

Math 120A (Differential Geometry)

University of California, Los Angeles

Aaron Chao

Winter 2022

These are my lecture notes for Math 120A (Differential Geometry), which is taught by Fumiaki Suzuki. The textbook for this class is *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces*, by Kristopher Tapp. Many of the figures I include in these notes are taken from Tapp's book.

Contents

Week 1	3
1 Jan 3, 2022	3
1.1 What is Differential Geometry?	3
1.2 Parametrized Curves	3
2 Jan 5, 2022	6
2.1 Proof of Proposition 1.12	6
2.2 Reparametrization	6
3 Jan 7, 2022	10
3.1 Reparametrization (Cont'd)	10
3.2 Curvature	11
Week 2	14
4 Jan 10, 2022	14
4.1 Curvature (Cont'd)	14
4.2 Plane Curves	16
5 Jan 12, 2022	18
5.1 Plane Curves (Cont'd)	18
6 Jan 14, 2022	23
6.1 Plane Curves(Cont'd)	23
6.2 Space Curves	24

Week 3	28
7 Jan 19, 2022	28
7.1 Space Curves (Cont'd)	28
8 Jan 21, 2022	32
8.1 Rigid Motions	32
Week 4	37
9 Jan 24, 2022	37
9.1 Rigid Motions (Cont'd)	37
10 Jan 26, 2022	40
10.1 Hopf's Theorem and Jordan's Theorem	40
11 Jan 28, 2022	46
11.1 Midterm	46
Week 5	47
12 Jan 31, 2022	47

1 Jan 3, 2022

1.1 What is Differential Geometry?


Differential geometry studies geometry via analysis and linear algebra.

Geometry	Analysis	Linear Algebra
Intuitive	Rigorous	Computable
Curved	$\xrightarrow{\text{tangent space}}$	Linear
Global	Local	

1.2 Parametrized Curves

Example 1.1

A unit circle $S' = \{\vec{x} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |\vec{x}| = 1\}$



$$\vec{\gamma} : [0, 2\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$t \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t)$$

$$\vec{\gamma}[0, 2\pi) = S'$$

Definition 1.2 (Parametrized curve and Trace)

A (parametrized) curve is a smooth function $\vec{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, where I is an interval in \mathbb{R} . The image

$$\vec{\gamma}(I) = \{\vec{\gamma}(t) \mid t \in I\}$$

is called the trace of $\vec{\gamma}$.


Recall 1.3 An interval is a subset of \mathbb{R} that has one of the following forms:

$$(a, b), [a, b], (a, b], [a, b), (-\infty, b), (-\infty, b], (a, \infty), [a, \infty), (-\infty, \infty) = \mathbb{R}.$$

A function $\vec{\gamma} : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called smooth if $\vec{\gamma}$ is infinitely differentiable, or equivalently, each of the component functions $x_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is infinitely differentiable.

Example 1.4

$\vec{\gamma}(t) = (\cos t, \sin t, t)$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ is a curve, called a helix.

**Definition 1.5** (Derivative)

Let $\vec{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a curve. The derivative of $\vec{\gamma}$ at t is defined as

$$\vec{\gamma}'(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\vec{\gamma}(t+h) - \vec{\gamma}(t)}{h}$$

If t is on the boundaries of I , then use the left- or right-hand limit.

Remarks 1.6

- i. If $\vec{\gamma}(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))$, then $\vec{\gamma}'(t) = (x_1'(t), x_2'(t), \dots, x_n'(t))$.
- ii. The tangent line to the curve at $\vec{\gamma}(t_0)$ is defined as

$$\vec{L}(t) = \vec{\gamma}(t_0) + t\vec{\gamma}'(t_0), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

as soon as $\vec{\gamma}'(t) \neq \vec{0}$.

Definition 1.7 (Regular)

A curve $\vec{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called regular if $\forall t \in I, \vec{\gamma}'(t) \neq \vec{0}$.

Remark 1.8 regular = tangent line is defined everywhere = the trace is smooth

Example 1.9

$$\vec{\gamma}(t) = (t^2, t^3), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$

Then $\vec{\gamma}$ is a curve that is not regular.

Indeed, $\vec{\gamma}'(t) = (2t, 3t^2)$, so $\vec{\gamma}'(0) = \vec{0}$.

Notice, $x(t) = t^2, y(t) = t^3$, so $x(t) = y(t)^{2/3}$. Hence, the trace is given by $x = y^{2/3}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 .

Remark 1.10 The analogy with the physics is useful. If $\vec{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a curve, then $\vec{\gamma}(t)$ is the position of a moving particle at time t in \mathbb{R}^2 .

- $\vec{\gamma}'(t)$ velocity

- $\vec{\gamma}''(t)$ acceleration
- $|\vec{\gamma}'(t)|$ speed

In this analogy, regular = the speed is always nonzero = the particle never stops (hence no "corners" on the trace)

Definition 1.11 (Arc length)


Let $\vec{\gamma}(t): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. Then the arc length between times t_1, t_2 is defined as

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\vec{\gamma}'(t)| dt$$

Proposition 1.12

Let $\vec{\gamma}: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve with the arc length L , $\vec{p} = \vec{\gamma}(a), \vec{q} = \vec{\gamma}(b)$. Then $L \geq |\vec{q} - \vec{p}|$.

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $\vec{\gamma}$ parametrizes the line segment between \vec{p}, \vec{q} .



For the proof, we use the inner-product:

for $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\langle x, y \rangle := x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n$$


Basic properties:

- The inner product $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is symmetric and bilinear.
- $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = |\vec{x}| |\vec{y}| \cos \theta$, where θ is the angle between \vec{x}, \vec{y} . ($\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$)
- $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle = 0 \iff \vec{x}, \vec{y}$ are orthogonal to each other.
- $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{x} \rangle = |\vec{x}|^2$
- $\langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \leq |\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|$ (Schwartz Inequality) and the equality holds if and only if $\theta = 0$.

2 Jan 5, 2022

2.1 Proof of Proposition 1.12

Proof. Idea: Compare $\vec{\gamma}'(t)$ and its projection onto $\vec{q} - \vec{p}$. Set $\vec{n} = \frac{\vec{q} - \vec{p}}{|\vec{q} - \vec{p}|}$; \vec{n} is unit.



