

Manik Anandrao Shete vs State Of Maharashtra Thr District ... on 28 June, 2022

Author: S. G. Dige

Bench: S. G. Dige

1

911- C.A..odt

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8917 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26445/2020

MADHAV SANGAPPA GHUME (DIED) THROUGH
LRS TATWASHILA AND OTHERS
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8918 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26539/2020

GANGADHAR SANGAPPA GHUME
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8919 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26546/2020

MANIK ANANDRAO SHETE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

WITH

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 89120 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26556/2020

SHIVKUMAR VEERBHADRA USTURGE
VERSUS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

::: Uploaded on - 30/06/2022

::: Downloaded on - 01/07/2022 01:24:22 :::
2 911- C.A..od

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8921 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26551/2020

YESHWANT SADASHIV HIPPALE (DIED)
THROUGH LRS NAGINBAI AND OTHERS
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 8922 OF 2021
IN FIRST APPEAL ST 26562/2020

DEELIP VEERBHADRA USTURGE
VERSUS
STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH
DISTRICT COLLECTOR LATUR AND OTHER

....
Advocate for the Applicant : Mr. K. P. Rodge
Advocate for Respondent No.3 : Mr. B.R. Survase
...

CORAM : S. G. DIGE, J.

DATE : 28.06.2022 PER COURT :-

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and respondent No.2.

2. Considering the reasons mentioned in the Civil Application, the delay of 414 days for filing appeal is condoned. The applicant shall not claim interest and statutory benefits for delayed period and shall file undertaking in that regard. The Civil Application is disposed of.

3 911- C.A..odt

3. Appeal be registered subject to removal of office objections if any .

(S.G. DIGE,) JUDGE ysk