

OpenCPI Platform Development Guide

Revision History

Revision	Description of Change	Date
0.01	Initial	2014-12-15
0.5	Release partial draft	2015-02-27
0.6	Add more general introduction to enabling systems for OpenCPI	2015-04-23
0.7	Add content about device workers/subdevices/device proxies and endpoint proxies.	2015-05-06
0.8	Add content about platforms and platform workers, apply review comments for HDL devices	2015-06-22
0.9	Add content about developing HDL platform support outside the core directory tree	2015-08-28
1.0	Update for 2016Q2 release	2016-05-23
1.1	Update for 2017Q1 release, still requires diagrams and cpmaster and sdp protocols	2017-03-03
1.2	Update for 2017Q2, more detail and clarity on cards/slots/subdevices and many edits. No major new content.	2017-06-15
1.3	Update for 2017.Q2 branch	2017-07-28

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
1.1	References.....	6
2	OpenCPI Systems and Platforms.....	7
2.1	Inside an OpenCPI Platform.....	8
2.2	Development and Execution.....	9
2.3	Enabling New Systems for OpenCPI.....	10
2.4	Process Template for Enabling OpenCPI on a New System.....	11
2.4.1	System inventory.....	11
2.4.2	Processor, interconnect and device assessment.....	11
2.4.3	Assemble Technical Data Package.....	12
2.4.4	Experiments to Establish Feasibility and Missing Information.....	13
2.4.5	Planning and Specification.....	13
2.4.6	Technical Development.....	13
2.4.7	Verification.....	14
2.4.8	Contribution.....	14
3	Enabling the Development Host.....	15
3.1	Operating System Installation.....	16
3.2	Development Tools Installation for the Development Host.....	17
4	Enabling GPP Platforms.....	19
4.1	Enabling Development for New GPP Platforms.....	20
4.1.1	Developing a Tool Chain Installation Script.....	21
4.1.2	Define the Full Target Specification for the Platform.....	21
4.1.3	Files to Provide Settings for Make Variables for Framework Development.....	22
4.1.4	Script to Recognize the Currently Running Platform.....	22
4.1.5	Developing a Cross-development Component Build Script.....	23
4.1.6	Summary for Enabling Development for a New GPP Platform.....	23
4.2	Enabling Execution for GPP Platforms.....	25
4.2.1	Creating a Bootable Image or SD card for an Embedded Platform.....	25
5	Enabling FPGA Platforms.....	26
5.1	Physical FPGA Platforms.....	27
5.2	Simulator FPGA Platforms.....	28
5.3	Enabling Development for FPGA Platforms.....	29
5.3.1	Installing the Tool Chain.....	29
5.3.2	Integrating the Tool Chain into the OpenCPI HDL Build Process.....	29
5.3.3	Building All the Existing Vendor-independent HDL Code.....	30
5.3.4	Scripts for HDL Platforms.....	30
5.4	Enabling Execution for FPGA platforms.....	31
5.4.1	Signal Declaration XML Elements for Devices, Platforms, Slots and Cards.....	32
5.4.2	Slots — How Cards Plug into Platforms.....	34
5.4.3	Creating the XML Metadata Definition for the Platform.....	35
5.4.4	Writing the Platform Worker Source Code.....	42
5.4.5	The Makefile for the Platform Worker.....	47
5.4.6	Specifying Platform Configurations in XML Files.....	47
5.4.7	Control Plane Master Port Protocol.....	48

5.4.8 SDP Port Protocol.....	48
5.4.9 Testing the Basic Platform without Devices.....	49
5.5 Device Support for FPGA Platforms.....	50
5.5.1 A Device Worker Implements the Data Sheet.....	51
5.5.2 Device Component Specs and Device Worker Modularity.....	51
5.5.3 Device Proxies — Software Workers that Control HDL Device Workers.....	52
5.5.4 Subdevice Workers.....	53
5.5.5 Testing Device Workers with Emulators.....	56
5.5.6 Higher-level Endpoint Proxies Suitable for Applications.....	58
5.5.7 XML Metadata for Device Workers/Subdevices/DeviceProxies/EndpointProxies.....	59
5.5.8 Associating Device Workers and Subdevice Workers with Platforms and Cards.....	61
5.5.9 Summary of Worker Types for Supporting HDL Devices.....	61
5.6 Defining Cards Containing Devices that Plug into Slots of Platforms.....	63
6 Glossary.....	64

1 Introduction

This document describes how to enable new platforms for OpenCPI, which will support the execution of component-based applications. It assumes a basic knowledge of OpenCPI as described in the **OpenCPI Overview** and **OpenCPI Component Development Guide**. Platform development is the third class of OpenCPI development, beyond application development and component development. It involves configuring, adapting and wrapping various aspects of hardware platforms, operating systems, system libraries, and development tools. It applies to general purpose computing platforms, FPGA platforms and GPU platforms. It applies to self-hosted development as well as cross development.

The questions this document tries to answer are:

- What are suitable platforms?
- What is involved in making a platform ready?
- What are the actual steps and processes for making a platform ready?

These questions are answered separately for development vs. execution.

These questions are answered separately for GPP, FPGA, and GPU platforms.

After introducing all these topics, this document has sections for each aspect in the following table,

Table 1: Categories of Platform Development

	Examples	Development Tools	Execution Environment	I/O and Interconnect Device Support
General-Purpose Processors (GPPs)	X86 (Intel/AMD), ARM (Xilinx/Altera/TI)	Compiler tool chains	Operating System, Libraries, Drivers	
FPGAs	Xilinx (Virtex, Zynq) Intel/Altera (Stratix, Cyclone) Mentor/Modelsim	Synthesis Place&route Simulation	Bitstream loading Control Plane Drivers Data Plane Drivers	Directly attached I/O devices
Graphics Processors	Nvidia Tesla AMD FirePro	Compilers, Profilers	Execution Management Drivers Data Plane Drivers	

1.1 References

This document requires information from several others. To actually perform platform development it is generally useful to understand OpenCPI component and application development, as well as the installation process for previously enabled platforms. To simply get a flavor for what is involved in platform development, only the OpenCPI Introduction is required.

Table 1 - Table of Reference Documents

Title	Published By	Link
OpenCPI Overview	OpenCPI	https://github.com/opencpi/opencpi/raw/2017.Q2/doc/pdf/OpenCPI_Overview.pdf
OpenCPI Installation Guide	OpenCPI	https://github.com/opencpi/opencpi/raw/2017.Q2/doc/pdf/OpenCPI_Installation.pdf
OpenCPI Component Development Guide	OpenCPI	https://github.com/opencpi/opencpi/raw/2017.Q2/doc/pdf/OpenCPI_Component_Development.pdf
OpenCPI Application Development Guide	OpenCPI	https://github.com/opencpi/opencpi/raw/2017.Q2/doc/pdf/OpenCPI_Application_Development.pdf

2 OpenCPI Systems and Platforms

OpenCPI provides a consistent model and framework for component-based application development and execution on various combinations of (“heterogeneous”) processing technologies, focusing mostly on embedded systems.

An **OpenCPI system** is a collection of processing elements that can be used together as resources for running component-based applications. OpenCPI considers each processor part of some hardware *subsystem*. These subsystems are wired together using some interconnect technologies (e.g. networks, buses, fabrics, cables).

We call each available processor and its surrounding directly-connected hardware a **platform**. Most commonly, a platform is a “card” or “motherboard” housing a processor and associated memory and I/O devices. The data paths that allow platforms to communicate with each other are called **interconnects**. The most common interconnects for OpenCPI systems are PCI Express or Ethernet, although others are also supported and used for some platforms (e.g. the AXI system interconnects on Xilinx Zynq-based systems).

The scope of a system can be a small embedded system that fits in a pocket, or racks full of network-connected hardware that act as a “system of systems”. Since this “system” definition is somewhat broad, we target the efforts to enable running OpenCPI at each **platform** and **interconnect** within a system. Hence **platform development** is enabling a platform, and enabling a system is *enabling whatever platforms and interconnects are in the system*.

Our most common and simple example system is the ZedBoard from Digilent (zedboard.org), which is based on the Xilinx Zynq chip. This chip is called a “system on chip” or SoC, and indeed has two processing elements connected with an interconnect, all on one chip: 1) a dual-core ARM general-purpose processor, and 2) an FPGA. They are connected via an on-chip fabric based on the AXI standard, and each is connected to some I/O devices. Thus the ZedBoard **system** consists of two **platforms** that happen to reside in the same chip, with an AXI **interconnect** between them.

Another common example system is a typical PC, which has a multicore (1-12) Intel or AMD x86 processor on a motherboard. If cards are plugged into slots on the PC's motherboard, and those cards have processors (e.g. GPP, FPGA, GPU) on them, then those cards can act as additional platforms in that system.

We consider multi-core GPPs as single “processors” since they generally run a single operating system and act as a single resource that can run multiple threads concurrently.

The final defined element of an OpenCPI system is **devices**, which are locally attached to some platform to allow the source or sink of data flowing between components to enter or exit the system. Thus devices are distinct from interconnects.

A **system** consists of **platforms** connected by **interconnects**, and platforms can have local **devices**, either permanently attached (e.g. on the motherboard) or on optional **cards** in the platform's **slots**.

2.1 Inside an OpenCPI Platform

As mentioned above, a **platform** consists of a processor (GPP, FPGA, GPU, etc.) attached to **interconnects** allowing it to communicate with other platforms. The processor may have multiple cores, and may even consist of multiple processor chips (such as a dual-socket motherboard where two Intel X86 processors act together). There are two other elements that make up a platform: **devices** and **slots**.

Devices are hardware elements that are locally attached to the processor of the platform. They are controlled by special workers called **device workers** (analogous to “device drivers”), and usually act as sources or sinks for data into or out of the system, and thus can be used for inputs and outputs for a component-based application running on that system.

When a device is hard-wired to the platform, it is defined as part of the platform. It is also common for platforms to be optionally configured with add-on **cards** that provide additional devices for the platform. To allow for this, platforms can have **slots**, which are an intrinsic part of the platform, and enable cards to be plugged in that make devices accessible to the platform. Such cards may be plugged in to any platforms that have compatible slots.

An example **system** is the ZedBoard, which has a Xilinx Zynq SoC part on its motherboard that has a dual-core ARM processor as well as an FPGA inside. This board thus has two platforms, an ARM-based **platform** attached to a variety of external peripherals (Ethernet, USB, etc.) as well as an FPGA-based **platform** attached to some external peripherals (devices) as well as an attached external FMC **slot** into which different **cards** may be plugged.

Thus the devices available on a platform are either a permanent part of the platform, or are optionally configured as being available on defined cards when they are plugged into one of the platform's slots.

Platforms can have any number of devices, and may have multiple devices of the same type. When cards are plugged into a platform's slots, they make additional devices available to the platform.

- Systems have platforms and interconnects.
- Platforms have slots and devices, and are attached to interconnects.
- Cards plug into slots and have devices.

2.2 Development and Execution

Every platform must be enabled for development as well as execution. *Development* is the process of producing “executable binaries”, and *execution* is the process of running those binaries on the available platforms in a system, as part of the execution of a component-based application. Development activities are typically said to be done at *build-time*; execution activities are typically said to be done at *run-time*.

OpenCPI uses the term “binary artifact” as a technology-neutral term for the binary file that executes on various processing technologies. On GPPs, they are typically “shared object files” or “dynamic libraries”. On FPGAs, they are sometimes called “bitstreams”. On GPUs, they are sometimes called “graphics kernels”.

Enabling *development* is procuring, installing, configuring and integrating the various tools necessary to enable the developer to design and create binary artifacts from source code, for a given target platform. Some adaptations, scripts or wrappers are typically required to enable such tools to operate in the OpenCPI development context. OpenCPI does not contain, preclude or require GUI-based IDEs in the component or application development process.

For most embedded systems, the development tools do not run on the system itself, but run on a separate “development host”, typically a (possibly virtual) PC. The unusual, but still possible, case where the tools run on the targeted embedded system itself, is termed “self-hosted development”. When the target platform is embedded and development tools run on a “development host”, that is termed “cross development”, using “cross-tools” (e.g. cross-compilers).

All development hosts also act as execution platforms since any host capable of running development tools can act as an OpenCPI execution platform for the GPP/processor of that system. OpenCPI contains tools that must be compiled on the development host and will always run on the development host. Thus a development host is established to execute tools used both to create binaries for itself and other target platforms (cross development). These tools include both OpenCPI's own tools as well as target-specific tools from third parties.

Enabling systems may involve creating or modifying infrastructure code in OpenCPI, but “enabling for development” is defined in this document as enabling developers to *build* workers and applications for subsequent execution on a platform. I.e. the development of infrastructure code enables execution, but does not “enable development”.

2.3 Enabling New Systems for OpenCPI

Enabling systems for OpenCPI implies enabling the platforms and interconnects in the system for OpenCPI, as well as enabling the processors and devices on the platforms and the devices on any cards used in the system. The first step in the enabling process is to establish the inventory of these elements in the system.

Since specific interconnects, processors, and devices are frequently found in many different systems, it is possible that support for some of them is already available in OpenCPI. So the enabling process is reduced to only dealing with the elements that do not already have OpenCPI support. Furthermore, there may be devices in the system that do not require OpenCPI support, either because they are not going to be used, or there is no benefit given the scope of what OpenCPI does.

The system inventory for OpenCPI consists of:

- Processors (attached to interconnects and devices)
- Interconnects (among processors)
- Devices (attached to processors)

For each, if there is no existing support in OpenCPI, support modules must be developed. For some, there may be partial support that must be extended for the intended usage. For new classes of processor (beyond GPP, FPGA, GPU) or new interconnects, the core framework of OpenCPI must be enhanced. Otherwise individual support modules can be developed without modifying the core infrastructure layers of OpenCPI. Later sections of this document describe the requirements and process of enabling each type of element in the system. Processors must be supported for both development (e.g. compilation) and runtime. Devices and interconnects are enabled for runtime only.