Tapp Pg.15

Then $|\vec{\gamma}'(t)| \geq \langle \vec{\gamma}'(t), \vec{n} \rangle$ by Schwartz inequality.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \int_a^b |\vec{\gamma}'(t)| dt \geq \int_a^b \langle \vec{\gamma}'(t), \vec{n} \rangle dt \\ &= [\langle \gamma(t), \vec{n} \rangle]_a^b = \langle \gamma(b), \vec{n} \rangle - \langle \gamma(a), \vec{n} \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \vec{q} - \vec{p}, \frac{\vec{q} - \vec{p}}{|\vec{q} - \vec{p}|} \right\rangle = |\vec{q} - \vec{p}| \end{aligned}$$

If the equality holds, then $\forall t \in [a, b]$, $\vec{\gamma}'(t), \vec{n}$ are in the same direction. So,

$$\vec{\gamma}'(t) = \langle \vec{\gamma}'(t), \vec{n} \rangle \vec{n}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t) &= \gamma(a) + \int_a^t \gamma'(u) du \\ &= \vec{p} + \left(\int_a^t \langle \gamma'(u), \vec{n} \rangle dt \right) \vec{n} \end{aligned}$$

parametrizes the line segment between \vec{p}, \vec{q} . □

2.2 Reparametrization


There are regular curves that share common properties. Which regular curves should we identify?

Example 2.1

$$\gamma(t) = (t, t^2), \quad t \in [-2, 2]$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (-2t, (-2t)^2), \quad t \in [-1, 1].$$

Then $\gamma[-2, 2] = \tilde{\gamma}[-1, 1] =$



$\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ are the same, up to change in time:

Let $\phi: [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-2, 2], \quad t \mapsto -2t.$

Then $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi$

Definition 2.2 (Reparametrization)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. A reparametrization of γ is a function of the form

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi: \tilde{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where \tilde{I} is an interval, $\phi: \tilde{I} \rightarrow I$ is a smooth bijection such that $\forall t \in \tilde{I}, \phi'(t) \neq 0$




Figure 1: Kapp pg.19

Proposition 2.3

A reparametrization of a regular curve is a regular curve.

Proof. We use the same notations as the definition.

$\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi: \tilde{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the composition of smooth functions, so smooth.

Moreover, $\forall t \in \tilde{I}, \tilde{\gamma}'(t) = \gamma'(\phi(t)) \cdot \phi'(t) \neq 0$ □

We will be interested in regular curves up to reparametrizations.

Remarks 2.4

1. $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$ have the same trace.
2. There are regular curves with the same trace that cannot be reparametrized to each other. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(t) &= (\cos(t), \sin(t)), t \in [0, 2\pi), \\ \gamma_2(t) &= (\cos(t), \sin(t)), t \in [0, 4\pi),\end{aligned}$$

Question 2.5: Is there a canonical reparametrization of a given regular curve?

Definition 2.6 (Unit-speed)

A regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called unit-speed (or parametrized by arc length) if $\forall t \in I, |\gamma'(t)| = 1$.

Remark 2.7 If $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is unit-speed, then,

$$\text{Arc length between } t_1, t_2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt = t_2 - t_1$$

Proposition 2.8

A regular curve always has a unit-speed reparametrization.

Proof. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. Fix $t_0 \in I$. Define $s: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t |\gamma'(u)| du.$$

Let $\tilde{I} = s(I) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then \tilde{I} is an interval by IVT.

Since $s'(t) = |\gamma'(t)| > 0$ by FTC, regularity, $s: I \rightarrow \tilde{I}$ is a smooth bijection. Then, $\phi = s^{-1}: \tilde{I} \rightarrow I$ is a smooth bijection,

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{s'(\phi(t))} = \frac{1}{|\gamma'(\phi(t))|} \neq 0.$$

Now $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi: \tilde{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a reparametrization of γ , that is unit-speed:

$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde{\gamma}'(t)| &= |\gamma'(\phi(t)) \cdot \phi'(t)| \\ &= |\gamma'(\phi(t))| \cdot 1/|\gamma'(\phi(t))| \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

□

Note:

$$\begin{aligned}s^{-1} \cdot s(t) &= t \\ (s^{-1})'(s(t)) \cdot s'(t) &= 1 \\ (s^{-1})'(s(t)) &= 1/s'(t)\end{aligned}$$

3 Jan 7, 2022

3.1 Reparametrization (Cont'd)

Example 3.1

$\gamma(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(t), t)$, $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ How can we find a unit-speed reparametrization of γ ? Compute the arc length function $S: (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} s(t) &= \int_0^t |\gamma'(u)| du = \int_0^t |(-\sin(u), \cos(u), 1)| du \\ &= \int_0^t \sqrt{2} du = \sqrt{2}t \end{aligned}$$

Set $\phi = s^{-1}$, then $\phi(t) = t/\sqrt{2}$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(\phi(t)) = (\cos(t/\sqrt{2}), \sin(t/\sqrt{2}), t/\sqrt{2})$$

$t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, is a unit speed reparametrization of γ .

We will be interested in invariants for a regular curve that are unchanged under any reparametrizations.

Examples include:

- trace
- arc-length
- curvature
- torsion

Non-examples include:

- position
- velocity
- speed
- acceleration

Sometimes we consider more specific reparametrization.

Proposition 3.2

If $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi: \tilde{I} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a reparametrization of a regular curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, then one of the following holds:

- $\forall t \in \tilde{I}, \phi'(t) > 0$ i.e. ϕ is strictly increasing
- $\forall t \in \tilde{I}, \phi'(t) < 0$ i.e. ϕ is strictly decreasing

Proof. Otherwise $\exists t \in \tilde{I}, \phi'(t) = 0$ by IVT. This contradicts the assumption on ϕ . \square

Definition 3.3 (Orientation-preserving vs. orientation-reversing)

Under the setting of the proposition, we say $\tilde{\gamma}$ is orientation-preserving if (i) occurs, or orientation-reversing if (ii) occurs.

Example 3.4 (Orientation-preserving)


The arc length reparametrization of a regular curve $\phi: I \rightarrow \tilde{I}$ is orientation-preserving, because $\phi'(t) = 1/|\gamma'(\phi(t))| > 0 \quad \forall t \in I$

This shows an orientation-preserving unit-speed. Reparametrization always exists.

Example 3.5 (Orientation-reversing)

$$\gamma(t) = (t, t^2), \quad t \in [-2, 2]$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (-t, (-t)^2), \quad t \in [-2, 2]$$



$\tilde{\gamma}$ is an orientation-reversing reparametrization of γ by $\phi: [-2, 2] \rightarrow [-2, 2], \quad t \mapsto -t$ (Indeed, $\phi' = -1 < 0$).


We will be interested in invariants that are unchanged under any orientation-preserving reparametrization.

- Signed curvature
- Rotation index

3.2 Curvature

The curvature measures how sharply the trace bends. What is a plausible definition of the curvature?

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. Set $\vec{v} = \gamma', \vec{a} = \gamma''$



\vec{v} knows speed, direction of the motion

$\implies \vec{a}$ should know the change in speed, direction \rightarrow curvature.

