GENERAL ASSEMBLY

DATA SCIENCE

NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFICATION

I. PROBABILITY AND BAYES’ THEOREM

II. NAÏVE BAYES CLASSIFICATION

I. PROBABILITY AND BAYES' THEOREM


A
Universe


B
Universe


A
AB
B
Universe


AB
B

Bayes' theorem:

$$P(A|B) = P(B|A) * P(A) / P(B)$$

II. NAÏVE BAYES CLASSIFICATION

Suppose we have a dataset with features $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and a class label c. What can we say about classification using Bayes' theorem?

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

Bayes' theorem can help us to determine the probability of a record belonging to a class, given the data we observe.

source: Data Analysis with Open Source Tools, by Philipp K. Janert. O'Reilly Media, 2011.

This term is the **likelihood function**. *It represents the joint probability of observing features* $\{x_i\}$ *given that that record belongs to class* C.

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

This term is the **prior probability** *of* c. *It represents the probability of a record belonging to class* c *before the data is taken into account.*

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

This term is the **normalization constant.** *It doesn't depend on* C*, and is generally ignored until the end of the computation.*

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

This term is the **posterior probability** *of* `c`*. It represents the probability of a record belonging to class* `c` *after the data is taken into account.*

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

This term is the **posterior probability** *of* c*. It represents the probability of a record belonging to class* c *after the data is taken into account.*

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

The goal of any Bayesian computation is to find ("learn") the posterior distribution of a particular variable. This constitutes the training phase of the model.

The idea of Bayesian inference, then, is to **update** *our beliefs about the distribution of* c *using the data ("evidence") at our disposal.*

$$P(\text{class } C \mid \{x_i\}) = \frac{P(\{x_i\} \mid \text{class } C) \cdot P(\text{class } C)}{P(\{x_i\})}$$

Then we can use the posterior for prediction.

Q: What piece of the puzzle we've seen so far looks like it could intractably difficult in practice?

A: Estimating the full likelihood function.

$$P(\{x_i\}|C) = P(\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\})|C)$$

Observing this exactly would require us to have enough data for every possible combination of features to make a reasonable estimate.

Q: So what can we do about it?

A: Make a simplifying assumption. In particular, we assume that the features x_i are conditionally independent from each other:

$$P(\{x_i\}|C) = P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n|C) \approx P(x_1|C) * P(x_2|C) * \ldots * P(x_n|C)$$

This "naïve" assumption simplifies the likelihood function to make it tractable.

DATA SCIENCE