Since OpenCPI is open source software, it is very desirable to contribute new or extended support modules back to the community since most such modules will likely be used in other systems.

A key aspect of supporting new system elements is obtaining the necessary technical information and tools, and in some cases performing a variety of experiments to assess feasibility and derive otherwise unavailable information. When the information is not available from public sources (such as data sheets for device ICs), NDAs or other confidentiality agreements may be required. Vendors may refuse to supply the necessary information, leaving reverse engineering the only option.

Since OpenCPI, especially on FPGAs, operates directly on the FPGA devices and usually interacts directly with attached devices, either the vendor must supply the required device workers or supply the information required to develop them. Preexisting FPGA drivers for attached devices that were not written with OpenCPI in mind are usually unsuitable as is, and must be either modified or replaced. In some cases then can be “wrapped”, although this may result in the addition of undesired overhead.

2.4 Process Template for Enabling OpenCPI on a New System

Here is a list of steps normally required to enable a new system for OpenCPI. The first four steps are used to establish a clear base of information to estimate and plan the effort. This section may be useful for managers planning the effort, and includes non-technical aspects of the process.

2.4.1 System inventory

Collect information to determine the rough **scope of the effort**.

This is the basic inventory of the relevant parts of the system, each of which needs to be considered in planning to enable the system.

Develop the list of processors, interconnects, and devices in the system that are relevant to OpenCPI applications, establishing the system breakdown. This is usually a “block diagram” and “data sheet” exercise.

The time required for this activity depends to a large extent on whether complete information about the target system is unavailable or unduly restricted.

2.4.2 Processor, interconnect and device assessment

Evaluate the state of support currently within OpenCPI and identify additional technical efforts are likely to be required. These assessments establish a rough level of effort, without necessarily establishing feasibility. A ROM (rough order or magnitude) LOE (level of effort) can be established.

This effort requires matching technical support requirements with the current state of support in OpenCPI, and thus would require either gaining some familiarity with the OpenCPI supported hardware universe, or engaging with a group that is already familiar with it.

2.4.2.1 For each processor, determine the level of support within OpenCPI:

- Currently supported (e.g. Zynq ARM processor, Intel AMD x86 processor)
- Variant supported (e.g. Virtex6 vs. Virtex 7 FPGA)
- New processor of existing type (e.g. PPC CPU vs. ARM CPU)
- New class of processor (e.g. Adapteva Multicore). This requires new work usually outside the scope of “enabling a new system”.

2.4.2.2 For each processor, determine the level of tool chain support within OpenCPI:

- Currently supported by OpenCPI
- Requires a version upgrade/downgrade/variant of what is currently supported.
- Not currently supported by OpenCPI.

2.4.2.3 For each interconnect, determine level of support required for each processor type that is attached to it:

- Currently supported
- Requires updating or enhancements (e.g. PCI-E gen3x8, vs. PCI-E gen2x4).
- Not currently supported for processor type (e.g. Ethernet L2 on FPGAs).

2.4.2.4 For each device attached to a processor or on a required card, determine the level of support within OpenCPI and identify the additional technical efforts likely to be required the each device.

- Currently supported
- Requires updating or enhancement.
- Not currently supported, but similar devices are supported as a model.
- Not supported and different from any existing supported devices.

2.4.3 Assemble Technical Data Package

For all elements requiring updated or new support, collect information necessary to perform the enabling technical development, and to establish more detailed work estimates. The information required here is more comprehensive than the basic information required above: it must be sufficient to perform the needed development. This effort will establish the availability of appropriate information to perform the technical developments. It will also find any roadblocks to obtaining the information (vendor unwillingness, legacy unavailability).

In the particular case of device support, some vendors may not expose sufficient information to support their devices in the absence of their own “drivers” that embed their ICD (interface control document) information they consider a trade secret. Such positioning by vendors may make optimal support for OpenCPI challenging or impossible..

Required information for processors/FPGAs include:

- Tool requirements (which tools, which settings, cost)
- Connectivity technical details (e.g. how interconnects, devices and slots are connected, including pin-outs etc.)

Require information for devices include:

- Device data sheets or equivalent functional and interface documentation.
- Programming/application guides.
- Appropriate/relevant existing support modules in OpenCPI's
- How the devices are attached to the processors. (e.g. ICD)

As part of this effort, any additional required feasibility experiments or reverse engineering tasks are identified. These are tasks to fill in the information gaps in order to have a high confidence work estimates and plans.

2.4.4 Experiments to Establish Feasibility and Missing Information

There are typically uncertainties and gaps in technical documentation, and in some cases the information is unavailable due to proprietary restrictions. In any case, as a final step to enable accurate work breakdowns and time/cost estimates, there are usually a set of tasks involving hands-on experience with the target system prior to the actual technical developments. Such experiments are derived from the process of the above tasks (i.e. discovering knowledge gaps), and may include:

- Verify and/or establish functional or performance capabilities of the system that are missing or questionable from the information obtained earlier.
- Reverse-engineer missing ICD aspects (assuming no legal impediments).

These hands-on efforts establish the final information to plan and budget for the effort of enabling a new system for OpenCPI. This activity depends on access to a real system. When the vendor is performing the work for their own hardware, this task may be unnecessary.

2.4.5 Planning and Specification

This phase of enabling a system is specifying the technical capabilities to be achieved for OpenCPI on the target system, and planning the tasks to develop and verify each functionality. The specifications are mostly based on achieving functionality that already exists on other systems, so the specifications are mostly references to other existing documents, with particular options, exceptions, or limitations highlighted.

Every system element not currently supported requires a development task, while all system elements, including those supposedly already supported, should still have a verification task planned.

In some cases, supporting a new system may in fact introduce new classes of support for OpenCPI, in which case the specifications will need to define functionality more fully. If such specifications are made available to the OpenCPI community, they can end up being a common template for such support on other systems. Otherwise the functionality would be described as the existing baseline for similar devices, with any core enhancements clearly specified.

The tasks defined here will be of types described more fully in other sections of this document, where the various types of platform development are described in detail.

2.4.6 Technical Development

The various technical development tasks are of the types corresponding to specific sections of this document. Each type in the following list may or may not be required to enable a given system. Small updates or enhancements to existing modules are common.

- Enabling a new development system (including native development and execution)
- New GPP development tools

- New FPGA tools
- New GPP platform
- New interconnect for GPP platforms
- New interconnect for FPGA platforms
- New FPGA platform
- New FPGA device
- New FPGA cards

2.4.7 Verification

Verification requirements may be very project-specific, but for each system element, a baseline verification should be defined, including specific characterization, both manual and automated.

2.4.8 Contribution

To reduce all efforts at system enablement for OpenCPI, it is strongly encouraged (or in some cases required by licensing), that enhancements to existing support modules, and new support modules for widely available processors, interconnects and devices be contributed back to the appropriate repositories.

3 Enabling the Development Host

Before any platform-specific tools are installed on the development host, the basic development configuration must be established for OpenCPI. The ***OpenCPI Installation Guide*** describes the installation process for *supported* development hosts, but the basic steps are:

- Installation of the OS with suitable options and packages.
- Installation of native development tools to drive OpenCPI's build system on the development host.
- Retrieving current source code for OpenCPI
- Establish a build environment with appropriate options
- Building the core OpenCPI software targeting the development host
- Building and testing the OpenCPI component libraries and example applications

Building the core software for the development host includes building OpenCPI tool executables, as well as runtime libraries that support both tool execution as well as application/component execution on the development host.

This section addresses issues specific to development hosts, while following sections address issues for any target platform for execution, which also includes development hosts.

For a new development host, the following aspects must be defined, documented, and in some cases, new scripts and software will be required:

- Operating system installation/configuration
- Retrieval of OpenCPI source distribution.
- Installation of required packages that are available as options with the OS distribution.
- Installation of other required packages that require specific download/installations.

The first two steps are generally manual, requiring written instructions. The latter two are scripted. Both the instructions and scripts should be submitted back to the OpenCPI source distribution for the benefit of other users of the same development host installation.

3.1 Operating System Installation

While an existing development system with various software installed can certainly be used as a development host, it is valuable to make a clean installation from scratch to avoid configuration conflicts and problems that simply arise from a mis-match or mis-configuration of the environment. While most OS installations have a number of manual steps, they should still be written down so they can be reliably repeated on new systems. Scripts should be written when a number of steps can be automated, being careful to avoid dependencies on other software this early in the installation process.

See the installation guide for instructions on OS installation for the typical development hosts. Ideally, a new development host should have a new section written about it in the installation guide document so others can benefit, including:

- How to get the OS (DVD, download, etc.).
- How to perform the installation, with options at least appropriate for OpenCPI development.
- How to update the OS to the most recent patch level.
- How to obtain/download the OpenCPI code base.

This (normally manual) new procedure should be included in the README file in the platform's directory. Note that the last step is the first point where any OpenCPI support scripts become available. The last step, retrieving the OpenCPI source distribution, could consist of network download or downloading to another system and burning CDs/DVDs, or even installing additional tools in order to accomplish the download. Typically, for network attached systems, it is simply accomplished by using:

```
% git clone https://github.com/opencpi/opencpi.git
```

Once the OpenCPI source distribution is present, scripts to finish the installation can be run out of that tree (after they are created and added to the OpenCPI repository). The remaining instructions assume you are in the directory created by the git clone command, i.e. you must then do:

```
% cd opencpi
```

In summary, the task to enable this first aspect of the installation is to create the README file explaining the initial OS installation, and the retrieval of the OpenCPI distribution. Create the new directory under **platforms**, named after the development platform, and put the README file in that directory.

3.2 Development Tools Installation for the Development Host.

Most of the remaining steps for enabling the development host are the same as those for supporting any runtime platform, and are explained in the **Enable GPP Platforms** section below. Prior to the installation of any target-platform-specific tools, a basic set of tools must be installed on the development host that will support development for all platforms.

OpenCPI development requires a number of tools to be available. These required tools fall into two categories:

- Tools typically part of a standard OS and development environment installation.
- Tools that OpenCPI has specific scripts for downloading and installing.

The first category should be installed by a new script written to run on development host platforms, and placed in the specific platform's subdirectory under the name `<platform>-packages.sh`. This script does what is necessary to perform a standard installation (presumably globally on the system for all users) of at least the following software tools:

- make
- git
- C/C++ compiler tool chain
- python

This script should be written assuming a minimal OS installation has been performed. I.e. it should not assume the OS is already a typical development system installation.

For OpenCPI binary distributions using the RPM or similar package management schemes, this script would be unnecessary since the installation would automatically deal with such dependencies.

For reference, the contents of this script for CentOS6, with the name:

```
platforms/centos6/centos6-packages.sh
```

is currently:

```
#!/bin/sh
# Install prerequisite packages for CentOS6
echo Installing standard extra packages using "yum"
sudo yum -y groupinstall "development tools"
echo Installing packages required: tcl pax python-devel
sudo yum -y install tcl pax python-devel fakeroot redhat-lsb-core
echo Installing 32 bit libraries '(really only required for modelsim)'
sudo yum -y install glibc.i686 libXft.i686 libXext.i686 ncurses-libs.i686
```

Sometimes extra tools here are required for various tools for other target platforms. In this example above, some 32 bit libraries are included because they were discovered to be needed by the Modelsim FPGA simulation tool. It was convenient, for users, to put them here for all installations rather than install them as needed for some tools. The `tcl`, `fakeroot`, and `redhat-lsb-core` packages are not needed by OpenCPI itself, but are required to install some other packages used with OpenCPI.

This script is run by the higher level script `install-prerequisites.sh`.

After creating this script, the remaining steps for enabling the development host are the same as for any GPP platform (development or embedded/target).

4 Enabling GPP Platforms

There are two different aspects for enabling a GPP (General Purpose Processor) platform: development/cross development, and execution. Enabling for development allows building component and application binaries for the platform and enables building the OpenCPI core runtime libraries and runtime command line tools for execution on the target platform.

To execute on GPP platforms, new additions or modifications to the OpenCPI core software may be required. The core software is highly portable and is supported on a number of platforms and environments. However, it is common for a new compiler, toolchain, or system libraries to require adjustments to the OpenCPI core software. Here are a few reasons that require modifications to OpenCPI core software:

- New compilers have new correct warnings that should be addressed.
- System headers conform better to standards, requiring new correct header inclusion.
- Some compilers are “dumber” than others, requiring code be “dumbed down” to avoid language or library features that are not universally supported.
- Some compilers are stricter than others, requiring code that was previously accepted to be “tightened up” to be strictly compliant and accepted.

These reasons may result in modifications to the core OpenCPI software, but they should not and cannot make that software stop working on existing supported platforms. Any such modifications should be done with care, and whenever possible, the modifications should be retested on existing supported platforms.

4.1 Enabling Development for New GPP Platforms

GPP (software) platforms are established by creating a new directory under the `platforms/` directory in the OpenCPI source tree. The name of the directory (the platform's name) should be a lower case name that usually includes a OS major version after the name of the OS. In particular, different versions of Linux should be named by their distribution name or organization providing the OS. Examples for “platforms” are: `rhel5`, `centos6`, `centos7`, `ubuntu14`, `xilinx13_4`, `macos10_12`. The concept is that each “platform” has binary compatibility within its minor versioning, but not *necessarily* with major versioning.

Whether a GPP platform is the development host itself, or an embedded GPP using cross-development, the tool chain must be established on a development host. For development hosts, there is typically a default tool chain that is installed globally in the system for any development task on that system. This is the purpose of the `platforms/<platform>/<platform>-packages.sh` script.

When the tool chain desired for OpenCPI execution on the development host is *not* the default tool chain for the development host, then it is installed separately much like other cross-compiler tool chains for embedded hosts.

Thus development tools for GPP platforms are established in three ways:

1. The development host's globally installed default tools.
2. A specific prerequisite installation in OpenCPI's own installation area.
3. A side effect of another tool installation that it is part of.