We write

$$\vec{a} = \vec{a}^\parallel + \vec{a}^\perp$$

where

$$\vec{a}^\parallel = \left\langle \vec{a}, \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|} \right\rangle \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|}: \quad \text{parallel to } \vec{v}$$

$$\vec{a}^\perp = \vec{a} - \vec{a}'': \quad \text{orthogonal to } \vec{v}$$

Proposition 3.6

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|\vec{v}(t)| &= \left\langle \vec{a}, \frac{\vec{v}}{|\vec{v}|} \right\rangle \\ &= \text{the parallel component of } \vec{a} \text{ with respect to } \vec{v} \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}|\vec{v}(t)| &= \frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{v}(t), \vec{v}(t) \rangle^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\langle \vec{v}(t), \vec{v}(t) \rangle^{1/2}} \cdot 2 \langle \vec{v}(t), \vec{v}'(t) \rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\vec{v}(t)}{|\vec{v}(t)|}, \vec{a}(t) \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Note: $\langle v, v \rangle' = \langle v', v \rangle + \langle v, v' \rangle = 2\langle v', v \rangle$ □

So $|\vec{a}^\perp(t)|$ would be a plausible definition of the curvature. However this depends on $|\vec{t}|$. (Imagine a centripetal force for a car turning a corner.)

Definition 3.7 (Curvature)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. The curvature function $\kappa: I \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined as

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|\vec{a}^\perp(t)|}{|\vec{v}(t)|^2}$$

Proposition 3.8

Curvature is independent of parametrizations.

Proof. Let γ be a regular curve. $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma \circ \phi$ is a reparametrization of γ .

Denote:

κ : curvature function for γ

$\tilde{\kappa}$: curvature function for $\tilde{\gamma}$

We need to show $\tilde{\kappa} = \kappa \circ \phi$

Denote:

v, a : velocity, acceleration of γ

\tilde{v}, \tilde{a} : velocity, acceleration of $\tilde{\gamma}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\gamma} &= \gamma \circ \phi \\ \tilde{v} &= \gamma' \circ \phi \cdot \phi' = v \circ \phi \cdot \phi' \\ \tilde{a} &= \gamma'' \circ \phi \cdot (\phi')^2 + \gamma' \circ \phi \cdot \phi'' \\ &= a \circ \phi \cdot (\phi')^2 + v \circ \phi \cdot \phi'' \end{aligned}$$

So, \tilde{v} is parallel to v ,

$$\tilde{a}^\perp = a^\perp \circ \phi \cdot (\phi')^2$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\kappa} &= \frac{\tilde{a}^\perp}{|\tilde{v}|^2} = \frac{|a^\perp \circ \phi \cdot (\phi')^2|}{|v \circ \phi \cdot \phi'|^2} = \frac{|a^\perp \circ \phi|}{|v \circ \phi|^2} \\ &= \kappa \circ \phi\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Jan 10, 2022

Note: From now on, I will bold my vectors like this \mathbf{n} instead of \vec{n} .


4.1 Curvature (Cont'd)

Recall 4.1

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|\mathbf{a}^\perp(t)|}{|\mathbf{v}(t)|^2}$$

Example 4.2

$$\gamma(t) = (R \cos(t), R \sin(t)), \quad t \in (-\infty, \infty)$$



$$\mathbf{v}(t) = (-R \sin(t), R \cos(t))$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = (-R \cos(t), -R \sin(t))$$

Here,

$$\langle \mathbf{v}(t), \mathbf{a}(t) \rangle = -R^2 \sin(t) \cos(t) + R^2 \cos(t) \sin(t) = 0;$$

So,

$$\mathbf{v}(t) \perp \mathbf{a}(t) \implies \mathbf{a}(t) = \mathbf{a}^\perp(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{|\mathbf{a}(t)|}{|\mathbf{v}(t)|^2} = \frac{R}{R^2} = \frac{1}{R} \xrightarrow{R \rightarrow +\infty} 0 \text{ (flat)}$$

Historically, the curvature of a regular curve was first defined by $\kappa(t) = \frac{1}{R(t)}$, where $R(t)$ is the radius of the circle that best approximates the trace at t (The osculating circle; Read Tapp). Here we give another interpretation of the curvature using the osculating parabola.


Definition 4.3 (Unit tangent and normal vectors)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. Define the unit tangent and normal vectors as

$$\mathbf{t}(t_0) = \frac{\mathbf{v}(t_0)}{|\mathbf{v}(t_0)|}, \quad \underbrace{\mathbf{n}(t_0) = \frac{\mathbf{a}^\perp(t_0)}{|\mathbf{a}^\perp(t_0)|}}_{\text{defined only if } \kappa(t_0) \neq 0}$$

Remarks 4.4

- i. $\mathbf{t}(t_0), \mathbf{n}(t_0)$ are orthonormal, i.e. unit, orthogonal to each other



Tapp Page 27

- ii. The osculating plane at t_0 is the plane through \mathbf{t}_0 spanned by $\mathbf{t}(t_0), \mathbf{n}(t_0)$. The osculating plane is the plane that γ is the closest to begin in, and contains the directions where the curve is heading and bending.

Proposition 4.5

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. Then $|\mathbf{t}'| = \kappa|\mathbf{v}|^2$, and $\mathbf{t}' = \kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n}$ if \mathbf{n} is defined. In particular, if γ is unit-speed, then

$$|\mathbf{t}'| = \kappa, \quad \text{and } \mathbf{t}' = \kappa\mathbf{n} \text{ if } \mathbf{n} \text{ is defined.}$$

Proof.

$$\mathbf{t}' = \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|} \right)' = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{|\mathbf{v}|} - \mathbf{v} \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} = \frac{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^\parallel}{|\mathbf{v}|} = \frac{\mathbf{a}^\perp}{|\mathbf{v}|}$$

Hence $|\mathbf{t}'| = \frac{|\mathbf{a}^\perp|}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} \cdot |\mathbf{v}| = \kappa|\mathbf{v}|$, and

$$\mathbf{t}' = \frac{|\mathbf{a}^\perp|}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} |\mathbf{v}| \frac{\mathbf{a}^\perp}{|\mathbf{a}^\perp|} = \kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} \text{ if } \mathbf{n} \text{ is defined.}$$

□

Remark 4.6 Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a unit-speed curve, $t_0 \in I$ with $\kappa(t_0) \neq 0$.


Then $\gamma'(t_0) = \mathbf{t}, \gamma''(t_0) = \mathbf{t}' = \kappa\mathbf{n}$, and the 2nd order Taylor approximation at γ at t_0 is

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(t_0 + h) &\approx \gamma(t_0) + h\gamma'(t_0) + \frac{h^2}{2}\gamma''(t_0) \\ &= \gamma(t_0) + h\mathbf{t} + \frac{\kappa h^2}{2}\mathbf{n} \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathbf{D}(h) = \gamma(t_0 + h) - \gamma(t_0) \approx h\mathbf{t} + \frac{\kappa h^2}{2}\mathbf{n}$: displacement.

