

### 3 Foundations of Functional Programming

2000  
p6q<sup>10</sup>  
ACN

- (a) Give as simple a set of rules as you can for transforming lambda calculus to a form where there are no bound variables mentioned, but where there are many instances of the three standard combinator constants S, K and I;  
(6 marks)
- (b) Describe tree-rewrites suitable for reducing expressions written in terms of combinators;  
(6 marks)
- (c) Explain how you might deal with the issue of keeping track of the values of bound variables if you were to interpret lambda calculus directly.  
(8 marks)

#### 3.1 Marking notes

$[x]x = x$   
 $[x]y = Ky$   
 $[x]fg = S([x]f)([x]g)$

$Ix \rightarrow x \quad Kxy \rightarrow x \quad Sfgx \rightarrow (fx)(gx)$

but with these three drawn out as trees, plus the observation that to get a good order of evaluation you might like to do leftmost outermost reductions first.

Description of an "environment" as in  $[(name1, var1), \dots]$  in the fully traditional style. A full answer explains that when you evaluation in the function position you should capture the environment to get a closure.