CST IB 2001, paper 6, question 7 Semantics of Programming Languages

AMP

(a) Let →\* dense the reflexive-transitive closure of a relation →. Then for all LC configurations ⟨P,s⟩ (Pa phrase & s a state) and all terminal configurations ⟨V,s¹) (so that V:= n|tme|false|skip), it is the case that ⟨P,s⟩ U < V,s¹)

holds iff ⟨P,s⟩ →\* ⟨V,s¹⟩

iff

\[
\text{Ponil, nil,s} \rightarrow \tag{\text{nil, Vonil,s'}} if P is an integer or boolean phrase}
\[
\text{Nil, nil, s'} if P is a
\]

(5)

Z

(b) LC phrases  $P_1 & P_2$  are <u>somantically equivalent</u>, written  $P_1 \cong P_2$ , if a only if for all states S and all terminal configurations  $\langle V, S' \rangle$  (see above)  $\langle P_1 S \rangle \downarrow \downarrow \langle V, S' \rangle$  holds iff  $\langle P_2, S \rangle \downarrow \downarrow \langle V, S' \rangle$  does.

= is an equivalence relation:

- P= P

- P~Q => Q~ P

- P=QQQ=R=P=R

and a congreence for LC in the sense that

P=Q  $\Rightarrow$  C[P]=C[Q] Where C[P] is amy LC phrase containing an occurrence of P and C[Q] is the phrase with that occurrence replaced by Q. E.g.  $C_1 = C_2 \Rightarrow (While Belo C_1) = (While B doc_1)$  $B_1 = B_2 \Rightarrow (While B_1 doc) = (While B_2 do C)$ 

etc., etc.

 $(\mathbb{S})$ 

The call-by-name rule:  $< M_1, s > W < \lambda x . M_1', s' > V < M_1' [M_2/2], s' > W < V, s'' > V < M_1 M_2, s > W < V, s'' > V < V, s'''$ 

The call-by-value Mle:  $\langle M_1, S \rangle U \langle \lambda x. M'_1, S' \rangle$   $\langle M_2, S' \rangle U \langle V_2, S'' \rangle$   $\langle M'_1[V_2/x], S'' \rangle U \langle V, S''' \rangle$   $\langle M_1 M_2, S \rangle U \langle V, S''' \rangle$ 

Where M[M'/2] denotes the result of <u>Substituting</u> the (closed) expression M' for all free occurrences of x in M.

The evaluation relations for LFP  $U_n$  based on call-by-name  $U_v$  based on call-by-value are incomparible, i.e. in general  $(N,s)U_n(v,s') \implies (M,s)U_v(v,s')$ .

(5)

(d) Two configurations C, & C2 in a labelled transition system (Config, Act, →) are bisimilar, CIRCZ holds for Some bisimulation relation R - this is a binary relation R = Config x Config satisfying for all ci, cz & Config that C, RCz implies for all a EAct, ci E Config,  $C_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_1' \Rightarrow \exists G_2' (C_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_2' \otimes G_1' R C_2')$ and for all a EAct, cit config 2 3 5' 3 3 c' ( 4 3 c' 8 C' R C') Here is defined to be  $\left(\frac{\tau}{\longrightarrow}\right)^* \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha = \tau$  $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{*} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{*} \left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right)^{*} \quad \text{if } \quad \alpha \neq \tau$ 

Where () is reflexive-transitive dosme.

(e) Rules for parallel composition:

P<sub>1</sub> 
$$\stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}$$
 P<sub>1</sub>'   
P<sub>1</sub> | P<sub>2</sub>  $\stackrel{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}$  P<sub>1</sub> | P<sub>2</sub>

where  $\alpha := \tau | c(n) | \overline{c}(n)$  ranges over LCPaction.

Rule for restriction:

$$\frac{P \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\rightarrow} P'}{\nu c. P \stackrel{\triangleleft}{\rightarrow} \nu c. P'} \text{ if } \alpha \neq c(n), \overline{c(n)}$$

$$\text{any } n \in \mathbb{Z}$$