Then,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} x(t) &:= \langle \mathbf{D}(h), \mathbf{t} \rangle \approx h \\ y(t) &:= \langle \mathbf{D}(h), \mathbf{n} \rangle \approx \frac{\kappa h^2}{2} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ the parabola } y = \frac{\kappa}{2}x^2 \text{ in the osculating plane}$$



Tapp Page 30

$\kappa(t_0)$ = the concavity of the parabola that best approximates the trace at t_0

Proposition 4.7

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve. If $\forall t \in I, \kappa(t) = 0$, then γ parametrizes a straight line.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathbf{t}'| = \kappa|\mathbf{v}| = 0 &\implies \mathbf{t}' = \mathbf{0} \\
 &\implies \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{c} \text{ constant} \\
 &\implies \mathbf{v} = |\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{c} \\
 &\implies \text{fixing } t_0 \in I, \\
 \gamma(t) &= \gamma(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{v}(u) du \\
 &= \gamma(t_0) + \left(\int_{t_0}^t |\mathbf{v}(u)| du \right) \mathbf{c}
 \end{aligned}$$

□


4.2 Plane Curves

\mathbb{R}^2 is the only \mathbb{R}^n where the terms “clockwise” and “counter-clockwise” makes sense. This allows us to define

“signed curvature” = curvature + turning direction with respect to \mathbf{v}

Recall 4.8

$$\kappa = \frac{|\mathbf{a}^\perp|}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}$$

**Definition 4.9** (Signed curvature)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a regular plane curve. Then the signed curvature $\kappa_s: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$\kappa_s = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}_s \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2},$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n}_s &= R_{90} \mathbf{t} \\ &= \text{the counterclockwise } 90^\circ \text{ rotation of } \mathbf{t} \end{aligned}$$


5 Jan 12, 2022

5.1 Plane Curves (Cont'd)

Recall 5.1


$$\kappa_s = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}_s \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}$$

where, $\mathbf{n}_s = R_{90^\circ} \mathbf{t}$



Example 5.2

$$\gamma(t) = (\cos(t), \sin(2t)), \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$



Lissajous curve

$$\mathbf{v}(t) = (-\sin t, 2 \cos 2t)$$

$$\mathbf{a}(t) = (-\cos t, -4 \sin 2t)$$

$$|\mathbf{v}(t)| = \sqrt{\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t}$$

$$\mathbf{t}(t) = \frac{\mathbf{v}(t)}{|\mathbf{v}(t)|} = (-\sin t, 2 \cos 2t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t}}$$

$$\mathbf{n}_s = R_{90} \mathbf{t} = (-2 \cos 2t, -\sin t) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t}}$$

$$\kappa_s = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}_s \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} = \frac{2 \cos t \cos 2t + 4 \sin t \sin 2t}{(\sin^3 t + 4 \cos^2 2t)^{3/2}}$$

$$\kappa_s(0) = \frac{2}{4^{3/2}} = \frac{2}{8} = \frac{1}{4} > 0$$

$$\kappa_s\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$\kappa_s(\pi) = \frac{-1}{4} < 0$$


$$\kappa_s\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 0$$

Proposition 5.3

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a plane curve. Then $|\kappa_s| = \kappa$.

Proof. Compare $\kappa = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}$, $\kappa_s = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}_s \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|^2}$

$\mathbf{n}_s = \pm \mathbf{n}$, because they are both unit, orthogonal to \mathbf{t} . Hence κ_s coincides with $\kappa_{\geq 0}$ up to signs.




□

Proposition 5.4

Signed curvature is unchanged by any orientation-preserving reparametrizations.

| **Proof.** Exercise.

□

Proposition 5.5Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a plane curve. Then there exists a smooth function $\theta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall t \in I, \mathbf{t}(t) = (\cos \theta(t), \sin \theta(t))$.What should θ be?

$$\mathbf{t}' = \theta'(-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) = \theta' R_{90} \mathbf{t} = \theta' \mathbf{n}_s.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{t}' = \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{v}|} \right)' = \frac{\mathbf{a}^\perp}{|\mathbf{v}|} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}_s \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|} \mathbf{n}_s = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| \mathbf{n}_s$$

By comparing the two formulas, $\theta' = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}|$. In the proof, we solve this differential equation.

Remark 5.6 If γ is unit-speed, $\theta' = \kappa_s$. This shows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{signed curvature} &= \text{the rate of change of the angle} \\ \text{curvature} &= |\text{the rate of change of the angle}| \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $t_0 \in I, \theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathbf{t}(t_0) = (\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0)$.

Define

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \kappa_s(u) |\mathbf{v}(u)| du$$

We will show this $\theta(t)$ works.

$\theta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function

$$\theta' = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}|, \theta(t_0) = \theta_0.$$

Set $\mathbf{t}_\theta = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$

We need to show $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{t}_\theta$.

Observe $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta$ are unit.

Enough to show $\langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle = 1$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_\theta(t_0) &= (\cos \theta(t_0), \sin \theta(t_0)) \\ &= (\cos \theta_0, \sin \theta_0) \\ &= \mathbf{t}(t_0) \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(t_0), \mathbf{t}_\theta(t_0) \rangle = 1$$

Enough to show $\langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle' = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}' &= \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| \mathbf{n}_s = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| R_{90} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{t}'_\theta &= \theta' (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta) = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| R_{90} \mathbf{t}_\theta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle' &= \langle \mathbf{t}', \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle + \langle \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}'_\theta \rangle \\ &= \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| (\langle R_{90} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle + \langle \mathbf{t}, R_{90} \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle) \\ &= \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| (\langle R_{90} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle + \langle R_{90} \mathbf{t}, R_{90}(R_{90} \mathbf{t}_\theta) \rangle) && R_{90} \text{ is orthogonal} \\ &= \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| (\langle R_{90} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle - \langle R_{90} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}_\theta \rangle) && R_{90} \circ R_{90} = R_{180} = -1 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.7 The angle function θ is unique up to an integer multiple of 2π .

Indeed if $\Theta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\forall t \in I, \gamma = (\cos \Theta, \sin \Theta)$, then,

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta' &= \theta' = \kappa_s |\mathbf{v}| \\ \implies |\Theta - \theta|' &= 0 \\ \implies \Theta - \theta &= \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) = (\cos \Theta, \sin \Theta) = \mathbf{t}$$


So $\Theta - \theta \in 2\pi \cdot \mathbb{Z}$

6 Jan 14, 2022

6.1 Plane Curves(Cont'd)

Definition 6.1 (Closed curve)

A regular curve $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called closed if $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$, and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma^{(n)}(a) = \gamma^{(n)}(b)$


Definition 6.2 (Rotation index)


Let $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed plane curve. The rotation index of γ is defined as

$$i_\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\theta(b) - \theta(a)),$$

where θ is the angle function from proposition 5.5.

