

# Study guide for Midterm 2

## ▼ CH3

- ▼ • How can you solve a least-squares problem using the SVD (U and V are orthogonal, and  $\Sigma$  is square nonnegative and diagonal)?

Get the pseudo inverse

$$X^* = \operatorname{argmin} \|Ax - b\|_2^2 = \operatorname{argmin} [(Ax - b)^T (Ax - b)]$$

$$A = U\Sigma V^T$$
$$X^* = V\Sigma^+ U^T b$$

where  $\Sigma^+$  contains the reciprocal of all nonzeros in  $\Sigma$ .

Therefore the minimizer satisfies

$$U\Sigma V^T X^* \approx b$$
$$\Sigma y^* \approx d$$
$$\text{where } y^* = V^T x^* \text{ and } d = U^T b$$

## ▼ Vector projection

vector projection of **a** onto **b** becomes

$$= \frac{a \cdot b}{\|b\|^2} \cdot b$$

- ▼ • On what factors does the conditioning of a linear least-squares problem depend on?

- The angle between span A and B
- The condition number for A

Whereas the conditioning of a square linear system  $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$  depends only on the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , the conditioning of a least squares problem  $\mathbf{Ax} \cong \mathbf{b}$  depends on the right-hand-side vector  $\mathbf{b}$  as well as the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , and thus  $\text{cond}(\mathbf{A})$  alone does not suffice to characterize sensitivity. In particular, if  $\mathbf{b}$  lies near  $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})$ , then a small perturbation in  $\mathbf{b}$  changes  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Pb}$  relatively little. But if  $\mathbf{b}$  is nearly orthogonal to  $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})$ , on the other hand, then  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Pb}$  itself will be relatively small, so that a small change in  $\mathbf{b}$  can cause a relatively large change in  $\mathbf{y}$ , and hence in the least squares solution  $\mathbf{x}$ . Thus, for a given  $\mathbf{A}$ , we would expect a least squares problem with a  $\mathbf{b}$  that yields a large residual (i.e., a poor fit to the data) to be more sensitive than one with a small residual (i.e., a good fit to the data). An appropriate measure of the closeness of  $\mathbf{b}$  to  $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})$  is the ratio

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{y}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2} = \cos(\theta),$$

$$\frac{\|\delta\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \leq \kappa(\mathbf{A}) * \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2} \frac{\|\delta\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}$$

▼ • What is QR factorization, when does it exist and is it unique?

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is full-rank there exists an **orthogonal matrix**  $\mathbf{Q}$  and a **unique upper-triangular matrix**  $\mathbf{R}$  with a positive diagonal such that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{QR}$

Every matrix can have QR

▼ • What is a projection matrix?

Apply multiple times is the same as once

▼ • What are the classical and modified Gram-Schmidt processes? What can you say about their stability?

As for classical Gram-Schmidt:

the orthogonality of the computed  $\mathbf{q}_k$  will be lost due to rounding error

Additional storage for  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$

▼ • What is a Householder reflector matrix, what properties does it have and how is it computed?

Householder reflector matrix is both orthogonal and symmetric

$$H = I - 2 * \frac{vv^T}{v^T v}$$

$$Ha = a - 2v * \frac{v^T a}{v^T v}$$

$$Q^T = H_n \dots H_1$$

▼ • What is the Householder QR factorization algorithm, and what can you say about its stability?

**Householder method is generally the most efficient and accurate of the orthogonalization methods. It requires about  $mn^2 - n^3$  multiplications and a similar number of additions**

**Householder method can be expected to break down (in the back-substitution phase) only if  $\text{cond}(A) \approx \text{mach}$  or worse.**

▼ • How many Householder iterations are needed for a QR factorization and what is the total cost?

$n-1$

**It requires about  $mn^2 - n^3$  multiplications and a similar number of additions**

▼ • What is a Givens rotation matrix, what properties does it have and how is it computed?

**Householder transformations introduce many zeros in a column at once. Although generally good for efficiency, this approach can be too heavy-handed when greater selectivity is needed in introducing zeros.**

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c^2 + s^2 = 1$$

orthogonal

given introduce zero one at a time

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c = \frac{a_1}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}, s = \frac{a_2}{\sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2}}$$

▼ • How can Givens rotations be used to factorize a sparse matrix?

▼ • How does one solve linear least squares problems using a QR factorization?

$$\begin{bmatrix} R \\ O \end{bmatrix} x = Q^T b = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

▼ • What is Cholesky QR and how is it used for solving a linear least squares problem?

▼ • How can you solve a rank-deficient linear least squares problem?

QR with column pivoting or SVD(cost is the most expansive)

▼ Question in Quiz

▼ Quiz 7

### Linear Algebra: Orthogonal Projectors

1 point

Consider the orthogonal projector matrix  $P \equiv \mathbf{q}\mathbf{q}^T$ , where  $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is an arbitrary real vector with unit length in the 2-norm (e.g.,  $\|\mathbf{q}\|_2 = 1$ ).