The first case is the default for development hosts. The second is used either:

- on a development host when OpenCPI should not use the default global tool chain installation, or
- for normal cross-tool installation

The third is used when such an installation by itself is not desired since the tool chain is part of a separate package installation. An example of this third case is the Xilinx FPGA tool set which also includes the cross-compiler tool chain for the ARM CPUs that are inside the Xilinx Zynq SoC (FPGA + dual ARM core).

OpenCPI has a “prerequisites area” (normally at `/opt/opencpi/prerequisites`), where various software package installations are placed so that they do not interfere with other global software installations. Both runtime library prerequisites and tool prerequisites are typically installed here. It is also acceptable to install tools in a global location, but such an installation may not be acceptable for all users. Hence all the built-in prerequisite installations that are standard for OpenCPI are installed in this sandbox area.

The primary tasks for enabling GPP cross-development are to:

- Develop a script for installing the tool chain package in the prerequisites area (unless the platform *is* the development host, and the standard tool chain is used).

- Define the full target specification for the platform.
- Develop a **make** setup script for OpenCPI cross development of the OpenCPI core software.
- Develop a cross-development script for RCC component development.

4.1.1 *Developing a Tool Chain Installation Script*

A tool chain is one aspect of installing software on a development host. It is not a script to install everything for a software platform or development host. It installs the tools to build for a given software platform. The term `<tool>` in the names below is the name of a tool, not the name of a software platform. It might be something like **g++-cross-arm**.

If the tool chain is truly specific to the platform, this script should be located in the **platforms** directory in the file named:

```
platforms/<platform>/install-<tool>.sh
```

Otherwise it should be in:

```
scripts/install-<tool>.sh
```

In many cases the tool chain for a development host is pre-installed at the system level, and no installation script is required. Typically only cross-compilation tools require such a script. If a tool chain is available for direct download and installation using package installation tools like **yum** or **apt-get**, the installation script may be unnecessary.

This script should follow the pattern of other install scripts in the scripts directory, in particular:

- Placing the package in the prerequisites area.
- Downloading from a known internet location if at all possible.
- If not possible, then instruct the user to get the installation file somehow and where to put it (see the **scripts/install-opensplice.sh** for an example of this).
- Making the script clean up a previous installation when performing a new one.
- This script should source the installation setup script called **scripts/setup-install.sh**, which should be *sourced* early in the script.
- This script should be expected to be called from the root of the OpenCPI tree.

A simple example of these scripts is in:

```
scripts/install-gtest.sh
```

This script can then be called manually or become part of a platform installation script.

4.1.2 *Define the Full Target Specification for the Platform.*

A target specification file must be placed in the platform's directory with the name **target**. This file is a single line with the target specification consisting of three fields separated by hyphens, which is also referred to as the “target triple” later in this

document. It is used during all compilations in OpenCPI to identify the target for the platform. The CentOS6 target (in the file: `platforms/centos6/target`), is:

```
linux-c6-x86_64
```

The first field is the operating system name (another example is `macos`). The second field is the “OS version”, and the third is the “machine” or “processor” or “architecture” being compiled for, commonly returned from the Linux utility `uname -m`.

The OS version field is normally a single letter assigned to the OS distribution (`c` for CentOS, `u` for Ubuntu, `r` for RedHat, `x` for Xilinx etc.), followed by a major version number.

The third field is the machine architecture that should be shared across operating systems. E.g. `x86_64` is for the 64 bit version of the Intel x86 architecture, and is used for all Linux and MacOS targets for that architecture.

4.1.3 Files to Provide Settings for Make Variables for Framework Development

The file `<platform>-target.mk` must be created and should define default values for `make` variables needed during framework development. They only apply to building the OpenCPI framework, and not for component development.

The most common variable setting in these files is for compiler warning options, e.g.:

```
export OCPI_TARGET_CXXFLAGS+=-Wno-sign-conversion
```

or

```
export OCPI_TARGET_CXXFLAGS+=-std=c++0x
```

In this file, the range of settings is best understood by examining all the existing platforms.

4.1.4 Script to Recognize the Currently Running Platform

The final step is to ensure that the script `platforms/getPlatform.sh` recognizes when it is running on this new platform and return the new target consistent with the others, with three values: OS, OSVersion, Machine. This is done by writing a script, `platforms/<platform>-check.sh`, which recognizes this particular platform, and if it does, returns the three items in the target triple (without hyphens) on one line of standard output. When run, the script is provided with two arguments:

- A lower-cased operating system name as returned by the `uname -s` command.
- A lower-cased machine hardware name as returned by the `uname -m` command.

The `platforms/getPlatform.sh` command tries to run all `<platform>-check.sh` scripts it finds in any directory under the `platforms/` directory. When a `<platform>-check.sh` script succeeds on a platform, the `platforms/getPlatform.sh` command will return the three fields followed by the target-triple and the platform name. If the file `platforms/platform-list` exists, it contains platform names, one per line, to provide a specific ordering of platforms to check by `platforms/getPlatform.sh`. Otherwise, all existing platforms are searched in alphabetical order.

On a CentOS6 platform, this happens:

```
% platforms/getPlatform.sh
linux c6 x86_64 linux-c6-x86_64 centos6
```

On a MacOS platform this happens:

```
% platforms/getPlatform.sh
macos 10_11 x86_64 macos-10_11-x86_64 macos10_11
```

So when the `<platform>-check.sh` file is complete, the new platform will act similarly.

4.1.5 Developing a Cross-development Component Build Script

While the above environment setup script (`<platform>-target.mk`) is used when building the core code of OpenCPI (libraries and executables), a different script is used when building software components. This allows for building components for multiple targets in a single pass.

This script is in the file:

```
platforms/rcc=<dev-platform>=<target-platform>.mk
```

The script is specifically describing the tools to use when building on a particular development platform targeting a particular target GPP platform. An example is the file:

```
platforms/rcc=centos6=xilinx13_4.mk
```

which contains the script to compile for the `xilinx13_4` software platform (the ARM processor in a Zynq chip running the 2013-q4 version of the Xilinx Linux distribution) when the development host is CentOS6 Linux on an X86_64 (64-bit x86) processor.

These scripts are responsible for setting the following environment variables whose names include the target name (in this case `linux-xilinx13_4-arm`):

- `Gc_linux-x13_4-arm`
- `Gc_LINK_linux-x13_4-arm`
- `Gc++_linux-x13_4-arm`
- `Gc++_LINK_linux-x13_4-arm`

These variables are the compile and link commands for C and C++ components respectively.

4.1.6 Summary for Enabling Development for a New GPP Platform

- Create an installation script to install the tool chain on the development host
- Create files to define environment and `make` variables for building the OpenCPI core software and C/C++ components.
- Create a script to recognize the platform when running on it.
- Test the installation and build of standard OpenCPI prerequisite software packages.

- Build the OpenCPI core and make adjustments to the code for issues that arise.

4.2 *Enabling Execution for GPP Platforms*

Execution on GPP platforms requires some command line tools, libraries, and device drivers. The core OpenCPI libraries and command-line tools are built using the appropriate compiler as installed above. Once the development host has been enabled, and the OpenCPI core and example components have been built, a few additional steps are required to enable *execution* on the platform.

Several OpenCPI runtime libraries have aspects that use conditional compilation depending on the system or CPU being targeted. The current OpenCPI core libraries have significant Linux dependencies and in several files there is conditional code between Linux and MacOS (such as low level networking details). There are a few areas that have conditional code depending on the CPU being used, such as realtime high resolution timing registers in the CPU. These customizations are not well defined. For new CPU architectures and new operating systems not based on Linux, the code must be examined for these issues, which will typically cause compilation errors.

Setting up a development system for execution is nearly automatic once the build environment is set up and any required code changes in the OpenCPI runtime libraries. For execution on development systems, loading the kernel driver (using the `ocpidriver` command), and setting the PATH environment variable correctly, and setting the OCPI_CDK_DIR environment variable is usually sufficient. These are normal installation steps.

For embedded systems, the setup is more customized.

4.2.1 *Creating a Bootable Image or SD card for an Embedded Platform*

[This section is incomplete. An example for Zynq platforms are the scripts in the platforms/zynq directory].

5 Enabling FPGA Platforms

Whereas GPP platforms have operating systems that OpenCPI uses to interface with the hardware surrounding the processor, FPGA platforms do not. OpenCPI provides an infrastructure on FPGAs, which requires FPGA logic that is specific to the platform, analogous to a “board support package” that adapts an embedded operating system to a given hardware “board” and associated devices.

Consistent with the definition of **platform** given earlier, here we more narrowly define an FPGA **platform** as a particular, single FPGA on some hardware (board). If a board has multiple OpenCPI-usable FPGAs, each is a platform and each may host a container in which components (actually: *worker instances*) execute. An OpenCPI-usable FPGA is one that can host user-written workers executing in an OpenCPI HDL container.

An FPGA simulator may also be an FPGA platform, which is also a place where OpenCPI HDL workers may execute, with the same infrastructure as physical FPGA platforms.

When an SoC, like the Xilinx Zynq chip, contains a section of FPGA logic as well as processor cores, the FPGA part is an FPGA platform and the GPP processor core(s) are a GPP software platform. Thus the SoC is indeed a “system on chip”: a system with two platforms and an interconnect between them.

Analogous to preparing the support for a GPP platform, enabling an FPGA platform involves steps to enable the development environment, and steps to enable the run-time/execution environment.

Enabling development for a platform involves:

- Installing and integrating a development tool chain that can target the FPGA device on the platform (when one is not already installed that applies to the new platform).
- Verifying that the integrated tool chain can process and build all the core OpenCPI FPGA code and portable components when targeting the FPGA platform's part and part family.

Enabling runtime execution for a non-simulation platform involves:

- Writing specific new VHDL code that supports the particulars of the hardware attached to the FPGA on the platform.
- Updating software drivers to load/unload configuration bitstreams.
- Verifying that the various platform-independent FPGA test applications execute on the platform.

5.1 Physical FPGA Platforms

Platforms are specific FPGAs on a board connected locally to:

- Local I/O devices: ADC, DAC, DRAM, Flash, GbE, etc.
- Interconnects: PCIe, Ethernet, etc. used to talk to other OpenCPI platforms
- Slots: FMC, HSMC, mezzanine card slots.

For example, a Xilinx ML605 has PCI Express interconnect, DRAM, and 2 FMC slots (and other minor devices).

For each device on a platform, specific development may be required (described in the Device Development section below). In many cases existing device support may be reused, since OpenCPI FPGA device support is typically done in a way that is sharable across platforms.

Ideally, new device support is developed such that it can be reused across platforms.

Physical FPGA platforms are based on a particular type of FPGA chip: e.g., a Xilinx ML605 development board has a Virtex6 FPGA (xc6vlx240t), with a speed grade and a package.

Examples of physical FPGA platforms are:

Table 6: Example FPGA/HDL Platforms

Board	Part	Interconnect(s)	Description
ML605	Virtex6	PCIe	Xilinx PCIe-based Virtex6 development board, with 2 FMC slots
ZedBoard	Zynq/PL	AXI internal	Digilent Zynq Development Board HDL Platform is the “PL” side of the Zynq SoC, with the PL-attached devices and one FMC slot
ALST4	Stratix4	PCIe	Intel/Altera PCIe-based Stratix4 development board with 2 HSMC slots.
ZC706	Zynq/PL	AXI Internal PCIe external	Xilinx PCIe-based Zynq development board with 2 FMC slots. HDL Platform is the “PL” side of the Zynq SoC, with the PL-attached devices, two FMC slots, and an attachment to the PCIe interconnect.

•

5.2 Simulator FPGA Platforms

OpenCPI provides a software runtime infrastructure to make execution on simulators as similar as possible to execution on physical FPGAs, without simulating any external device-related logic. The simulation execution environment makes execution on different simulators also similar to each other. Multiple simulator instances may execute simultaneously subject to any license restrictions allowing only a certain number of simulator instances to run at the same time.

At the time of this writing, only mixed-language simulators (VHDL and Verilog) may be enabled and used with OpenCPI.

Examples of supported FPGA simulators include:

- Xilinx Isim from ISE 14.7
- Mentor Modelsim DE 10.2
- Xilinx Vivado Xsim 2016.4 (in Q3 2017)

Other simulators that may be supported include:

- Aldec

5.3 *Enabling Development for FPGA Platforms*

5.3.1 *Installing the Tool Chain*

For tools not currently supported by OpenCPI, document the basic process of obtaining and installing the tools, highlighting any options or configurations that must be specialized, customized, or simply required for using OpenCPI. Licensing is also an issue for many FPGA tools.

Note that OpenCPI executes FPGA tools in “wrapper scripts” that perform any necessary initialization or setup, including license setup. Thus, for OpenCPI, there is no need for login-time startup scripts. In fact, such scripts can actually cause problems in many cases since OpenCPI frequently invokes multiple alternative tool sets under a single build command. Polluting your environment with settings from multiple tools and vendors is frequently a source of problems.

For OpenCPI development it is recommended to remove any such “automatic setup at login” items that the tools installation process inserts into your login script(s), and put them in an a separate script that is used as needed.

Some FPGA tool chain installations include a software tool chain for embedded cores on SoCs. This means that one tool installation supports both the FPGA platform and the GPP platform. E.g. a Xilinx ISE installation may include the EDK sub-package that supports cross-compilation for the ARM cores on the Zynq SoC.

5.3.2 *Integrating the Tool Chain into the OpenCPI HDL Build Process*

[This is a large topic that is not fully documented].

This process includes enabling the OpenCPI FPGA build process to use the right tools to target the “part family” of the FPGA device on the platform. For example, on the “zed” platform, the family of the FPGA part is “zynq”. On the “ml605” platform, the family of the FPGA part is “virtex6”. The required tools for a platform's FPGA may already be installed and integrated, and may already support the particular part family of the platform's FPGA. If not, that support must be added to the integration of those tools.

So the integration process is:

1. If the required tools are not currently integrated with OpenCPI, they must be integrated (not a small job).
2. If the required tools are currently integrated with OpenCPI, but do not yet support the part family, that support must be added.