Remarks 6.3

- i. $i_\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, because $\mathbf{t}(a) = \mathbf{t}(b)$, so $\theta(b) - \theta(a) \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$
- ii. Later on, we will show $i_\gamma = \pm 1$ if γ has no self-intersection.


Proposition 6.4

Let $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a closed plane curve. Then

$$i_\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_a^b \kappa_s(t) |\mathbf{v}(t)| dt$$

Proof. This follows from the construction of the angle function. \square


Proposition 6.5

Rotation index is unchanged under any orientation-preserving reparametrizations.

Proof. Exercise. \square

Example 6.6

$$\gamma(t) = (\cos t, \sin 2t), t \in [0, 2\pi]$$



Recall:

$$\kappa_s(t) = \frac{2 \cos t \cos 2t + 4 \sin t \sin 2t}{(\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t)^{3/2}}$$

$$|\mathbf{v}| = (\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t)^{1/2}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} i_\gamma &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2 \cos t \cos 2t + 4 \sin t \sin 2t}{\sin^2 t + 4 \cos^2 2t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\int_0^\pi \dots dt + \underbrace{\int_\pi^{2\pi} \dots dt}_{\substack{t=s+\pi, \\ \text{then the integrand} \\ \text{is multiplied by } -1}} \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

6.2 Space Curves

What's special about \mathbb{R}^3 ?


\mathbb{R}^3 has the cross product.

Recall 6.7 $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3), \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y} = (x_2 y_3 - x_3 y_2, -(x_1 y_3 - x_3 y_1), x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$$

Basic properties:


- i. $\times: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is bilinear, and antisymmetric.
(i.e. $\mathbf{y} \times \mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$)
- ii. $|\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}|\sin(\theta)$, where θ is the angle between \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}
= the area of the parallelogram spanned by \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}
- iii. $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$ is orthogonal to \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} ;
 $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}\}$ is a right-handed system.



Example 6.8

If $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are orthonormal, then $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 :

- $\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}$ is orthogonal to \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} , and
- $|\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}| =$




= 1

Proposition 6.9

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a space curve, then

$$\kappa = \frac{|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{a}|}{|\mathbf{v}|^3}$$

Proof. $|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{a}| =$




$$= |\mathbf{v}| |\mathbf{a}^\perp|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{a}|}{|\mathbf{v}|^3} = \frac{|\mathbf{a}^\perp|}{|\mathbf{v}|^2} = \kappa$$

□

Definition 6.10 (Unit binormal vector and Frenet frame)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a space curve. The unit binormal vector for γ at $t \in I$ is defined as $\mathbf{b}(t) = \mathbf{t}(t) \times \mathbf{n}(t)$ (only if $\kappa(t) \neq 0$). The orthonormal basis $\{\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{b}(t)\}$ for \mathbb{R}^3 is called the Frenet frame for γ at t .



Remark 6.11 $\mathbf{b}(t)$ is a unit normal vector to the osculating plane of γ at t .

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{b}$ encodes the tilt of the osculating plane of γ .

We want to define the “torsion” as the measurement of the change of the tilt of the osculating plane.

Definition 6.12 (Torsion)

Let

$$\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ be a space curve,}$$

$$t \in I \text{ s.t. } \kappa(t) \neq 0$$

The torsion of γ at t is defined as

$$\tau(t) = -\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}'(t), \mathbf{n}(t) \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}(t)|}$$

Remark 6.13 Why is this definition plausible?

- i. $\mathbf{b}'(t)$ is parallel to $\mathbf{n}(t)$ (later).
So $\langle \mathbf{b}'(t), \mathbf{n}(t) \rangle = \pm |\mathbf{b}'(t)|$
- ii. $\langle \mathbf{b}'(t), \mathbf{n}(t) \rangle$ depends on parametrizations.

Proposition 6.14

Torsion is independent of parametrizations.

Proof. Read Tapp for the details.

Sketch:

φ is orientation-preserving.

$$\tilde{t} = t \circ \varphi, \tilde{n} = n \circ \varphi$$

$$\implies \tilde{b} = b \circ \varphi$$

$$\implies \tilde{b}' = b' \circ \varphi \cdot \varphi'$$

□

7 Jan 19, 2022

7.1 Space Curves (Cont'd)

Recall 7.1 $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}$, $\tau = -\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{n} \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}|}$

Note: $\mathbf{b}' = -\tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n}$

Proposition 7.2

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a space curve such that $\forall t \in I, \kappa(t) \neq 0$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- i. The trace of γ is contained in a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .
- ii. $\forall t \in I, \tau(t) = 0$.

Remark 7.3 The torsion measures the failure of a space curve to remain in a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Proof. (i.) is equivalent to:

$$(i.)' \exists \mathbf{w} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^3, c \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \in I, \langle \gamma, \mathbf{w} \rangle = c$$

We show $(i.)' \iff (ii.)$.

$$(\iff) \mathbf{b}' = -\tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} = 0, \text{ so}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \text{constant} =: \mathbf{w} \neq 0$$

$$\langle \gamma(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle' = \langle \mathbf{v}(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle |\mathbf{v}(t)|\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{b}(t) \rangle = 0, \text{ so}$$

$$\langle \gamma(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \text{constant}.$$

$$(\implies) \langle \gamma(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \text{constant}, \text{ so}$$

$$\langle \gamma(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$$

$$\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t) \in \text{span}(\mathbf{v}(t), \mathbf{a}(t)), \text{ so}$$

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{n}(t), \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0.$$

This shows that \mathbf{w} is normal to the osculating plane spanned by $\mathbf{t}(t), \mathbf{n}(t)$, so

$$\mathbf{b}(t) = \pm \frac{\mathbf{w}}{|\mathbf{w}|} = \text{constant}, \text{ so}$$

$$\mathbf{b}'(t) = \mathbf{0}, \text{ so}$$

$$\tau(t) = -\frac{\langle \mathbf{b}'(t), \mathbf{n}(t) \rangle}{|\mathbf{v}(t)|} = 0$$

□

There are differential equations for $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}$ determined by κ, τ .

