

## SOLUTION NOTES

### Database Theory 2003 Paper 9 Question 9 (AD)

1. `cousin(x,x).`  
`cousin(x,y) <- cousin(w,z), parent(w,x), parent(z,y).`
2. `dcousin(x,y) <- relative(x,y), -cousin(x,y).`

```
relative(x,x).  
relative(x,y) <- parent(w,x), relative(w,y).  
relative(x,y) <- parent(w,y), relative(x,w).
```

3. Any query definable in **Datalog** without negation must be monotone. To show that the second query is not definable in **Datalog** it suffices to show that it is not monotone. For example, take the following database:

parent	
A	B
A	C
C	D

The pair (B,D) is in the query as defined. However, if we add the entries (E,B) and (E,D) to the relation **parent**, then the pair (B,D) is no longer in the query.

4. The query **cousin** is defined using a single recursive rule, with four variables in the body. This, therefore takes  $O(n^4)$  steps to evaluate. **relative** has at most three variables in the recursive rules and therefore takes  $O(n^3)$  steps. **dcousin** has two variables in its rule body, and an  $O(n^4)$  query inside a negation. It therefore requires  $O(n^6)$  steps.