Hence $L(M)^3 \subseteq L$. Conversely, note that $90 \xrightarrow{\omega} 92 \Rightarrow \omega$ contains bb $92 \neq 9 \xrightarrow{\alpha} 9' \Rightarrow 9' = 93$ and thus LGL(M).

- (b) Regular expressions over Σ & the languages they determine:
 - · each af [is a RE, and L(a) = {a}
 - ε is a RE, and $L(\varepsilon) = \{\varepsilon\}$

 - · Ø is a RE, and L(Ø) = Ø · if r,s one REs, then so one r|s, rs & r*,

```
L(r|s) = L(r) \cup L(s)
L(rs) = \{uv \mid u \in L(r) \text{ & } v \in L(s)\}
L(r^*) = \bigcup L(r^n) \text{ Where } \int r^0 \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \varepsilon
r^{n+1} = rr^n.
```

If r does not contain any occurrences of \emptyset , then $L(r) \neq \emptyset$.

because, reasoning by induction on the size φ r,

-statement is the for a, ε (φ is excluded)

- if statement is the for r, s, then

if r/s does not contain φ , then r&s do not

· if r/s does not contain \$\phi\$, then r&s do not so by hyp. L(r) \div \phi \text{L(s)}, so \(\text{L(r)s} = \text{L(r)uL(s)} \div \text{D}\)
· if re does not contain do then r&s to not

• if rs does not contain ϕ , then rss to not so by hyp. $L(r) \pm \phi \pm L(s)$, so $L(rs) \pm \phi$

· L(r*) + \$ whatever r.

so statement holds for rls, rs & r*

Use Kleene's Theorem: the collection of languages over Σ of the form L(r) for some regular expression r, is exactly the same as the collection of languages accepted by some deterministic finite automaton (i.e. of the form L(M) for some DFA M).

Given r, by Kleene's Thorrem we can find a DFA M' with L(M) = L(r). Construct a new DFA M' from M by interchanging the vole of accepting & non-accepting states in M. Thus for any ω ∈ Σ*, letting δ(ω) be the unique state in M (or M') reached from the Start via w, we have WELLM) (=) &(w) is accepted by M € δ(w) is not accepted by M' (note how we rely on the determinism of M for this argument to work). Thus $L(M') = \Sigma^* - L(M)$. By Kleene's Thorrem we can find a REnr with L(m") = L(M'). Then

 $L(m^*) = L(m') = \Sigma^* - L(m) = \Sigma^* - L(r)$ as required.