Mark the statements which are **guaranteed to be true** for an arbitrary vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

- Select all that apply:
- ☒  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  is a singular matrix
  - ☒  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})^2 \equiv (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$
  - ☒  $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \in \text{nullspace}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$
  - ☐  $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  has  $n - 1$  non-zero eigenvalues
  - ☐  $\text{colspace}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \cap \text{nullspace}(\mathbf{P}) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$

Your answer is mostly correct. (80.0 %)

The correct answer is:

- $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  is a singular matrix
- $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x} \in \text{nullspace}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$
- $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})^2 \equiv (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$
- $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}$  has  $n - 1$  non-zero eigenvalues

The second and the third fully understand but not first and the fourth

▼ Quiz 8

## Reflection Matrices in Householder Algorithm

Householder QR factorization algorithm uses elementary reflection matrices  $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  of the form

1 point

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I} - 2 \frac{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T}{\|\mathbf{v}\|_2^2}$$

where  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is some vector. Which of the following is **necessarily true** for  $\mathbf{H}$ ?

Select all that apply:

- ☒ If the angle between  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  is  $\theta$ , or  $\angle(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = \theta$ , then  $\angle(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}) = -\theta$ .
- ☒  $\|\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$
- ☒ Computing  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}$  requires  $O(n)$  operations.
- ☐ Computing  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}$  requires  $O(n^2)$  operations.

### ▼ Cost analysis for various methods

- Normal equations (Reducing the problem into  $n \times n$  when  $m \gg n$ )  $mn^2$  multiplication and a similar number of addition, cholesky requires  $n^3/6$  multiplications
- The Householder method is the most efficient and accurate for the orthogonalization of dense linear least-squared problems ( $O(mn^2 - n^3)$ )

**$m \gg n$ , the Householder method requires about twice as much work as the normal equations method**

- Cost of QR Factorization with Gram Schmidt is  $O(mn^2)$
- 

### ▼ Extra attention

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} &\leq \|\mathbf{A}^+\|_2 \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \\ &= \text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|_2} \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2} \\ &\leq \text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2} \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2} \\ &= \text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{b}\|_2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|\Delta \mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} &\lesssim \|(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{E}\|_2 \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2} + \|\mathbf{A}^+\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{E}\|_2 \\
&= [\text{cond}(\mathbf{A})]^2 \frac{\|\mathbf{E}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2} \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{x}\|_2} + \text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\mathbf{E}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2} \\
&\leq \left( [\text{cond}(\mathbf{A})]^2 \frac{\|\mathbf{r}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{Ax}\|_2} + \text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \right) \frac{\|\mathbf{E}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2} \\
&= ([\text{cond}(\mathbf{A})]^2 \tan(\theta) + \text{cond}(\mathbf{A})) \frac{\|\mathbf{E}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

## QR Factorization

Given QR factorization of

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix with  $m > n$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  has full column rank.

Which of the following is true?

Select all that apply:

- ☒ If we partition  $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \ \mathbf{Q}_2]$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  contains the first  $n$  columns and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  contains the remaining  $m - n$  columns of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then we know that columns of  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})$ .
- ☒ To minimize the residual norm  $\|\mathbf{r}\|_2^2$ , we have to solve a triangular linear system  $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{c}_1$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{c}_1 \\ \mathbf{c}_2 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- ☒ A matrix vector product with  $\mathbf{Q}$  always preserves the Euclidean norm (2-norm) of the vector.
- ☒ If we partition  $\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{Q}_1 \ \mathbf{Q}_2]$ , where  $\mathbf{Q}_1$  contains the first  $n$  columns and  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  contains the remaining  $m - n$  columns of  $\mathbf{Q}$ , then we know that columns of  $\mathbf{Q}_2$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\text{span}(\mathbf{A})^\perp$  (the complement of the column space of  $\mathbf{A}$ ).

## ▼ CH4

▼ • What is an eigenvector? an eigenvalue of a matrix? (i.e. know the definition)

characteristic of the matrix

eigenvector to eigenvalues Rayley quo

▼ • What is a similarity transformation?

▼ • What is the relationship between the SVD and the eigenvalue decomposition?

(Really important)

Same for SPD (square root of  $\lambda(\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})$  is

▼ • When are eigenvectors linearly independent?

independent → full rank matrix

The matrix is nondefective

▼ • What are the Jordan and Schur forms?

not all square matrix can be diagonalized. (algebraically same but geometrically defective)

all can be transformed into Jordan form (have

Real schur forms → block diagonal complex conjugate pair

Why Schur forms? Any square matrix converted into Schur form

▼ • What is a normal matrix? a defective matrix? a diagonalizable matrix?