Proposition 7.4 (Frenet equations)

Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a space curve such that $\forall t \in I, \kappa(t) \neq 0$.
Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}' &= \kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{n}' &= -\kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{t} + \tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}' &= -\tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if γ is unit-speed, then


$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}' &= \kappa\mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{n}' &= -\kappa\mathbf{t} + \tau\mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}' &= -\tau\mathbf{n} \end{aligned}$$

Remark 7.5 This suggests that a space curve is completely determined by the functions κ, τ up to initial conditions. (Fundamental Theorem of Space Curves)

Lemma 7.6

Let $\gamma, \delta: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be curves (not necessarily regular).

- i. If $\exists c \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \in I, |\gamma(t)| = c$, then $\forall t \in I, \gamma'(t)$ is orthogonal to $\gamma(t)$.



- ii. If $\exists D \in \mathbb{R}, \forall t \in I, \langle \gamma(t), \delta(t) \rangle = D$, then $\forall t \in I, \langle \gamma'(t), \delta(t) \rangle = -\langle \gamma(t), \delta'(t) \rangle$.

Remark 7.7 Both the assumptions are satisfied if $\forall t \in I, \gamma(t), \delta(t)$ are orthogonal.

Proof of Lemma.

- i. $c^2 = |\gamma(t)|^2 = \langle \gamma(t), \gamma(t) \rangle$.
 $\implies 0 = 2 \langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle$
 $\implies \langle \gamma(t), \gamma'(t) \rangle = 0$
- ii. $\langle \gamma(t), \delta(t) \rangle = D$
 $\implies \langle \gamma'(t), \delta(t) \rangle + \langle \gamma(t), \delta'(t) \rangle = 0$
 $\implies \langle \gamma'(t), \delta(t) \rangle = -\langle \gamma(t), \delta'(t) \rangle$

□

Proof of Proposition 7.4. We have proved $\mathbf{t}' = \kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n}$. As for \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{b}' , it is enough to compute their components with respect to the Frenet frame $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$.

$$\langle \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{t} \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{t}' \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{n}, \kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} \rangle = -\kappa|\mathbf{v}|$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{n} \rangle &= 0 \\ \langle \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{b} \rangle &= -\langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}' \rangle = \tau|\mathbf{v}|\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}' &= -\kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{t} + \tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{b}. \\ \langle \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{t} \rangle &= -\langle \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{t}' \rangle = -\langle \mathbf{b}, -\kappa|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n} \rangle = 0 \\ \langle \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{n} \rangle &= -\tau|\mathbf{v}| \\ \langle \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{b} \rangle &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{b}' = -\tau|\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{n}$$

□

Remark 7.8 Another interpretation of the torsion can be given by the Frenet equations. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a unit-speed space curve. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma' &= \mathbf{t}, \gamma'' = \mathbf{t}' = \kappa\mathbf{n}, \\ \gamma''' &= (\kappa\mathbf{n})' = \kappa'\mathbf{n} + \kappa\mathbf{n}' = -\kappa^2\mathbf{t} + \kappa'\mathbf{n} + \kappa\tau\mathbf{b}\end{aligned}$$


So the 3rd order Taylor approximation at $t_0 \in I, \kappa(t_0) > 0$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}(h) &= \gamma(t_0 + h) - \gamma(t_0) \\ &\approx h\gamma'(t_0) + \frac{h^2}{2}\gamma''(t_0) + \frac{h^3}{6}\gamma'''(t_0) \\ &= \left(h - \frac{\kappa^2 h^3}{6}\right)\mathbf{t} + \left(\frac{\kappa h^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa' h^3}{6}\right)\mathbf{n} + \frac{\kappa\tau h^3}{6}\mathbf{b}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}x(h) &= \langle \mathbf{D}(h), \mathbf{t} \rangle \approx h - \frac{\kappa^2 h^3}{6} \\ y(h) &= \langle \mathbf{D}(h), \mathbf{n} \rangle \approx \frac{\kappa h^2}{2} + \frac{\kappa' h^3}{6} \\ z(h) &= \langle \mathbf{D}(h), \mathbf{b} \rangle \approx \frac{\kappa\tau h^3}{6}\end{aligned}$$

If $\tau(t_0) > 0$, then the curve passes through the osculating plane from below.




If $\tau(t_0) < 0$, then the curve passes through the osculating plane from above.

8 Jan 21, 2022

8.1 Rigid Motions

In geometry, it is often useful to “tilt your head”, or choose an orthonormal set of vectors at a point, adapted to the problem at hand:



This is achieved by rigid motions.

Definition 8.1 (Rigid motion)

A rigid motion in \mathbb{R}^n is a function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that preserves the distances:

$$\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, |f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$$

Example 8.2

The translation by $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$T_{\mathbf{p}}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p}$$

is a rigid motion. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}) - T_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{y})| &= |\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p} - (\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{p})| \\ &= |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \end{aligned}$$

Note: $T_{\mathbf{p}}$ is never linear if $\mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$, because $T_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{p} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

Theorem 8.3

Let $L_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear transformation represented by an $n \times n$ matrix A . The following conditions are equivalent:

1. L_A is a rigid motion.
2. $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \langle L_A(\mathbf{x}), L_A(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$.
3. If $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , so is $\{L_A \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, L_A \mathbf{x}_n\}$.
4. The column vectors of A form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .
5. $A^T A = I_n$

Definition 8.4

A linear rigid motion and its matrix are called orthogonal.

$$O(n) := \text{the set of all } n \times n \text{ orthogonal matrices}$$

Proposition 8.5

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rigid motion. Then,

$$\exists! \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \exists! A \in O(n), f = T_{\mathbf{p}} \circ L_A$$

Sketch of proof. Step 1: ($f(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}$): $\exists! A \in O(n), f = L_A$

Step 2: (General Case): Set $\mathbf{p} = f(\mathbf{0})$. Then apply Step 1 to $(T_{\mathbf{p}})^{-1} \circ f = T_{-\mathbf{p}} \circ f$

Indeed,

$$(T_{\mathbf{p}})^{-1} \circ f(\mathbf{0}) = T_{-\mathbf{p}} \circ f(\mathbf{0}) = T_{-\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{0},$$

So,

$$\exists! A \in O(n), (T_{\mathbf{p}})^{-1} \circ f = L_A$$

$$\implies f = T_{\mathbf{p}} \circ L_A$$

Read Tapp for the details. □

We can classify rigid motions:


Lemma 8.6

$$A \in O(n) \implies \det(A) = \pm 1$$

Proof. $A^T A = I_n$, so $1 = \det(A^T A) = \det(A^T) \det(A) = \det(A)^2$ □

Example 8.7


Let $A \in O(2)$. The column vectors of A are orthonormal:



$$A = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{rotation,} \\ \det=1 \\ \text{proper}}} \text{ or } \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{reflection} \\ \det=-1 \\ \text{improper}}}$$

Example 8.8

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in O(3)$ represents the reflection about the xy plane:



$\det(A) = -1$, so L_A is improper.


