THE LEFT, SO USERS CAN FIND FUNCTIONS THEY NEED. FEEDBACK TO ACTIONS SHOULD SHOW THE RESULTS OF COPY AND DELETE. THE QUESTION-MARK AND ":" BUTTONS BOTH SEEM TO INDICATE WAYS OF GETTING HELP. THE USER WOULD HAVE TO KNOW WHAT A MODULE IS SOMEHOW.

SECOND TECHNIQUE: HEURISTIC EVALUATION

THE INTERFACE SEEMS COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH PLATFORM STANDARDS

IT IS NOT "MINIMALIST", BUT SEEMS TO HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF SURPLUS DECORATION

IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT THE "DELETE" OPERATION WILL BE REVERSIBLE (OR WHAT THE "DELETE" CHECKBOX DOES)

THE OPERATIONS TO COPY CONFIGURATION BETWEEN MODULES SEEMS TO INITIATE A DIALOGUE RATHER THAN ALLOWING DIRECT MANIPULATION

MARKING GUIDE : PAPER 9/ HCI

PART A - ONE MARK FOR CORRECTLY IDENTIFYING COGNITIVE DIRECTIONS OF NOTATIONS AS APPROPRIATE ANALYTIC METHOD

TITLES FOR EACH NOTATION:

- ONE MARK FOR DESCRIBING THE NOTATIONAL FORMATION
- ONE MARK FOR NAMING A RELEVANT DIRECTION
- ONE MARK FOR EXPLAINING ITS CONSEQUENCES

(3x3)

PART B - ONE MARK FOR EACH EVALUATION METHOD NAMED, THEN ONE MARK FOR EACH POTENTIAL IMPROVEMENT. THESE SHOULD REFER TO THE WAY THEY WERE DISCOVERED, OR USE TERMINOLOGY APPROPRIATE TO THE EVALUATION METHOD NAMED

(5x2)