The database of part families, and their relationships to tools and vendors, is in the file:

```
tools/cdk/include/hdl/hdl-targets.mk
```

The scripts that wrap and execute FPGA tools are found in the directory:

```
tools/cdk/include/hdl
```

5.3.3 Building All the Existing Vendor-independent HDL Code

Nearly all HDL code (mostly VHDL) in OpenCPI is portable and can be built (compiled and synthesized) for all part families and vendors and simulators. This portable HDL code can be built using the “make hdlportable” command in the top level directory of OpenCPI, supplying the targets (part families) is required.

Here is a command that builds all the portable code in OpenCPI for currently supported part families (known as “HdlTargets” in the OpenCPI FPGA build process):

```
make hdlportable \
    HdlTargets='isim modelsim virtex6 virtex5 \
                stratix4 stratix5 zynq spartan3adsp'
```

This build command builds all primitive libraries and cores as well as all HDL workers in the OpenCPI core tree. It stops short of building anything specific to an HDL platform.

Some of the code built using the above command is explicitly labeled to **only build** for certain targets or to **not build** for some targets, but most is truly portable and will build for all targets. Once this build command succeeds for the target (part family) of the platform, you can proceed with the steps below to write the HDL code necessary to enable execution on the platform.

5.3.4 Scripts for HDL Platforms

For physical platforms (not simulation), there are two scripts that must be also placed in the platform's directory, *if they apply to the platform*:

- A JTAG support script to enable JTAG-based bitstream loading, whose name is: `jtagSupport_<platform>` (only for platforms with JTAG bitstream loading)
- A boot-flash loading script to enable scripted loading of bitstreams to the boot flash, whose name is: `loadFlash_<platform>` (for platforms with boot-flash loading capabilities)

In some cases the appropriate script might be already be written for a different platform, in which case one platform's script can be a symbolic link to the other. In other cases there might be a vendor script (e.g. for all Xilinx or all Intel/Altera) which may live in the `hdl/vendors/<vendor>` directory.

For simulation platforms there must be a script to invoke the simulator from the OpenCPI runtime framework. This script must be called: `runSimExec.<platform>` and live in the platform's `hdl/platforms/<platform>` directory.

5.4 Enabling Execution for FPGA platforms

This section assumes the reader is familiar with component and application development with OpenCPI, including developing HDL application workers and assemblies as described in the **OpenCPI HDL Development Guide**.

An OpenCPI HDL hardware platform is an FPGA with associated devices and slots attached to its pins. Supporting a platform requires determining whether the types of devices and slots attached to the FPGA are already supported by OpenCPI.

If the devices attached to the FPGA are not yet supported in OpenCPI, that support must also be added. Device support in OpenCPI is generally portable (i.e. the device support code can be used to support the same device on different platforms and cards). This type of device support is done separate from a platform or card so it is naturally reused on other platforms or cards. Some device support is very platform-specific and is associated with a particular platform. The device support process is described in the [Device Support for FPGA Platforms](#) section below.

If a platform has slot types that are not yet supported, that support must be added. Slot types are defined by specific physical connectors, electrical signaling and direction, and pin and signal name assignments. See the section [Slots — How Cards Plug into Platforms](#) below.

The term **card** is used in OpenCPI to mean a card with additional devices that may be plugged into a compatible **slot** on various **platforms**. Thus devices may be directly attached to the pins of the platform FPGA, or they may exist on a plug-in card that, when plugged into a slot, become attached to the platform FPGA. In this latter case such devices are not considered part of the platform, but part of the **card**, which might be plugged into a certain type of slot on any platform. See the section [Defining Cards Containing Devices that Plug into Slots of Platforms](#) outline

The asset types in a project that support HDL platforms are:

HDL Device Worker — a specific type of HDL worker that supports external devices attached to FPGAs

HDL Platform Worker — a specific type of HDL worker providing infrastructure for implementing control/data interfaces to devices and interconnects external to the FPGA or simulator (e.g. PCI Express, Clocks)

HDL Slot Type Definition — a specification of the pins and signals that are present in a type of slot that may be present on platforms, into which compatible cards may be plugged.

HDL Card Definition — a specification that includes the slot type of a card, the devices present, and how they are wired to the slot.

HDL Platform Configuration — a prebuilt (presynthesized) assembly of device-level HDL workers that represent a particular configuration of device support modules for a given HDL platform. The HDL code is *automatically generated* from a brief description in XML

All these asset types are initially created using the `ocpidev` tool.

The directories (in any project) where platform support files are placed (by `ocpidev`) are:

`hdl/devices` — a component library for portable device workers and proxies

`hdl/cards` — a component library for card-specific device workers

`hdl/cards/specs` — a directory where cards and slot types are defined

`hdl/platforms` — a directory where platform workers and associated platform configurations are placed

`hdl/platforms/<platform>` — a platform worker's directory that also contains its platform configuration

`hdl/platforms/<platform>/devices` — a component library for platform-specific device workers and proxies for `<platform>`

HDL platform support starts with deciding on a name for the platform (usually a lower-cased version of the name used by the vendor of the platform), and using `ocpidev create hdl platform` to create a directory and associated files using the `ocpidev` tool. In that directory there will be an initial `Makefile`, an initial platform description XML file and an initial source code skeleton for the platform worker.

In summary, the steps to enabling an HDL platform for execution are, (assuming the development tools are enabled):

- Take inventory of the platform by identifying its interconnects, method of reprogramming, device, and slots
- Define the platform worker, with associated hardware-related metadata and files.
 - Address/configure interconnect issues of the platform worker
 - Build the platform worker (perhaps a skeleton) and a simple complete bitstream
- Implement reprogramming (a.k.a. bitstream loading) of the FPGA
- Implement the platform worker and perform basic tests, with *no* devices enabled.
- Define any new slot types and add them to OpenCPI.
- Define and establish “skeletons” for all new devices on the platform.
- Define the platform with all devices and slots, build and do basic tests.
- Implement any new devices for the platform, and test the platform *with* devices (both pre-existing and new).
- Test slots using supported cards.

The next two sections (signals and slots), define XML elements required by platforms. Following that, the specifics of platform worker XML files are detailed.

5.4.1 Signal Declaration XML Elements for Devices, Platforms, Slots and Cards

Signal declaration XML elements are used in a number of contexts in supporting HDL platforms, cards and devices. They declare name, direction, width and other

characteristics. Any specific rules or constraints for each context are specified in the respective sections, but the common aspects of signal declarations are described here.

An example of a signal declaration element is:

```
<signal output='data' width='16' />
```

which defines an output signal array 16 wide named **data**. The attributes to a **signal** element are: **input**, **output**, **inout**, **bidirectional**, **differential**, and **width**. Depending on the direction of the signal, there are other attributes that determine the actual signal names.

5.4.1.1 Signal Direction Attributes

The signal name and direction are defined in a single attribute:

Input='<name>' — identifies a signal as an input and provides its name.

Output='<name>' — identifies a signal as an output from and provides its name.

Inout='<name>' — identifies a signal as a tristate signal and provides its name.

Bidirectional='<name>' — identifies a signal as usable in either direction and provides its name

The direction is relative to the asset being defined in the XML file (device, platform or card). When defining slot types, it is relative to the platform. In a platform definition, **input** means input to the platform worker. For a device, it is input to the device. Declaring a signal **bidirectional** means the signal may be either direction but when actually used, the direction will be inferred from what is connected to the signal.

An **inout** signal inside an FPGA implies a bundle of three signals (in, out, output-enable) which, when attached to a pin/pad of the FPGA may result in a single tristate signal external to the FPGA. The names of the three associated signals is determined by adding the suffixes: **_i**, **_o**, **_oe** respectively. These default suffixes can be overridden for a signal using the **in**, **out**, **oe** attributes, where **%s** in these attributes represents the name in the **inout** attribute. For example, this declaration:

```
<signal inout='mySig' oe='The%sEnable' in='%s_in' out='%sDriven' />
```

would imply the three signal names: **mySig_in**, **mySigDriven**, and **ThemySigEnable**, rather than the default: **mySig_i**, **mySig_o**, and **mySig_oe**. These suffixes will be converted to upper case when the signal name is entirely upper case.

Whether this bundle of three signals is implied for **inout** signals depends on the context of the **signal** element. When HDL containers are generated by OpenCPI, a tristate I/O pin is generated with the single external signal and the three internal signals.

These direction and signal type attributes allow OpenCPI to perform error checking for connections and implement the correct tie-offs and I/O primitives for top-level signals in a design.

5.4.1.2 Width Attribute of Signal Elements

This attribute specifies that the signal is an array of signals with the width specified in the value of the attribute. Each element of the array is an individual signal with a zero-origin index enclosed in parentheses. When VHDL or Verilog code is being generated, the array is defined and used appropriate for the language. This if the signal is defined as:

```
<signal input='data' width='3' />
```

The signals are `data (0)`, `data (1)`, `data (2)`.

5.4.1.3 Differential Attribute of Signal Elements

This boolean attribute specifies, when true, that the signal is differential and represents a pair of signals with the suffixes `p` and `n` representing the positive and negative of the pair respectively. These default suffixes can be overridden using the `pos` and `neg` attributes, where `%s` in the value of those attributes represent the name defined in the direction attribute.

For example, this declaration:

```
<signal input='mySig' differential='1' pos='P_%s' neg='%sN' />
```

would imply the two signal names: `P_mySig` and `mySigN` rather than the default: `mySigp`, and `mySign`.

These suffixes will be converted to upper case when the signal name is entirely upper case. It is invalid to specify an `inout` signal as `differential`.

5.4.2 Slots — How Cards Plug into Platforms

As mentioned earlier, platforms can have **slots**, which are an intrinsic part of the platform, and enable cards to be plugged in that add devices to the platform. Such cards may be plugged in to any platform that has compatible slots. Slot types are defined independently and then used when describing platforms and cards. A platform has slots of defined types, and cards which are designed for the same slot type may be plugged into the defined slots on that platform. A common slot type is the FMC (FPGA Mezzanine Card), which is defined by the VITA standards organization as VITA-57.1. In fact this standard defines two slot types: FMC-LPC (Low Pin Count using a connector with 160 pins), and FMC-HPC (High Pin Count using a connector with 400 pins). These slot types are already defined in OpenCPI.

So before platforms or cards are defined, slot types must be defined. Then a platform or card definition refers to slot types of known predefined types. Defining new slot types generally does not involve writing HDL code, just writing descriptive metadata in XML.

A slot type is defined in an XML file placed in the `hdl/cards/specs` directory of an OpenCPI project by `ocpidev`. The name of the file is `<slot-type>.xml`, where the `<slot-type>` must be a name that can be used in programming languages (i.e. use underscores rather than hyphens), but is otherwise case insensitive. The name should normally be the exact name used in whatever standard document defines the slot type.

The slot definition file contains a top-level XML element `SlotType`, with an optional `name` attribute that must match the name of the file without the `.xml` suffix. This top-level element contains `signal` child elements as defined above in [Signal Declaration XML Elements for Devices, Platforms, Slots and Cards](#). When a pin in a slot is defined to be used in either direction, it should be declared `bidirectional`.

A slot type is associated with one or more connectors, and the pins of the connectors are numbered. When a slot type is standardized, each pin of each connector is given both a physical pin identifier as well as a signal name. OpenCPI uses only the signal names, although it is useful to put the pin identifiers in a comment next to each signal definition.

The direction of signals in a slot are specified relative to the platform side of the slot (sometimes called the *carrier* side or the *motherboard* side). Thus if the signal is sourced on the card (*output* from the card), it is *input* to the platform. Thus such a slot signal is defined as an *input* signal for the slot type.

Signals defined as `inout` in a slot type do not imply the three signals that are implied for such signals when *inside* an FPGA. The signal is singular and associated with a single pin.

An example small slot type XML file would contain:

```
<SlotType name='myslottype'>
  <signal input='present' />           <!-- Pin K1 -->
  <signal bidirectional='util0' />     <!-- Pin K4 -->
  <signal output='data' width='4' />   <!-- Pin K5 -->
</SlotType>
```

The name of a slot type is used in the XML description files of platforms (that have slots) and cards.

5.4.3 Creating the XML Metadata Definition for the Platform

A platform is created using the `ocpidev create platform` command, which establishes the platform's directory under `hdl/platforms` and creates an initial `Makefile`, the XML file `<platform>.xml` describing the platform, and an initial VHDL source file `<platform>.vhd` for the platform worker.

The XML file that defines an HDL platform is named the same as the platform name with an `.xml` suffix. It describes both the hardware aspects of the platform as well as the OWD for the HDL worker that controls the platform, which is called the “platform worker”. Thus the platform XML is an OWD for the platform worker, with additional information. The platform worker is a special type of “device worker”, with extra requirements for the platform. Developing device workers in general is the subject of the next major section below, but information specific to platform workers is described here.

The platform XML is an OWD with these special aspects, all described in detail below:

- The top level XML element is “`HdlPlatform`”
- The spec being implemented is “`platform-spec`”.

- The slots present on the platform are indicated by **slot** elements
- The devices physically present on the platform are indicated by **device** elements.
- The external signals (to pins) required by the platform worker (as for any device worker) are indicated by **signal** elements.
- Special platform port types for platform workers: **metadata**, **timebase**, **cpmaster**, **sdp**, and **unoc**.

So as a minimum, an example of the XML for **myplat** would be:

```
<HdlPlatform Language='vhdl' spec='platform-spec'>
  <specproperty name='platform' value='myplat' />
</HdlPlatform>
```

Other requirements are described below.

