Specification & Verification 1: Solution Notes to Question 1

The first part is bookwork. The main point is that an array assignment

$$A(n) := E$$

should be treated as an ordinary assignment to a function:

$$A := A\{n \leftarrow E\}$$

2 marks for this. Another 2 marks for mentioning that reasoning about arrays often uses the following laws for function updates:

$$A\{n \leftarrow E\}(n) = E$$

$$A\{n \leftarrow E\}(m) = A(m)$$
 if m is not equal to n

The properties:

$$!n. 0 \le n \implies Sigma2(A,n,n) = A(n)$$

and

```
!m n.

0 \le m / m \le n

==> Sigma2(A,m,n) = A(m) + A(n) + Sigma2(A,m+1,n-1)
```

each follows by just expanding definitions and then doing case analysis and arithmetical cancelling. Give 1 mark for the first one, 2 for the second and an additional mark for good presentation (so 4 in total)

For the Floyd-Hoare proof, the loop can then be verified using the invariant:

Sigma(A,n) = SUM + Sigma2(A,M,N) / 0<=M

This clearly holds after M := 0; SUM := 0, assuming initially N=n.

To verify invariance there are two cases:

Case M=N. Need to show:

This follows directly from the definitions and the first property.

Case M<N. Need to show:

Assuming 0<=M and M<N, by the second property:

$$SUM + Sigma2(A,M,N) = SUM + A(M) + A(N) + Sigma2(A,M+1,N-1)$$

Thus the invariant works in this case also.

On termination:

Give roughly 6 marks for inventing and verifying the invariant; 4 marks for the other parts of the proof, including the initialisation and case split; 2 marks for good presentation.

Thus 12 marks in total for the Floyd-Hoare proof.