Remark 8.9 proper = physically performable (e.g. rotations)
 improper = physically unperformable (e.g. reflections)

Another interpretation of proper (improper) rigid motions is given in terms of the orientation of orthonormal basis.

Definition 8.10 (Ordered orthonormal basis and Positively oriented vs. Negatively oriented)
 An ordered orthonormal basis (o.o.b.) $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n is called positively oriented (p.o.) if the orthogonal matrix whose column vectors are $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ has $\det = 1$, and negatively oriented (n.o.) if it has $\det = -1$.

Example 8.11

$\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2\}$ are o.o.b of \mathbb{R}^2 .



$$\text{p.o.} \iff \mathbf{x}_2 = R_{90}\mathbf{x}_1$$


$$\text{n.o.} \iff \mathbf{x}_2 = R_{-90}\mathbf{x}_1$$

Example 8.12

$\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3\}$ o.o.b. of \mathbb{R}^3 .

p.o. $\iff \mathbf{x}_3 = \mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2 \iff$ right-hand

n.o. $\iff \mathbf{x}_3 = -\mathbf{x}_1 \times \mathbf{x}_2 \iff$ left-hand

**Proposition 8.13**

Let $A \in O(n)$. Then

A preserves the orientation of any o.o.b. $\iff \det(A) = +1$

A reserves the orientation of any o.o.b. $\iff \det(A) = -1$.

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}$ be an o.o.b. of \mathbb{R}^n . Set

$$B := (\mathbf{x}_1 \cdots \mathbf{x}_n) \in O(n).$$

Then,

$$AB = (L_A \mathbf{x}_1 \cdots L_A \mathbf{x}_n)$$

Note, $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

Therefore,

$$\det(AB) = \begin{cases} \det(B) & \text{if } \det(A) = 1 \\ -\det(B) & \text{if } \det(A) = -1 \end{cases}$$

□

Proposition 8.14

The following functions are unchanged by proper rigid motions:

- i. Curvature for a regular curve
- ii. Torsion for a space curve
- iii. Signed curvature for a plane curve.

By improper rigid motions, (i) is unchanged, (ii) and (iii) are multiplied by -1 .

9 Jan 24, 2022

9.1 Rigid Motions (Cont'd)

Proof of Proposition 8.14. Let $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a regular curve, $f = T_p \circ L_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a rigid motion. Set $\hat{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\gamma} &= A\gamma(t) + p \\ \hat{v}(t) &= (A\gamma(t) + p)' = Av(t), \\ \hat{a}(t) &= (Av(t))' = Aa(t).\end{aligned}$$

Note: $\hat{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular curve, because $\hat{\gamma}$ is smooth, and

$$\forall t \in I, \quad |\hat{v}(t)| = |Av(t)| = |v(t)| \neq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{t}(t) &= \frac{\hat{v}(t)}{|\hat{v}(t)|} = \frac{Av(t)}{|Av(t)|} = A \frac{v(t)}{|v(t)|} = At(t), \\ \hat{t}'(t) &= At'(t), \\ \hat{n}(t) &= \frac{\hat{t}'(t)}{|\hat{t}'(t)|} = \frac{At'(t)}{|At'(t)|} = A \frac{t'(t)}{|t'(t)|} = An(t)\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{i. } \hat{\kappa} = \frac{|\hat{t}'|}{|\hat{v}|} = \frac{|At'|}{|Av|} = \frac{|t'|}{|v|} = \kappa$$

ii. $\hat{b} \stackrel{?}{\leftrightarrow} Ab$. Compare $\{\hat{t}, \hat{n}, \hat{b}\}, \{At, An, Ab\}$:

(1) $\forall t \in I, \{\hat{t}(t), \hat{n}(t), \hat{b}(t)\}, \{At(t), An(t), Ab(t)\}$ are o.o.b.

(2) $\hat{t} = At, \hat{n} = An$

(3) $\{\hat{t}(t), \hat{n}(t), \hat{b}(t)\}$ is p.o.,

$$\{At, An, Ab\} \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{p.o. if } \det(A) = 1, \text{ proper} \\ \text{n.o. if } \det(a) = -1, \text{ improper} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\hat{b} = \pm Ab, \text{ where } \begin{cases} + & \text{if } \det(A) = 1 \\ - & \text{if } \det(A) = -1 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\implies \hat{\tau} &= -\frac{\langle \hat{b}', \hat{n} \rangle}{|\hat{v}|^2} = -\frac{\langle \pm Ab', An \rangle}{|Av|^2} \\ &= \pm \left(-\frac{\langle b', n \rangle}{|v|^2} \right) = \pm \tau\end{aligned}$$

iii. Similar.

□

Theorem 9.1 (Fundamental Theorems for Plane and Space Curves)

- i. If $\kappa_s: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function, then there exists a unit-speed plane curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ whose signed curvature $= \kappa_s$. If $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are two such curves, then there exists a proper rigid motion $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\hat{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma$.
- ii. If $\kappa, \tau: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions with $\kappa > 0$, then there exists a unit-speed space curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ whose curvature $= \kappa$, torsion $= \tau$. If $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are two such curves, then there exists a proper rigid motion $f: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\hat{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma$.

Proof.

- i. Read the proof in Tapp.
- ii. (Sketch, full proof uploaded on Canvas):
Fix $t_0 \in I$. We will show that, given the initial Frenet frame $\{t_0, n_0, b_0\}$, position γ_0 , there exists a unique unit-speed space curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\gamma(t_0) = \gamma_0$, $\{t(t_0), n(t_0), b(t_0)\} = \{t_0, n_0, b_0\}$.

Step 1: Solve the Frenet equations for t, n, b :

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{t}' = & \kappa \mathbf{n} \\ \mathbf{n}' = & -\kappa \mathbf{t} & +\tau \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{b}' = & & -\tau \mathbf{n} \end{cases} \quad \text{the system of } 3 \times 3 = 9 \text{ ODEs}$$

The Picard theorem from the theory of ODEs implies there is a unique solution $\{t, n, b\}$ such that $\{t(t_0), n(t_0), b(t_0)\} = \{t_0, n_0, b_0\}$. (Read textbooks for ODEs)

Step 2: Show $\forall t \in I, \{t(t), n(t), b(t)\}$ is orthonormal. It is important that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa(t) & 0 \\ -\kappa(t) & 0 & \tau(t) \\ 0 & -\tau(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is skew-symmetric i.e. $c(t)^T = -c(t)$.

