

## Solution Notes

[Syllabus: "survey of execution mechanisms"]

(a) This does  $lex \rightarrow syn \rightarrow trn \rightarrow cg$  resulting in object code which (after linking) runs directly on the target machine

(b) This does  $lex \rightarrow syn \rightarrow trn$  and writes the machine-code-like byte code to a file to be reloaded (or simply leaves it in memory). At run-time this is interpreted with a loop

```
for (;;) switch (*pc++)
{
case opcode1: <code for opcode1>; continue;
case opcode2: <code for opcode2>; continue;
}
```

(c) At compile time this does  $lex \rightarrow syn$  and leaves the tree in memory (or via a file as above). At run-time the interpreter does essentially `eval(tree, empty)` where

```
eval(n,env) = n      /* if n is an integer */
eval(x,env) = lookup(x,env)
eval(e+e',env) = eval(e,env) + eval(e',env)
etc.
```

(d) At compile time this does nothing. All the lexing, parsing must be done at run-time each time a statement must be executed. This may be simplest done by re-parsing the phrase starting on the current line, and then interpreting it as in (c).

(e)

Malformed syntax: at compile time in (a),(b),(c) only

undeclared variable: at compile time in (a),(b) only [but note that other answers are possible if justified]

type error: at compile time in (a),(b) only [but note that other answers are possible if justified]

division by zero: at run-time in all cases [except a compiler might notice division by *literal* zero.]