Lamputation theory 2000

Ptq.8

PHq.9

P11q.9 Question 2 Notes Actually rother Stronghtforward, though the use of sequence rother than total function may throw them. The last part requires slight lateral thinking Solution i) given $S \subseteq M$ define $\chi_s(n) = (n \in S)$. Six recursive E selations a 2 X fi. (i) S E M is recursively enumerable If either S= Ø or S is the range of some total recursive function (either of general ainty v or of ainty 1, don't care) Two ways to do this. First is to say that given a (countable) set of

inputation Theory Question 2 Solution Etd) computable functions F say, require that $F = \{f_n\}$, where $\emptyset(n, x)$ is a computable function and $\phi(x,x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x,x) dx$ UNDEFINED when fr(x) undefied OR could say that we adopt some cooling scheme for all computable functions, and identify F with the set of the codes of the functions belonging to F. Thou Fir recursively enumerable if the set of cades is recursively enumerable. Since I can compute given the code this implies the first definition.

imputation theory Question 2 Solution etd) The riders are bookwork apart from a Slightly lateral flip required for :iii) i) Let (n, ∞) be a function that lists lie characteristic functions $X_n(x) = \emptyset(n,x)$ of recursive $S/S S_n$. Define $\chi(x) = 1 - \varphi(x, x)$, certainly the characteristic function of some set T. Now $\chi(v) = 1 - \varphi(v,v) = 1 - \chi_v(v),$ hence $T \neq S_n$ for any n. Hence no recursive enumeration of recursive subsets of M can be exhaustive

emputation theory . (6 Question 2 Solution etd) ii) this is the same all over again! Suppose given a computable function $\Psi(n,x)$ where $S_n(x) = \psi(n,x)$ is an enumeration of the sequences (Sn). Define $f(x) = S(\chi(x,x))$, certainly a TOTAL function, defining a sequence t that is distinct from each Sn. what's needed is a coding scheme for general n-tuplets they have one, see page 15 in the votes. Use BINARY
represent n items, $n = l_{8}$ or backwards) (5)