```
CST II Types
            2002, Paper 9, question 6
                    (var) THX: T if Tok & (x:T) E Fta
                      (fn) \frac{\Gamma_1 x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'}{\Gamma_1 x : \tau \vdash M : \tau'} if x \notin dom(\Gamma_{ta})
                      (app) T+M1: T-> T' [+M2: T
                     (gen) d, T+ M: T if Tok &

T+ Na(M): Ya(T) x & Ttv
                       (Spec) [ + M: Ax (4)
[ Fr Mto: TI[TI] if ftv(TE) S[tv
(5)
            (b)
                          Dair \triangleq \Lambda \alpha_1 (\Lambda \alpha_2 (\lambda \alpha_1 : \alpha_1 (\lambda \alpha_2 : \alpha_2 (
  2
                                              \Lambda \propto (\lambda f: \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha (fx_1x_2))))))
                         f_5t \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \Lambda \alpha_1 (\Lambda \alpha_2 (\lambda p : prod(\alpha_1) \alpha_2) (
                                                  p \propto_1 (\lambda \alpha_1 : \alpha_1 (\lambda \alpha_2 : \alpha_2 (\alpha_1)))))
                         snd \triangleq \Lambda \alpha_1 (\Lambda \alpha_2 (\lambda p); \text{prod}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2))
```

 $p \propto_2 (\lambda x_1 : \alpha_1(\lambda x_2 : \alpha_2(x_2)))))$ 

```
(1) \emptyset, \emptyset + pair: \forall \alpha_1 (\forall \alpha_2 (\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \rightarrow prod(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)))
    (2) \Phi, \Phi \vdash fst: \forall \alpha_1(\forall \alpha_2(prod(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow \alpha_1))
(and p, p+snd: Va, (Vaz (prod(x,, dz)-, az))
                                          for all types Ti, Tz and terms Mi, Mz
     (3) fst \tau_1 \tau_2 (pair \tau_1 \tau_2 M_1 M_2) = \beta M_1
(and snd \tau_1 \tau_2 (pair \tau_1 \tau_2 M_1 M_2) = \rho M_2).
                            { \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \), [\(\alpha_1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \), \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha \), \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \), \(\alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha \), \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha \), \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \forall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \alpha_2, \forall \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha \)] \(\operall \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_2, \forall \(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \forall \a
   holds by (var) & (app) twice. Applying (fn), (gen), (fn) twice & (gen) twice, yields (1).
      Proof A (2):
                             { \alpha, \alpha_{2}, [p: prod(\alpha, \alpha_{2})] + \lambda \alpha, : \alpha, (\lambda_{2}: \alpha_{2}(\alpha_{1})):
            holds by (var) & (fn) him . So by (spec) & (app)
                { \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \), [p: prod(\( \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \)) \( \nabla_1 \) \( \lambda_1 \); \( \alpha_1 \);
      so applying (fn) & (gen) twice we get (2).
       KABAL SA 13X 132 2000 JAN 40 HOUSE 196 1860
```

paint to to MINISTER

2

```
Provs 4 (3):
           fst TITZ ( pair TITZ MIMZ)
  -> p fst TITZ (Na( )f: T>TZ-)a (f MIMZ)))
  = (\Lambda \alpha (\lambda f: \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \rightarrow \alpha (f M_1 M_2))) \tau_1 (\lambda \chi : \tau_1 (\lambda \chi : \tau_2 (x_1)))
  \rightarrow_{\beta}^{\gamma} (\lambda_1 : \tau_1(\lambda_2 : \tau_2(x_1))) M_1 M_2
(Where, without loss of generality, we assume the
 bound variables &, az & 2, 2, f are distinct from
 any free variables of 7, Tz M, Mz).
 100 + (5) is similar to (4).
```

1 (c) No, can have

pair TITE (fit TITE M) (Sid TITE M) + M

for typeable M.

To see this we use the fact that B-reduction is strongly normalising & Church-Rosser for typeable PLC ferms: So if THM,:T & THM,:T M18 M2 reduce to different normal forms (up to a-conversion), then M, + B Mz. Apply this When  $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, [a:prod(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)]$ and  $M_1 = x$ ,  $M_2 = pair \alpha_1 \alpha_2 (f_3 + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 x) (snd \alpha_1 \alpha_2 x)$ .  $\Gamma \vdash \alpha : prod(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ , but

(10)

## To which parts of the lecture source does this question refer?

- (a) Definition from lecture 6.
- (b) Uses material from lectures 6-8. This particular example was given as an exercise in the lecture notes, but not covered in lectures explicitly.
- (c) Requires original throught, based on knowledge of typing & reduction properties of PLC overed in lecture 7.