

object

- a) (i) 2PL: phase of acquiring ^{object} locks (no release) then (for strict 2PL) hold until tx committed.

2PL is subject to deadlock so we have to deal with distributed deadlock detection. Algorithms are complex with high overhead - following distributed cycles - so a timeout approach is likely in practice. (Centralised resource management would be bad - bottleneck/failure)

- (ii) TSO - distributed naturally. Each object decides whether to allow or reject an invocation depending on the tx timestamp (ID). Strict TSO - hold up subsequent invocations until commit/abort.

- (iii) OCC - take shadow copies of objects - may be literal copies in ^{distributed} user space or versions at objects. Client invokes shadow copies then requests commit. Validation must ensure shadow copies were consistent and that no conflicting updates have been committed at the object since the shadows were taken.

or b) Pessimistic approach - (i) and (ii). The objects are locked awaiting commit. The coordinator carries out a two-phase (or three-phase) commit protocol.

(bookwork - expand on:-

1. secure old & new versions in persistent store - coordinator collects commit vote & decide
2. send commit to all participants.
3. handle any failure.

or b) Optimistic approach (iii) - expand on:-

objects are not locked.

a central validator checks consistency of shadows & conflict of update - run a 2-phase protocol with the objects.

If OK - assigns a timestamp & updates are applied at object in timestamp order. See bookwork for detail