Step 3: $\gamma' = t$ has a unique solution such that $\gamma(t_0) = \gamma_0$, namely

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma_0 + \int_{t_0}^t t(u) du$$

Show $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a unit-speed space curve whose

$$\text{Frenet-frame} = \{t, n, b\}$$

$$\text{curvature} = \kappa$$

$$\text{torsion} = \tau$$

The result follows. Finally, suppose $\gamma, \hat{\gamma}: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ are unit-speed space curves whose curvature $= \kappa$, torsion $= \tau$. Want to find a proper rigid motion $f = T_p \circ L_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\hat{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma$. Fix $t_0 \in I$. Set

$$A := (\hat{t}(t_0) \ \hat{n}(t_0) \ \hat{b}(t_0))^{-1} (t(t_0) \ n(t_0) \ b(t_0)).$$

Note $A \in O(3)$, $\det(A) = 1$ because $(t(t_0) \ n(t_0) \ b(t_0)), (\hat{t}(t_0) \ \hat{n}(t_0) \ \hat{b}(t_0))$ have the same property. Set

$$\begin{aligned} p &:= \hat{\gamma}(t_0) - \gamma(t_0) \\ f &:= T_p \circ L_A: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \text{ proper rigid motion} \end{aligned}$$

We want to show $\hat{\gamma} = f \circ \gamma$. Enough to show their initial positions and Frenet frames are the same:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}(t_0) &= f \circ \gamma(t_0), & \hat{t}(t_0) &= At(t_0), \\ \hat{n}(t_0) &= An(t_0), & \hat{b}(t_0) &= Ab(t_0). \end{aligned}$$

These are true by the choice of A, p .

□


10 Jan 26, 2022

10.1 Hopf's Theorem and Jordan's Theorem

Definition 10.1 (Simple)

A closed regular curve $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is called simple if γ is one-to-one on $[a, b)$.


Remark 10.2 simple = no self-intersection + 1 full rotation



Theorem 10.3 (Hopf's Umlaufsatz)

Let $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simple closed plane curve. Then $i_\gamma = \pm 1$.

Recall 10.4 $i_\gamma = \frac{1}{2\pi}(\theta(b) - \theta(a)) = \text{"degree" for } t$, where θ is a smooth angle function from $[a, b]$ to \mathbb{R} such that $\forall t \in [a, b], \dot{\gamma}(t) = (\cos \theta(t), \sin \theta(t))$.



Idea: Deform the unit tangent to another function, while the “degree” is constant in a family of continuous functions.

Proposition 10.5


Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow S^1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid |x| = 1\}$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a continuous angle function $\theta: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall t \in [a, b], f(t) = (\cos \theta(t), \sin \theta(t))$. The angle function θ is unique up to adding a multiple of 2π . If $f(a) = f(b)$, then $\frac{1}{2\pi}(\theta(b) - \theta(a)) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the integer is called the degree of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$.

Remark 10.6 If $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a closed plane curve, then the unit tangent gives $t: [a, b] \rightarrow S^1$, and $\deg(t) = i_\gamma$.

Proof of Proposition (Sketch). Using \cos^{-1}, \sin^{-1} , define θ locally, then patch them to define θ globally so that θ is continuous on entire $[a, b]$. \square

Proposition 10.7

$\deg(f)$ is locally constant under deformation (continuous change of shapes) of $f: [a, b] \rightarrow S^1$. Loosely speaking, the proposition says that $\deg(f)$ does not change by small continuous change in f .



This follows from another lemma:

Lemma 10.8

Let $f_1, f_2: [a, b] \rightarrow S^1$ be continuous functions. If $\deg(f_1) \neq \deg(f_2)$, then $\exists t_0 \in [a, b], f_1(t_0) = -f_2(t_0)$.


Remark 10.9 If f_1, f_2 never point in the opposite directions, then $\deg(f_1) = \deg(f_2)$.

Proof (sketch). θ_1, θ_2 : angle functions for $f_1, f_2, \theta := \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta(a) - \theta(b)| &= | \underbrace{(\theta_1(a) - \theta_1(b))}_{2\pi \deg(f_1)} - \underbrace{(\theta_2(a) - \theta_2(b))}_{2\pi \deg(f_2)} | \\ &\geq 2\pi \end{aligned}$$


$\implies \exists$ odd multiple of π between $\theta(a), \theta(b), (2n-1)\pi$.

$$\begin{aligned} \xRightarrow{\text{IVT}} \exists t_0 \in [a, b], \quad \theta(t_0) &= (2n-1)\pi \\ \theta_1(t_0) &= \theta_2(t_0) + (2n-1)\pi \end{aligned}$$




□


Proof of Hopf's Umlaufsatz. Let $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be a simple closed curve, $c :=$ the trace of γ . We need to show $i_\gamma = \pm 1$. Let $p \in C$ such that $|\gamma|$ has the maximum at p .



Then C is entirely on one side of the tangent line L to C at p . We may assume γ is unit-speed, $p = \gamma(a)$.



Set $T := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq b\}$



Define $\psi: T \rightarrow S^1$ as follows:

$$\psi(t_1, t_2) := \begin{cases} \gamma'(t_1) = t(t_1) & \text{if } t_1 = t_2 \\ \frac{\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)}{|\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)|} & \text{if } t_1 \neq t_2 \cap (t_1, t_2) \neq (a, b) \\ -\gamma'(a) & \text{if } (t_1, t_2) = (a, b) \end{cases}$$


ψ is well-defined because γ is simple, and ψ is continuous. For instance,

$$\psi(t_1, t_2) = \gamma'(t_1) = \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} \frac{\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)}{|\gamma(t_2) - \gamma(t_1)|} = \lim_{t_2 \rightarrow t_1} \psi(t_1, t_2)$$

Consider paths:

$$\alpha_0: [0, 1] \rightarrow T \quad (a, a) \rightarrow (b, b)$$

$$\alpha_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow T \quad (a, a) \rightarrow (a, b) \rightarrow (b, b)$$




α_0 deforms to α_1 in a family of continuous functions


$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_s &= (1-s)\alpha_0 + s\alpha_1, \quad s \in [0, 1] \\ \implies \psi \circ \alpha_0 &\text{ deforms to } \psi \circ \alpha_1: [0, 1] \rightarrow S^1 \\ \implies \deg(\psi \circ \alpha_0) &= \deg(\psi \circ \alpha_1) \\ \deg(\psi \circ \alpha_0) &= \deg(t) = i_\gamma\end{aligned}$$

Enough to show $\deg(\psi \circ \alpha_1) = \pm 1$.

$$(a, a) \rightarrow (a, b): \psi(a, t) = \frac{\gamma(t) - \gamma(a)}{|\gamma(t) - \gamma(a)|}$$



$$(a, b) \rightarrow (b, b): \psi(t, b) = \frac{\gamma(b) - \gamma(t)}{|\gamma(b) - \gamma(t)|}$$



□

11 Jan 28, 2022

11.1 Midterm 1

12 Jan 31, 2022