## Numerical Analysis II

08910 p12910

## Acceptable Answer A

MROD

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i - \xi_{i-1}) f(x_i)$$

is a <u>Riemann sum</u> over [a,b] if  $a = \xi_0 < \xi_1 < ... < \xi_n = b$  such that  $x_i \in [\xi_{i-1}, \xi_i]$ . The <u>mesh norm</u> is  $\Delta \xi = \max |\xi_i - \xi_{i-1}|$ .

[4 marks]

If  $a = \xi_0 = -1$ ,  $b = \xi_n = +1$  the sum of the weights must be 2.

(i) 
$$\xi_1 = -0.8$$
,  $\xi_2 = 0$ ,  $\xi_3 = +0.8 \Rightarrow Riemann sum$ 

(ii) 
$$\xi_1 = -0.2$$
,  $\xi_2 = 0$ ,  $\xi_3 = +0.2$   $\Rightarrow$  Riemann sum

so not a Riemann sum

(iv) weights do not add up to 2

So not a Riemann sum

$$(V)$$
  $\xi_1 = -0.7$ ,  $\xi_2 = +0.3$   $\Rightarrow$  Riemann sum

[Smarks]

$$(n \times R) f = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j f(x_{ij})$$

where  $x_{ij}$  is the jth abscissor of the ith subinterval.

Reverse summations, then take limits

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (n \times R) f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j \lim_{n\to\infty} \left\{ \frac{\theta - \alpha}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha}^{\theta} f(x) dx \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j$$

Since the sum over i is a Riemann sum and therefore converges. Since  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} w_j = R.1 = 2$  the proof is complete.

PTO.

All rules except (iv) converge in composite form since the only requirement is that constants are integrated exactly.

[2 marks]

The rule

-0.5 f(-1) + 1.5 f(-0.4) + 1.5 f(+0.4) - 0.5 f(+1)

integrates constants exactly, so it does converge in composite form. However it is not a Riemann sum rule, and the use of negative weights makes loss of significance likely especially when used in camposite form. [3 [3 mapks]