$A^H A = A A^H$  normal matrix

linear dependent eigenvector → defective

diagonalizable → symmetric SPD?

▼ • What is an eigenvalue multiplicity? what is a complex eigenvalue pair?

multiplicity refers to the

$\pm i$

▼ • What is power iteration? What can be obtained using power iteration?

- The situation for power iteration not work:

1. **The starting vector  $x_0$  may have no component in the dominant eigenvector  $v_1$ . But will not be a problem when rounding error is introduced by finite arithmetic**
2. There maybe more than one eigenvalue having the same (maximum) modulus, in which case the iteration may converge into a vector that is **linear combination of the corresponding eigenvectors.**
3. For a real matrix and real starting vector, the iteration cannot converge to a complex vector

shifting will accelerate the convergence:

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_2 - \theta}{\lambda_1 - \theta} \right| < \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|$$

▼ • What is normalized power iteration? What problem does it address?

Normalize to avoid underflow and overflow.

- ▼ • Given an approximate eigenvector, how can you estimate eigenvalues?

Rayleigh quotient

- ▼ • What is the Rayleigh Quotient? Rayleigh Quotient iteration?

$$\lambda = \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$$

- ▼ • What is inverse iteration and inverse shift iteration? Which eigenvalue does it find?

the conditioning is the same as A

- ▼ • What is the conditioning of eigenvalues and eigenvectors in an eigenvalue problem?

$\mu$  is an eigenvalue of the perturbed matrix  $A + E$  and  $X$  is a nonsingular matrix that  $X^{-1}AX = D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$

$$|\mu - \lambda_k| \leq \text{cond}_2(X) \|E\|_2$$

Also considering the perturbation in eigenvectors,

$$(A + E)(x + \Delta x) = (\lambda + \Delta\lambda)(x + \Delta x)$$

let  $x$  and  $y$  be the right and left eigenvectors

$$\Delta\lambda \approx \frac{y^H E x}{y^H x}$$

Taking norms yields the bound:

$$|\Delta\lambda| \leq \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)} \|E\|_2$$

where  $\theta$  is the angle between  $x$  and  $y$

**In particular, the eigenvalues of real symmetric and complex Hermitian matrices are always well-conditioned since the right and left eigenvectors**



are the  
same, so that  $\cos(\theta) = 1$ .

- ▼ • What are the eigenvalues of an upper triangular matrix and how do you compute the eigenvectors?

The diagonal line is the eigenvalues

- ▼ • What is orthogonal iteration? QR iteration? how are they related?

Problems of simple simultaneous iteration

- columns need to be rescaled at each iteration
- the columns of  $X_k$  form an increasingly ill-conditioned basis for the subspace since orthogonality is lost.

orthogonal is ??

- ▼ • How can one reduce a matrix to Hessenberg form and why is it helpful?

Reduction to upper-Hessenberg costs  $O(n^3)$

As for Hessenberg → cost per QR is  $O(n^2)$

As for tridiagonal → cost per QR is  $O(n)$

- ▼ • How can one incorporate shifting into QR iteration?

$$\begin{aligned} Q_i R_i &= A_i - \sigma_i I \\ A_{i+1} &= R_i Q_i + \sigma_i I \end{aligned}$$

The aim is to accelerate the convergence rate. The smallest eigenvalue (bottom right element if  $A_i$  is triangular) and  $n-1$  columns and so on.

- ▼ • What is deflation?

- Method 1

$$H A H^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & b^T \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$$

$$H x_1 = \alpha e_1$$

- Method 2  $A - x_1 x_1^T$  has eigenvalues  $0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$

Possible choices for  $u_1$  includes:

- $u_1 = \lambda_1 x_1$  if  $A$  is symmetric
- $u_1 = \lambda_1 y_1$  where  $y_1$  is the corresponding left eigenvector (i.e.  $A^T y_1 = \lambda_1 y_1$  normalized so that  $y_1^T x_1 = 1$ )
- $u_1 = A^T e_k$  if  $x_1$  is normalized so that  $\|x_1\|_{\infty} = 1$  and the  $k$ th component of  $x_1$  is 1

▼ • What is a Krylov subspace? how is it related to a Companion matrix?

$$K_n = [A^0 x \ A x \ A^2 x \ \dots \ A^{n-1} x]$$

$$[e_1 \ e_2 \ \dots \ e_n \ K_n^{-1} A K_n] := \text{companion matrix}$$

▼ • What is the Arnoldi method? the Lanczos method?

Lanczos is optimized to tridiagonal matrix

▼ Cost analysis

The cost for Rayleigh Quotient Iteration is  $O(n^3)$  per step

The complexity for inverse iteration is  $O(n^2)$  per step

QR iteration for Hessenberg matrix is  $O(n^2)$

QR iteration for Tridiagonal matrix is  $O(n)$

▼