5.4.3.1 Properties of Platform Workers

Several platform properties are parameters (constants) that must be set in the platform worker OWD using the **specproperty** element with a **value** attribute:

platform — required string attribute must be set to the name of the platform

sdp_width — **uchar** attribute, must be set in platforms using the SDP (see Interconnect Support below)

nSwitches — attribute is set the number of general purpose switches available, default is zero

nLEDs — set to the number of general purpose LEDs available, default is zero

nSlots — set to the number of slots on the platform, default is zero

An example setting these constants is:

```
<HdlPlatform Language='vhdl' spec='platform-spec'>
  <specproperty name='platform' value='myplat' />
  <specproperty name='nLEDs' value='4' />
</HdlPlatform>
```

Some properties must be supported by the HDL code in the platform worker:

switches — volatile **ulong** property returning the state of the switches; switch 0 is the LSB, driven by the platform worker as **props_out.switches**.

LEDs — a writable **ulong** property to control the LEDs of the platform; LED 0 is the LSB, driven into the platform worker's **props_in.LEDs**.

slotCardIsPresent — a volatile **bool** array indicating whether a card is present is each slot.

Since the platform worker is like any other worker, it can define any of its own properties using the **property** element in its OWD.

There are several properties defined in **platform-spec.xml** that must be connected to specific platform ports in the platform worker code as described next.

5.4.3.2 Platform Ports in a Platform XML File

Platform workers have ports that are different than ports of application and normal device workers. They allow the platform worker to provide required services to the rest of the HDL infrastructure. How they are used or referenced in the platform worker's source code is described in [Writing the Platform Worker Source Code](#).

A **metadata access port** must be present in all platform workers. The following line must be present and enables access to bitstream metadata via the platform worker's properties.

```
<metadata master='true' />
```

A **timebase output port** must be present in all platform workers. The following line must be present and enables the platform worker to provide timekeeping signals to the rest of the infrastructure.

```
<timebase master='true' />
```

The platform worker must arrange for control plane access which provides off-chip access to the on-chip control plane. This can be accomplished in two ways, direct and indirect.

To *directly* support a **control plane master port**, the platform XML declares:

```
<cpmaster master='true' />
```

This indicates that the platform worker will provide an addressable path from the controlling processors's software into the FPGA, using the **cpmaster** port signal protocol. This usually involves adapting an address window on a software addressable bus that the FPGA is connected to, to the protocol and signals of the **cpmaster** port. This implies that the external pathways (interconnects) for control and data are separate.

A platform can *indirectly* support a control plane by providing an **interconnect** port that serves both as a control and data plane access path. This is common when there is a single connection between the system bus and this FPGA and the FPGA is both a slave on this bus (for control and possibly data) as well as a master (for DMA data). The absence of a **cpmaster** element in the platform XML implies that the interconnect port will support both control and data and no XML elements are required in the platform XML and no source code in the platform worker is required for the control plane.

The platform worker must declare a **system interconnect port** to support data flow between workers in this HDL platform and workers in other platforms connected to this platform via the system's interconnect, such as PCI Express. As just mentioned, if this interconnect port will *also* serve as the path for the control plane, then no **cpmaster** port need be declared.

There is a legacy interconnect port type which is found in some existing HDL platforms, called **unoc**. It is no longer recommended and not described further here. For new platforms, the interconnect port type is **sdp** (for Scalable Data Plane). When this line is included in the platform XML:

```
<sdp name="mybus" master='true' />
```

it indicates that the platform worker will adapt and connect the system's bus to the protocol defined for on-chip **sdp** ports. This **sdp** element has an optional **count** attribute which can specify that this port supplies multiple concurrent channels between the system's bus/memory and the on-chip infrastructure. Data flow connections between the platform's on-chip HDL application workers and off-chip workers (on other platforms) will be allocated to the channels in round robin fashion. When the **sdp** is declared, the **sdp_width** parameter of the platform worker indicates the width of the **sdp** port, in DWORDS (32 bit words).

5.4.3.3 Device Elements in a Platform XML File

Device elements here indicate devices that are part of the platform and are directly attached to the platform FPGA's pins. These device elements *declare* which devices are *physically present* and *may* be used (and thus instanced) in platform configurations and containers. These declarations here, by themselves, *do not* cause the device workers to be instanced in any bitstreams. Devices are used and instanced by being referenced in platform configurations, containers and assemblies.

Device elements indicate:

- The HDL device worker supporting this device (like a device driver) (*required worker attribute*)
- The name of the device (*optional name attribute*). If no **name** is provided, the worker name is used, and if there are multiple devices using the same worker, a zero-based ordinal is appended.
- Which parameter settings should be used for the device worker for this device (*optional property elements*)
- Any mapping between the device worker's external signals and the names that the platform uses for those same device signals (e.g. in its constraints file) (*optional signal child elements*)

In most cases a device element simply indicates that a device exists and which device worker should be used to support it. E.g.: if a platform had a flash device that was supported by the device worker named "flash.hdl", this might be the device element:

```
<device worker='flash' />
```

Like normal application workers, device workers can have parameter or initial property settings. To make device workers reusable on multiple platforms, different values may be needed for different platforms. E.g., if a device worker has several clocking modes depending on how its hardware is configured, these property values indicate to the device worker how it should operate on this particular platform. Such property values are supplied using property elements (with "name=" and "value=" attributes), much like the property values for application components in an OpenCPI application.

In the following example, the device element says there is a "lime_adc" device present, and on this platform, its "use_ct1_clk" property should be set to **true**..

```
<device worker='lime_adc'>
  <property name='use_ctl_clk' value='true' />
</device>
```

There is one *required* device on every platform: the **time server**. It must be specified including the lines:

```
<device worker='time_server'>
  <property name='frequency' value='100e6' />
</device>
```

The frequency of the clock supplied on the **timebase** port must be specified as the **frequency** parameter to this device worker.

Devices can have **signal** elements to indicate that the standard signal naming should be overridden to match up with the constraints file of the platform (so that the constraints file can remain untouched). These **signal** elements use the **name** attribute to indicate the signal name as declared by the device worker, and the **platform** attribute to indicate the name used for the platform. If not mapped, the platform signal for this device's signal is the device's name, followed by underscore, followed by the device worker's declared external signal name.

If the **platform** attribute is the empty string, it indicates that this device signal is not connected to the device on this platform. The following example indicates the presence of a **lime_dac** device, but that on this platform the **tx_clk** signal is not connected:

```
<device worker='lime_dac'>
  <signal name='tx_clk' platform='' />
</device>
```

These **signal** elements for signal name *mapping* under the **device** elements here do *not* use the same syntax as the **signal** elements used to *declare* signals under the top-level elements of platform workers, device workers or slot type definition XML files.

5.4.3.4 Signal Elements in a Platform XML File

In order to provide the required services at its declared **cpmaster**, **sdp**, or **timebase** platform ports, the platform worker normally requires direct access to some external signals that are not associated with any other device. These are signals such as clocks, interconnects, LEDs, and switches. These ad-hoc signals are declared using the signal elements defined in [Signal Declaration XML Elements](#). The signal names should generally match those in the platform's constraints file, including case.

Signals implied by the presence of devices do not need to be specified.

If a platform worker wants access to slot signals, it must declare those signals using the **signal** element, even though the signal's existence is already implied by the existence of the **slot** element. An example of this is the “presence” signal in FMC slots. They are not related to any device on a card, but are used by the platform worker to know when a card is plugged in (is present). So, in the **m1605** platform worker these signal elements are present:

```
<!-- These "card-is-present-in-slot" signals are from each slot-->
<signal input='fmc_lpc_prsnt_m2c_1' />
<signal input='fmc_hpc_prsnt_m2c_1' />
```

The slot names are **fmc_lpc** and **fmc_hpc**, and the standard name for this signal is **prsnt_m2c_1**. When the signal is an output (from the FPGA to the slot), the signal must not be used as an output by any device worker for a device on a plugged-in card.

5.4.3.5 Slot Elements in a Platform XML File

When a platform has slots, it includes a **slot** element to declare the existence of a slot. The required **type** attribute must match the name of a defined slot type. The slot type definition files are normally in the **hdl/cards/specs** directory in the CDK, which is automatically searched whenever a platform XML file is processed. Examples of slot types are:

- **fmc_lpc**: “low pin count” variant of the “FPGA Mezzanine Card” from VITA57
- **fmc_hpc**: “high pin count” variant of the FMC cards from VITA57
- **hsmc**: High Speed Mezzanine Card from Intel/Altera

The optional **name** attribute of the slot element may assign a name to the slot. If it is not present, the slot's name becomes the slot type. If **name** is *unspecified* and if more than one slot of the same type is present, a zero-origin ordinal is appended to the slot-type as the name. E.g. if there were two slots of type **hsmc** and they were not given names, their names would be **hsmc0** and **hsmc1**. Slot names are needed for two purposes:

1. When a card is plugged into a slot, that slot is identified by its name. Slot names are case *insensitive* for this purpose (when mentioning slot names in HDL container XML).
2. The default name for signals between the platform FPGA and a slot is the slot name as a prefix, followed by underscore, followed by the signal name as defined in the slot type definition file.

These fully prefixed slot signal names do not appear in source code or XML, but they do usually correspond to the names found in a platform's constraints file, which is typically supplied separately by the board vendor and only modified for OpenCPI for other reasons (e.g. not for signal name changes). I.e., the signal names associated with pins of the FPGA attached to slot pins are predetermined by the vendor or board designer and not changed or redefined by the platform worker.

E.g. for a slot signal named **PRSNT_M2C_I**, the name of the signal from the platform FPGA to the slot, for the second of two **fmc_lpc** slots that did not have assigned names, would be “**fmc_lpc1_PRSNT_M2C_I**”. Slot names (and slot type signals) are *case sensitive* for this purpose (prefixing global net names) since there are some tools and systems where the case of such signals matters in the constraints file.

There is one other aspect to slot elements in the platform XML file: slot signal mapping. Most slot signal names are based on the specification of the slot types. E.g., the FMC slot signal names are defined by VITA57. If a platform's constraints file (and documentation) do not use the standard names from the slot type specification, then

extra child elements are added to the platform worker's `slot` XML element, to map the standard (e.g. VITA57) signal names to the signal names used by this platform's constraints file and documentation.

As an example, the ZedBoard platform has a single FMC LPC slot. It uses the VITA57 signal names for *almost* all these signals. However, it changes signal names when they are differential and use the CC suffix. Whereas VITA57 always puts the CC suffix last, the ZedBoard puts the differential suffix last (i.e. `_N` and `_P`). Here is the `slot` element for the ZedBoard platform XML that forces the slot name to be upper case **FMC**, and remaps the offending signals so OpenCPI knows how to route signals to the slot's connector on this platform that *does not* follow the VITA57 conventions:

```
<slot name='FMC' type='fmc_lpc'>
  <!-- These signals don't use VITA57 signal names-->
  <signal slot='LA00_P_CC' platform='LA00_CC_P' />
  <signal slot='LA00_N_CC' platform='LA00_CC_N' />
  <signal slot='LA01_P_CC' platform='LA01_CC_P' />
  <signal slot='LA01_N_CC' platform='LA01_CC_N' />
  <signal slot='LA17_P_CC' platform='LA17_CC_P' />
  <signal slot='LA17_N_CC' platform='LA17_CC_N' />
  <signal slot='LA18_P_CC' platform='LA18_CC_P' />
  <signal slot='LA18_N_CC' platform='LA18_CC_N' />
  <signal slot='CLK0_M2C_N' platform='CLK0_N' />
  <signal slot='CLK0_M2C_P' platform='CLK0_P' />
  <signal slot='CLK1_M2C_N' platform='CLK1_N' />
  <signal slot='CLK1_M2C_P' platform='CLK1_P' />
  <!-- These signals do not have connections to the platform -->
  <signal slot='DP0_C2M_P' platform='' />
  <signal slot='DP0_C2M_N' platform='' />
</slot>
```

Some platforms do not connect all possible slot signals to the FPGA. In this case the slot element maps them to an empty signal name on the platform, indicating that these slot signals cannot be used on this platform. In the above example, the last two slot signals mentioned (`DP0_C2M_P/N`) are not connected to the (Zynq) FPGA on the ZedBoard platform.

Finally, when a platform does not use the slot name prefix in its signal names, a leading slash can be given in the `platform` attribute to indicate that no such prefix should be applied. E.g. a signal mapping of:

```
<signal slot='DP0_C2M_P' platform='/DP0_SLOT2_P' />
```

would imply that the signal name in the constraints file would be `DP0_SLOT2_P` rather than `FMC_DP0_C2M_P`.

5.4.3.6 Examples of Platform XML Files

An example of a complete XML file for a Zynq-based HDL platform is below. The platform worker directly supports a control plane (using `cpmaster`), and sets the time server's clock frequency to `100e6`, and declares an SDP data plane (via `sdp`) with 2 channels. It has one `fmc_lpc` slot and 4 LEDs. No switches are declared. One signal (to drive external LEDs) is declared. No clock signals are declared since this platform worker uses on-chip clock-generator resources in the Zynq chip.

```
<HdlPlatform Language="VHDL" spec='platform-spec'>
  <specproperty name='platform' value='myzynq' />
  <specproperty name='nLEDs' value='4' />
  <metadata master='true' />
  <timebase master='true' />
  <cpmaster master='true' />
  <device worker='time_server'>
    <property name='frequency' value='100e6' />
  </device>
  <sdp name="zynq" master='true' count='2' />
  <slot name='FMC' type='fmc_lpc' />
  <signal name='led' width='4' />
</HdlPlatform>
```

A second example uses an interconnect that indirectly supports a control plane so no `cpmaster` is necessary, but signals to get clocks and raw interconnect signals are declared. Notice that three different clocks are taken from external inputs, and the PPS inputs and outputs are used to support the time server.:

```
<HdlPlatform Language="VHDL" spec='platform-spec'>
  <specproperty name='platform' value='mypci' />
  <metadata master='true' />
  <timebase master='true' />
  <device worker='time_server'>
    <property name='frequency' value='200e6' />
  </device>
  <sdp name="pcie" master='true' />
  <signal input="sys0_clk" differential='true' />
  <signal input='sys1_clk' differential='true' />
  <signal input='pci0_clk' differential='true' />
  <signal input='pci0_reset_n' />
  <signal input='pcie_rx' differential='true' width='4' />
  <signal output='pcie_tx' differential='true' width='4' />
  <signal output='led' width='13' />
  <signal input='ppsExtIn' />
  <signal output='ppsOut' />
</HdlPlatform>
```

5.4.4 Writing the Platform Worker Source Code

While a platform worker's XML (OWD) has extra elements to describe the platform's hardware (devices, slots), it is still an OWD, and thus it describes any implementation-

specific properties and ports of the platform worker. Being a device worker, it can also define external signals that are connected externally to pins.

