

## Distributed Systems 2005 (JMB) – Paper 8 Question 4 Solution notes

*This question is on communication and access control for scalability*

- (a) (i) Define publish/subscribe communication.  
<bookwork>  
Publish/subscribe decouples message senders and receivers. Message topics/types (and contents/attributes) are first advertised by publishers.  
Clients subscribe with a filter expression, indicating their specific interests.  
3 Messages are multicast to interested subscribers only.
- (ii) What are the advantages and disadvantages of offering publish/subscribe as the only communication service?
- + efficient routing algorithms for large-scale communication.
  - + receivers need not know the names and addresses of all publishers, only the topic(attributes), typically by a yellow-pages style of service offered as part of the communications service.
  - + publishers need not know the names and addresses of subscribers.
  - + spam at the software level is prevented by control of who may advertise/publish/subscribe, see below.
- 7 - may sometimes want to send to a named principal.  
- intra-domain communication may often be to individual names.  
- may want to reply to a publication, either named or anonymised (as in a request to vote).  
7 - may want to control who may subscribe.
- (b) (i) Define role-based access control.  
<bookwork>  
\* roles can reflect people's positions in an organisation, their functional responsibilities etc.  
3 \* services can indicate authorisation policy in terms of role names.
- (ii) What are the advantages and disadvantages of using role names for access control and communication?
- + roles change less often than people come and go and change jobs/functions.
  - + administration of people in roles is separated from that of service development and authorisation policy specification.
  - if only role \*names\* can be indicated, only crude policy can be expressed. It may be necessary to know the names of individuals, to test for exceptions and relationships.  
e.g. X may not read my EHR  
e.g. doctors may read the EHRs only of their registered patients.
  - if communication can only be to roles and not individuals this is again too coarse-grained. Some messages may need to be sent to specific individuals.  
e.g. duty-sergeant (cambs, cambridge-office, ....)  
e.g. duty-sergeant (sergeant-ID, ..... )  
e.g. sales-manager (london, .... )
- We therefore need the ability to specify individuals as well as role names. This can be achieved by parametrised roles which is preferable to defining a huge number of roles. Without parametrisation a large organisation might  
7 have many thousands of roles.