

## Artificial Intelligence I 2003 Paper 5 Question 7 (SBH)

*Covers elements from most of the course.*

The following Prolog relation appends a list **A** to a list **B** to give a list **C**.

```
append([],Y,Y).  
append([H|T],Y,[H|Z]) :- append(T,Y,Z).
```

- (a) Using the `append` relation, write a Prolog predicate `insert(X,Y,Z)` that is true if **X** can be inserted into a list **Y** to give a list **Z**. Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all lists obtained from **Y** by inserting **X** at some point, using a query such as:

```
insert(c,[a,b],Z).
```

to obtain  $Z=[c,a,b]$ ,  $Z=[a,c,b]$ , and  $Z=[a,b,c]$  and it should generate each possibility exactly once. [5 marks]

**Answer:**

```
insert(X,[],[X]) :- !.  
insert(X,Y,Z) :- append(A,B,Y), append(A,[X],A2), append(A2,B,Z).
```

The cut is essential, otherwise backtracking causes everything to be generated twice.

- (b) Using the `insert` relation, write a Prolog predicate `perm(X,Y)` that is true if a list **Y** is a permutation of a list **X**. Again, your predicate should respond to a query such as

```
perm([a,b,c],Y)
```

by using backtracking to generate all permutations of the given list. [5 marks]

**Answer:**

```
perm([],[]).  
perm([H|T],Y) :- perm(T,Y2), insert(H,Y2,Y).
```

- (c) We have a list of events  $[e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n]$ . A partial order can be expressed in Prolog by stating

```
before(e3,e4).  
before(e1,e5).
```

and so on, where `before(a,b)` says that event `a` must happen before event `b` (although not necessarily immediately before). No ordering constraints are imposed other than those stated using `before`.

Given a list of events, a *linearisation* of the list is any ordering of its events for which none of the `before` constraints are broken. Given the example above and the list  $[e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5]$ , one valid linearisation would be  $[e_3, e_1, e_2, e_5, e_4]$ . However  $[e_4, e_2, e_1, e_5, e_3]$  is not a valid linearisation because the first `before` constraint does not hold.

Using the `perm` predicate or otherwise, and assuming that your Prolog program contains `before` constraints in the format suggested above, write a Prolog predicate `po(X,Y)` that is true if `Y` is a valid linearisation of the events in the list `X`. Your relation should be capable of using backtracking to generate all valid linearisation as a result of a query of the form

```
po([e1,e2,e3,e4,e5],Y).
```

[8 marks]

**Answer:**

```
valid([]).  
valid([X]).  
valid([A,B|T]) :- valid([B|T]), \+before(B,A), valid([A|T]).  
  
po(X,Y) :- perm(X,Y), valid(Y).
```