Thus to complete the OWD, any such ports and properties must be defined. The spec (OCS) for all platform workers defines certain ports and properties that all platform workers must support and implement, but platform workers can and typically do have other ports and properties that are platform-specific.

5.4.4.1 Platform Worker Properties

Some required platform worker properties are dealt with entirely in the platform XML file since they just require parameter values which can be specified in the OWD.

Several OCS properties are readable characteristics of the platform that may be defined as parameters with a constant value in the OWD, or be declared as volatile with a runtime-determined value. These include the **nSwitches**, **nLEDs** properties. If they are volatile, the worker must drive them by assigning values, e.g.:

```
props_out.nSwitches <= to_ulong(3);
props_out.nLEDs <= to_ulong(7);
```

Otherwise, the OWD can simply specify the value, e.g.:

```
<specproperty name='nSwitches' parameter='true' value='3' />
```

Some platform properties are always volatile such as **switches** and **slotCardIsPresent**, so the platform worker drives them with:

```
props_out.switches <= switch_input_pins;
props_out.slotCardIsPresent <= (others => '0');
```

Some are writable such as **LEDs**, and are input to the worker:

```
my_led_pins <= props_in.leds(3 downto 0);
```

Finally, some properties are associated with platform ports and are described below in the sections about each platform port type.

Here is a typical example of VHDL code in a platform worker for its properties:

```
props_out.switches          <= (others => '0');
props_out.slotCardIsPresent <= (0 => not fmc_prsnt,
                                others => '0');

props_out.UUID              <= metadata_in.UUID;
props_out.romData           <= metadata_in.romData;
metadata_out.clk            <= ctl_in.clk;
metadata_out.romAddr        <= props_in.romAddr;
metadata_out.romEn          <= props_in.romData_read;
led(0)                      <= props_in.leds(0);
```

Below is a summary table with the OCS properties and their accessibility.

Table 2: Platform Worker OCS Properties

Name	Type	Access	Description
platform	String	Parameter	Platform name Set in OWD <specproperty>
sdp_width	UChar	Parameter	Width in DWORDS of SDP. Set in OWD for sdp platform port
UUID	ULong *16	Readable	Unique ID of bitstream file. Connected in source code for metadata platform port.
romAddr	UShort	Writable	Address for reading bitstream metadata. Connected in source code for metadata platform port.
romData	ULong	Volatile	Data when reading bitstream metadata Connected in source code for metadata platform port.
nLEDs	Ulong	Parameter/ Readable	How many LED indicators are present? Can be parameter <i>or</i> readable.
LEDs	ULong	Readable+ Writable	Actual LED settings, up to 32, LSB is LED 0
nSwitches	Ulong	Parameter/ Readable	How many switches are present? Can be parameter <i>or</i> readable.
switches	ULong	Volatile	Actual switch settings, up to 32, LSB is switch 0
nSlots	Ulong	Parameter	How many slots are present? Set in OWD <specproperty> Must match number slot elements in OWD
slotCardIs Present	Bool array	Volatile	Indicate whether card is plugged into slot
slotNames	String	Parameter	Comma-separated list of slot names Set in OWD <specproperty>

5.4.4.2 Ports of Platform Workers

Platform workers have ports that are different than ports of application workers. They are not used for moving data to and from other workers. They allow the platform worker to provide required services to the rest of the HDL infrastructure. The platform ports were introduced in [Platform Ports in a Platform XML File](#). Each has implications in the platform worker source code.

The simplest platform port is the **metadata port**, which simply requires that the platform worker connect the signals at the metadata port to the properties associated with the port using this exact VHDL code:

```

props_out.UUID          <= metadata_in.UUID;
props_out.romData        <= metadata_in.romData;
metadata_out.clk         <= ctl_in.clk;
metadata_out.romAddr     <= props_in.romAddr;
metadata_out.romEn       <= props_in.romData_read;

```

For the **timebase port**, the worker is required to provide three output signals to that port, and take one output signal from that port, e.g.:

```

timebase_out.clk    <= clk;
timebase_out.reset  <= reset;
timebase_out.ppsIn  <= '0';
my_pps_out_pin      <= timebase_in.pps

```

These signals are the basis for timekeeping on the platform. They are a clock and associated reset, a PPS input signal and an optionally connected PPS output signal. The platform worker should provide the timebase clock that is best suited for timekeeping, which usually means the one with the least jitter and drift over the short term. On some platforms this is simply the same as the control clock, but on others there may be a clock with better performance for this purpose and the platform worker should use it.

If a platform worker is *directly* supporting a **control plane master port** (indicated by the **cpmaster** element in the OWD), the platform worker must provide an addressable path from the controlling processors's software into the FPGA. This usually involves adapting a address window on an addressable bus that the FPGA is connected to, to the protocol and signals of the **cpmaster** port. The adaptation is normally put into its own module and then instanced in the platform worker. The platform worker must choose an appropriate clock and (asserted high) reset signal to serve as the platform's control clock/reset.

Remember that the platform worker may provide for a control plane *indirectly* by providing an **interconnect port** that can serve the same purpose. In that case no platform worker support of a **cpmaster** port is necessary.

A **cpmaster** example from the ZedBoard platform is where an addressable bus port in the Zynq chip, which connects the processor to the FPGA, is called the **M_AXI_GP0**. The Zynq **CPU** is the master, and generates read and write accesses to the FPGA acting as a slave. In this case an adapter module was written (called **axi2cp**) to convert the protocol used by the **M_AXI_GP0** port in the SoC hardware, to the OpenCPI control plane protocol. This module is instanced in the Zed platform worker and connected to the Zynq CPU on one side, and the platform worker's **cpmaster** port on the other. In the Zed platform worker this adapter and its connection is shown by this code:

```

cp : axi2cp port map(clk      => clk,
                    reset    => reset,
                    axi_in   => ps_m_axi_gp_out(0),
                    axi_out  => ps_m_axi_gp_in(0),
                    cp_in    => cp_in,
                    cp_out   => cp_out);

```

The signaling protocol of a **cpmaster** port is described in the [Control Plane Master Port Protocol](#) section.

The last platform port type, **system interconnect**, is specified using the **sdp** element in the OWD. The platform worker must provide a path from the platform's bus/interconnect to the **sdp** port. When the interconnect used for data flow can also be used to provide control plane access, then the **cpmaster** port described above is unnecessary. The key attribute needed of the system interconnect to indirectly support control plane access is that the processor on the other side of the interconnect can read and write into the FPGA with the FPGA acting as an addressable slave. This is common when there is only one external bus connected to the FPGA, such as PCI Express.

In the case of the Xilinx Zynq platform, there are multiple interfaces between the on-chip system interconnect and the FPGA (called PL on Zynq). In this case the platform worker reserves one such interface exclusively for control access (the **M_AXI_GP0** connected to a **cpmaster** port), and uses different interfaces for the data plane.

The **sdp** ports act as bus masters, generating addressed DMA requests to the platform worker which should adapt and present those requests to the system interconnect. The **sdp** ports can *also* act as bus slaves, allowing their use for control plane purposes. The platform worker decides whether to support the **sdp** port as only a bus master (e.g. as it does on Zynq), or as both master or slave (as it does on PCI Express systems).

The **sdp** ports can be multichannel, meaning that the platform worker can provide multiple simultaneous paths between the system interconnect and the FPGA data plane infrastructure to support simultaneous data flows between workers in the FPGA and workers outside the FPGA.

The signaling protocol of an **sdp** port is described in the [SDP Port Protocol](#) section.

5.4.4.3 Platform Worker Clocks

As seen above in the discussion of the control plane adaptation (the **cpmaster** port), the platform worker sources the clock (and reset) used for the OpenCPI control plane on the platform. It also sources any clocks associated with the “data plane” where data/messages are flowing to other workers in other FPGAs or software platforms. In both these cases the clock and reset signals are part of the interface at these ports.

A platform worker usually also sources another clock for timekeeping on the platform (in the **timebase** port), unless there will never be any need for timekeeping on the platform.

In general these clocks already exist on the platform and are simply assigned to these additional purposes. I.e. the control clock may be the clock already associated with the bus between the CPU and FPGA. In some cases the platform worker may synthesize/generate new clocks for these purposes.

5.4.5 The Makefile for the Platform Worker

Like any other worker, a platform worker has a worker **Makefile** that is used for various purposes, normally created by the **ocpidev** command. Since the **HdlTarget(s)** and **HdlPlatform(s)** are implicit for a platform worker (its name), there is no need to specify either (in the file or on the command line).

As with other HDL workers, the **Makefile** may reference other local source files or primitive cores and libraries from elsewhere. The basic contents for a platform worker's Makefile, as created by **ocpidev**, is simply:

```
include $(OCPI_CDK_DIR)/include/hdl/hdl-platform.mk
```

The platform worker is built in its directory (in one or more target subdirectories). Platform configurations are also built in the platform worker directory. In fact, when nothing else is specified, a “base” platform configuration (with no device workers) is built whenever the platform worker is built. To specify more platform configurations to build, specify them in the **Configurations** make variable in this **Makefile**, and for each one, write an XML file describing which devices (and any parameters for them) that should be included in the platform configuration. See the next section for a complete description of platform configurations.

Running **make** in a platform worker directory builds the platform worker, the **base** configuration, and any other platform configurations as specified in the **Configurations** make variable.

Each platform worker must have a make file fragment called **<platform>.mk** which defines **make** variables required for users of the platform. There is one variable required to be set in this file: **HdlPart_<platform>**. This variable must be set to the part name of this platform. Also, if there is an association between this HDL platform and an associated software platform (typically for FPGA SoCs with embedded processors), the **HdlRccPlatform_<platform>** variable can be set to name the RCC software platform associated with this HDL platform. An example file is for the Xilinx Zynq part on the ZedBoard platform:

```
HdlPart_zed=xc7z020-1-clg484
HdlRccPlatform_zed=xilinxl3_4
```

This indicates the actual part for the platform, and also indicates that the associated software platform is the 2013.4 release of Xilinx Linux.

The **ExportFiles** make variable is specific to platform workers and specifies which local files in this directory must be made available to users of the platform. Files in this list include the jtag and flash support scripts for the platform, as well as constraints files required to build container bitstreams for the platform.

5.4.6 Specifying Platform Configurations in XML Files.

For each platform configuration mentioned in the **Configurations** variable in the platform worker **Makefile**, there must be a corresponding XML file. This XML file has these aspects:

- The top level element is “**HdlConfig**”.

- A **device** child element is present for each device in the configuration.

For devices previously defined as being part of the platform (and thus mentioned in the platform worker's OWD), the device element simply has a "**name=**" attribute indicating which of the platform's devices should be included in the platform configuration.

Platform configurations can also specify devices that are on cards plugged into one of the platform's slots. Specifying the **card** attribute indicates which card the device is on, and implies that the card is plugged into one of the platform's slots. If there are multiple slots of the type that the indicated card is defined for, then a **slot** attribute must be used to unambiguously indicate which slot the card is plugged into.

Thus platform configurations can indicate a mix of devices: those that are part of the platform, and others that should be made available assuming a certain type of card is plugged into one of the platform's slots. The following example, (for the ZedBoard platform), indicates that a configuration should be built that assumes a **lime-zipper-fmc** card should be plugged into a slot on the platform, and thus device workers to support the **lime_adc** device on that card should be included. There is no **slot** attribute included or required since the platform has only one slot.

```
<HdlConfig>
  <device name='lime_adc' card='lime-zipper-fmc' />
</HdlConfig>
```

Device elements in this file can also set values for parameter properties of the device worker for the device, but *only those that are not already specified in the board definition* (either platform worker XML file or card definition XML). I.e. the board definition file specifies fixed aspects of the device as it exists on that board, but any other parameter properties not mentioned for the board can be configured as required in the platform configuration (or in the container). E.g.:

```
<HdlConfig>
  <device name='lime_adc' card='lime-zipper-fmc'>
    <property name='use_control_clock' value='true' />
  </device>
</HdlConfig>
```

The same capability exists for the platform worker itself. Parameter property values for the platform worker can be specified by top level property elements in this file, e.g.:

```
<HdlConfig>
  <property name='ocpi_debug' value='true' />
  <device name='lime_adc' slot='lime-zipper-fmc' />
</HdlConfig>
```

5.4.7 Control Plane Master Port Protocol

This protocol is undocumented at this time.

5.4.8 SDP Port Protocol

This protocol is undocumented at this time.

5.4.9 Testing the Basic Platform without Devices

You can build and run various tests without supporting any of the devices on the platform. This can be the first chance to test the full bitstream build flow.

In particular, to test the control plane support, you can test the platform with no interconnect/dataplane support by using applications like “tb_bias” which do not require interconnect support for the data plane.

Data plane support can then be tested one direction at a time, using simple applications like “patternbias” or “biascapture” that only flow data in one direction between the FPGA platform and the processor.

The biascapture assembly (in `hdl/assemblies/biascapture/biascapture.xml`) contains the following XML, which takes external input and captures it:

```
<HdlAssembly>
  <Instance Worker="bias_vhdl" connect='capture' external='in' />
  <Instance Worker='capture' />
</HdlAssembly>
```

The file-bias-capture application (in `examples/xml/file-bias-capture.xml`) reads from a file and then uses the above assembly. The XML is:

```
<application package='ocpi' done='file_read'>
  <instance component="file_read" connect="bias"/>
  <instance component='bias' connect="capture"/>
  <instance component="capture"/>
</application>
```

Testing in one direction greatly simplifies initial debugging of platform workers that are using new interconnect adapters for SDP.

