## CST IB/I(G)/Dip. Computation Theory, 2002, Paper 3 (10), question 6 (10)

(a) A register machine program is a finite list

LO: body.

Ln: bodyn

(120) of label: body pairs, where each body; is

HALT or R+ Li or R-> Li, Lj Where R ranges over registers RO, RI, RZ,...

To (de) code these programs we make use of the following bijections:

 $\langle -, -\rangle$ :  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \cong \mathbb{N}$  $(2,14) \mapsto 2^{2}(2y+1)-1$ 

 $[-]: \mathbb{N}^* \cong \mathbb{N}$   $\begin{cases} \text{nil} \longmapsto 0 \\ \text{x::xs} \longmapsto \langle x, [xs] \rangle + 1 \end{cases}$ 

Thus each e E IN can be decoded, using the inverse bijection to [-], as a unique list of numbers [20, 21,..., 2n]; and each 2; can be decoded as a unique instruction body as follows:

body(1) = if x = 0 then ++ALTelse(2>0) let  $< y_1 \ge > = x-1$  in if y even then let  $i = y_1 \ge i$ i = z

2

 $Ri^+ \rightarrow Lj$ else {y odd} let { i = (y-1)/2 in (<j,k) = Z $Ri^- \rightarrow Lj, Lk$ 

6 We take Proge = { Lo: body(20),..., Ln: body(2n) }.

(b) A register machine H decides the halting problem if, on loading register R1 with a number e and register R2 with the code [a,..., an] of a list of numbers (and setting all other registers to 0), the computation of H halts with register R0 containing either 0 or 1; moreover R0 contains 1 on halting if & only if the computation of the register machine with program Proge (as in part (a)) sterred with registers R1,..., Rn sot to a,..., an (and all others zeroed) does halt.

(c) Suppose H as in (b) exists & derive a contradiction.

Let H' be derived from H by replacing START & with

START - Copy R1 to R2

Where:

4

- Z is a fresh register not mentioned in H - copy R1 > is a register machine program carrying out the assignment Z:= R1 [prish 2] is a register machine program carrying out the assignments JR2 := (Z,R2)+1(where (-,-) is as in (A)).

tinally let C be obtained from H' by replacing each HALT (& each jump to a label with no instruction) by

\* RO \_

whose effect is to HALT if RO = 0 and to go into an infinite loop atternise.

Then C started with R1=c eventually halfs H' started with R1=c eventually HALTS with RO = 0

H started with R1=C B, R2=[c] (= (0,c)+1) eventually halts with RO = 0

Proge started with R1=c does not halt by assumption on H)
C started with R1=c does not half

contradiction

## To which pants of the lecture course does this question refer?

- (a) Bookwork from lecture 3
- (b) Book work from lecture S
- (c) Same as part (b).