

(1) Comparative P.Ls: 2000

class complex {

double re, im;

Constructors

public:

complex(double r, double i) { re = r; im = i; }

complex(double r) { re = r; im = 0; }

friend complex operator + (complex, complex);

- (complex, complex);

- (complex);

Dyadic

Monadic

}

x

/

etc. [ def +  
complex operator + (complex a1, complex a2) {  
return complex(a1.re + a2.re, a1.im + a2.im);  
}

Typical use

complex a = 2.3;

complex b = 1/a;

complex c = a + b \* complex(1, 2.3);

c = -(a/b) + 2;

No need for destructors.

②

class complex could be implemented as  
a pair of doubles.

Assignment would just copy the pair

$$a = b;$$

The construction could be inlined,  
and so could the infix operator.

There is a potential danger if ~~as~~ a subclass of  
complex were ever defined. . . .