5.5 Device Support for FPGA Platforms

Device support development involves the creation of workers that enable OpenCPI to use the devices attached to an HDL platform or card. *Device workers* are like "device drivers" for specific FPGA-attached hardware devices — workers that interface with devices using device-specific signals and I/O pins.

In addition to a control interface and data interface(s) that an application worker would have, device workers also have signal connections with hardware attached to pins of the FPGA. For example, a device worker for an output device (like a DAC or a printer) would have some signals attached to FPGA pins that are connected to the output device, and would also have a normal worker input data port that would be connected to some application worker producing the data.

[Diagram showing app worker → device worker → device.]

When the device is attached to the FPGA via dedicated pins, the device is considered part of the platform. When the device is on an optional card plugged into a slot (which has pins connected to the FPGA), the device is considered part of the card, not the platform. In both cases the same device worker is used to access and control the device. An OpenCPI HDL device worker can be reused across platforms and cards.

A simple DAC device worker might have an XML descriptor (OWD) like this:

```
<HdlDevice Language='vhdl' spec='dac-spec'>
  <streaminterface name='in' datawidth='8' />
  <signal output='valid' />
  <signal output='data' width='8' />
  <signal output='dataclk' />
</HdlDevice>
```

The **HdlDevice** element is similar to the **HdlWorker** element except that it allows some extra features like the “**signal**” child elements.

The **spec='dac-spec'** attribute indicates that this device worker implements the component spec common to all DAC devices (properties and ports). It can add its own properties as required.

The **streaminterface** element simply sets the physical data width of the input port to 8.

The **signal** elements specify the names of device signals (HDL language “ports”) connected to the FPGA pins that are connected to a device supported by this device worker.

All the details of an **HdlDevice** XML are mentioned below. An example of the device worker source code for this (simplistic, single clock domain) device worker would be:

```
in_out.take <= in_in.ready and (ready or not in_in.valid);
valid      <= in_in.ready and in_in.valid;
data       <= in_in.data;
```

5.5.1 A Device Worker Implements the Data Sheet

It is recommended to use the data sheet's signal names and register names in the device worker code (and its OWD) so they are easily correlated to the names in the data sheet. This allows for easier code maintenance and system level debugging. E.g., a system engineer may find it especially valuable to probe and examine device-level settings when referring to the data sheet, even though they might not be HDL coders. When the naming conventions in the data sheet are at odds with “better” naming conventions, it may still be preferable to use them for these reasons.

- *Implementing all the functionality in a device may not be desirable when it is initially written for a given platform, since that platform may not use all the device's capabilities. When a device worker is insufficient in this way for a new platform or project, it should be enhanced in such a way that any existing uses of it will still work (backward compatibility) so that the community in fact gets the benefit of a newer more complete device worker implementation.*

As mentioned above, multi-function chips should generally be supported by multiple device workers. But *within* any device worker for a function, if there are features or modes that carry significant overhead, they should be controlled by parameter properties (thus generics in VHDL) so that the resources are not wasted when the feature/mode is not being used. This promotes re-use of the device worker.

When devices have internal registers, the most common approach is to define each internal register as a property in the OWD (not in the OCS), and use the “raw property” feature of HDL workers to access those registers. This enables direct control and display of the internal configuration of the device with no programming since the `ocpirun` and `ocpihdl` commands can easily access this information. It allows a clean “hardware-to-software” handoff when the device worker implements what the datasheet describes.

5.5.2 Device Component Specs and Device Worker Modularity

In order to ensure that similar devices have common application-visible behavior, OpenCPI has *device class specs* that represent commonly used classes of devices. This is a special case of the component specification (OCS) being the basis of multiple implementations (workers) of the same functionality. In this device case, the “multiple implementations” are for different devices of the same class. Device workers for devices in the same class implement the same OCS; a device class is represented by this device class OCS.

As the integration of functionality on single chips increases, it is common for a “chip” to implement multiple functions of different classes of device (e.g. an ADC and a DAC). Generally, this should *not* result in a single device worker for the multi-function chip. Creating such a single device worker would result in the functions not being represented to the system or to users the same as a similar function from a non-integrated single-purpose chip. Thus, a device worker should be developed for each class that is present on the multifunction chip.

This avoids exposing the multi-function integration of a single chip to applications and thus enhances the re-use of applications. Furthermore, when only one function is

needed, a multi-function device worker would use FPGA resources for functions that are not being used. While it is possible to develop “swiss-army-knife” device workers for multifunction chips that intend to avoid using resources for parts that are unused, OpenCPI recommends designs that are more modular, namely:

- Chips that contain multiple functions should generally be treated as multiple devices using multiple device workers of the appropriate classes.

When a multi-function device has pins or hardware that must be shared among the functional device workers (e.g. a common reset or SPI or clocks), a “subdevice” module can be used for such shared logic. This is discussed in a later section.

The first step in developing support for a new device is to identify the device class specs that are relevant, and determine the list of device workers that must be created. Each device class spec defines common properties, data ports, and implied functionality that all device workers of the class should try to implement.

Some examples of classes of devices currently defined are:

- ADC and DACs which convert between isochronous data and flow-controlled data and may have scheduling and time-stamping functionality.
- Upconverters and downconverters between IF/Baseband and RF.
- Clock generators
- DRAM

5.5.3 Device Proxies — Software Workers that Control HDL Device Workers

A device proxy is a software worker (RCC/C++) that is specifically paired with a device worker in order to translate a higher level control interface for a class of devices into the lower level actions required on a specific device. While it is possible that the HDL device worker itself could support the required generic interface, for many device classes, it is more productive to split the supporting code for the device into a (software) proxy and a HDL device worker. When a device worker has a proxy, it is termed the “slave” of that proxy. Using a proxy is not always required since the underlying (slave) device worker is always controllable directly.

The requirements for a class of devices may in fact be split into a low level part that HDL device workers typically implement, and a high level part that would usually be implemented in a proxy. An example might be where an ADC device worker had a low-latency gain adjustment input port that could probably not be implemented in software, as well as a high level sample rate setting that would be better implemented in a proxy.

Device proxies are simple C++ (*not* C) RCC workers where the XML (OWD) specifies a “slave” attribute, indicating which worker is the “slave” for that proxy. That attribute enables convenient access to all the slave worker’s properties from the proxy’s code.

Thus there are two patterns for implementing HDL device support in OpenCPI:

- *Device-worker-only*, where the device worker implements both the device component spec as well as any required higher level properties.

- *Device-worker-and-proxy*, where the device worker implements only the device component spec, and the device proxy implements the higher level properties for the class.

A common example of higher level properties for a device class is the center/tuning frequency of an RF/IF up/down converter. The high level property is a convenient floating point number, which typically requires setting a variety of device registers to accomplish. The proxy code would make the necessary translations and computations before setting the correct register values in the HDL device worker.

5.5.4 Subdevice Workers

[Diagrams here, based on existing PPTs etc.]

Writing a device worker to be reusable across many embedded systems is made more difficult by two facts:

- Multifunction devices have aspects that are shared between functions (e.g. common reset)
- Controlling different devices sometimes involves sharing control/configuration buses (e.g. SPI and I2C) or other hardware.

In order to preserve the modularity of distinct classes of devices, as well as the reusability of device workers, OpenCPI supports a further specialization of device workers called subdevice workers.

A subdevice worker implements the required sharing of low level hardware between device workers. It is defined to *support* some number of device workers, and is thus instantiated whenever any of its *supported* device workers are instantiated in a platform configuration or container. Subdevice workers may simply support the different device workers used on a single multi-function device, or they may support a variety of different device workers that are found on a platform. Thus they are usually very *platform-specific* or *card-specific*.

New subdevice workers may be required when a device with an existing device worker is used on a new platform or card, since the sharing of hardware (e.g. an I2C bus) may require different logic. That may result in the existing device worker being refactored to share functions that it did not share before.

There are cases where a subdevice is required to support a single device worker when some low level logic must be different for different platforms. This allows the device worker itself to remain portable, letting alternative subdevices to do platform/card-specific dirty work.

Subdevice workers typically have no control interface. Like device workers they have signals that are attached to FPGA pins. These pins are usually what is “shared” between the device workers that the subdevice supports. It is possible that subdevices have no external signals and only exist to coordinate between several optionally present device workers.

Subdevice workers also have connections to the device workers they support. The XML (a minimal OWD) for a subdevice defines:

- The hardware FPGA pins it is attached to (via the “signals” element like all device workers)
- The device workers it supports
- How it is connected to each of the device workers it supports.

Since platforms and cards declare which devices they have, including subdevices, they can specify which subdevices are present. This allows different subdevices to be used for different platforms while leaving the device workers untouched and reused.

For help in understanding these aspects of OpenCPI, look closely at the `lime_zipper_fmc_lpc` card (`hdl/cards/specs/lime_zipper_fmc_lpc.xml`), and the devices that it includes. This card is used in the `zed` platform for certain configurations based on the `hdl/platforms/zed/zed_zipper_fmc*` files. To learn more about raw properties, take a look at the `si5351` device at `hdl/devices/si5351.hdl`. The many raw properties declared in the `xml` file correspond to hardware registers on the `si5351` chip. See chapter 7/page 23 (“Register Map Summary”) at <https://cdn-shop.adafruit.com/datasheets/Si5351.pdf>. Each raw property in the OpenCPI `si5351.xml` corresponds to a line in the datasheet table there.

Finally, for a further understanding of subdevices, take a look at `lime_spi` (in `hdl/devices/lime_spi.hdl`), which is a subdevice that handles raw property accesses and low level SPI functionality. It supports the lime tx/rx devices, which means that the lime tx/rx devices can delegate their raw property accesses to the `lime_spi` subdevice.

5.5.4.1 Using RawProp Ports with SubDevices

The example below defines a subdevice with no control interface (no properties or control operations), driving two signals that are an I2C interface, and supporting a `si5351` clock generator device worker via a `rawprop` worker port (defined below). It is declaring that if that device is present, it should also be present and be connected to that device worker via the `rawprop` port. By including this subdevice in a board description file (in the platform's OWD a card's spec file), it will be associated *on this board* with the `si5351` device worker.

```
<HdlDevice language="vhdl">
  <componentspec nocontrol='true'>
    <rawprop/>
    <supports worker='si5351'>
      <connect port="rawprops" to="rawprops"/>
    </supports>
    <Signal Inout='sda' />
    <Signal Inout='scl' />
  </HdlDevice>
```

The most common connection between a device worker and a subdevice that supports it is the `rawprop` connection that enables a device worker to delegate some or all of its

raw property accesses to the subdevice. The device worker declares a **rawprop** master port for this delegation, and the subdevice declares an array of one or more a **rawprop** slave ports to support device workers this way. This type of connection is common when there is a shared control path like SPI or I2C to access the registers of several devices' properties.

The **rawprop** port has a bundle (VHDL record) of signals that is identical to the raw signals defined in the [Raw Access to Properties](#) section of the **OpenCPI HDL Development Guide**. This record is called **raw** in both the control interface signals (**props_in.raw**, **props_out.raw**) as well as the **rawprop** port signals (**rawprops_in.raw** and **raw_props_out.raw**).

A **rawprop** worker port consists of a VHDL record of signals that allow a device worker to easily delegate all of its raw property accesses to the subdevice, using this VHDL:

```
rawprops_out.present    <= '1';
rawprops_out.reset     <= ctl_in.reset;
rawprops_out.raw       <= props_in.raw;
props_out.raw          <= rawprops_in.raw;
```

The **present** signal tells the subdevice that there is a connection to a device worker. The **reset** signal tells the subdevice that this device worker is being reset, and the **raw** subrecord is conveying the raw property signals between the device worker and the subdevice. In the subdevice, the **rawprop** port may be an array port (with **count** attribute > 1) when the subdevice worker is supporting multiple device workers.

5.5.4.2 Using DevSignal Ports with SubDevices

When the **rawprop** connection is not sufficient for all of the shared functionality in the subdevice (raw properties, presence and shared control reset), another type of connection is used, which is a customized set of signals. This is called a **devsignal** port. The port is declared for both the device worker (or platform worker in some cases) and the subdevice worker using **devsignal** element.

The **devsignal** element declares the port and has four attributes: **name**, **master**, **signals** and **count**. The optional **name** attribute provides a port name, with the default being "dev". The boolean **master** attribute defines a master/slave role for the port, which is used relative to signal directions. The **signals attribute** is the name of a file containing a top-level **signals element**, containing signal definitions for this port. The direction of the signals declared in the file are relative to the master port. Here is an example signals file (named **mydevsignals.xml**):

```
<signals>
  <signal input="DATA_CLK_P"/>
  <signal input="DATA_CLK_N"/>
  <signal output='SYNC_IN' />
  <signal output='ENABLE' />
</signals>
```

The master port (usually the device worker) would drive the **SYNC_IN** and **ENABLE** signals as outputs, and the slave port (usually the subdevice) would receive them as inputs. The port declaration in the OWD of the device workers would be:

```
<devsignal master='true' signals='mydevsignals' />
```

Since the signals are common to both ports, they are in their own file, usually in the **specs** directory of the component library containing both device and subdevice workers (usually **hdl/devices/specs**). Since the purpose of the subdevice is normally to share/multiplex output signals among more than one device worker, the subdevice's port declaration normally includes a count to indicate that the port is actually an array of identical ports. So the subdevice port declaration would be something like:

```
<devsignal signals='mydevsignals' count='2' />
```

As with the rawprops examples above, the connection of these ports is indicated by the **supports** element and its **connect** child element.

5.5.5 Testing Device Workers with Emulators

A device worker may specify that it is actually a device *emulator* that emulates a device for test purposes. Thus while a normal device worker supports and controls a device by driving and receiving signals from the device (via FPGA pins), the emulator acts like the device. So if the device has a reset input pin, the normal device worker will drive that reset signal as an output of the device worker, into the actual device. The emulator for the device will have that reset signal as an *input* signal.

We discuss this relationship such that:

- We use “emulator” to mean “emulator worker”, which is a special type of device worker (much like a platform worker is a special type of device worker).
- The device worker supports a device, and may have an associated emulator, which is *its* emulator.
- The emulator emulates a device which has a device worker, which is *its* device worker.

There is nothing about emulators that restricts them to running only in simulators, so when it is useful, they can be written to be synthesizable and executed in hardware.

5.5.5.1 Emulator Signals, Ports and Properties

Emulators establish this relationship with a top level **emulate** attribute whose value is the name of the device worker for the device it emulates. An emulator automatically inherits the signals from its device worker, with the directions reversed. Thus no **signal** elements need be defined in an emulator's OWD.

Similarly, for non-data, non-control ports (usually **rawprop** or **devsignals** ports), the emulator has the same ports defined, with the same name, with the opposite master attribute value. I.e. when the device worker is a master of such a port, the emulator is a slave. The emulator has its own control port, and may have its own data ports.

Finally, the emulator also inherits all the parameter and writable properties of its device worker so that when used, it sees the same parameter and property values that its device worker sees. It can then emulate accordingly. It can have its own additional properties of course, but it cannot have parameters with multiple values. its build configurations are the same as its device worker's build configurations.

When testing a device worker with its emulator both the emulator and the device worker are instantiated, their signals are connected, and the non-control, non-data ports are connected between them. Thus they form a test unit (UUT) where each has its own control port, and each may have its own data ports.

5.5.5.2 Emulator Worker OWD XML Files

An emulator's OWD references the OCS for all emulators, **emulator-spec**, using its **spec** attribute. It identifies its device worker using the **emulate** attribute, whose value must include the **.hdl** model suffix. An emulator must have a control interface so it cannot set the **nocontrol** attribute to **true**.

An example emulator OWD is:

```
<HdlDevice emulator='mydevice.hdl' spec='emulator-spec'>
  <property name='errorcount' volatile='true' />
  <streaminterface name='tracedata' producer='1' />
</HdlDevice>
```

This OWD says that the emulator will have a volatile property **errorcount** to report the number of errors encountered while observing its device worker's behavior. This property is in addition to all its device worker's parameters and writable properties that are automatically inherited.

The **streaminterface** element is directly introducing a data output port even though there is no such port in the OCS, since emulators are not required to have such ports.

The “results” of running the emulator would be the **errorcount** property's value and the data produced at its **tracedata** output port.

An emulator OWD has these restrictions when compared to a normal device worker:

- It must implement/reference the **emulator-spec** (via **spec** attribute)
- It must reference its device worker via the **emulate** attribute
- It cannot have any property whose name conflicts with any parameter or writable property of its device worker.
- It cannot have any data port whose name conflicts with any of its device worker's ports.
- It cannot have any signals (it will inherit its device worker's signals)

5.5.5.3 Using an Emulator for Device Worker Unit Testing

The OpenCPI unit test framework described in the **OpenCPI Component Development** document also applies to testing device workers. When a test directory is defined for a device worker (using the **ocpidev create test** command), OpenCPI expects to find an emulator worker in the same component library and will instantiate and connect it next to the device worker in all test assemblies.

All the testing then proceeds the same as testing application workers with these additions:

- The final values for the emulator's own properties is also available to verification scripts.
- Data input ports of the emulator must be supplied with data with input files or generator scripts.
- Data output ports on the emulator will be captured and available to verification scripts.

Essentially the UUT becomes the combination of a device worker and its emulator.

If the emulator is written to be synthesizable, test execution can include hardware platforms as well as simulator platforms.

In the container as “floating” devices (devices which have not been declared as existing on the platform).

5.5.5.4 Using an Emulator in Containers without the Unit Test Framework.

When a more complex testing configuration is needed beyond the “one device worker with its emulator” scenario described above, it can be done by instantiating device workers and emulators directly in a container using the “floating device” feature.

Floating devices are simply those that are not really part of the platform being targeted (usually simulators in this case), but are devices instantiated and connected directly to their emulators. This allows for test configurations that combine device workers, subdevice workers, and emulators in various ways.

An example container XML file that uses emulators is:

```
<HdlContainer platform='isim'>
  <device worker='lime_spi_em' floating='1' />
  <device worker='lime_spi' floating='1' />
  <device worker='lime_tx' floating='1' />
  <device worker='lime_tx_em' floating='1' />
</HdlContainer>
```

This example instantiates two device workers (lime_spi and lime_tx) as well as their emulators. The emulators are automatically wired up with the device workers then are emulating. They all use the `floating` attribute since they are not defined as existing on the `isim` platform.

[Preliminary feature with limited support]

5.5.6 Higher-level Endpoint Proxies Suitable for Applications

[Preliminary feature with no specific support]

As discussed above, we use **device proxies** to normalize the behavior of a class of devices. The granularity of such classes is sometimes below the level appropriate for applications, but is optimal for sharing, reuse, and rapid enablement of new platforms.

An example of fine granularity is a clock generator chip. There are many such chips, and device workers are written for them. They should all act the same, in terms of how they are set up and programmed, usually using a device proxy. When users or

applications want access to a clock generator device, they should be able to use it the same way as any other clock generator device.

However, clock generator chips are also frequently used to drive other devices to a specific clock (e.g. sampling) frequency, and there may be some specific relationship on a given platform or card between specific clock generator chips and the devices they provide clocking too.

So, in addition to device proxies that are defined for the granularity of individual devices, that have device workers, OpenCPI also defines specifications for some higher level proxies called **Endpoint Proxies**, for presenting a collection of devices to applications as something to connect and configure within the application. The purpose of higher level Endpoint Proxies is to remove all device specifics from applications, rather than simply normalize the behavior of a device to its class. Applications and users want to see standard, portable interfaces for endpoints (e.g. sources and sinks of radio data). Endpoint proxies make that possible.

Endpoint proxies are simply proxies that typically have multiple slaves, which themselves are probably device proxies, or in some cases device workers.

As with subdevices at the bottom of the OpenCPI “device support” stack, endpoint proxies are at the top of the “device support” stack, appropriate for use by applications. But both may internally be somewhat platform specific. Both exist to “leave the device workers alone” so that they are reusable across platforms and cards.

[Insert diagram for “stack”]

Applications use endpoint proxies by instantiating a component of an endpoint proxy spec. A good example of a class of endpoint proxies is a “radio front end” (as defined by the RedHawk system or GNU Radio), or a “transceiver subsystem” as defined in the Wireless Innovation Forum, or the “RF Chain” as defined in the JTRS MHAL specification. Since OpenCPI is not a software radio framework as such, an endpoint proxy can represent any application-level subsystem or source or sink of data.

5.5.7 XML Metadata for Device Workers/Subdevices/DeviceProxies/EndpointProxies

The three types of workers that relate to device support in OpenCPI are:

- Device Workers
- Device Proxies
- Subdevices

All these are workers and share the XML structure of workers via the OWD for ports and properties.

Device workers use the normal top-level **spec** attribute to identify the class of the device. Device workers and subdevice workers use the **HdlDevice** top level XML tag, have **signal** elements for hardware signals, and may have **rawprop** and **devsignal** ports to connect device workers to subdevice workers. If a device worker uses a subdevice worker, it may in fact have no **signal** elements.

Subdevice workers have **supports** child elements describing which device workers they support and how they are connected to them.

Device proxies have a top-level **slave** attribute identifying which worker they are a proxy for. The name should include the authoring model suffix, such as:

```
slave='adc-chip123.hdl'
```

The **signal** elements in the OWD for devices and subdevices are as described above for platform workers in [Signal Declaration XML Elements](#).

5.5.7.1 RawProp XML Elements for Device Workers and Subdevice Workers

The **rawprop** child element identifies a port of the worker that extends the raw property signals from a device worker to a subdevice worker. It may be an array port. Its attributes (all optional) are:

Name — The name of the port (default is **rawprops**)

Optional — Indicates if a connection to this port is not required (default **false**).
Normally true on subdevices supporting multiple optional devices.

Count — Indicates if > 1 that this is an array of raw property ports (default is 1).
Normally only specified on a subdevice supporting multiple devices.

Master — Boolean Indicating who generates addresses for raw accesses (usually the device worker sets it **true**).

*The port is an array port if **count** is specified greater than 1 or if the **count** attribute value is an expression based on parameter values.*

5.5.7.2 DevSignal XML Elements for Device Workers and Subdevice Workers

This XML element represents a custom signal bundle that is connected between device workers and subdevices. It has these attributes:

Name — the name of the port (the default is **dev**).

Optional — whether this port must be connected or not.

Count — indicates an array of similar ports with the same signals (when > 1)

Signals — indicates a file containing a top level **signals** element consisting of **signal** child elements. The .xml suffix is not required in the attribute.

The file indicated by the **signals** attribute enumerates the signals in the bundle, similar to the **signal** elements in a device worker's OWD.

5.5.7.3 The Supports XML Element for Subdevices.

Subdevices indicate which device workers they support by using **supports** XML elements. To indicate how they are connected to a device worker they support, they specify **connect** child elements within the **supports** elements, e.g.:

```

<supports worker='lime_dac'>
  <connect port='rawprops' to='rawprops' index='1' />
  <connect port='dev' to='dev' index='1' />
</supports>
<supports worker='lime_adc'>
  <connect port='rawprops' to='rawprops' index='0' />
  <connect port='dev' to='dev' index='0' />
</supports>

```

The attributes of the **supports** element are:

- worker** — the name of the device worker it supports
- index** — identifies which device of that type (on the platform or card) is being supported by the subdevice via this **supports** element

The attributes of the “connect” child element of the “supports” element are:

- port** — the port on this subdevice that should be connected
- to** — the port on the supported device worker that should be connected
- index** — index into the subdevice's port array (when its count attribute is > 1).

It is possible that different instances of the same device on a platform or card are supported by entirely different subdevices or by a single subdevice.

5.5.8 Associating Device Workers and Subdevice Workers with Platforms and Cards.

Both device workers and subdevice workers are enumerated in the XML description of a platform or card using the **device** child element and the **worker** attribute. This declares the existence of the device on the platform or card as well as the device worker that is used. The order of these elements defines the ordinals of the devices when multiple instances of the same device are present.

The presence of subdevice workers in this declared list makes them available to support any devices that are used in a platform configuration or container. When a device is used (instantiated based on the platform configuration XML or the container XML), any subdevices that exist on the platform that support the device will also be instanced and connected.

5.5.9 Summary of Worker Types for Supporting HDL Devices

Device Workers directly control and attach to physical devices, as “device drivers”, and generally implement the data sheet for the device, providing access and visibility to the device's native registers and capabilities.

Subdevice Workers enable multiple device workers to share some underlying hardware, like shared resets, shared SPI or I2C busses. They also allow workers to stay portable when low level modules differ by platform or card.

Proxy Workers (for device workers) provide a higher level and more generic interface to make the device look more like others in its class, providing more user and software friendly access and visibility to the devices capabilities.

Emulator workers are used to test device workers by providing the mirror image of the device worker's external signals so they can emulate the device in simulation.

5.6 Defining Cards Containing Devices that Plug into Slots of Platforms

A card is specified in a card definition XML file initially created using the `ocpidev create card <cardname>` command, which creates the file `<cardname>.xml` file in the `hdl/cards/specs` directory. This file has a top-level `card` XML element with a required `type` attribute, and contains `device` elements.

The `type` attribute is the slot type and must match the name of a defined slot type.

The `device` elements declare device instances on the card, and act the same as `device` elements in platform XML files except for one thing. In both platform XML and card XML files the `signal` child elements of `device` elements indicate a mapping between device worker signals and platform or card-level signals. Whereas the signal mapping on platforms use the `platform` attribute for the platform/card-level signal name, in card definition files, the `card` attribute is used. This makes it clear that in the case of cards, you are mapping a device worker's signal to a card signal.

Card signal names are derived from the slot type's signals. Thus each device instance is essentially wired to slot pins.

Here is example of a simple card definition file with one device. It has one required parameter property setting (`use_ctl_clk`), one device signal that is not available on the card (`tx_clk`) and some other signals mapped to card signals that are derived from the slot type:

```
<card type='fmc_lpc' />
  <device worker='lime_dac'>
    <property name='use_ctl_clk' value='true' />
    <Signal name="tx_clk" slot=' ' />
    <Signal name='tx_clk_in' slot='LA04_N' />
    <Signal name="tx_iq_sel" slot='LA29_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(0)" slot='LA25_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(1)" slot='LA25_P' />
    <Signal name="txd(2)" slot='LA22_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(3)" slot='LA22_P' />
    <Signal name="txd(4)" slot='LA20_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(5)" slot='LA20_P' />
    <Signal name="txd(6)" slot='LA16_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(7)" slot='LA16_P' />
    <Signal name="txd(8)" slot='LA12_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(9)" slot='LA12_P' />
    <Signal name="txd(10)" slot='LA08_N' />
    <Signal name="txd(11)" slot='LA08_P' />
  </device>
</card>
```

6 Glossary

Application – In this context of Component-Based Development (CBD), an application is a composition or assembly of components that as a whole perform some useful function. The term “application” can also be an adjective to distinguish functions or code from “infrastructure” to support the execution of component-based application. I.e. software/gateway is either “application” or “infrastructure”.

Configuration Properties – Named scalar values of a worker that may be read or written by control software. Their values indicate or control aspects of the worker’s operation. Reading and writing these property values may or may not have side effects on the operation of the worker. Configuration properties with side effects can be used for custom worker control. Each worker may have its own, possibly unique, set of configuration properties. They may include hardware resource such registers, memory, and state.

Control Operations – A fixed set of control operations that every worker has. The control aspect is a common control model that allows all workers to be managed without having to customize the management infrastructure software for each worker, while the aforementioned configuration properties are used to specialize components.

Infrastructure – Software/gateway is either application of or infrastructure.

Worker – A concrete implementation (and possibly runtime instance) of a component, written according to an authoring model.

Authoring Model – A set of metadata and language rules and interfaces for writing a
[Incomplete]